

My issues with wanting to look into the legal department is to improve service to the County as a whole: Here are a few examples:

- ~ the lack of awareness/focus to keep our impact fees up-to-date and in line with our neighboring counties for 8+years and take advantage of the best economy in recent years.
- ~ lack of focus on costs of replacement/enhancement of services for new growth in line with our regional neighboring counties;
- ~ misguidance to insert ***payers of impact fees*** into the ILA(Interlocal Agreement) working Group. The ILA consists of GOVERNMENTAL bodies(Palm Coast, Bunnell, Flagler Beach, and Flagler County) **that** are served by the School District. Due to this ***overreach***, *FC now has “payers of impact fees wanting to have input into the terms and rates” rather than the governmental service providers setting the rates relative to cost of services;*
Any shortfall will negatively impact ad valorem taxpayers!
- ~ numbers of **contracts** that have cost the County residents greatly –
 - 1- Failure to provide “good” legal advice to a commissioner for not stepping down from the Canvassing Board, as he participated in another Commissioner’s election twice*(ethics)
 - 2- purchase of old Bunnell hospital at a greater than market cost,
 - 3- insufficient environmental investigation of that site, with inappropriate and dangerous development of the site; Failed to require Invasive Phase 2 tests
 - 4~ FDEP imposed maximum fine levied on BoCC for demolition of old hospital without

- an FDEP permit and following safety protocols
- 5- delays in addressing health issues for SO personnel caused by the “sick” building,
 - 6- Undermined efficiencies and service (4-5 yrs) caused to County Clerk’s and Sheriff’s operations due to housing FCSO here and there while new structure was being addressed; creating extra burdens on “homeless” FCS & Deputies
In those years, the County missed collecting updated impact fees in the best economic times of last 8 years that would have helped to pay for much of the new structure.
 - 8- Sears’ limited invasive inspection contract – cost \$\$\$\$!;
 - 9- Bing\$ contract for business expansion debacle on land ...(see 10)
 - 10- with disregard for Bing’s Landing Park purchase by public referendum *intended* for natural protection & historical preservation...
 - 11- I include a FCSO report # 21800096164, 10/22/2018 detailing that he presented as FC Attorney (with card) to have my campaign sign, which was legally posted and confirmed by FC zoning dept. on private property, removed and disposed, without any personal contact attempted.
The States Attorney’s office confirmed yet did nothing.
Even FDOT calls if signs are perceived to be in the wrong place.
A phone call would have been appreciated so I could verify the property ownership.

These items above are my *personal* awarenesses...I regard them as multiple violations of the community’s trust that legal expertise is supposed to protect!!!

Yet what other legal services were not adequately addressed of which I’m not aware?

The problem with this follow-up question is your set up. Attorney Hadeed has consistently been mischaracterizing the situation he orchestrated for Dennis in order to stifle Dennis’ vigilant taxpayer watchdog activities.

The **ACCURATE HISTORY** on this subject is that...

Dennis registered an **Elections Complaint** re: observing a Commissioner’s illegal activities on the canvassing board, that the attending & witnessing FC Elections Official and the County Judge *concurred* that the commissioner had violated Florida Statute and should step down from the canvassing board. The commissioner refused, so Dennis filed an **Elections** complaint.

At that time a lot of focus had been on the sitting BoCC and other complaints, mostly ethics, were filed by *other* FC citizens. Al Hadeed hired *outside* atty Mark Herron, who handles most ethics board complaints, to take Dennis’ *canvassing board Elections complaint* and bundle it with 5 *ethics* complaints from other citizens *at County expense*. More is available at another time.

What we need to focus on is that Mr. Hadeed was terminated by the BoCC in 1998 for issues related to his billing practice. I’ve never understood why he was brought back in 2006. In 2014 observing the Canvassing Board, he was caught on the infamous whispering tape, exposing that he should not be the County Attorney. What commission would want this level of professionalism from the few I’ve shared?

Do you intend to have an active role in, and vote on, the fate of the county attorney, given the apparent conflict of interest? I believe AH is retiring by year's end. ***If you do, how can that not be perceived as payback?*** Pierre – if you've been observing, I do not operate like that. I do point out issues that I see and work for the best solution for the community, yet my m.o. is not "to get even" or "get ahead." There is a bigger authority that handles those issues...