Building Envelope & Indoor Air Evaluation Report

Flagler County Sheriff's Operations Building 901 E. Moody Blvd. Bunnell, FL February 28, 2019

Terracon Project No. F9186371



Prepared for: Flagler County Engineering Department Bunnell, FL

> Prepared by: Terracon Consultants, Inc. Winter Park, FL



February 28, 2019



Flagler County Engineering Department 1769 E. Moody Blvd. Bunnell, FL 32110

- Attn: Ms. Faith Alkhatib, P.E., County Engineer P: (407) 302-7360 E. <u>falkhatib@flaglercounty.org</u>
- Re: Building Envelope and Indoor Air Evaluation Report Flagler County Sheriff's Operations Building 901 E. Moody Blvd. Bunnell, Florida Terracon Project Number: F9186371

Dear Ms. Alkhatib:

Terracon Consultants, Inc. (Terracon) has completed the authorized evaluation services on the above referenced property. This assessment was authorized by Flagler County Engineering (FCE) via Proposal No. PF9186371 dated January 2, 2019. This report presents the findings of the existing conditions and assessment of the components that pertain to the existing building envelope and indoor air quality at the referenced property. Recommendations for repairs are also included.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning this report, or if we may be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely, Terracon Consultants, Inc.

James E. Saizan, R.A., RRC Senior Project Architect Facilities Services

John O Ree

02

Brian J. DuChene, P.E. Principal Facilities Services

John L. O'Reilly Florida-Licensed Mold Assessor, MRSA 212 Senior Scientist - Environmental Services

Enclosures

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, Florida 32789 P [407] 740 6110 F [407] 740 6112 terracon.com



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Terracon Consultants, Inc. (Terracon) visited the Sheriff's Operations Building on January 3 and 4, 2019 to perform a visual assessment and limited destructive testing on building envelope systems and assemblies. We were commissioned by FCE to perform an investigation of existing IAQ and try to determine the cause(s) of problems related to IAQ. The building is reportedly 40 years old and was originally constructed as a hospital. The facility sat dormant for about 10 years until the County had the building renovated as a new Sheriff's Operations facility in 2015. An evaluation of the existing exterior wall assemblies was required to evaluate whether water intrusion had caused mold or mildew which contributed to the odors.

Our efforts included limited destructive testing at the inside of exterior walls, observations in mechanical rooms, and removal of flooring in selected locations. We also obtained surface and air samples for laboratory analysis.

The results of our limited destructive testing showed no moisture intrusion above the floor level in each area, except at a wall penetration behind the Evidence Coolers, at the lower four inches of a perimeter concrete block wall within a wall cavity cut in the Main Evidence Storage Room, and behind vinyl cove base by the northeast exit door in the Investigations Room. The wall penetration by the Evidence Coolers was associated with coolant piping identified as condensing and causing mold growth on the back side of drywall in its vicinity. No visible mold growth was observed on fiberglass insulation or drywall within the intact wall cavity by water-stained concrete block wall in the Main Evidence Storage Room. Visible mold growth was observed on drywall behind approximately one linear foot of vinyl cove base by the northeast exit in the Investigations Room.

At floor level, moisture, musty odors and visible mold growth were observed where evaluated at 11 locations below carpet tile flooring in various offices, a vinyl floor tile in the common hallway and below a plastic floor mat on concrete flooring in the Evidence Room. Elevated surface mold counts below floor finishes, at the base of two localized wall areas, within an air handling unit and on older appearing wood observed within an upper wall cavity in the Evidence Room were also found.

In general, the airborne fungal genera identified from the indoor environment were generally lower than the fungal genera encountered outdoors with the exception of slightly elevated spore counts of Aspergillus/Penicillium in 2 of the 16 interior locations tested.

Our exterior evaluation revealed paving and grading flush with the interior floor level in many areas, with poor drainage away from the building. This condition has contributed to moisture intrusion below the walls in those areas. We also performed a thermal scan of exterior wall assemblies using an infrared camera. No thermal anomalies were observed in any exterior walls.

The client reported a considerable bat infestation along the southwest exterior of the building was resolved during renovation activities in 2015. Terracon collected droppings observed in the intact upper wall cavity in the southeast corner training room at the time of our evaluation, and laboratory analysis reported the presence of Histoplasma Capsulatum, an avian pathogen, associated with bat roosting.



Based on the conclusions presented above, we recommend the following corrective actions:

Exteriors:

- § Seal the penetrations through the EIFS cladding at the rear and west sides.
- § Seal tops and sides of the electrical boxes to prevent future water intrusion.
- § Regrade the east side to provide a grade level slightly below the floor level and positively sloped into the swale. Dig the swale deeper as needed. (*Note: The County is performing this work under a separate contract.*)
- S Cut in and install trench drains at the front and rear paving adjacent to the building to eliminate standing water against the building. (Note: Coordinate this work with the aforementioned separate grading project.)
- § Evaluate base of the concrete wall on the south side of the Main Evidence Storage Room and seal as necessary.
- § Evaluate gutter drainage away from the building and repair as necessary.

Interiors:

- § Conduct Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) air sampling in several areas of the building to evaluate the presence of elevated VOCs which may be related to moisture below floor finishes and/or reported IAQ complaints.
- § Evaluate the concrete floor slab with a combination of Relative Humidity sensors and/or Anhydrous Calcium Chloride standard test kits on a 1,000 square-foot grid pattern per the applicable American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM F2170-18 or F1869-16a) procedures to measure the moisture level and vapor emission rate.
- § Conduct up to four additional upper ceiling cuts in the vicinity of old wood identified in the upper wall cavity along the south side of the building. A surface sample of the old wood identified high mold spore counts, which includes viable and non-viable spores. The additional ceiling cuts can facilitate further evaluation of the extent of the old wood and provide additional sampling locations to distinguish viable versus non-viable spore types to be cleaned and sanitized.
- S Conduct up to six additional wall cuts in the southeast portion of the building (four upper and two lower wall cuts estimated) to further evaluate the extent of apparent bat droppings identified in the southeast upper wall cavity and remove or sanitize the impacted surfaces.
- Conduct additional observations below floor finishes in the building to further evaluate the Ş extent of mold and/or moisture below carpet tiles, vinyl tiles, residual adhesive and plastic mats. Contract with a Florida-licensed mold remediation firm to remove, clean and sanitize identified areas of moisture impacted floor finishes and at the base of walls by the northeast exit in the Investigations Room 111 and between the Evidence Coolers. Removal activities should be in accordance with the Mold Remediation in Schools and Commercial Buildings (EPA 402-K-01-001. September 2008) document: can be obtained at https://www.epa.gov/mold/mold-remediation-schools-and-commercial-buildings-guide.
- Seal top of concrete floor where elevated floor moisture is identified with product designed to reduce moisture emissions. Conduct moisture testing on the sealed concrete slab prior to reinstallation of new floor finishes to evaluated replacement options.



§ Conduct the HVAC repairs to the VAV boxes, test and balance the systems, and clean the AHU interiors, including coils. (*Note: County is performing this work under a separate Work Order.*)

<u>Further Investigation</u>: Related to the preceding, further investigation recommendations are summarized as follows:

- S Conduct Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) air sampling to evaluate the presence of elevated VOCs which may be related to moisture below floor finishes and/or reported IAQ complaints.
- § Remove selected floor finishes and evaluate the concrete floor slab on a 1,000 square-foot grid pattern with a combination of Relative Humidity sensors and/or Anhydrous Calcium Chloride standard test kits per ASTM procedures to measure the moisture level and vapor emission rate and evaluate options for reducing excessive vapor transmission, if identified.
- § Further evaluate areas of old wood identified in the upper wall cavity along the south side of the building for treatment where high mold counts were identified on a surface sample. Perform four additional wall cuts in the area to obtain additional surface samples for mold testing.
- § Further evaluate the extent of apparent bat droppings identified in the southeast upper wall cavity by performing six additional wall cuts (four upper and two lower wall cuts anticipated) and surface investigation with ultraviolet light.
- § At the conclusion of interior demolition work, conduct an IAQ follow-up evaluation to include air sampling.



1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

Building Use:	Offices and Evidence Storage				
Areas Investigated:	Entire Building				
Dates of Site Visit:	January 3 and 4, 2019				

2.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

Our scope of services included:

- § Visit the site and provide a visual evaluation and detection of odors in most areas.
- § Remove small areas of drywall in 36 locations to expose the stud cavity at exterior walls and observe condition of drywall and materials in the cavity.
- § Provide a visual evaluation of exterior walls to identify defects in waterproofing systems.
- § Provide a thermal scan of exterior walls to identify evidence of moisture in the walls.
- § Provide moisture meter readings of interior finishes at exterior wall assemblies.
- § Provide a visual evaluation of mechanical equipment to determine any substances which could contribute to indoor air quality problems.
- § Provide sampling of indoor air and interior surfaces to determine air quality and contributions to indoor air quality problems.
- § Remove flooring in selected areas to observe mold and/or moisture beneath.

In addition, Terracon observed readily accessible interior components of the air handlers servicing the building and portions of the interior of ductwork.

Digital photographs illustrating our observations are included in Appendix A of this report.

The independent conclusions represent Terracon's professional judgment based on the information and data available to us during this assessment. Factual information regarding operations, conditions and test data provided by the client or their representatives has been assumed to be correct and complete.

3.0 OBSERVATIONS AND FINDINGS

3.1 Documentation Reviewed

Renovation drawings were made available for our review. Those drawings were prepared by TTV Architects, Inc. of Jacksonville, FL, and are dated 12/22/15. Drawings indicate that most of the existing structure was salvaged and the scope of the renovation work included new roofing, EIFS cladding, and interior finishes. The exterior wall assembly consists of existing CMU walls with 6" metal studs and R-19 batt insulation at the inside. The drywall finish and metal studs extend up to the existing metal roof deck throughout. The design also indicates that existing wood blocking and batt insulation were salvaged at the top of the exterior walls.



3.2 Inside Face of Exterior Walls

Using a capacitance-type moisture meter, we checked several areas at the base of the exterior wall. Elevated moisture readings were identified in the gypsum board wall behind vinyl covebase by the northeast exit door in Investigations Room 111 (Photograph 22). Elevated moisture readings were identified in the gypsum board wall below wrapped refrigerant piping along the back side of the evidence cooler and freezer. Some areas along the east wall recorded slightly higher readings. Other wall readings indicated normal moisture levels (no evidence of excessive moisture).

We performed approximately 6" to 8" square test cuts in the drywall finish in 36 locations at the exterior walls in locations requested by the County. These included locations at the base of the walls (typically below windows) and at the top of the wall where it terminated at the deck. A test cut location map is provided in Appendix B.

Overall, test cut findings are summarized as follows:

- § Low wall test cuts (20 locations): These cuts were made at the base of walls, typically below windows. Dry conditions with no evidence of moisture or mold growth on the backside of the drywall, or the backside of the CMU wall were typically observed in the stud cavity and in the sill track. Exceptions occurred between the Evidence Cooler and Freezer where mold was observed on the back side of drywall (Photograph 21) and in the Main Evidence Storage Room, where elevated moisture was identified at the base of the perimeter concrete block wall (Photograph 25). The above exceptions appeared to correspond with wall penetrations or flush pavement conditions outside. Exceptions also were noted at the Sheriff's Office, Chief Deputy's Office, the Records Room, and the Files Room. In those locations, some surface rust was observed in the sill track, although no moisture was encountered. This could have resulted from standing water during construction.
- § Intermediate height test cuts (5 locations): These occurred at about 10' height (above ceiling) in Main Evidence storage room, Training room and adjacent Supervisor's Office at east side. Typically revealed dry conditions in the stud cavity. No evidence of moisture or mold growth on the backside of the drywall, or the backside of the CMU wall.
- § <u>Top-of-wall test cuts (11 locations)</u>: Typically revealed dry conditions in the stud cavity and at the wood blocking at the outside. Existing wood blocking did not exhibit any rot or mold but was darker in color from aging. No evidence of moisture or mold growth on the backside of the drywall or on any materials in the cavity or beyond. Exceptions occurred in the Training Room (southeast corner) where evidence of bat or rodent droppings were noted at the top of the CMU wall (Photograph 10).



3.3 Flooring and Slab

We removed carpet tiles in several locations at the east and northeast quadrants of the building. Moisture was observed on the slab, with wet adhesive, musty odors and/or visible mold growth on the backside of the carpet tiles and underlying concrete floor (Photographs 11, 12 and 23). A thinset coating was observed below portions of the floor coverings. We also removed a carpet tile in the Muster/Assembly Room at the west side and noted the same conditions. A musty odor and visible mold growth was observed below a plastic floor mat in the Evidence Reception Room 134 within the south portion of the building (Photograph 26).

In the corridor at the south east end, we removed a 12" x 12" vinyl floor tile and noted evidence of condensation and mold growth (Photograph 27).

Locations where observed below floor coverings is provided on the Sample Location Map in Appendix C.

3.4 Building Exteriors

We observed exterior walls which consist of an exterior insulated finish system (EIFS) cladding over original CMU walls. At the interior, the old finishes were removed and new 6" deep metal studs with R-19 fiberglass batt insulation was installed with painted 5/8" gypsum drywall finish. We performed a thermal scan of the exterior walls using an infrared camera, to identify thermal anomalies usually associated with sub-surface moisture. Our observations were as follows:

- § The EIFS cladding and finish are new and without any detectable defects or points of possible water intrusion. Exceptions occur at some mechanical and electrical penetrations through the wall.
- § The bottom of the EIFS cladding is terminated at the base with a starter track designed for weeping, indicating a "rain-screen" assembly as designed.
- § The windows are fabricated of aluminum storefront framing and have a metal sill pan flashing below the sill frame, with an extrusion above the pan with 2 weep holes. This does not match the design drawings exactly, but no leak issues were detected below the windows.
- § Our thermal scan showed no detectable thermal anomalies in the wall assembly on any of the exterior walls.
- § At the base of walls, we observed paving at the north (front) wall and the rear where the surface of the concrete was flush with the floor level inside. In addition, both areas did not appear to have adequate slope away from the building. This indicates a potential for water to accumulate along the base of the walls and infiltrate through the CMU joints and below the stud track at the inside.
- § At the base of the east wall in the northeast quadrant, a swale has been constructed for draining roof runoff from downspouts. The grade actually slopes toward the building in this



area and is about flush with the floor level. This is another potential area for water to accumulate along the base of the walls and infiltrate through the CMU joints and below the stud track at the inside.

§ There is a louver on the west wall which provides make-up air to air handling units in the mechanical room. No damage or gaps were observed within a metal screen inside of the louver.

3.5 Limited HVAC Observations

The heating, mechanical and air conditioning (HVAC) system for the building mainly consists of two 60-ton chillers, four main air handling units and variable air volume (VAV) boxes within duct work. Two small split systems were also observed to provide conditioned air to the IT Room and Mechanical Room. All of the observed HVAC equipment had been installed in 2015. Per Rob Govazzi, Flagler County Energy Management Coordinator, actuators in air handling units had been previously programmed to close make-up air dampers when the building's emergency generator was being tested due to past diesel odor complaints (Photograph 14). Mr. Gavazzi also reported VAV boxes not communicating with the programmed system (approximately 16 in Zones 1 and 2 along the north and east sides of the building) were scheduled to be repaired on January 10, 2019. The VAV boxes contain a damper to regulate air flow. Tablets were observed in condensate drain pans.

Overall, the interior of the HVAC equipment appeared in good condition. Air handling unit (AHU) #2, which serves the east complaint area, appeared overall clean (Photographs 15 and 16). Air filters were dated 11/9/2018 and exhibited some dust build-up (Photograph 17). Localized discoloration resembling mold was observed at the base of metal housing by the supply coils in AHU #1, which serves the majority of the north portion of the building (Photograph 18). Mr Gavazzi as not aware of coils ever being cleaned since the AHU units were installed in 2015.

Temperature and relative humidity readings were taken January 3, 2019, at 16 interior areas and at 2 exterior locations. The interior temperatures ranged from 70.9°F to 77.2°F which were within the range considered by American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) Standard 55-2004 as acceptable for doing "office" work and wearing summer or winter clothing. The exterior temperatures were 89.4°F and 86.5°F. The interior RH levels ranged from 45.6% to 55.5% and were within the range considered by ASHRAE as acceptable, respectively. The exterior RH levels were 53.8% and 54.9%.

3.6 Mold Sampling

The following is a discussion of mold sampling performed during this assessment.

3.6.1 Mold Air Sampling

Total non-viable (non-culturable) fungal spore trap samples were collected using Air-O-Cell® sampling cassettes and a Zefon Bio-Pump®, Product Number ZBP-100, at a flow rate of 15 liters per minute for 10 minutes. Air-O-Cell® sampling cassettes were collected at representative indoor



and outdoor sample locations (Table 3.0). After air sample collection, the sample cassettes were delivered under chain-of-custody (COC) protocol to EMSL Analytical, Inc. (EMSL). EMSL is accredited by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AHIA®) Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC under the Environmental Microbiology Laboratory Accreditation Program (EMLAP Accreditation Number 163563). The results are reported as total fungal counts per cubic meter of air (counts / m3).

A summary of the indoor and outdoor total mold spore concentrations in counts/m³ are provided in Table 1 below.

Location	Mold Spores (Inside)	Mold Spores (Outside)
Exterior – North Parking Planting Bed		1,730
Investigations Room 129A entrance	340	
Break Room	60	
SE Corner Training Room	140	
IT Room 150	420	
Evidence Room 134	100	
SW Hallway	187	
Victims Services Room 118	220	
Exterior – NE Planting Bed by Sidewalk		2,770
Evidence Storage Room	200	
Evidence Cold Storage / Freezer Room 139	237	
Narcotics Storage Room	54	
Sheriff's Office Room 178	67	
Undersheriff's Office Room 175	47	
Lobby by Restrooms	40	
Records Room 103	87	
Commander' Office Room 113	287	
NE Investigations Room	277	
Exterior – West by Generator and Make-Up Air Intake		8,544

Table 1.0 Indoor and Outdoor Total Mold Spore Counts on January 3, 2019

A Sample Location Map is provided in Appendix C. The laboratory analytical results for spore trap sampling conducted at the site on January 3, 2019, are provided in Appendix D. Sampling results



from 16 interior locations were compared to the 3 exterior samples. A comparison of the sampling results yielded the following general findings:

- 1. The total airborne mold spore concentration from each of the interior sample locations (Samples A2 through A8 and Samples A10 through A18) ranged from 40 counts/m³ to 420 counts/m³.
- 2. The total outdoor mold spore concentration in exterior samples A1, A9 and A19 ranged from 1,730 counts/m³ and 8,544/m³, respectively.
- 3. In general, the fungal genera identified from the indoor environment were qualitatively consistent with the fungal genera encountered outdoors with the exception a slightly elevated spore counts of Aspergillus/Penicillium at the following locations:
 - o 210 counts/m³ in Sample A11 from the Evidence Freezer room;
 - o 250 counts/m³ in Sample A18 from the northeast Investigations Room;
- 4. Exterior Aspergillus/Penicillium spore counts were identified as ranging from 40 counts/m³ to 550 counts/m³ and 270 counts/m³.

3.6.2 Tape Lift Sampling Results

Terracon collected 15 tape lift samples (Samples T1 through T15) of observed discoloration on various building components on January 3 and 4, 2019, including with air handling units, on ceiling tiles, below floor finishes and on older appearing wood and insulation within the upper wall cavity. Each tape sample was placed on a laboratory supplied glass slide and delivered with COC to EMSL for optical microscopy.

A summary of the tape lift sampling results is provided in Table 2.0 below:

Sample ID	Location	Laboratory Result
T1	Evidence Cold Storage / Freezer Room 139 –	Medium Cladosporium
	Back Side of Drywall in Wall Cavity	High Stachybotrys/Memnoniella
		Low Aspergillus/Penicillium
то	File Storage Boom 1024 – Beturn Crille	Rare Basidiospores
12	File Storage Room TUSA – Return Ghile	Rare Myxomycetes
		Rare Hyphal Fragment and Pollen
Т3	Investigations - East Lower Wall by Exit	High Acremonium-like spores
T4	AHU #1 – Supply Side Coil Lower Metal Frame	High Cladosporium Rare Insect Fragments Low Pollen
T5	AHU #4 – Back Side of Supply Coils	Low Ascospores Low Basidiospores Rare Ganoderma

Table 2.0 Tape Lift Sample Results

Building Envelope and Indoor Air Evaluation Report





Sample ID	Location	Laboratory Result
Т6	AHU #4 – Metal Housing by Blower Wheel	Rare Ascospores Low Cladosporium Rare Epicoccum Low Hyphal Fragments and Pollen
Τ7	Investigations Room 129 – Ceiling Tile Edge	No mold detected
Т8	Records Room 103 – Below Plastic Floor Mat on Carpet	No mold detected
Т9	SE Training Room – Ceiling Tile Edge	No mold detected
T10	Investigations Room 129 – Below Carpet Square	Low Cladosporium High Scopulariopsis/Microascus Low Hyphal Fragments Rare Insect Fragments
T11	Evidence Storage – Upper Wall Cavity Old Wood	Rare Ascospores, Triadelphia and Pollen High Aspergillus/Penicillium High Cladosporium
T12	Evidence Entrance Room – Below Plastic Floor Mat on Concrete	Low Cladosporium, Acremonium- like and Pollen
T13	NE Investigations - Below Carpet Square	Rare Aspergillus/Penicillium and Hyphal Fragments High Scopulariopsis/Microascus
T14	SE Hallway - Below Vinyl Floor Tile by Drinking Fountain	Rare Aspergillus/Penicillium and Cladosporium High Scopulariopsis/Microascus
T15	Evidence Room – Upper Wall Cavity Old Yellow Insulation Debris	Rare Aspergillus/Penicillium, Ganoderma and Pollen Low Hyphal Fragments

Bolded = medium or high counts detected

The tape lift laboratory report is included in Appendix D.

3.7 Avian Pathogen Sampling Results

Terracon collected a swab sample of suspect droppings observed within the upper wall cavity check in the southeast corner training room. The swab sample was submitted to EmLab P&K (EMLab) for avian pathogen screen analysis by PCR methodology. EmLab is accredited by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AHIA®) Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC under the Environmental Microbiology Laboratory Accreditation Program (EMLAP Accreditation Number 2072876).



A summary of the swab results is provided in Table 3 below.

Sample ID	Location	Laboratory Result
S1	SE Corner Upper Wall Cavity	Chlamydophila psittaci – No cell equivalents detected Cryptococcus neoformans – No cell equivalents detected Histoplasma capsulatum - Present

Table 3.0 Swab Sample Results

The swab sample laboratory report is provided in Appendix E.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Our evaluation of the building envelope and indoor air quality has led to conclusions as follows:

- <u>Wall Assembly</u>: Our investigation showed no apparent signs of water intrusion through the wall assembly, except possibly the northeast corner exit door in Investigations Room 111 and south concrete block wall in the intact wall cavity of the Main Evidence Storage Room. The EIFS cladding on the exterior walls is applied directly over the original CMU wall and is a seamless finish. The insulating value of the cladding enhances the thermal performance of the wall assembly and reduces the possibility of vapor drive and resulting condensation at the inside of the wall.
- 2. <u>Site Grading</u>: The level of paving and grading and apparent lack of adequate drainage has contributed to water intrusion through the base of the wall and resulted in moisture and mold growth beneath floor finishes.
- 3. <u>Flooring and Slab</u>: The carpet tiles and adhesive have created a vapor barrier at the top of the slab, causing condensation and mold growth at the backside of the carpet tiles. In addition, similar issues are occurring at the backside of the vinyl floor tiles in the corridor and plastic mats on concrete in the Evidence Reception Room.
- Odor Source and Abatement: It is Terracon's opinion that the primary source of odors and resulting employee complaints of skin rashes and upper respiratory issues is related to the stagnant moisture and mold growth on the back side of floor finishes.
- 5. Other Miscellaneous Areas of Concern: An avian pathogen was identified in droppings observed within the upper wall cavity of the southeast training room. Elevated moisture was identified at the base of drywall by the northeast exit door of Room 111, by the coolers in the Evidence Room, and concrete block wall in the wall cavity in the Main Evidence Storage Room. The AHUs appeared relative clean with localized mold growth within the housing of Unit 1. Approximately 17 of the VAV boxes were apparently not communicating with the programmed HVAC system. The building was reportedly programmed to operate at 60% to 64% humidity until about a year ago. The cleaning products have reportedly been changed and the carpets cleaned since employees left the building in June 2018.
- 6. <u>Beyond scope considerations</u>: The make-up air intake is located by the emergency generator and by roof exhausts in the evidence rooms, including where narcotics are stored. Prior chemicals associated with cleaning and pest control in the building were reportedly evaluated by others and were not within the scope of our assessment activities.



5.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on the conclusions presented above, we recommend the following corrective actions and further investigation:

Exteriors:

- § Seal the penetrations through the EIFS cladding at the rear and west sides.
- § Seal tops and sides of the electrical boxes to prevent future water intrusion.
- § Regrade the east side to provide a grade level slightly below the floor level and positively sloped into the swale. Dig the swale deeper as needed. (*Note: The County is performing this work under a separate contract.*)
- S Cut in and install trench drains at the front and rear paving adjacent to the building to eliminate standing water against the building. (Note: Coordinate this work with the aforementioned separate grading project.)
- § Evaluate base of the concrete wall on the south side of the Main Evidence Storage Room and seal as necessary.
- § Evaluate gutter drainage away from the building and repair as necessary.

Interiors:

- S Conduct Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) air sampling in several areas of the building to evaluate the presence of elevated VOCs which may be related to moisture below floor finishes and/or reported IAQ complaints.
- § Evaluate the concrete floor slab with a combination of Relative Humidity sensors and/or Anhydrous Calcium Chloride standard test kits on a 1,000 square-foot grid pattern per the applicable American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM F2170-18 or F1869-16a) procedures to measure the moisture level and vapor emission rate.
- § Conduct up to four additional upper ceiling cuts in the vicinity of old wood identified in the upper wall cavity along the south side of the building. A surface sample of the old wood identified high mold spore counts, which includes viable and non-viable spores. The additional ceiling cuts can facilitate further evaluation of the extent of the old wood and provide additional sampling locations to distinguish viable versus non-viable spore types to be cleaned and sanitized. Conduct up to six additional wall cuts in the southeast portion of the building (four upper and two lower wall cuts estimated) to further evaluate the extent of apparent bat droppings identified in the southeast upper wall cavity and remove or sanitize the impacted surfaces.
- § Conduct additional observations below floor finishes in the building to further evaluate the extent of mold and/or moisture below carpet tiles, vinyl tiles, residual adhesive and plastic mats. Contract with a Florida-licensed mold remediation firm to remove, clean and sanitize identified areas of moisture impacted floor finishes and at the base of walls by the northeast exit in the Investigations Room 111 and between the Evidence Coolers. Removal activities should be in accordance with the *Mold Remediation in Schools and Commercial Buildings*

Building Envelope and Indoor Air Evaluation Report

Flagler Sheriff's Operations Building Bunnell, FL February 28, 2019 Terracon Project No. F9186371



(EPA 402-K-01-001, September 2008) document; can be obtained at <u>https://www.epa.gov/mold/mold-remediation-schools-and-commercial-buildings-guide.</u>

- Seal top of concrete floor where elevated floor moisture is identified with product designed to reduce moisture emissions. Conduct moisture testing on the sealed concrete slab prior to reinstallation of new floor finishes to evaluated replacement options.
- § Conduct the HVAC repairs to the VAV boxes, test and balance the systems, and clean the AHU interiors, including coils. (*Note: County is performing this work under a separate Work Order.*)

<u>Further Investigation</u>: Related to the preceding, further investigation recommendations are summarized as follows:

- § Conduct Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) air sampling to evaluate the presence of elevated VOCs which may be related to moisture below floor finishes and/or reported IAQ complaints.
- § Remove selected floor finishes and evaluate the concrete floor slab on a 1,000 square-foot grid pattern with a combination of Relative Humidity sensors and/or Anhydrous Calcium Chloride standard test kits per ASTM procedures to measure the moisture level and vapor emission rate and evaluate options for reducing excessive vapor transmission, if identified.
- § Further evaluate areas of old wood identified in the upper wall cavity along the south side of the building for treatment where high mold counts were identified on a surface sample. Perform four additional wall cuts in the area to obtain additional surface samples for mold testing.
- § Further evaluate the extent of apparent bat droppings identified in the southeast upper wall cavity by performing six additional wall cuts (four upper and two lower wall cuts anticipated) and surface investigation with ultraviolet light.
- § At the conclusion of interior demolition work, conduct an IAQ follow-up evaluation to include air sampling.

6.0 LIMITATIONS

The analysis and opinions presented in this report are based upon the information provided to us by our client, Flagler County Engineering Department (FCE), and data collected at the project site at the time of our site visit. While additional conditions may exist that could alter our conclusions, we feel that reasonable means have been made to fairly and accurately evaluate the existing conditions on this building.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of FCE for specific application to the project discussed and has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted engineering practices. No warranties, either expressed or implied, are intended or made. In the event that information described in this document which others provided is incorrect, or if additional information becomes available, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless Terracon reviews the information and either verifies or modifies the conclusions of this report in writing.



APPENDIX A PHOTOGRAPHS

Building Envelope and Indoor Air Evaluation Flagler Sheriff's Operations Building Bunnell, FL February 28, 2019 Terracon Project No. F9186371



PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo #1 Paving at front entrance. Flush with floor level and basically flat without slope to drain.



Photo #2 Typical window sill with pan flashing and weep holes in the extrusion above the pan.



Photo #3 Low wall cut in Investigations Room. No moisture or evidence of mold in cavity or backside of vinyl base.



Photo #4 Typical low wall cut. Backside of gypsum drywall dry and free of any staining or mold.



Photo #5 View of stud cavity in low wall cut at northwest quadrant. Some light corrosion noted in the track; no moisture evident.



Photo #6 View of stud cavity in low wall cut at northeast quadrant. Some light corrosion noted in the track; no moisture evident.

Terracon



Photo #7 Cut at top of the wall in northwest quadrant. All wood blocking and framing dry and in good condition.



Photo #8 View of same cut. Some debris noted on top of the CMU wall; possibly rodent or bat droppings.



Photo #9 Cut at top of wall in Main Evidence room. Old insulation (yellow) and new insulation (pink) are noted. Recessed wood is original; dry, good condition.



Photo #10 Cut at top of south wall, Training Room. Old wood dry and in good condition. Various types of debris on top of CMU, with possible bat droppings.



Photo #11 Carpet tiles removed adjacent to east wall in Investigations Room. Evidence of moisture and mold on backside of carpet tiles.



Photo #12 Carpet tile removed at location away from outside wall, same room. Evidence of moisture on slab.





Photo #13 Low drainage areas along east exterior.



Photo #14 Emergency generator by make-up air intake along the southwest exterior.



Photo #15 Air filters in AHU #2 dated as changed on 11/9/2018 serving the east portion of the building.



Photo #16 Coils in AHU #2.



Photo #17 Filters in AHU #3 serving the west central portion of the building.



Photo #18 Visible mold at base of coils in AHU #1 serving the front lobby front lobby and northwest (Tape Sample T4).







Photo #19 AHU condensate drain pan tablets.



Photo #20 Close-up view of coils in AHU #4 (Tape Sample T5).



Photo #21 Visible mold on back side on drywall by Evidence Room freezer piping (Tape Sample T1).



Photo #22 High moisture at base of drywall by the northeast exit in the Investigations Room.



Photo #23 Visible mold and musty odor below carpet square in Investigations Room 129 (Tape Sample T10).



Photo #24 Older wood with high mold counts on top of concrete block perimeter wall in the Evidence Room (Tape Sample T11).





Photo #25 Water stain on Evidence Room block wall.



Photo #26 Visible mold and musty odor identified on underside of plastic floor mat on concrete floor in the Evidence Room (Tape Sample T12).



Photo #27 Visible mold below vinyl floor tile in the southeast common hallway (Tape Sample T14).



APPENDIX B WALL CUT LOCATION MAP



APPENDIX C TESTING LOCATION FLOOR PLAN

APPENDIX D MOLD LAB TEST REPORTS

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Repo	Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)								
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location	341900412-0001 A1 150 Exterior-N Parking		341900412-0002 A2 150 Investigations 129A Entrance			341900412-0003 A3 150 Breakroom			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-	-	-	- '	-	-
Ascospores	12	250	14.5	4	80	23.5	-	-	-
Aspergillus/Penicillium	2	40	2.3	4	80	23.5	-	-	-
Basidiospores	43	910	52.6	4	80	23.5	1	20	33.3
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	13	270	15.6	-	-	-	1	20	33.3
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	3	60	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myxomycetes++	3	60	3.5	1	20	5.9	-	-	-
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	1	20	5.9	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	1	20	5.9	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arthrinium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blakeslea/Choanephora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	7	100	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myrothecium/Paramyrothec	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	20	33.3
Nigrospora	-	-	-	1	20	5.9	-	-	-
Oidium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pestalotia/Pestalotiopsis	-	-	-	1	20	5.9	-	-	-
Pyricularia	1	20	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tetraploa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula-like	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zygosporium	1	20	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	85	1730	100	17	340	100	3	60	100
Hyphal Fragment	1	20	-	3	60	-	1	20	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	47	990	-	3	60	-	-	-	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order:	341900412
Customer ID:	TERC62
Customer PO:	F9186371
Project ID:	

Terracon Consultants, Inc.

1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Attn: John O'Reilly

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

Phone: (407) 740-6110 Fax: (407) 740-6112 Collected: 01/03/2019 Received: 01/07/2019 Analyzed: 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID:	341900412-0001 A1		341900412-0002 A2 150			341900412-0003 A3 150			
Volume (L): Sample Location	150 Exterior-N Parking		150 Investigations 129A Entrance			150 Breakroom			
Sample Location							Broaktoom		
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	21	-	-	21	-	-	21	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	7*	-	-	7*	-	-	7*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location	341900412-0004 A4 150 SE Corner Training Room		R	341900412-0005 A5 150 Room 150 IT Room			341900412-0006 A6 150 Evidence Room Room 134		
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-
Ascospores	1	20	14.3	6	100	23.8	1	20	20
Aspergillus/Penicillium	2	40	28.6	5	100	23.8	2	40	40
Basidiospores	4	80	57.1	1	20	4.8	-	-	-
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Curvularia	-	-	-	5	100	23.8	1	20	20
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	2	40	9.5	-	-	-
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arthrinium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blakeslea/Choanephora	-	-	-	1	20	4.8	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	20	20
Myrothecium/Paramyrothec	-	-	-	1	20	4.8	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	1	20	4.8	-	-	-
Oidium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pestalotia/Pestalotiopsis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pyricularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tetraploa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula-like	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zygosporium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	7	140	100	22	420	100	5	100	100
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	6	100	-	-	-	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	1	20	-	1	20	-	1	20	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. ** Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order:	341900412
Customer ID:	TERC62
Customer PO:	F9186371
Project ID:	

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location	341900412-0004 A4 150 SE Corner Training Room		341900412-0005 A5 150 Room 150 IT Room			341900412-0006 A6 150 Evidence Room Room 134			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	- '	21	-	-	21	-	-	21	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	7*	-	-	7*	-	-	7*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	1	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

Phone:	(407) 740-6110
Fax:	(407) 740-6112
Collected:	01/03/2019
Received:	01/07/2019
Analvzed:	01/10/2019

Test Repo	Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number:	341900412-0007			:	341900412-0008	8	:	341900412-0009)	
Client Sample ID:	A7				A8			A9		
Volume (L):		150			150		150			
Sample Location		SW Hallway		Room	118 Victims Se	rvices	Exterior NE Planting Area			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	20	0.7	
Ascospores	2	40	21.4	2	40	18.2	19	400	14.4	
Aspergillus/Penicillium	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	40	1.4	
Basidiospores	-	-	-	1	20	9.1	79	1700	61.4	
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cladosporium	3	60	32.1	1	20	9.1	15	320	11.6	
Curvularia	-	-	-	2	40	18.2	1	20	0.7	
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ganoderma	1	20	10.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Myxomycetes++	1	20	10.7	3	60	27.3	5	100	3.6	
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	1	20	9.1	-	-	-	
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Arthrinium	-	-	-	-	-	-	2*	10*	0.4	
Blakeslea/Choanephora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cercospora++	1	20	10.7	-	-	-	2	40	1.4	
Myrothecium/Paramyrothec	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nigrospora	1	20	10.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Oidium	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	100	3.6	
Pestalotia/Pestalotiopsis	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	20	0.7	
Pyricularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	1	20	9.1	-	-	-	
Tetraploa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Torula-like	1*	7*	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Zygosporium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Fungi	10	187	100	11	220	100	134	2770	100	
Hyphal Fragment	2	40	-	3	60	-	-	-	-	
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	680	-	

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order:	341900412
Customer ID:	TERC62
Customer PO:	F9186371
Project ID:	

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location	341900412-0007 A7 150 SW Hallway		341900412-0008 A8 150 Room 118 Victims Services			341900412-0009 A9 150 Exterior NE Planting Area			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	21	-	-	21	-	-	21	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	7*	-	-	7*	-	-	7*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	1	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. ** Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location	341900412-0010 A10 150 Evidence Storage Room		341900412-0011 A11 150 Evidence Freezer Room			341900412-0012 A12 150 Narcotics Room			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	- '	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ascospores	1	20	10	-	-	-	1	20	37
Aspergillus/Penicillium	3	60	30	10	210	88.6	-	-	-
Basidiospores	1	20	10	1*	7*	3	-	-	-
Bipolaris++	1	20	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	2	40	20	-	-	-	1	20	37
Curvularia	2	40	20	-	-	-	1*	7*	13
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	1	20	8.4	1*	7*	13
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arthrinium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blakeslea/Choanephora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myrothecium/Paramyrothec	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oidium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pestalotia/Pestalotiopsis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pyricularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tetraploa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula-like	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zygosporium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	10	200	100	12	237	100	4	54	100
Hyphal Fragment	6	100	-	2	40	-	1	20	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	6	100	-	-	-	-	1*	7*	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. ** Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

Attn: John O'Reilly

1675 Lee Road

EMSL Analytical, Inc.

Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order:	341900412
Customer ID:	TERC62
Customer PO:	F9186371
Project ID:	

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391) Lab Sample Number: 341900412-0010 341900412-0011 341900412-0012 A10 A12 A11 **Client Sample ID:** 150 150 150 Volume (L): **Evidence Storage Room Evidence Freezer Room** Narcotics Room Sample Location Spore Types Raw Count Count/m³ % of Total Raw Count Count/m³ % of Total Raw Count Count/m³ % of Total Analyt. Sensitivity 600x 21 21 21 Analyt. Sensitivity 300x 7* 7* 7* Skin Fragments (1-4) 2 2 2 Fibrous Particulate (1-4) 1 1 1 -Background (1-5) -2 2 3

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location	341900412-0014 A13 150 Sherriff's Office Room 178		341900412-0015 A14 150 Under Sherriff Office Room 175			341900412-0016 A15 150 Lobby by Restrooms			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	- '	-	-	- '	-	-	- '	-	-
Ascospores	-	-	-	1	20	42.6	1	20	50
Aspergillus/Penicillium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basidiospores	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	20	50
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	-	-	-	1	20	42.6	-	-	-
Curvularia	1	20	29.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	1*	7*	10.4	1*	7*	14.9	-	-	-
Myxomycetes++	1	20	29.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pithomyces++	1	20	29.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arthrinium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blakeslea/Choanephora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myrothecium/Paramyrothec	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oidium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pestalotia/Pestalotiopsis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pyricularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tetraploa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula-like	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zygosporium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	4	67	100	3	47	100	2	40	100
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	40	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. ** Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order:	341900412
Customer ID:	TERC62
Customer PO:	F9186371
Project ID:	

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location	341900412-0014 A13 150 Sherriff's Office Room 178		341900412-0015 A14 150 Under Sherriff Office Room 175			341900412-0016 A15 150 Lobby by Restrooms			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	21	-	-	21	-	-	21	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	7*	-	-	7*	-	-	7*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	3	-	-	2	-	-	2	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. ** Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)										
Lab Sample Number:	341900412-0017			:	341900412-0018			341900412-0019	9	
Client Sample ID:	A16				A17			A18		
Volume (L):		150			150			150		
Sample Location	F	Records Storag	e	Com	manders Office	e 113	l Ir	Investigation East		
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ascospores	2	40	46	1	20	7	-	-	-	
Aspergillus/Penicillium	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	250	90.3	
Basidiospores	-	-	-	2	40	13.9	1	20	7.2	
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cladosporium	1	20	23	9	200	69.7	-	-	-	
Curvularia	-	-	-	1*	7*	2.4	1*	7*	2.5	
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ganoderma	1	20	23	1	20	7	-	-	-	
Myxomycetes++	1*	7*	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Arthrinium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Blakeslea/Choanephora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Myrothecium/Paramyrothec	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Oidium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pestalotia/Pestalotiopsis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pyricularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tetraploa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Torula-like	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Zygosporium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Fungi	5	87	100	14	287	100	14	277	100	
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. ** Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com 0/2019 14:20 PM

MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

Attn: John O'Reilly

1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

EMSL Analytical, Inc.

Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order:	341900412
Customer ID:	TERC62
Customer PO:	F9186371
Project ID:	

Phor Fa Collecte

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Rep	Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)								
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location	341900412-0017 A16 150 Records Storage		341900412-0018 A17 150 Commanders Office 113			341900412-0019 A18 150 Investigation East			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	21	-	-	21	-	-	21	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	7*	-	-	7*	-	-	7*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	3	-	-	2	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order:	341900412
Customer ID:	TERC62
Customer PO:	F9186371
Project ID:	

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location	341900412-0022 A19 150 Exterior West By Generator		-	•			<u> </u>		
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	2*	10*	0.1	-	-	-	-		-
Ascospores	6	100	1.2	-		-	-		-
Aspergillus/Penicillium	27	550	6.4	-		-	-		-
Basidiospores	185	3790	44.4	-		-	-		-
Bipolaris++	1*	7*	0.1	-		-			
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-		-	-		-
Cladosporium	173	3550	41.5	-		-			-
Curvularia	1	20	0.2	-		-	-		-
Fusarium	1	20	0.2						
Ganoderma	13	270	3.2						
Myxomycetes++	1	20	0.2						
Pithomyces++	3	60	0.7						
Rust	-	-	-						
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-		-	-		
Arthrinium	-	-	-	-		-	-		
Blakeslea/Choanephora	-	-	-	-		-	-		
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-		-			
Myrothecium/Paramyrothec	-	-	-	-		-	-		
Nigrospora	1*	7*	0.1	-		-	-		
Oidium	-	-	-	-		-	-		
Pestalotia/Pestalotiopsis	-	-	-	-		-	-		
Pyricularia	3	60	0.7	-		-	-		
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-		-			
Tetraploa	1	20	0.2	-		-	-		
Torula-like	3	60	0.7	-		-			
Zygosporium	-	-	-	-		-	-		
Total Fungi	421	8544	100	-		-	-		
Hyphal Fragment	8	200	-	-		-	-		
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-		-	-		
Pollen	7	100	-	-		_	-		

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Tel/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com
 EMSL Order:
 341900412

 Customer ID:
 TERC62

 Customer PO:
 F9186371

 Project ID:
 F

Attn: John O'Reilly

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Project: Flagler Sheriff's OPS/F9186371

 Phone:
 (407) 740-6110

 Fax:
 (407) 740-6112

 Collected:
 01/03/2019

 Received:
 01/07/2019

 Analyzed:
 01/10/2019

Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location	341900412-0022 A19 150 Exterior West By Generator								
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	7*	-	-		-	-		
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-		-	-		
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-		-	-		
Background (1-5)	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida or other approved signatory

High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP 163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:08:00

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/10/2019 14:20 PM

EN	ISL	EMSL Analytical, Inc. 3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Phone/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com		Order ID: Customer ID: Customer PO: Project ID:	341900412 TERC62 F9186371
Attn:	John O'R	Reilly	Phone:	(407) 740-6110	
	Terracon	Consultants, Inc.	Fax:	(407) 740-6112	
	1675 Lee	e Road	Collected:	01/03/2019	
	Winter Pa	ark, FL 32789	Received:	01/07/2019	
			Analyzed:	01/10/2019	
Proj:	Flagler S	heriff's OPS/F9186371			

Test Report: Microscopic Examination of Fungal Spores, Fungal Structures, Hyphae, and Other Particulates from Tape Samples (EMSL Method MICRO-SOP-200)

Lab Sample Number:	341900412-0013	341900412-0020	341900412-0021	341900412-0023	341900412-0024
Client Sample ID:	Т1	T2	ТЗ	Τ4	Т5
Sample Location:	139 Evidence Freezer Room	Return Vent 103A Files	Investigations E Lower Wall By	AHU 1 Supply Side Lower	AHU 4 Coils Back OF Supply
		<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Spore Types	Category	Category	Category	Category	Category
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-	-
Ascospores	-	-	-	-	Low
Aspergillus/Penicillium	-	Low	-	-	-
Basidiospores	-	Rare	-	-	Low
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	*Medium*	-	-	*High*	-
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	-	-
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	Rare
Myxomycetes++	-	Rare	-	-	-
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	*High*	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-
Zygomycetes	-	-	-	-	-
Acremonium-like	-	-	*High*	-	-
Triadelphia	-	-	-	-	-
Hyphal Fragment	-	Rare	-	-	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	Rare	-
Pollen	-	Rare	-	Low	-

Category: Count/per area analyzed - Rare: 1 to 10 Low: 11 to 100 Medium: 101 to 1000 High: >1000

- Denotes Not Detected.

++ = Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.
* = Sample contains fruiting structures and/or hyphae associated with the spores.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida

Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation of the data contained in this report is the responsibility of the client.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC--EMLAP Accredited #163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:07:51

For Information on the fungi listed in this report please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

EN		EMSL Analytical, Inc. 3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Phone/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com		Order ID: Customer ID: Customer PO: Project ID:	341900412 TERC62 F9186371
Attn:	John O'F	Reilly	Phone:	(407) 740-6110	
	Terracon	Consultants, Inc.	Fax:	(407) 740-6112	
	1675 Lee	e Road	Collected:	01/03/2019	
	Winter P	ark, FL 32789	Received:	01/07/2019	
			Analyzed:	01/10/2019	
Proj:	Flagler S	heriff's OPS/F9186371			

Test Report: Microscopic Examination of Fungal Spores, Fungal Structures, Hyphae, and Other Particulates from Tape Samples (EMSL Method MICRO-SOP-200)

Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Sample Location:	341900412-0025 T6 AHU 4 Blower Housing	341900412-0026 T7 Ceiling Tile Edge Room 129 Investigation	341900412-0027 T8 Below Floor Mat-Records Room 153	341900412-0028 T9 Ceiling Tile Edge-SE Training Room	341900412-0029 T10 Below 129 Investigation Carpet Square		
Spore Types	Category	Category	Category	Category	Category		
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-	-		
Ascospores	Rare	-	-	-	-		
Aspergillus/Penicillium	-	-	-	-	-		
Basidiospores	-	-	-	-	-		
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-		
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-		
Cladosporium	Low	-	-	-	Low		
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-		
Epicoccum	Rare	-	-	-	-		
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-		
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	-		
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	-	-		
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-		
Rust	-	-	-	-	-		
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	High		
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-		
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-		
Zygomycetes	-	-	-	-	-		
Acremonium-like	-	-	-	-	-		
Triadelphia	-	-	-	-	-		
Hyphal Fragment	Low	-	-	-	Low		
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	Rare		
Pollen	Low	-	-	-	-		
ample Comment: 341900412-0026 None Detected							

Sample Comment: Sample Comment:

341900412-0027 341900412-0028 None Detected None Detected

Category: Count/per area analyzed - Rare: 1 to 10 Low: 11 to 100 Medium: 101 to 1000 High: >1000

Denotes Not Detected.

++ = Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category. = Sample contains fruiting structures and/or hyphae associated with the spores.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida

Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation of the data contained in this report is the responsibility of the client.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC--EMLAP Accredited #163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:07:51

For Information on the fungi listed in this report please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

EN	ISL	EMSL Analytical, Inc. 3303 PARKWAY CENTER COURT Orlando, FL 32808 Phone/Fax: (407) 599-5887 / (407) 599-9063 http://www.EMSL.com / orlandolab@emsl.com		Order ID: Customer ID: Customer PO: Project ID:	341900412 TERC62 F9186371
Attn:	John O'R	Reilly	Phone:	(407) 740-6110	
	Terracon	Consultants, Inc.	Fax:	(407) 740-6112	
	1675 Lee	e Road	Collected:	01/03/2019	
	Winter Pa	ark, FL 32789	Received:	01/07/2019	
			Analyzed:	01/10/2019	
Proj:	Flagler S	heriff's OPS/F9186371			

Test Report: Microscopic Examination of Fungal Spores, Fungal Structures, Hyphae, and Other Particulates from Tape Samples (EMSL Method MICRO-SOP-200)

Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Sample Location:	341900412-0030 T11 Evidence Upper Wall Old Wood	341900412-0031 T12 Concrete Floor Evidence Below Floor Mat	341900412-0032 T13 Below Carpet Square NE Exit	341900412-0033 T14 Below VFT In SE Hall	341900412-0034 T15 Evidence Old Insulation Debris
Spore Types	Category	Category	Category	Category	Category
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-	-
Ascospores	Rare	-	-	-	-
Aspergillus/Penicillium	*High*	-	Rare	Rare	Rare
Basidiospores	-	-	-	-	-
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	*High*	*Low*	-	Rare	-
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	-	-
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	Rare
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	-	-
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	*High*	*High*	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-
Zygomycetes	-	-	-	-	-
Acremonium-like	-	*Low*	-	-	-
Triadelphia	Rare	-	-	-	-
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	Rare	-	Low
Insect Fragment	-	Low	-	-	-
Pollen	Rare	-	-	-	Rare

Category: Count/per area analyzed - Rare: 1 to 10 Low: 11 to 100 Medium: 101 to 1000 High: >1000

- Denotes Not Detected.

++ = Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.
* = Sample contains fruiting structures and/or hyphae associated with the spores.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Yessica Martinez Seeman, Microbiology Technical Manager, Central Florida

Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation of the data contained in this report is the responsibility of the client.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Orlando, FL AIHA-LAP, LLC--EMLAP Accredited #163563

Initial report from: 01/10/2019 13:07:51

For Information on the fungi listed in this report please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

OrderID: 341900412

. .

•

Microbiology Chain of Custody EMSL Order Number (Lab Use Only):

		341 ⁰	1004	112			PHONE: FAX:		
			-		E	WSL-Bill to: X Di	fferent Same		
ipany :	<u>racon (or</u>	oultant;			if Bill	to is Different note instru	ctions in Comments**		
<u>let: 1673</u>	-Lee Ed			_	Third Party B	illing requires written a	authorization from third party		
City: Winter	PAIK	State/Province): <u>/</u>	-2 Z	ip/Postal Cod	ie: 3 2 7 8 9 (Country:		
Report To (Name):	John O	Reilly		<u> </u> T	elephone #:	321-430	-5488		
Email Address:	Jloreilly C	2 telraco	<u>~. C</u>	sm F	ax #:	Pu	rchase Order:		
Project Name/Numl	per: Magler Sk	erift's ops/	Fal	862 P	lease Provide	Results:	K 🗶 E-mail 🔤 Mail		
U.S. State Samples	Taken: FL			- NC	onnecticut Sa	amples: 🗌 Comm	ercial 🗌 Residential		
	6 Hour 24	Turnaround Time	(TAT) ur	Options [®]	- Please Che	eck ` S Hour / 「11	Neek 2 2 Week		
*Analysis completed in	accordance with EMSL's	Terms and Conditions	located	in the An	alytical Price Gu	ide. TATs are subjec	t to methodology requirements		
-	Non (Culturable Air Sar	nples	(Spore	Traps) – Tes	st Codes			
 M001 Air-O-Cell M049 BioSIS 	 M173 Allegro M003 Burkar 	M2 • M004 d • M043	Allerge Cyclex	enco	 M032 All M002 Cy 	ergenco-D vclex-d	M172 Versa Trap		
• M030 Micro 5	 M174 MoldS 	nap • M176	Relle S	Smart	• M130 Via	a-Cell			
		Other Mic	robiol	ogy Tes	t Codes				
 M041 Fungal Dire M005 Viable Fungal 	ot Examination	• M014 I	Endoto: Hotorot	xin Analy	sis ate Count	M029 Ente M019 Ence	rococci		
 M005 Viable Fung M006 Viable Fung 	i ID and Count (Speci	ation) • M180	Real Ti	me Q-PC	R-ERMI 36	 M133 MRS 	A Analysis		
 M007 Culturable F 	ungi	 Panel 				 M028 Cryp 	tococcus neoformans		
 M008 Culturable F M008 Gram Stain 	ungi (Speciation)	• M018 1	fotal Co Mambi	oliform	ation)	Detection	nlasma cansulatum		
M009 Gram Stain W010 Bacterial Co	unt and ID – 3 Most	• M020 F	(Memprane Filtration)			Detection	 M120 Histoplasma capsulatum Detection 		
Prominent			(Membrane Filtration)			• M033-39 A	 M033-39 Allergen Testing 		
5011 Bacterial Co	unt and ID – 5 Most	• M210-2	15 Leg	Legionella Detection • M044 Group Allergen			p Allergen		
013 Sewage Cor	ntamination in Building	s MU26 P	(ecreat (vcoto)	ional vva kin Analv	alysis • Other See Analytical Price Guide				
Preservation Method	(Water): NIA	<u> </u>	,						
						11	1.1		
Name of Samplor	JOHN O'K	eilly		Signat	uro of Sampla	, phor	leady		
	Demarka I		Sa	mple	Test				
Sample #	Sample L		T	ype	Code	volume/Area	Date/Time Collected		
the the	Exterin 14	Og (luing	<u> </u>	in	Mapi	1556	1318 10:18 Aoz		
AZ	INVestig +	isms 129 Acn	Hr. nc	e			10:37		
<u>A3</u>	Blenk loor			[`	/	·	10:46		
<u> </u>	SEL INCI	thank R	24				10.50		
<u> </u>	Ran 150	IT Room	٦	_4_		· .			
AG	Evilence	Koon hour	34		-1		1108		
A7	5W Hallin	(a 4					11:23 42		
A'S	R=m1181.	ittims Sac	1 al				11:4917		
A9 .	EXPERIA NE	E planting g	100				1:14 200		
Ais	EVilene Sto	leve Roon					1:25 pm		
lient Sample # (s):	A/ -			Tot	al # <u>. of</u> Sample	es: 31	1		
Relinquished (Client)	Jehs'l	ietz	Dat	e:/	1/1/19	' <u>Time:</u>) C	13pm		
ved (Client):	Je.		Dat	e: /	11/19	Time:	1.05		
ments: Mo	of for all A.	n Samples	A7-	- 147 %	;- <u>;-</u>				
) MO4	1 for all tape	Samples T	<u> </u>	TIS		.			

Prage 1 Of 2

OrderID: 341900412

341900 412 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION/LOCATION VOLUME Air Area (Inches SAMPLE NUMBER 1/39k/me they f Arre Villince Nool leaver Koom Q 1/ \mathbf{V} Nurastics Room An 502 Moyi ulence Frezer Kon Tape \$Z~ 3/2bp-Rom 178 Aik MOOLY 556 die 32 Office Room 1 (Sher. A 3340 est som eusles Storage leis office 1:0 Smman. 113 418 Eas 40 ton A.F.J Tape Moy1 133A Files 2/31 by exil The MOHI Elower wall. Investigations ナら A19 506 305 general AraMool , West 14/1810:50 A-TY Supply sile buer housing Tope May Coils back 1 Supply ANU 4 75 11:08 76 Plower housing 11:10 AM 11 Ceiling tile edge Rom 129 Javest 11:34 100 56 him RISW FISST mat - Recordy form 78 153 11:55 Am **T**9 Ce:ling SE TIMININ **L**____ ~ 1:16 pm the edu TIO Below 129 Investegation 1:53pm (let a T11 Filme vopen will splanood 2.31 pm T12 Concrete Floor Evidence below Floorm 241 May T(3 Bebucal Personale NECXIT 4.12 p Below VFT INSE hall +14 418pm Evilence old insulation debas 13/19 5.25 115 h D'les 1/7/19 s3pm ٣ţ telinguished: Date: Time: Acceived: Date: Time: Relinquished: Dafe: Time: Received: Date: Time: Page 2 of 2

APPENDIX E AVIAN PATHOGEN LAB TEST REPORT

Report for:

Mr. John O'Reilly Terracon - Orlando, FL 1675 Lee Road Winter Park, FL 32789

Regarding: Project: F9186371; Flagler Sheriff's Ops Bldg EML ID: 2072876

Approved by:

 $\left(\right)$

Operations Manager Joshua Cox

Dates of Analysis: PCR screen: Avian Pathogen: 01-10-2019

Service SOPs: PCR screen: Avian Pathogen (EM-BC-S-1097, EM-BS-S-1199 & EM-BC-S1206)

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the items tested.

EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Client: Terracon - Orlando, FL C/O: Mr. John O'Reilly Re: F9186371; Flagler Sheriff's Ops Bldg 1501 West Knudsen Drive, Phoenix, AZ 85027 (800) 651-4802 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Date of Sampling: 01-04-2019 Date of Receipt: 01-09-2019 Date of Report: 01-10-2019

AVIAN PATHOGEN SCREEN: PCR METHODOLOGY

Location:	S1: Upper Wall Cavity Debris SE Training					
Comments (see below)		None				
Sample Type:		Swab sample				
Lab ID-Version‡:	9791768-1					
Sample Size:	1					
Unit:		swab				
	CE*	CE*/Unit				
Chlamydophila psittaci	ND	<100				
Cryptococcus neoformans	ND <100					
Histoplasma capsulatum §	Present					

*Cell equivalents ND = Not Detected

Comments:

Method Reference: *Chlamydophila psittaci-* Madico, G., Quinn T.C., Boman, J., and Gaydos C.A. 2000. Touchdown enzyme time release-PCR for detection and identification of *Chlamydia trachomatis, C. pneumoniae,* and *C. psittaci* using the 16S and 16S-23S spacer rRNA genes. J. Clin. Microbiol. Vol. 38. 1085-1093

Method Reference: *Cryptococcus neoformans*- Bialek, R., Weiss, M., Bekure-Nemariam, K., Najvar, L.K., Alberdi, M.B., Graybill, J.R., and U. Reischl. 2002. Detection of *Cryptococcus neoformans* DNA in tissue samples by nested and real-time PCR assays. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 9:461-469

Method Reference: *Histoplasma capsulatum*- Reid, T.M. and Schafer, M.P., 1999. Direct detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* in soil suspensions by two-stage PCR. Mol Cell Probes. 13(4):269-73.

\$This organism requires a two-stage PCR method of analysis. As a result, detection can only be reported as "Present" or "Absent".

A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

Doc. A 200776 Sen 24 Ruised 4/29/09 Hage Laf 1, QAD

By submitting this Chain of Custody, you agree to be bound by she terms and conditions set forth at www.emlabplz.com/tertus.html Copyright © 2009 EMLab Pack

· [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
002072876 Water, Bells, Diss, Sail, Contact Place	Quarkitarive Spore Count Direct Fram T-Media Surface Fungi (Cenus ID + Ap. spp.) 2. Media Surface Fundi (Please Specify organism) 3. Media Surface Specify (Strat (EM method 600/R)-93-116) 3. Method Surface Fundi (Fundi (EM method 600/R)-93-116) 3. Method Surface Fundi (Fundi	
	Direct Microscopic Exam (Qualitative)	
S Wind	A Cle La	/ ~ / . DATE & TIN
VEATHER For Main	Lee Red. 101 alter TOMN, O'RCI IY CH TOMN, O'RCI IY CH TOMN, O'RCI IY CH NAROUND TIME CODES - FITA NAROUND TIME CODES - FITA	Sellinguese
6 2.2.5 2.2.5 2.2.6 2. 5) 271-1924 00) 651-4002 • (366) 859-6653	MAT ANFORMATION Address /675 Special Instructions Special Instructions STD - Standard (DEFA STD - Standard (DEFA	PLIC SW A
ODY 54 2502 (86) c, Cherry Hill, NJ 08003 (86) Drive, Phoenoir, A2 85027 * (8) + #100, Sari Bruno, CA 94066	NU CONSULT	Wig I/ Ca UI L' G U L' A Miple Type Cobes Y - Tap Spore Trap Zefon, Sv - 5 Porable Water B- Bull
CHAIN OF CUST www.EytLabPK.com Cherry H18, NJ: 1936 Othey Avgenu Phootex, AZ: 1501 West Knudsen San Bruno, Cot 1150 Bayhill Drive	Company, Review Contract, Contract, Contract, John O. M. Contract, John O. M. Contract, John O. M. Contract, S. C. Contract, C. Contrat	S.1. W.P.P.C. B.C. bioCasenta B.C. bioCasenta B.C. bioCasenta Alfs - Andersen SAS - Surface Air Sampler P- CP - Contace Pleae NP

.

.--