1		Y OF PALM COAST, FLORIDA RANSCRIPT OF HEARING
2	l .	ASE NO: 2018 021254
3		/
4		CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
5		TRANSCRIPT
6		
7	PROCEEDINGS:	ANIMAL CONTROL
8	BEFORE:	NICOLE TURCOTTE, HEARING OFFICER
9	DATE:	MONDAY, APRIL 16, 2018
10	TIME:	8:08 A.M 11:00 A.M.
11	PLACE:	PALM COAST CITY HALL 160 LAKE AVENUE
12		INTRACOASTAL ROOM PALM COAST, FLORIDA 32164
13		FALM COASI, FLORIDA 32104
14	STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:	SUSAN WEISHAUPT
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Seminole Reporting Inc. (407) 831-6400

1	APPEARANCES:
2	JENNIFER NIX, ESQUIRE OF: Garganese, Weiss & D'Agresta, P.A.
3	111 N. Orange Avenue Suite 2000
4	Orlando, Florida 32801 407-425-9566
5	jnix@orlandolaw.com APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF PALM COAST
6	MARCY I. LaHART, ESQ.
7	207 S.E. Tuscawilla Road Micanopy, Florida 32667
8	352-224-5699 Marcy@floridaanimallawyer.com
9	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF DOTTYE BENTON
10	NICOLE R. TURCOTTE, ESQ., HEARING OFFICER
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	TNDEN
2	INDEX City of Palm Coast Witnesses
3	TERRY SANDT
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Nix
5	Redirect Examination by Ms. Nix
6	EVA RODRIGUEZ
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Nix
8	Redirect Examination by Ms. Nix
9	Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Nix
10	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES
11	DOTTYE BENTON
12	Direct Examination by Ms. LaHart64 Cross Examination by Ms. Nix71
13	Redirect Examination by Ms. LaHart
14	JASON MORELAND Direct Examination by Ms. LaHart
	Cross Examination by Ms. Nix
15	JOSEPH PIMENTAL
16	Direct Examination by Ms. LaHart78 Cross Examination by Ms. Nix
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

2

1

_

3

5

7

8

6

9

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Good morning. I'd like to call the Animal Control Hearing for the City of Palm Coast to order on April 16, 2018 at 8:08

a.m. Welcome to the City of Palm Coast Animal

Control Hearings. My name is Nicole Turcotte. I'll

be the hearing control officer for these

proceedings. This is a public hearing. The

hearings are quasi-judicial in nature. The formal

rules of evidence do not apply. The fundamental due

process shall be observed and govern these

proceedings. All testimony shall be under oath and

recorded. There is no public comment portion of

these proceedings.

At this time I'd like to ask that anyone who is going to present testimony, please stand and raise your right hand and be sworn in and remain standing for the Pledge of Allegiance afterwards.

(Witnesses sworn, after which the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Thank you. Please be seated. I don't have a copy of the agenda, Judi. I'm assuming we're doing Item Number One. Thank you.

We have agenda Item Number One, case number 2018 021254. The city will begin by presenting its case and then the respondent will have an opportunity to respond.

MS. NIX: Good morning, Your Honor. Jennifer
Nix with the City of Palm Coast. Would you like me
to give a brief opening statement and then the
owner's counsel to give one?

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: That's fine.

MS. NIX: Thank you. Your Honor, we are here today because the owner of a dog, Cooper, a dog previously declared dangerous by another city, the City of Port Orange, in accordance with Chapter 767, Florida statutes, requested a hearing to contest the city's determination — the City of Palm Coast's determination — which was made in accordance with state law and the city code that the dangerous dog must be euthanized due to a second attack on human resulting in multiple bites and disfiguring lacerations requiring constructive surgery and severe injury.

A review of the pertinent statutes and city code will show that the city is not afforded discretion in its determination to euthanize a dog that has previously been declared dangerous, when

that dog either attacks or bites a person without provocation or which attacks and causes severe injury to any human.

A review of Section 767.13 Florida Statutes, will show that while those two situations may differentiate in a criminal penalty to the owner of the dog, the mandated outcome for the dog previously declared dangerous is the same, it shall be euthanized.

The City's code enforcement and animal control department is tasked with applying the dangerous dog state statutes and the provisions of the city code which are pertinent, of course.

Your Honor, I'm going to describe what the evidence and testimony will establish today. Dottye Benton is the owner of Cooper, a dog declared by the City of Port Orange to be a dangerous dog on January 27, 2018. When Port Orange's initial determination was contested, the dangerous dog board of the City of Port Orange upheld, after hearing the matter on February 21, 2018, upheld the classification that Cooper is a dangerous dog.

The staff in that case apparently requested and recommended that the dog be euthanized, yet the board did not follow that recommendation. The Port

Orange dog board's February 21, 2018 dangerous dog classification upheld the classification of dangerous dog. It's the city's understanding that that classification was not contested and became final. The appellate window for that classification of the dog as being dangerous has expired.

The evidence and testimony will show that

Cooper was not confined as a dangerous dog should

be; that Cooper attacked and bit Mr. Sandt multiple

times; that Mr. Sandt did not provoke Cooper; that

he had been conducting himself peacefully and

lawfully, and was bitten and attacked by a dangerous

dog. That his back was to the dog when Ms. Dottye

Benton let the dog onto the porch moments after Mr.

Sandt asked if the dog was nice or bites. That the

other dogs had no issue with Mr. Sandt on the

property and wanted Mr. Sandt to keep showing them

kindness and attention; but that was not the case

for the dog previously deemed dangerous.

The dog was let on the back porch just three days after the hearing upholding its dangerous dog classification, when it caused severe injury to Mr. Sandt, the bite victim.

Evidence and testimony will also show that Cooper, indeed, caused the severe injury, including

physical injury that resulted in multiple bites or disfiguring lacerations, requiring sutures or reconstructive surgery. And also that Mr. Sandt was lawfully on the property, invited by Ms. Benton to perform a job. He was a carpet cleaning business and that's why he was there.

The scope of today's hearing, the city would contend, is confined to Ms. Dottye Benton's challenging Palm Coast's determination that her dangerous dog attacked and bit, without provocation, the victim, attacked and caused severe injury to the victim, and therefore shall be destroyed in an expeditious and humane manner in accordance with Section 767.13, as well as -- of the Florida Statutes, as well as 8-41 of the city code.

The City of Palm Coast requests that you make certain findings, that Cooper was previously declared a dangerous dog and that such classification is not at issue today. That Cooper is the dog that attacked Mr. Sandt; that the attack was unprovoked; that Cooper inflicted a severe injury on Mr. Sandt, the same as defined by the Florida statutes, to include multiple bites and disfiguring lacerations requiring reconstructive surgery. And, of course, that you uphold the city's

decision to euthanize Cooper, the dangerous dog, in accordance with state law and the city code.

While the owners may present affidavits and/or testimony as to the demeanor of the dog since the incident or otherwise, the evidence will show that the uncontroverted facts remain that Cooper, a dangerous dog, attacked and inflicted a severe injury on a human being. And the city respectfully requests that you therefore uphold the city's determination that this dangerous dog be euthanized, in accordance with state law and city code and as mandated by both.

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Okay, thank you.

Ms. LaHart.

MS. LaHART: Not at this time, thank you.

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Okay, the city may present its case.

MS. NIX: My first witness for the city would be Mr. Terry Sandt, who is testifying now and does have a deadline as far as leaving. If you can approach the witness stand, please.

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.

MS. NIX: Your Honor, I would ask if I could approach with a Composite Exhibit A and Appendix.

1	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Yes.
2	MS. NIX: Thank you. This is the information
3	that was previously provided, but with an appendix
4	and tabbed.
5	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Thank you.
6	MS. NIX: Thank you.
7	TERRY SANDT,
8	being previously duly sworn, testified upon his oath as
9	follows:
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. NIX:
12	Q Good morning, Mr. Sandt.
13	A Good morning.
14	Q Will you please identify yourself by name for
15	the record?
16	A Terry Sandt, S-A-N-D-T.
17	Q Thank you. And what is your occupation?
18	A I own a carpet/tile cleaning company.
19	Q And do you happen to recognize the owner of the
20	dog, Ms. Benton?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And could you identify her by pointing to her?
23	A (Witness indicates).
24	Q Thank you. How do you know Ms. Benton?
25	A I was called to do a job at her home.

- 1 Q And do you remember about when you were there?
- 2 A You mean the date and time?
 - Q Sure, the date.

- A Not offhand. But the time, probably around noon.
 - Q Can you describe basically the circumstances from when you got to the house to --
 - A The whole thing?
 - Q Sure, please.
 - A Okay, I knocked on the door. She answered. Two little dogs were there, say hi, pet them, da, da, da, da. They ran up on the couch and I was petting them. Then she showed me around and, you know, the job I was doing. And she was going to go on the porch, and these dogs would not follow her because they were hanging out with me because I was loving them.

So I went out on the porch and had my back turned to the outside and I had the dogs on the porch, and I bent down, and she asked me if I wanted to meet the other dog. And he was jumping up in the air and stuff. And I just said, if he's nice and doesn't bite, and opened the door and he came on. He got right on my lip, right there, right now, right within two steps. Then I threw him off and he shook and tore me lip off. And then he jumped right back on my leg and started

- 1 biting my leg, and I got him off and he went like this. 2 And I was, like, I got to get out of here, I don't know 3 how this is going to end. 4 And I was by the sliding glass door, so I slid 5 it and I worked my way out and started slamming the door and he came right to the glass. And I got out through 6 7 the backyard, through the back gate. And then I made 8 her take me to the hospital. 9 When the -- you said that your back was to the 10 door when the dog was let in to the porch? 11 Yeah, the dog -- the dogs were inside and I had Α 12 to get them out to the porch. And the bigger dog was 13 outside. So I had them like this (indicates). And as 14 soon as the door was opened, it was yeehaw. 15 So you mean that the dog came at you once the 16 door was opened? 17 Α Right then, right there, right now, he didn't 18 In two seconds, it was a fight. hesitate. 19 Would you say that -- had you been calm, was 20 this an unprovoked attack? 21 He got me from behind. Yeah. I didn't have Α 22 nothing on. 23 And what happened afterwards, after the dog 0
 - attacked? Did you say you had gone to the hospital? Α Yeah, she took me to the hospital. She went

24

25

1 through the whole thing with me. And she told the 2 people at the hospital that she had an appointment to 3 put the dog down Monday, which obviously didn't happen. 4 Q Did you and Ms. Benton discuss anything else in 5 regards to the dogs, or generally, on the way to the hospital? 6 7 Α Not a lot, just that I told her I was going to 8 be deformed, because of what I saw in the mirror. 9 Is the bandaging on your face related to the 10 attack? 11 Yes, it is. Α That's exactly where this got tore 12 out, and I got scars here. 13 Q What kind of injuries did you sustain from this 14 dog? 15 I got bite marks on my leg and kind of part of 16 my face tore off. 17 How many times were you bit? Was it once, more Q 18 than once? 19 Three on the leg. And when he bit me in the Α

A Three on the leg. And when he bit me in the face, he pulled me, he shook and came off.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And just to -- I apologize to show you the pictures, but Judge -- Your Honor, I'm just going to show Mr. Sandt some pictures such that he can identify them. And it's in A-3 of the Composite Exhibit A. The first picture, do you recognize that dog?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Is that the dog that attacked you?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Is that the same dog in the second picture?
5	A	It appears to be, yeah. It is kind of blurred.
6	Q	And
7	A	That would be me.
8	Q	He's looking at the third picture. And is this
9	the inju	ries that you sustained from the dog attack?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And the next, fourth picture, are those bite
12	marks on	your face?
13	A	I it happened so fast, I don't know. I
14	believe s	so.
15	Q	Did you have that condition of your face before
16	the dog a	attack?
17	A	No.
18	Q	And this are these your fingers?
19	A	That's where he bit me, yeah. On my hand. I
20	forgot al	oout that one.
21		THE COURT REPORTER: Could you speak up?
22		THE WITNESS: That's my leg. And knee.
23	Q	We're looking at this picture. I lost track.
24		HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: I'm following, thank
25	you.	

1 And my leq. THE WITNESS: I filled that out, 2 yes. 3 BY MS. NIX: 4 0 And then just, the next page is the voluntary 5 witness statement, the affidavit that Mr. Sandt had previously filled out, which is number four, and he just 6 7 stated that he filled that out. I also wanted to, while I'm standing here, just to have him identify, there's a 8 9 Flagler -- well, this is number five. Are these your 10 medical records? That's my signature. 11 Α Yes. 12 Q And are these from the hospital treatments for 13 your dog bite on February 24, 2018? 14 Α Yes. 15 And then just to flip forward to number six, is 16 this the bill that you received from Florida Hospital 17 Flagler? 18 And she paid that. 19 He was pointing to the \$165.63 that had been Q 20 paid on that bill. And then is this another bill on 21 number seven we're looking at, Emergency Medicine 22 Professionals? 23 They told me that it may not be the Α 24 final bill. They said there could be another bill 25 coming.

1 I'm going to ask you some more questions, but 0 2 I'll go back and sit back down. Did Ms. Benton say why 3 she paid the hospital bill or anything? Α No, she just paid it. We didn't talk about it, 5 no. As far as any additional issues relating to the 6 7 dog attack that you had, what kind of issues have you 8 experienced? 9 This side, I've got blurred vision. 10 I had drainage coming out -- I don't know if stopped. 11 this is all connected, but I have problems with this ear 12 now. 13 And when the doctors spoke with you at the 0 14 hospital the day that you had to go to the hospital, did 15 they speak with you about reconstructive surgery or 16 anything along those lines? 17 MS. LaHART: Objection to the hearsay 18 testimony. 19 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled. You can 20 answer the question. They -- there was four people in 21 THE WITNESS: 22 and out, and two looked at it and just -- they go, 23 that's not a good expression, there's nothing we can 24 do, you have to see a plastic surgeon. So all's 25 they could do is clean it and they gave me some

pills to take for infection. And one day after it happened, I went to Lentz, Ashley Lentz, cosmetic surgery.

BY MS. NIX:

Q And I know that you had mentioned that your hospital records that they had given to you, did you speak with the doctors that made the notes that were given to you when you left, as far as the medical record notes?

A We spoke to the doctors and then there was a separate lady who actually did the billing with the 165 and all that, she said that that may not be the final bill.

Q Okay. And I would like to point out, if I may, two different parts of the hospital record; the emergency room record, which was authenticated by Mr. Sandt. On page five of 41 there are notes relating to the ears, nose, mouth and throat, part of which states, "the mucosal surface is intact, but there is significant irregular laceration on the right side of the upper lip with avulsion of tissue approximately one centimeter by two centimeters. The wound margins cannot be approximated without significant deformity. There is significant edema on the right cheek adjacent to the wound, with additional bite marks present. The bite

marks do not appear to penetrate the skin." The doctor is not concerned about a retained tooth, which I'm not sure what that means.

But on the next page, page six of his emergency room record, also has the doctor's notes, Dr. Cashio, Jr., the second big paragraph with caps lock, the doctor recommends, it says, "I discussed the patient's case with Dr. Cashio, the plastic surgeon on call. He recommended dressing, antibiotics, if appropriate, follow up in his office on Monday. The patient is to change the dressing two to three times a day and as needed. The patient will likely require a full thickness repair of this injury, which will have to be performed in the operating room." I just wanted to bring the court's attention to that part of the medical records.

Okay, so just to kind of wrap up as far as the injuries that you said you sustained, is it your testimony that the dog bit you multiple times and it's -- is it your understanding that you may need reconstructive surgery?

A Yes.

MS. NIX: I don't have any further questions for Mr. Sandt.

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Ms. LaHart.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. LaHART:
3	Q Thank you. Hello.
4	A Hello.
5	Q Can you hear me all okay, Madam Court Reporter?
6	Mr. Sandt, you stated in your testimony that you made my
7	client take you to the hospital. What does that mean?
8	A I just said you're taking me to the hospital.
9	I was holding a rag to my face with blood protruding out
10	of it.
11	THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear you.
12	THE WITNESS: I was holding a rag in my face
13	with blood pouring out of it.
14	BY MS. LaHART:
15	Q Isn't it true that she offered to take you to
16	the hospital?
17	A Well, it was kind of yeah.
18	Q So you didn't make her, she did that
19	voluntarily; correct?
20	A Yeah.
21	Q Do you recall giving a written statement on
22	March 12th?
23	A You mean the affidavit?
24	Q A document entitled Voluntary Witness
25	Statement, it was in tab four of your package.

1 Α Yes, that's me. 2 Do you recall the date that you gave that Q 3 statement? 4 Α I do not. It said here March 12, 2018, would that be the 5 0 date? 6 If that's what was written, that's when I did 7 Α it, yes. 8 9 And you had already retained an attorney by 10 then, correct? 11 Objection, relevancy. MS. NIX: 12 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled. You can 13 answer the question. 14 THE WITNESS: I can? I don't know the date I 15 retained an attorney. 16 BY MS. LaHART: 17 0 Here's a letter from Rue and Ziffra, is that 18 the law firm representing you? 19 Α Rue. 20 0 Rue. 21 Α Yes. 22 Dated March 7, 2018. So you had already 0 23 retained counsel by March 7, correct? 24 Α If that's what it says, yes. 25 So you had, in fact, retained counsel before Q

1	you gave the statement?
2	A If those are the dates, then that's when I
3	filled them out, yes.
4	Q And the reason that you retained an attorney
5	was because you plan to sue my client civilly, correct?
6	A I'm deformed.
7	Q So that would be yes?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Do you recall telling the hospital that you
10	weren't going to sue her because you weren't that type
11	of person?
12	A In a nonchalant way. She said she was putting
13	the dog down on Monday and never did. So that's called
14	a lie.
15	Q So you were going to sue her in civil court
16	because she didn't kill her dog?
17	A I'm suing, yes.
18	Q Now, my client's version of what happened is
19	that the dog, Cooper, was outside and that she only let
20	him in because you insisted that you wanted to meet him.
21	A I never insist that anyone lets their dog in.
22	I can't clean the carpet with the dog inside the house.
23	Q But there were two dogs in the house already,
24	correct?
25	A And they were going out to the porch.

1 Would you agree with me that if my 0 Right. 2 client's version of the story is correct, that that 3 would be bad for your civil lawsuit? MS. NIX: Objection. 5 THE WITNESS: Well, her version is wrong. If it were correct, it would be bad for the 6 Q suit? 7 But it's not. 8 Α 9 Answer my question, if her version of the facts 10 were correct, it would be --11 MS. NIX: Objection. 12 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Let me put some 13 ground rules. So the attorney is asking you a 14 question, you have to let her finish her question 15 before you answer. If you hear an objection, 16 everybody stops talking so I can hear the objection 17 and make a ruling. 18 I'm going to overrule the question and allow 19 the question to be answered. Ms. LaHart, can you 20 reask your question. 21 BY MS. LaHART: 22 If in fact my client's version of the facts was 23 correct, that would be bad for your civil lawsuit, would 24 it not? 25 Α If it was correct, yes.

1	MS. LaHART: Nothing further. Thank you.
2	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Thank you. Any
3	follow-up questions Ms. Nix?
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. NIX:
6	Q Sure, thank you. Mr. Sandt, do you did the
7	owner ever, Ms. Benton I mean, did she ever tell you her
8	occupation?
9	A Yes, she said she worked for an attorney.
10	Q Did she make any other statements in regards to
11	that?
12	A She let me know that more than once.
13	Q Why do you think she did that?
14	MS. LaHART: Objection, calls for speculation.
15	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled.
16	THE WITNESS: I do not know. Scare tactic, I
17	guess.
18	BY MS. NIX:
19	Q When you arrived at the house you had stated
20	that the two dogs were the two smaller dogs were
21	inside the house?
22	A Yes.
23	Q So maybe
24	A They met me at the door.
25	Q They met you at the door?
	i

1	A Yes.
2	Q Is it my understanding of your testimony that
3	the owner was trying to get the two dogs to go outside,
4	when the dogs were just interested in being pet by you?
5	A Right.
6	Q Okay. And what do you usually do with animals
7	when you're cleaning carpets in a regular setting?
8	A I can't clean it with them there, so I try to
9	get them on a porch or bathroom, maybe a room that
10	doesn't have what's needed to be cleaned.
11	Q So it probably wouldn't make sense to invite
12	another dog inside?
13	A No. Not at all.
14	MS. NIX: No further questions, Your Honor.
15	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Okay.
16	MS. LaHART: Can I follow up?
17	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Yes, you may.
18	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. LaHART:
20	Q Were you afraid because my client was a
21	paralegal?
22	A No, I didn't even know that until after the
23	fact.
24	Q When she told you that, were you frightened?
25	A No.

1	Q At the time that you were on the back porch
2	with the dogs, was any of your equipment in the house?
3	A No, I don't think so.
4	Q So you weren't actually ready to start cleaning
5	the carpets yet, were you?
6	A If I usually do, I usually take the wand and
7	the chemical thing, I'm usually there to set that in the
8	house. I may have done that. I think I did. In the
9	back bedroom.
10	Q But you don't recall?
11	A No.
12	MS. LaHART: Nothing further.
13	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Thank you, Mr.
14	Sandt.
15	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
16	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: The City's next
17	witness.
18	MS. NIX: Thank you, Your Honor. The next
19	witness please is Eva Rodriguez, animal control
20	officer for the City of Palm Coast.
21	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Good morning.
22	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
23	EVA RODRIGUEZ,
24	Being previously sworn, testified upon her oath as
25	follows:

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. NIX: 3 Good morning. Thank you for being here. Would Q 4 you please give your name and position with the city. 5 Eva Rodriguez, animal control officer for the City of Palm Coast. 6 7 0 Thank you. And what is your involvement in this case? 8 9 We received the first initial bite back in Α 10 January 31, 2018 from the City of Port Orange from 11 Officer Chris Filbert, advising us that there was a bite 12 in Port Orange and that the animal had been moved to the 13 City of Palm Coast and contacted us for quarantine of 14 the animal at 29 Ryder Drive. And that was in regards to the Port Orange 15 0 16 case, that quarantine? 17 Α Correct, Cooper, the dog was involved. 18 Thank you. And did you put Cooper in 19 quarantine at that time? 20 Α Um, the -- I believe the quarantine was already 21 up when we were contacted, so I just needed to come by 22 and make sure that the animal was alive and well at that 23 time. 24 Q And as to the current case, not the Port Orange 25 where they had classified the dog as dangerous, what

interactions have you had with Ms. Benton, the owner?

A My first interaction with Ms. Benton was on February 1st, where I met with Ms. Benton to check on Cooper's quarantine release at that time for the City of Port Orange, where she brought me on to the back porch where Cooper was in the fenced-in backyard, where I saw the dog was alive and well at that time and released from quarantine. The dog did bark at me the whole time while I was on the back porch and I did make a comment to Ms. Benton stating that the animal, to my feeling, was territorial.

Q Okay, thank you. And did you have any additional interactions with the dog until the current bite case accident?

A I did not. The only interaction was the quarantine release on February 1st and then the second bite.

Q And can you tell the court what your interactions have been as to the second bite, both with Ms. Benton, the victim, and the dog, if you've seen it since?

A The second interaction was on February 26th, where I had left a message for Ms. Benton to contact me regarding quarantining Cooper on the second bite and advised her that he would have to spend his quarantine

at the Flagler Humane Society.

On the 27th, I did meet with Ms. Benton at the Flagler Humane Society, where Cooper was brought in. I was told that he was sedated before she drove to the Flagler Humane Society to bring him in for his quarantine. We were going back and forth, Ms. Benton did want Cooper euthanized at her vet. We did advise that the animal would have to go through quarantine process first, the ten days, unless her vet wanted to test for rabies, meaning that the brain would be tested. And her vet did not want to go through with that process.

They did advise that the animal should remain at the Flagler Humane Society and be euthanized there. They didn't want to stress the animal out any more.

I did -- after the quarantine process, I did notice that Cooper was being walked by one of the kennel attendants, Jason, who's here today. He was walked through the humane society and out front, not wearing a muzzle.

- Q And this was after a point in which it had been deemed dangerous by the City of Port Orange?
 - A Correct.
- Q Have you seen Cooper in his cage or anything else while he was there at VHS?

A Yes, we did see him in the cage during the quarantine process at his quarantine release date.

- Q What were your observations as to the dog, generally?
- A Observation was the dog was barking, jumping and growling.
 - Q Growling at who?

- A At me and my other -- the other officer that was with me while we were doing the quarantine release.
- Q When you spoke with Ms. Benton, did she discuss with you what had happened the day of the bite?
- A Yes. On the 27th, Ms. Benton told me that the victim came in to clean her carpets, East Coast Carpet Busters, that Mr. Sandt had came in, met with her two small dogs that were loose in her living room. I was then told when he saw Cooper, he asked Ms. Benton to let the dog inside, and that he was an animal lover and he loved dogs. Ms. Benton let Cooper inside, and I was told that Mr. Sandt had bent down towards the dog to pet him and was face-to-face with the dog and that's when the dog bit the lower lip.

Ms. Benton then expressed that she wanted the dog, Cooper, put to sleep at her vet, Freiberg's Healing Paws, and at that time it would have been -- I discussed that with my manager to see if we could move the animal

around, where we would have agreed that I would have personally brought the animal to the vet clinic and stayed during the process.

Q And, okay, so that's what Ms. Benton had to say to you. Have you spoken with the victim, Terry Sandt, at that point?

A On March 1st I finally spoke to the victim, who told me, when I asked how he was doing, he told me disfigured. I asked him to explain to me what had happened. Mr. Sandt had told me that this was his first time at the residence. He entered the home. He was greeted by two small dogs, they were friendly. He was petting them.

Mr. Sandt then showed -- was then shown the carpet that needed to be cleaned. Then Ms. Benton went on to the back porch and was calling the small dogs, but they were not interested in going with her, they were more interested in Mr. Sandt. So he walked the dogs to the back porch so they would follow him. He saw Cooper and another dog on the back fence in the yard. Ms. Benton -- I was told Ms. Benton asked him if he wanted to meet the dog. Mr. Sandt had asked if he was friendly. He told me that his back was to the door. When the dog was let in, he automatically bit Mr. Sandt on his face and shook. Then he heard -- or shook his

1 head around, ripping his lower lip. Mr. Sandt tried to get the dog off, and that's when his right leg was bit. 2 3 Then Mr. Sandt was taken to Flagler Florida Hospital for emergency treatment by Ms. Benton. She transported him 5 there. And that was March 1, 2018 that you said you 6 spoke with Mr. Sandt? 7 8 Α Correct. 9 I don't have any further questions. MS. NIX: 10 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Thank you, Ms. 11 LaHart. 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MS. LaHART: 14 Thank you. Good morning, Officer Rodriguez, Q 15 how are you? 16 Α Good morning. How are you? 17 Would you agree with me that Ms. Benton and Mr. Q 18 Sandt tell different versions of what transpired the day 19 that Mr. Sandt was bitten? 20 Α Correct, we have two different stories. 21 0 Would it make a difference one way or another 22 to the death penalty if Mr. Sandt's version of the story 23 was correct? 24 Objection, calls for a legal opinion. 25 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Sustained.

1	BY MS. LaHART:
2	Q Ms. Rodriguez, are you responsible for
3	implementing Chapter 767 Animal Control Ordinance for
4	Palm Coast?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Are you familiar with those with Chapter
7	767?
8	A Yes, I am.
9	Q What is it?
10	A The dangerous dog statute.
11	Q Are you familiar with Palm Coast Animal Control
12	Ordinance?
13	A Yes, I am.
14	Q Where is that?
15	A Section Eight ordinance.
16	Q Is it part of your responsibility to determine
17	whether or not a dog complies with a definition of
18	dangerous dog contained within those statutes and
19	ordinance?
20	A Yes.
21	MS. LaHART: Your Honor, I'd like to renew my
22	question.
23	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: You may.
24	BY MS. LaHART:
25	Q Assuming that it happened as Mr. Sandt said,

1 that he didn't encourage Ms. Benton to invite the dog in, that she asked if he wanted to meet the dog, would 2 3 that make any difference whatsoever in your determination that the dog needs to be destroyed? 5 Α That would become under a -- that would be a discussion that I would have with my supervisor and 6 manager to make the final decision on that. 7 8 0 Who made the decision that Cooper needs to be 9 destroyed? 10 It was a group effort between myself and my Α 11 manager. 12 Q Your manager being Ms. Grossman? 13 Α Barb Grossman. 14 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Ms. Rodriguez, could 15 you say that again, the court reporter didn't hear 16 you. 17 THE WITNESS: That would have been a group 18 discussion with myself and my manager. 19 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: And the name of your 20 manager? 21 Barb Grossman. THE WITNESS: 22 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Grossman. 23 BY MS. LaHART: 24 Q You mentioned that you saw Cooper being walked 25 through the lobby without a muzzle. Were there people

1	in the lobby?
2	A Yes, there were.
3	Q Did he lunge or growl at any of them?
4	A No, I did not see that.
5	Q At the time that the City of Port Orange
6	declared Cooper dangerous, where was the dog living?
7	A 29 Ryder Drive.
8	Q In what city?
9	A The City of Palm Coast.
10	Q Can you explain to me how the City of Palm
11	Coast had the authority to declare a dog I'm sorry,
12	the City of Port Orange had the authority to declare a
13	dog in Palm Coast dangerous?
14	MS. NIX: Objection. She's asking legal
15	questions that as far as that witness, she's not
16	qualified to answer that.
17	MS. LaHART: She is the one that implements and
18	interprets the dangerous dog provisions for the City
19	of Palm Coast.
20	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: You can answer the
21	question, if you know.
22	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that question,
23	please?
24	BY MS. LaHART:
25	Q My question is, how did the City of Palm Coast

have authority to designate a dog dangerous, when the dog wasn't living in the city anymore?

A The City of Port Orange is where the bite occurred. So when an animal is declared dangerous in that city, they have to notify the city that the animal is in, by law.

Q My question is, how can the City of Palm Coast -- or, I'm sorry, the City of Port Orange declare a dog dangerous, when that dog was no longer living there?

A I don't know how to answer that.

Q Doesn't the state dangerous dog law require that a dog that is subject of a dangerous dog investigation not be moved?

A That is correct.

Q Isn't the reason for that, that if the dog is moved, the animal control authority no longer has jurisdiction over that animal?

A I'm not sure about that. From my understanding, the animal was not supposed to be moved.

Q Why not?

A Because there was a bite, so the animal was under quarantine, which is automatic when an animal breaks skin, that the animal is placed on a ten-day home quarantine, so the animal should have been quarantined in Port Orange, but the owner at that time did not

1 follow orders and moved the animal to the City of Palm 2 Coast. 3 0 How do you know that the owner was ordered not 4 to move the animal? 5 Α Because those are state laws. Do you know if the owner was informed of the 6 state law? 7 I do not know. 8 Α 9 Were you angry when you found out that Ms. 10 Benton had decided not to destroy her dog and to fight for her dog? 11 12 Α I was not angry. 13 I'm going to hand you a letter -- actually, 0 14 it's a -- it looks like, I don't really know what it is. 15 I'm going to hand it to you and ask you if you can 16 describe it for the record. Let me show your counsel 17 what we're looking at. I only have two copies. I got 18 it from you. 19 MS. NIX: You got it from a public records, 20 presumably, but I didn't bring a copy of this. 21 Would you like to make me a copy? Can we do that, 22 take a break before our client is questioned? 23 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: 24 MS. NIX: Thank you. 25 (Short break was had.)

1 Ms. Nix, may I proceed? MS. LaHART: 2 MS. NIX: Give me ten seconds. 3 MS. LaHART: Take 20. MS. NIX: 4 Okay. 5 BY MS. LaHART: Could you identify that document? 6 7 Α Yes, this is a letter drafted by the city to Ms. Dottye Benton, letting her know that we agree to 8 9 help her transport the animal to Dr. Freiberg's Healing 10 Paws, as we discussed, for euthanasia at her vet that 11 she's had for over so many years, or that the animal 12 would be humanely euthanized at the Humane Society, and 13 it was for her to sign and her daughter to sign. 14 Do you know if this was ever provided to her? 0 15 Α It would have been sent by mail. 16 MS. LaHART: Request I have this submitted into 17 evidence as Respondent's Exhibit One, please. 18 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Can I see it? 19 the city have any objection? 20 MS. NIX: No. 21 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Then it will be 22 admitted, but I'd like to see a copy, if there is 23 Thank you. Okay, because there is one somewhere. no objection, this will be admitted as Owner's 24 25 Exhibit One. Do you need this letter back, Ms.

1	LaHart? Does the witness need this for reference
2	for future questioning?
3	MS. LaHART: No, thank you.
4	MS. NIX: No objection.
5	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: I'm sorry?
6	MS. NIX: No objection. The city will clarify
7	it.
8	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: You may proceed.
9	BY MS. LaHART:
10	Q Ms. Rodriguez, do you have a copy of the City
11	of Port Orange's declaration?
12	A Of Cooper being dangerous? Yes, we did.
13	Q Do you have a copy of that in front of you?
14	A No, I do not.
15	MS. LaHART: Madam Hearing Officer, I believe
16	that's Tab Nine in the documents that the city
17	provided to you.
18	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Thank you.
19	BY MS. LaHART:
20	Q May I approach the witness? Is that a copy of
21	what you are relying upon for the assertion that Cooper
22	was declared dangerous by the City of Port Orange?
23	A That is what we received from the City of Port
24	Orange declaring the animal dangerous, correct.
25	Q Does that contain a finding that the bite was

1	unprovoked?
2	A It does not state.
3	Q Isn't that a minimum finding before a dog can
4	be declared dangerous?
5	MS. NIX: Objection, calls for legal opinion.
6	MS. LaHART: Again, this is the city personnel
7	that implements the dangerous dog law.
8	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: I'll overrule it.
9	MS. NIX: These are arguments that should have
10	been raised at the Port Orange proceedings.
11	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: I'm not sure this is
12	relevant, but I'll overrule the specific objection
13	you made.
14	BY MS. LaHART:
15	Q The question, Ms. Rodriguez, is, isn't it true
16	that a finding that the dog bite was unprovoked is a
17	minimum finding necessary in order to declare a dog
18	dangerous?
19	A That does play a role in determining if an
20	animal is dangerous, whether it is provoked or
21	unprovoked.
22	Q Does it play a role or is it outcome
23	determinative?
24	A It plays a role.
25	Q Okay, so even if somebody if a dog bites

1 somebody and the bite is provoked, the dog can still be 2 declared dangerous; that's your understanding? Α Every case is different. Object, relevancy. MS. NIX: I'm sorry, I was 5 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: 6 actually going to ask you to repeat the question. 7 MS. LaHART: Can we have the court reporter read it back? 8 9 (Pending question read back). 10 Well, yes, if the bite was THE WITNESS: 11 provoked, that will play a role in our 12 determination. If the bite is unprovoked, it will 13 play a role in our determination. 14 BY MS. LaHART: 15 Ms. Rodriguez, I'm going to ask you to look at 16 what is at tab two in the Composite Exhibit A provided 17 to the hearing officer. Do you recognize that document? 18 Α Yes. 19 What is it? 0 20 It's advising Ms. Dottye Benton that due to Α being declared dangerous previously in the City of Port 21 22 Orange, that the city is asking that the animal be 23 euthanized after the quarantine, due to the second bite 24 causing multiple bites and disfiguring lacerations to 25 the victim.

1 Does that letter advise my client that she has 0 2 the right to request a hearing? 3 Α "Should you choose to waive your right to an 4 appeal, the animal may be destroyed sooner than ten days 5 from this written notification, minimizing these 6 expenses." Does it advise her that she has the right to a 7 0 8 hearing? 9 Well, it does say "if you choose to waive your 10 rights to an appeal." 11 Does it state you have a right to a hearing? 0 12 It doesn't state that in here, but I'm sure if Α 13 she would have went into 767.13 Florida state statutes, 14 it is in the statute that the owners do have a right to 15 appeal. 16 0 Does it advise her as to how she would go about 17 requesting a hearing? 18 No, there are no instructions. 19 Would you look at what has been -- what's 20 behind tab one, the notice of hearing. Does this 21 provide any guidance as to the procedures that will 22 govern a dangerous dog hearing? 23 What do you mean? Α 24 What I mean is, does this explain the Q 25 procedures that will be followed in contesting the City

1	of Palm Coast's decision to destroy Cooper?
2	A This is a notice of hearing at the owner's
3	request to contest. There's no instructions.
4	Q You testified previously that you're familiar
5	with the Palm Coast Animal Control Ordinance?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Does Palm Coast Animal Control Ordinance state
8	who has the burden of proof in this proceeding?
9	A Yes.
10	Q If I hand you the ordinance, can you show me
11	where that is?
12	MS. NIX: Your Honor, the city would stipulate
13	that the city has the burden of proof in this
14	hearing.
15	MS. LaHART: Will you also stipulate that the
16	ordinance doesn't say that?
17	THE WITNESS: Our ordinance mirrors Florida
18	state statutes.
19	BY MS. LaHART:
20	Q The answer to my question is yes, the ordinance
21	does not contain any indication of who has the burden of
22	proof, correct?
23	A Okay.
24	Q Nor does it advise what the standard of proof
25	is, is that correct?

1 Proof as to, what are you relating to? Α 2 Proof as to whether or not Cooper is going to 0 3 be killed. 4 Α Our proof is the bite. The victim is here 5 today, that is our proof. Okay, thank you. You understand that -- well, 6 this is a forfeiture proceeding, that if the city's 7 determination that the dog is destroyed is upheld, will 8 9 be upheld, then my client's property is being taken from 10 It's different than a dangerous dog designation, 11 correct? 12 MS. NIX: Objection. She's asking questions 13 that call for a legal opinion that a nonlawyer is 14 not going to necessarily understand. She's not 15 going to know the difference between a forfeiture 16 hearing, which this is not. 17 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: I will sustain the objection. 18 19 BY MS. LaHART: 20 Is the same level of proof required if the city Q 21 is seeking to destroy a dog, as opposed to merely 22 declare it dangerous, and, therefore, subject to more 23 stringent manner of keeping requirements? 24 Α Correct. 25 0 What's the difference?

1 Okay, if -- we follow Florida state statutes, Α 2 keeping an animal that's been declared dangerous, there 3 are requirements for muzzling, confinement, 4 microchipping, vaccination, registering the animal, 5 posting the property. Being that the animal was confined at the humane society, it was under the proper 6 7 confinements, where the animal was safe and the 8 community was safe. 9 Okay, I don't think you understood my question, so I'm going to try again. 10 11 Α Okay. 12 If you were to come here in a proceeding and Q 13 ask the special magistrate, the hearing officer, to 14 uphold a determination that a dog is going to be 15 declared dangerous, is there a different burden of 16 proof, or standard of proof, that applies than if you 17 were asking to have the dog destroyed? 18 Declaring an animal dangerous, the burden of 19 proof I would have would be my witnesses, my victims, 20 any other priors. Everything would be in the same 21 format. 22 Thank you, Ms. Hernandez. 23 MS. NIX: It's Rodriguez. 24 BY MS. LaHART: 25 Q I apologize. Ms. Rodriguez, would you Sorry.

1 agree with me that the city gives you, as an animal 2 control officer, discretion when a dog bites someone as 3 to whether or not to impound the dog? Α Correct. 5 How would you decide whether or not you are 6 going to impound a dog that has bitten someone? 7 Α It all depends on if the animal is a constant -- if we have issues with the animal running loose all 8 9 If the animal has never had a rabies shot. the time. 10 If we have had a prior incident with the animal; and if 11 the animal has been declared dangerous, it is 12 automatically impounded. 13 Q In response to my question you said if the 14 animal is consistently running loose, has a rabies 15 shot --16 Has not had a rabies shot. Α 17 Has not had a rabies shot. Licensed, did you 0 18 mention that? 19 I did not mention licensing. Α 20 It's been declared dangerous or not. Are those Q 21 criteria contained in your ordinance anywhere? 22 Α I don't believe so. Again, it's at our 23 I believe, if you break it down, running at discretion. 24 large, the animal can be impounded after multiple times 25 for creating a nuisance and running at large. An animal

1 can be impounded if it is not vaccinated for rabies. Ι 2 believe that is in our ordinance. 3 My question is not generally when you can Q impound an animal, it's when an animal has bitten 5 someone and you are going to do a dangerous dog investigation, do you have discretion to allow the 6 7 animal to be quarantined in its own home or in an animal shelter, correct? 8 9 Α Correct. 10 And you also have discretion to allow the Q 11 animal to be at home pending appeal or remain at the 12 animal shelter, correct? 13 Α Correct. 14 And there are no criteria in your ordinance 0 that govern those determinations, correct? 15 16 Α I'm not sure. I don't have it in front of me. 17 Well, I could hand you the ordinance and you 0 18 can look if you'd like. 19 That will take a minute. Α All right. 20 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: What was the 21 question? 22 MS. LaHART: Whether there are any criteria 23 governing when an animal is impounded and when it is 24 not, when the animal is being investigated for a 25 dangerous dog investigation.

1 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: I thought she 2 answered that question. 3 She just said she didn't know. MS. LaHART: HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: That's an answer. 5 MS. LaHART: Okay, fair enough. Madam Hearing Officer, I don't think that Composite Exhibit A was 6 7 put into evidence. Can we move that into evidence? 8 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Yes, I'll admit 9 I'm assuming you have no objection, since 10 you're asking me to do it, this will be, Composite 11 Exhibit A, presented by the city, will be entered as 12 City's Exhibit One. 13 Thank you. Pardon me, Your Honor, MS. NIX: 14 that was intended. BY MS. LaHART: 15 16 Ms. Rodriquez, you mentioned that you went to 17 my client's house to make sure that Cooper was alive and 18 well following his quarantine, correct? 19 Α Correct. 20 And you notice -- noted that he is territorial 21 and protective of his owner? 22 Α Correct. 23 Are there ways that a dog that is territorial 0 24 can be managed and keep the dog from posing a threat to 25 public health and safety?

1 Α Yes. 2 Are you aware that my client offered to resolve Q 3 this matter by having the dog transferred out of the 4 city to a rottweiler rescue group that was willing to 5 provide the dog sanctuary for the rest of his life? I did hear about that, yes. 6 Α 7 0 Were you responsible for rejecting that offer? 8 I do remember stating -- speaking with my Α 9 manager about the offer, and it was rejected, correct. 10 And why is that? 11 Due to state statutes, it does not state Α 12 anywhere that the animal be removed and placed into a 13 sanctuary rescue. 14 So your testimony is that you have no 15 discretion whatsoever and that the dog must be killed? 16 Α I'm just following Florida state statutes 17 quidelines. 18 Okay, could you please answer my question. 19 it your understanding that those statutes give you no 20 discretion and the dog must be killed? 21 Again, I'm just going by Florida state Α 22 statutes. 23 Okay, I'm going to read you from the Florida 24 state statutes. "If a dog is classified as dangerous 25 due to an incident that causes severe injury to a human

1 being based upon the nature and circumstances of the 2 injury and the likelihood of a future threat to public 3 safety, health and welfare, the dog may be destroyed in 4 an expeditious and humane manner." 5 MS. NIX: Objection. I don't know which statute she's reading from. And I'd like 6 7 clarification please. HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: What statute are you 8 9 reading from? 10 I'm reading from 767.12. MS. LaHART: 11 MS. NIX: Objection as to relevancy. This is a 12 dog that has already been declared dangerous and has 13 bitten a second victim, subsequent to said 14 declaration, which was not appealed. 15 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Sustained. 16 BY MS. LaHART: 17 Q Is it your testimony today that there is no 18 method of restraining this animal so that he does not 19 pose a threat to public safety, health and welfare? 20 Objection, calls for speculation. MS. NIX: 21 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: You can answer the 22 Overruled. question. 23 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat that, please. 24 BY MS. LaHART: 25 0 Is it your testimony that there are no manner

1 of keeping restrictions that can prevent this dog from 2 being a threat to public health, safety and welfare? Α Yup. What's the basis of that? 5 Α Why do I feel that? That we have seen two serious bites from this animal, and it would be in the 6 7 best interest to have the animal euthanized for safety of the public. 8 9 Well, you testified in response to a question I 10 asked earlier that a dog that is territorial and 11 protective can be managed safely. Why can't Cooper be 12 managed safely? 13 Α I feel that the animal cannot be managed safely 14 due to the lack of responsibility that the owner has 15 shown. 16 Okay, the owner is willing to transfer the dog 0 17 to somebody else permanently. Did you make any efforts 18 to determine what that person's qualifications are or 19 how the dog would be maintained by him? 20 Α I do not. 21 0 One last question. Do you know when the 22 documents that the city is relying on were provided to 23 the other side in this proceeding? 24 Α What's the question?

Do you know when the documents that are in

25

Q

1 Composite Exhibit A were provided to Ms. Benton and her 2 counsel? 3 Α I do not know when the documents were provided 4 to you. 5 MS. LaHART: Nothing further, thank you. HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Redirect, Ms. Nix. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. NIX: 8 9 Thank you. Ms. Rodriguez, the statute, Section 10 767.13, attack or bite by a dangerous dog; penalties: 11 confiscation, destruction; are you familiar with that 12 statute? 13 Α Yes, ma'am. 14 And was that the statute that you were 15 referring to regarding the city --16 MS. LaHART: Objection. 17 BY MS. NIX: 18 -- not having discretion as to the counselor's 19 questions about that very topic? 20 Objection, leading. MS. LaHART: 21 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's the statute we're 23 referring to. 24 BY MS. NIX: 25 0 For ease of reference, I'm going to read it to

1 you. Subsection Two --2 MS. LaHART: Can I just get her a copy of the statute? HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: You can. She's 5 still entitled to read it into the record if she 6 wants. BY MS. NIX: 7 767.13, Subsection Two, states, "if a dog that 8 0 9 has previously been declared dangerous attacks and 10 causes severe injury to or death of any human, the owner 11 is guilty of a felony of the third degree, punishable as 12 provided in sections 775.082,775.083 or 775.084. 13 addition, the dog shall be immediately confiscated by an 14 animal control authority, placed in quarantine, if 15 necessary, for the proper length of time or held for ten 16 business days after the owner is given written 17 notification under 767.12 and thereafter destroyed in an 18 expeditious and humane manner." 19 Is it your understanding that that's the 20 subsection that gives no discretion as to the 21 destruction the dog? 22 MS. LaHART: Objection, leading. 23 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, correct. 25 BY MS. NIX:

1 Just to clarify a couple things. 0 When the City 2 of Port Orange -- when the dog attack happened there 3 under which it was initially declared a dangerous dog, I just want to clarify some things so that the waters 5 aren't really muddied about that. The City of Port Orange action, did the bite occur in the City of Port 6 7 Orange and that's why the City of Port Orange would have gone after them? 8 9 Α Correct. 10 And while you testified that the statutes Q 11 provide that you're not supposed to relocate the dog, 12 would you think that relocating the dog would suddenly 13 remove jurisdiction from the City of Port Orange? 14 Α No. 15 0 Do you know of anywhere in the Chapter 767 that 16 it would say that jurisdiction would be removed for an 17 owner that chose to move their dog pending an 18 investigation? 19 Α No. 20 And was it your testimony that they essentially Q 21 weren't following the statute as to relocation? 22 MS. LaHART: Objection, leading. 23 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 BY MS. NIX:

And just to pinpoint, where you're talking about the relocation, I'm going to read 767.12

Subsection B, the third sentence, just to see if that's what you were referring to. "A dog that is the subject of a dangerous dog investigation may not be relocated or its ownership transferred pending the outcome of the investigation and any hearings or appeals related to the dangerous dog classification or any penalty imposed under this section." Is that what you were referring to?

MS. LaHART: Madam Hearing Officer, right now Ms. Nix is testifying. The witness is not testifying. I object to this entire line of questions. She can certainly make her legal argument at closing without having her -- coaching her witness to say, yes, that's what I relied upon.

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: You opened up this line of questioning. She's asking whether the witness is familiar with the statute. It's a yes or no question.

MS. LaHART: Well, if that's the only question, is she familiar with the statute, she said yes, then it's been asked and answered.

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Ms. Nix, can you reask your question to the extent it wasn't already

1 answered. 2 BY MS. NIX: 3 Are you familiar with the statute in regards to Q 4 relocation of the animal pending investigation? 5 Α Yes. There you go. As to the exhibit that was 6 Q 7 admitted with the letter, which is, I'm referring to, I believe it's Exhibit One of the owners, the March 5th 8 9 letter, which -- can I just show her? 10 Α Yes. 11 This is the exhibit that opposing counsel 0 12 admitted. Is this letter on city letterhead? 13 Α No. 14 Is this letter signed? Q 15 Α No. 16 Do -- does the city typically put letters to Q 17 people on letterhead and sign them if they're sent? 18 Α Yes, they do. 19 Was this ever sent to Ms. Benton, to your 0 20 knowledge? 21 Α Not that I'm aware of. 22 Did you -- with the letter, which is -- which Q 23 was sent to the owner, I'm looking at A-2, I'm going to 24 approach, if that's okay. Here's the March 6th letter 25 that was sent to Ms. Benton and it's A-2.

1 Α Yes. 2 Are you familiar with this letter? Q 3 Yes. Α Is that letter on City of Palm Coast Q. 5 letterhead? Yes, it is. 6 Α 7 Q Is that letter signed? 8 Yes, it was. Α 9 When the first letter was being drafted, the 10 contents of the letter, the second page discusses --11 mentions the word euthanized, talks about euthanizing 12 Cooper. Was it your understanding, when this letter was 13 drafted in this form, that the dog was going to be 14 euthanized? 15 Α Because we had made an agreement that I 16 would transport the animal to her personal vet for 17 euthanization, and I believe she was going -- I was 18 supposed to have her sign that at our meeting, but then 19 things changed. 20 And when you say things changed, what do you Q 21 mean by that? 22 Α She appealed for the process that we're going 23 through today. 24 Q Does that mean that the dog wasn't necessarily 25 going to be euthanized?

1	A That was my understanding.
2	Q So would that be why there was a letter dated
3	March 6th, which is one day after the March 5th draft,
4	that was not sent, as you testified, would that be why
5	this March 6th letter with different content was
6	provided to the owner?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Thank you. Do you still have the statutes in
9	front of you?
10	A Yes, I do.
11	Q You've noted that you're familiar with Chapter
12	767, 767.13. As far as Subsection Two of 767.13, does
13	it address the word "unprovoked?"
14	A It does not.
15	Q Would you think that it's relevant whether or
16	not a dangerous dog that causes a severe injury to
17	somebody else, whether it's provoked or not?
18	A Not by Florida state statute.
19	Q Is it your understanding that appeal procedures
20	include hearings?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And you said that the March 6th letter does say
23	appeal procedure?
24	MS. LaHART: That's not what it says.
25	MS. NIX: Pardon?

1 That's not what it says. MS. LaHART: 2 BY MS. NIX: 3 I'm going to read the last paragraph of the Q letter for Ms. Rodriguez. "Also, in accordance with 5 state law and city code, you are responsible for payment of all boarding costs and other fees as may be required 6 to humanely and safely keep the animal during any appeal 7 8 Should you choose to waive your right to an procedure. 9 appeal, the animal may be destroyed sooner than ten days 10 from this written notification, minimizing these 11 expenses." 12 Where are you reading from? MS. LaHART: 13 MS. NIX: The last paragraph, the March 6, 2018 14 letter from the City of Palm Coast provided by 15 Barbara Grossman and provided to your client. 16 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: A-2. 17 BY MS. NIX: 18 Thank you. 0 19 Yes, it does state that. Α 20 Is it your understanding that the notice of Q 21 hearing was provided to the dog owner, Ms. Benton, via 22 her representative attorney? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q An animal law attorney? 25 Α Yes.

1 Q Who requested this hearing? 2 Α Yes, I believe so. 3 Probably familiar with Chapter 767? Q Calls for speculation, relevance. 4 MS. LaHART: 5 BY MS. NIX: And hearing procedures? 6 7 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Sustained. BY MS. NIX: 8 9 I know that you testified that you're familiar 10 with 767 Florida statutes, and that you are one of the 11 staff at the City of Palm Coast who are responsible for 12 implementing that. Did you go to law school? 13 Α No, I did not. 14 Q Are you an attorney? 15 Α No, I am not. 16 Do you have the ability to rely on attorneys Q 17 when necessary in order to implement your job duties? 18 Α Yes. 19 Would you say in regards to your testimony that Q 20 -- in regards to impounding dogs that have bitten 21 someone, you said something along the lines of sometimes 22 there are issues with running at large or rabies, 23 whether or not they're up to date on their shots and 24 whatnot, would you say that each set of circumstances is different and factually specific when you are 25

1	determining whether or not to impound a dog?
2	MS. LaHART: Objection to the continual leading
3	nature of the questions.
4	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	BY MS. NIX:
7	Q So it's when you have to look at sets of
8	circumstances, do you apply things in a blanket manner
9	or look at things on its own set of circumstances?
10	A Look at the overall picture, so the
11	circumstances.
12	Q And it was your testimony, correct me if I'm
13	wrong, that you didn't feel like this particular animal,
14	Cooper, was being managed appropriately, as far as being
15	a dangerous dog?
16	A Correct.
17	MS. NIX: No further questions, Your Honor.
18	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Any redirect, Ms.
19	LaHart?
20	MS. LaHART: Yes.
21	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Or recross, excuse
22	me.
23	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. LaHART:
25	Q Ms. Hernandez, the notice of hearing that was

1 provided to my client, is it different than the typical 2 notice of hearing provided to any dog owner? 3 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Just for the record, 4 the witness is Ms. Rodriguez. BY MS. LaHART: 5 Ms. Rodriguez, did you understand the question? 6 7 Can you repeat that, please? 8 Is the notice of hearing that was provided to Q 9 my client different from a notice of hearing provided to 10 any other dog owner? 11 Α No. 12 Do all dog owners have the luxury of being 0 13 represented by animal law attorneys? It's a yes or no 14 question, Ms. Rodriquez. 15 MS. NIX: Objection, calls for speculation. 16 It does not call for speculation. MS. LaHART: 17 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: If you can answer the 18 question. 19 THE WITNESS: No. 20 BY MS. LaHART: 21 And it's your testimony, as I understood it, 0 22 that if a dog has been declared dangerous and somebody 23 provokes the dog into biting, you're still going to kill 24 the dog; is that your testimony? 25 Α No.

1 Well, didn't you say that it was not relevant 0 2 whether or not the dog was provoked? 3 Α Not in this case. I'm not talking about this case. I believe 5 your testimony was that it's not relevant with a dog that has already been declared dangerous, bites, 6 7 severely injures a person, it's not relevant whether or 8 not the dog was provoked; is that your testimony or not? 9 Α Correct. 10 Nothing further, thank you. MS. LaHART: 11 MS. NIX: I'd like to ask a few follow-ups, if 12 I may, Your Honor. 13 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Briefly. 14 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. NIX: 16 Was it your testimony in regards to whether or 0 17 not the dog was provoked limited to looking at 767.13.2 when asked? 18 19 Α Correct. 20 Thank you. Are you familiar with every notice Q 21 of hearing sent out for every dangerous dog hearing? 22 Α No, I'm not. 23 Do you draft the notices? 0 24 Α No, I do not. 25 Do you send them out? Q

1	A No, I do not.
2	MS. NIX: Thank you. No further questions.
3	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Anything else, Ms.
4	LaHart?
5	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. LaHART:
7	Q Yes. Ms. Hernandez
8	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Rodriguez.
9	BY MS. LaHART:
10	Q I'm sorry. Do you provide a different notice
11	of hearing to people who are represented by counsel, as
12	opposed to people who don't have attorneys?
13	A I do not draft the paperwork.
14	Q Do you know if a different notice of hearing is
15	provided to people who have lawyers versus people who do
16	not?
17	MS. NIX: Objection, the witness already
18	testified that she does not draft notices or send
19	them.
20	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: She can answer the
21	question.
22	THE WITNESS: I do not.
23	MS. LaHART: Thank you.
24	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Anything else?
25	MS. LaHART: No.

```
1
          HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE:
                                      Thank you, Ms.
 2
     Rodriguez.
 3
           (Whereupon the witness was excused.)
 4
          HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE:
                                      The City's next
 5
     witness.
          MS. NIX: Can I have one brief moment, Your
 6
 7
     Honor?
          HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE:
 8
                                      Sure.
 9
          (Thereupon, a short break was taken).
10
                    No further questions.
          MS. NIX:
11
                       Can we take a ten minute break
          MS. LaHART:
12
     before I start my case?
13
          HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE:
                                      Yes.
14
          (Thereupon, a short break was taken).
          HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: We're back on.
15
16
     ahead.
17
                    I was just going to make one brief
          MS. NIX:
18
     request and ask that if Mr. Sandt was to be called
19
     for any purpose, I was going to see if we could go
20
     ahead and do that, because he's been here for almost
     two hours, as we all have, and has several
21
22
     appointments for his business.
23
                       I have no further questions for
          MS. LaHART:
24
     him.
25
          MS. NIX:
                    I just wanted to check before we
```

1 released him. 2 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Okay, Ms. LaHart, 3 you may present your case. I call Dottye Benton. MS. LaHART: 5 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Good morning. 6 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 7 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Before you get 8 started, may I ask you to spell your first name. 9 I've seen it spelled several ways in the paperwork. 10 Yes, it's D-O-T-T-Y-E. THE WITNESS: 11 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: It is with a y. 12 Thank you for the interruption. You may 13 proceed. 14 DOTTYE BENTON, 15 being previously duly sworn, testified upon her oath as 16 follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. LaHART: 19 Ms. Benton, would you please tell the hearing 20 officer what happened the day that Mr. Sandt was bitten 21 by Cooper. 22 Α I had asked someone in my office, Yes, ma'am. 23 I was looking for a carpet cleaner, if they could 24 recommend somebody. So she said she had a friend that 25 knew somebody, so she recommended Terry Sandt. I called Mr. Sandt several times to make an appointment with him, but he did not return my calls for almost a week and said he was having problems with his phone, cell phone.

So when we did connect and we made it -- I told him to give me a call to let me know when he could come. I wanted him to come the 26th, January 26th. He called me on the night of the 25th and told me that he would be to my house, come to my house. He was given -- telling me this procedure that he does, that I've never heard of it. He said he would put a chemical on my carpet that would take two hours to dry and that no -- neither I nor my dogs could step on the carpet because it would burn your feet. So I told him that's a real problem because I have a dog that has been declared dangerous and I would not know what I could do with him for two hours. And he said, well, we'll discuss it when we get there -- when I get there.

So he came the next day. My two shih tzu mixes were standing on the back of my couch, which they normally do. He came in and he started scratching their butts. Then he asked me, "where's your dangerous dog?" I said, "he's in the backyard." He said, "well, I'd like to see him." So he walks in front of me to my sliding glass door. He opens the sliding glass door and steps on my porch. So Cooper is sitting out in the yard

with my other shih tzu mix, Millie, and she's just -he's just sitting at the fence. So then Mr. Sandt said,
oh's, look, he's not dangerous, he's wagging his tail at
me, he won't bite me because he's friendly." He said,
"go ahead and let him in." And I said, "no, I'm not."
So he stepped around me.

Now, my screened-in door was broken during the hurricane, the tension bar, I guess you call it, was broken. So he walked to the door, and I was standing there as well, and he started to open the door and the door popped open and Cooper came in. Now, he bent -- he bent down at that time to pet Cooper. He's never met Cooper, but he bent down to pet him, and Cooper came up and got him. And it was not the bottom lip, it was the top lip.

So he said he kicked Cooper. He did not kick him. I tried to grab Cooper, but Mr. Sandt was already trying to get in back through the sliding glass door. I grabbed a red dishtowel for him for his face, and I guess that's -- when he came out to get it from me, that's when Cooper got him on the leg. So I wrestled Cooper back out and put him in the yard and shut the door. I was not going to remove my two small dogs from the house, because they're so small, they're not going to be in the same room with him anyway. So I said,

"come on, I'll take you to the emergency room." He said, "I don't have insurance." I said, "well, you need to go to the emergency room."

So I went around and got my car, put him in the car, we went to the emergency room. When we go into the emergency room, and he's bleeding profusely, the emergency room tech, I guess, asked him what had happened. And he said it's a dog bite. And the guy asked, he said, "whose dog." And I said, "my dog." And he said, "well, has he bit somebody before?" And I said, "yes, he bit a woman in Palm Coast" -- I mean Port Orange. He said, "well, how did this happen?" Mr. Sandt said, "well, I thought he was friendly because he was wagging his tail, so I bent down to pet him."

So they get all his information, then they take us in a room. I'm sitting down in the emergency room, and he says, "come on, I want you to come with me." So I went back in the room, they were going to put him in a trauma room. He was laying on the bed. I was sitting in a chair calling my boss and -- to let him know what had happened. And he told me -- and that's the first time Mr. Sandt knew I worked for attorneys. So Mr. Sandt, he said "how bad is it?" I said, "I can't tell." He said, "well, I can tell from your face."

So then he come -- gets off the bed and he

comes and he stands in front of me and he does this number, "I just want you to know, I'm a man of God and I will not sue you."

So he got back on the bed, he was waiting for the doctor to come in, and the doctor looked at him and he said, "I can't sew this because you got like a quarter of an inch missing." And he said, "well, what's going to happen now?" He said, "you're going to have to see a plastic surgeon." So he recommended one. But Mr. Sandt said, "well, that's here in Palm Coast and I'm from Port Orange." So I called my boss and asked for a recommendation of a plastic surgeon, and he gave me one to give Mr. Sandt.

So I was going to leave the room, but he wanted me to stay in the room with him the whole time. So the woman came in from admitting, and I was asking her, I said, "he has no insurance, is there some way that, you know, the admitting office can help him." And she said yes. So when they got through with him, dressing his face, rinsing it out with saline, putting the bandages on, then we -- can I have my cup of water?

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Yes.

THE WITNESS: So --

MS. NIX: I'll just object, sorry, as to hearsay.

MS. LaHART: About her wanting water?

MS. NIX: No. As to the statements from the admitting tech, and even Mr. Sandt, as hearsay, with them not being here or having been sworn or provided anything --

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled. You may continue, ma'am.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. So when they got through dressing it, and I thought from the guy that was dressing his wound that he was going to give him extra bandages, but he didn't. So we went down to where -- we went into a little cubby, so the admitting girl, clerk, could finish talking to him. And the reason I paid the bill is because she said, "if you pay the bill today, we give you an 80 percent discount." And he said, "is that just today?" She said, "no, whatever comes in here will be 80 percent off." So I said, "okay, I'll write the check for that."

When we left the emergency room, I drove to Walgreens and I went inside, he sat in my car, I went inside. I paid for his bandages and his antibiotic. Then in my heart, I was trying to help him, because the way they fixed his bandage at the hospital, it was, you know, just coming off because

of the way it was. So we got to my house and I said, "is there anything I can do for you," you know, "do you want me to go get you something to eat?" And he said no. And I said, "well, do you want to call me when you get home?" He said, "no, I'm going inside and clean your carpet."

So he did, he drug all of that equipment in through my garage. He went in the house and cleaned my carpet. I went outside on my porch and in the yard with my dogs, including Cooper. Cooper made no overture to him, he didn't try to get in the house with him. He just sat right there with me. When Mr. Sandt got through with my carpet, then he left.

Well, excuse me, no, let me back up. He got a call while we were sitting at Walgreens from this apartment complex that said several of the apartments had flooded and they wanted him to come and get the water up. So when he left my house, after cleaning my carpet, he was going to that apartment complex to get the water up. So then I called -- I told him to call me when he got home that night, and he did call me, but he started having visitors that was telling him, well, you need to do this -- I'm assuming this is going to be hearsay -- but you need to go after her renter's

insurance, you need to go after her landlord's
insurance, you need to get something out of this.
MS. NIX: Objection, hearsay.
HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled on
hearsay, but not relevant.
THE WITNESS: So that was all we had with Mr.
Sandt. Now, I would call him periodically at night
when I got off work, just to check to see how he was
doing. And he would tell me about some more friends
that come over and told him what he should do.
MS. NIX: Objection, relevancy.
HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Sustained.
THE WITNESS: Okay, but that was it with me and
Mr. Sandt.
HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Okay.
BY MS. LaHART:
Q Ms. Benton, in order to save Cooper's life, are
you willing to give him up?
A Yes, I am.
Q Have you found somebody that is willing to
adopt him?
A Yes, I have.
MS. LaHART: Nothing further.
HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Ms. Nix, any cross?
CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. NIX:

Q Just briefly. Ms. Benton, you said that -- you testified that you knew that Cooper was a dangerous dog, correct?

A I knew that because I was at the Port Orange hearing.

Q And so even though you know that Cooper is a dangerous dog, and that classification was upheld, even though someone is at your house and you testify is insistent upon going out back, you would have just allowed them to go out back to a dangerous dog, that less than a month before, bit another person?

A No, that's incorrect. That is incorrect. I did not allow him to go. The only way I could stop him from going is if I physically got in front of him and stopped him. And he's quite a bit bigger man than I am a woman. But he was determined that he was going to go out there because Cooper was friendly, he was wagging his tail. He said, "look, he's friendly. He's not going to bite me, he's wagging his tail."

Q So your testimony is that he's too big to stop him and he is just dying to meet your dangerous dog?

A I'm not saying he was dying to meet my dangerous dog. He knew the dog was dangerous when he opened my sliding glass door and he stepped on the

1 He knew the dog was dangerous. He was already 2 in front of me before I could get to the door, he was 3 already on my porch. And your testimony is that he didn't know until 5 some point in the hospital that you worked for a law firm? 6 7 Α That is correct. 8 Do you recall his testimony that he stated Q 9 that --10 Α I understood it, I heard everything he said. 11 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Just for purposes, 12 again, a reminder, and I know this is natural in 13 conversation, but we have a court reporter here, so 14 let her finish her question completely and not 15 answer. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay, I thought I was doing 17 pretty good but --18 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: It's okay. 19 Actually, I don't know that you finished the 20 question, so can you reask that so I can understand 21 the testimony. I believe you were asking her 22 whether she was aware of Mr. Sandt's testimony, but 23 then I didn't hear the rest of it. 24 BY MS. NIX: 25 0 Thank you. I was just going to finish with,

were you aware of Mr. Sandt's testimony that you had 1 2 stated that you were a paralegal, or worked for a law 3 firm, on the way to the hospital? Α I am aware of that and that is incorrect. The 5 own -- he knew when I was an attorney -- I mean, I worked for attorneys when I called my boss, sitting 6 right there next to the bed that he was in. 7 8 Q You had mentioned that -- you had mentioned 9 that the hospital, whichever woman had said that it was 10 an 80 percent discount if the bill was paid that day. 11 Is that an entire bill to be paid, or partial? 12 MS. LaHART: Objection as to relevance. 13 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Sustained. 14 BY MS. NIX: 15 0 I am just asking in regards to the fact that 16 she had mentioned that and that the bill from the day 17 of, there was \$125 or so out of a much larger amount, I 18 was just curious if she was saying that she paid the 19 whole bill or if she's saying that she paid part of it. 20 The objection was sustained. MS. LaHART: 21 BY MS. NIX: 22 Is Cooper a law enforcement dog? Q 23 Not to my knowledge. Α 24 Q Or a hunting dog? 25 Α Not to my knowledge.

1	Q And your testimony was that he wanted to see
2	the dog and bent down. Well, then is your testimony
3	that he provoked the dog by supposedly bending down to
4	see the dog? I mean, that's your testimony. I know his
5	is different.
6	A Could you repeat that, please.
7	Q Would you say that Mr. Sandt provoked your dog?
8	A I would say that Mr. Sandt did, when he bent
9	when he opened the door for the dog to come in and he
10	bent down into his face. He got in Cooper's face.
11	Cooper did not get in his face.
12	MS. NIX: No further questions.
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. LaHART:
15	Q Brief follow up. Ms. Benton, is there any
16	carpet on your porch?
17	A No, ma'am.
18	Q At any time did you give Mr. Sandt permission
19	to go onto your porch?
20	A No. In fact, I was surprised that he went on
21	my that he just slid open the door and went on my
22	porch.
23	MS. LaHART: Okay, nothing further. You can
24	sit down, Ms. Benton.
25	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

1	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Thank you. Next				
2	witness. Good morning. Were you sworn in?				
3	THE WITNESS: No.				
4	JASON MORELAND,				
5	being first duly sworn, testified upon his oath as				
6	follows:				
7	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Okay. Thank you.				
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
9	BY MS. LaHART:				
10	Q Would you state your name for the record,				
11	please.				
12	A Jason Moreland.				
13	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: I'm sorry, can you				
14	spell that?				
15	THE WITNESS: M-O-R-E-L-A-N-D.				
16	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: First name?				
17	THE WITNESS: Jason.				
18	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: J-A-S-O-N?				
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.				
20	BY MS. LaHART:				
21	Q Mr. Moreland, how are you employed? How are				
22	you employed? What do you do for a living?				
23	A I work in the county at Flagler Humane Society.				
24	Q Have you been taking care of Cooper since he's				
25	been there for quarantine?				

1	A Yes, over the last two months.				
2	Q What would you can you tell me about your				
3	observations of how Cooper behaves?				
4	A I have seen no aggression out of Cooper. I've				
5	had him around at least 30 different people.				
6	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: I'm sorry, you've				
7	seen no what?				
8	THE WITNESS: No aggression out of Cooper at				
9	all.				
10	MS. NIX: I object as to relevancy.				
11	MS. LaHART: Ms. Nix opened the door by having				
12	Ms. Rodriguez testify that the dog was barking and				
13	growling when he was in the cage when she observed				
14	him.				
15	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled. Go				
16	ahead. You can finish. You saw no aggression, I'm				
17	sorry, I didn't hear the rest of that.				
18	THE WITNESS: I've had him around at least 30				
19	people, all the kennel staff, all the cat staff,				
20	everybody in the lobby. They love him to death. He				
21	met two complete strangers yesterday and was loving				
22	all over them.				
23	THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear him.				
24	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: I'm having a hard				
25	time understanding you.				

1	THE WITNESS: I've had him playing in play				
2	groups with several dogs at one time.				
3	MS. LaHART: Nothing further. Thank you.				
4	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Any cross?				
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION				
6	BY MS. NIX:				
7	Q Only to ask that, do you know that Cooper is a				
8	dangerous dog?				
9	A Yes.				
10	Q And regard and you still have him go out to				
11	play with other dogs that are at the humane society?				
12	A After he was off his quarantine, I've had him				
13	around other dogs.				
14	MS. NIX: Okay, thank you.				
15	MS. LaHART: Nothing further.				
16	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Thank you, Mr.				
17	Moreland.				
18	(Whereupon the witness was excused.)				
19	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Next witness. Good				
20	morning.				
21	THE WITNESS: Good morning.				
22	MS. LaHART: Would you state your name for the				
23	record, please.				
24	THE COURT REPORTER: What?				
25	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: I'm sorry, can you				

1	start over again.					
2	THE WITNESS: It's Joseph Pimentel,					
3	P-I-M-E-N-T-E-L.					
4	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Thank you. Were you					
5	sworn in?					
6	THE WITNESS: No, I wasn't, ma'am.					
7	JOSEPH PIMENTEL,					
8	having been sworn, testified upon his oath as follows:					
9	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Is anybody else					
10	testifying that hasn't been sworn in?					
11	MS. LaHART: I have no more witnesses.					
12	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Okay, thank you.					
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
14	BY MS. LaHART:					
15	Q Good morning, Mr. Pimentel, thank you for being					
16	here. Can you tell the hearing officer where you came					
17	from this morning?					
18	A Ellington, Florida, over by Sarasota.					
19	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: What was the					
20	question?					
21	MS. LaHART: Where he came from this morning.					
22	HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Okay, thank you.					
23	BY MS. LaHART:					
24	Q What do you do there?					
25	A I own a rottweiler rescue, 100 percent no-kill					

1 sanctuary. 2 What is your background in dealing with dogs? 3 Α I have 40 years experience, 20 with german 4 My dad was a breeder/trainer and we always shepherds. 5 had german shepherds. In 1997, '98, I switched to rottweilers, and I've been doing the same since. Except 6 for breeding, there's too many rescues out there. 7 8 Are you willing to take Cooper? Q 9 Α Yes, ma'am. 10 How do we know that if you take Cooper there's 11 not going to be another incident like there was with Mr. 12 Sandt? 13 Α The only one that deals with the aggressive 14 dogs that come into my compound is me. We kind of bond. 15 I have seven other aggresives right now. They have 16 10x10 inside kennels that are covered, 10x10 outside 17 kennels that are covered, and locks on the cages. 18 The only one that has the key to those locks is me. 19 only one that feeds them is me. The only one that shows 20 them attention is me. 21 MS. LaHART: Nothing further. 22 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Ms. Nix. 23 I don't have any questions. 24 HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Okay, thank you, Mr. 25 Pimentel. Is there anything else before closings?

MS. LaHART: No. Can we take five minutes?

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Yes, please.

(Thereupon, a short break was taken.)

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Okay. We're back on at 10:21 and we're going to go ahead and do closings, unless anybody has anything else before we get to that. Okay, Ms. Nix.

MS. NIX: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, it's been uncontested fact that Cooper was declared to be a dangerous dog. That classification was upheld and that's the classification that Cooper has. There was testimony today regarding Mr. Sandt's injuries, which we, of course, contend is a severe injury. There are medical records that were submitted into evidence, photos, his own testimony, that the definition of severe injury is met, in that it's any physical injury that results in multiple bites or disfiguring lacerations requiring sutures or reconstructive surgery.

As far as whether or not the attack was provoked, I don't -- I want to kind of clarify so as not to muddy the waters of whether or not it was provoked. 767.13 (1), of course, states that if a dog that has previously been declared dangerous attacks or bites a person or a domestic animal

without provocation, and then it has language about it being immediately confiscated and thereafter destroyed.

While the City's position, and Mr. Sandt's testimony supports, that it was not provoked, this isn't the only section under which Your Honor needs to, of course, look at in making your decision today.

The scope of today's hearing is confined to, of course, Ms. Dottye Benton challenging Palm Coast's determination, but it's that her dangerous dog attacked and caused the severe injury to a victim. It can be looked at also as attacked and bit without provocation of the victim, but the city contends that this is a severe injury; the dog is a dangerous dog; it attacked Mr. Sandt and caused the severe injury. Those are the questions, did it bite the victim and did it cause a severe injury. And the answers to that is yes and yes.

The evidence and testimony have shown that

Cooper was previously declared to be a dangerous

dog, with that classification upheld and not

appealed. The classification is not to be argued,

that ship has sailed. Cooper is a dangerous dog and

the statute and the city code should be applied

accordingly. And that is what the city did in making its determination.

Cooper attacked and bit and caused multiple bites and a severe injury to a human being, as the severe injury is defined in the state law and the city code.

Mr. Sandt testified that this dog attacked him from behind; that this was an unprovoked attack. This dangerous dog attacked and disfigured the man's face and it also bit his legs, hands and face multiple times. You've seen the gruesome photos of his unfortunate severe injuries. This fact pattern fits perfectly into the dangerous dog statute, mandating a dangerous dog such as this be euthanized.

The legislative findings as to Chapter 767 state that "the legislature finds that dangerous dogs are an increasingly serious and widespread threat to the safety and welfare of the people of this state because of unprovoked attacks which cause injury to persons and domestic animals; that such attacks are in part attributable to the failure of owners to confine and properly train and control their dogs; that existing laws inadequately address this growing problem and that it is appropriate and

necessary to impose uniform requirements for the owners of dangerous dogs." The intent of the legislature in drafting the laws, the laws of the city applies and the laws that the city applied in this instance.

Further, just to address a few things, while the city contends that the burden is preponderance of the evidence here, the city would contend that the facts clearly meet a clear and convincing evidence standard, if necessary. The law is clear and plain when you read 767.13, Subsection Two.

As far as Mr. Sandt's testimony and what he has testified to or stated to the Animal Control officers, he first spoke with the Animal Control officers on March 1st, before he hired any attorney for whatever personal injury thing he may or may not be going for. He testified that he only wanted to meet the dog if it was friendly. His testimony and his statements haven't changed, whether he was talking to the Animal Control officer, writing his affidavit on March 12th, giving his testimony this morning.

And the city would say the evidence and testimony has shown that the city's determination strictly followed the application of state law and

the city code to this fact pattern, and that the mandated outcome is that this dog shall be euthanized.

When you read the statute, the word "shall" is used as to euthanasia. There are not options addressed by the statute. If they wanted the dog to go to a place where it could be rehabilitated, that should have been done before it attacked someone else.

And the city would ask -- respectfully request that, based upon the evidence of record and testimony heard, that you apply the state law and city code and uphold the decision to euthanize this previously declared dangerous dog. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Ms. LaHart.

MS. LaHART: Thank you. The evidence that you've heard is that Cooper is a territorial, protective dog. You have not heard any evidence that he is aggressive or vicious. You heard from the young man who has been caring for him at the animal shelter the last two months that he is sweet and gets along with everybody, including other dogs, including strangers.

Mr. Sandt, after being told that Cooper was dangerous, took it upon himself to enter a portion

of the home that he had not been invited to and to bend over a dog that he had been warned about and he got bitten in the face and, unfortunately, it was a very serious bite. He stated that he was a man of God and he wasn't going to sue my client, but apparently changed his mind when he thought that that might be a windfall for him.

There are contradictory -- there is contradictory testimony. It is your exclusive job to determine who is lying and who is telling the truth. I think that Ms. Benton is a very sincere person. She took him to the hospital. She paid the bill. She said that she is willing to let Cooper go to save his life. There has been no testimony given that Cooper cannot be maintained in a way that protects the general public.

As I have indicated before, there was serious due process problems with the city's ordinance, at least at this hearing. The city brought the victim, instead of simply reading the affidavit, that's an improvement, but there are still no procedural rules that govern how this proceeding takes place.

There's nothing regarding who has the burden of proof or what the standard of proof is. There's nothing allowing people who they use to subpoena

witnesses to be here to do that.

Ms. Nix stated that it is uncontested that
Cooper was declared a dangerous dog. That is, in
fact, contested. Cooper was declared a dangerous
dog by an entity that no longer had any jurisdiction
to do so. Jurisdiction over a dog, which in every
tort case I have, my opposing counsel loves to
remind me, is property. Jurisdiction over the
property requires that the property be in the
jurisdiction. It is in rem jurisdiction. That's
why the state law says that you cannot move a
dangerous dog when it's being investigated.

Furthermore, the City of Palm Coast likes to use the euphemism that Cooper is going to be -- should be euthanized. Cooper is a healthy dog. Euthanasia means mercy killing. There is nothing merciful about killing him.

The statute does allow you, as the magistrate, to make a decision based upon the nature and circumstances of the injury, the likelihood of future threats to public safety and welfare.

MS. NIX: Objection, that is the 767.12.

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Overruled, I'll let her finish.

MS. LaHART: The city maintains that discretion

does not apply in the case of a dangerous dog and you shouldn't consider whether he was provoked, because he was already designated dangerous, all be it by an entity that had no jurisdiction to do that. It would be a due process violation not to consider the circumstances surrounding the bite.

If this decision is upheld, there will certainly be an appeal. And if Ms. Benton prevails, it is possible that Cooper would be stripped of any sort of dangerous dog designation. It is in the best interest of the public, as well as Cooper, for the dog to be allowed to live out its life in a manner in which he will be kept safe, with someone who is qualified, experienced dog handler and is able to keep him from having another incident like with Mr. Sandt.

It is not necessary that the dog be killed.

And to do so is in violation of my client's

constitutional rights, I believe. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Thank you. Okay, we'll take a break so I can get together my order. And ten, 15 minutes, maybe.

(Thereupon, a short break was taken).

HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: We're back on.

Okay, as to this matter, I want to first address the

notice issues. I did not find that a failure to list every single hearing procedure on the notice of hearing, or on the letter, is a violation of due process. The information is available on the Florida statutes and the city code. Also, I would note that Ms. Benton clearly had notice. She requested this hearing, she's present here today with her legal counsel.

The standard for the hearing today is under 767.13, Subsection Two, of a dog that has previously been declared dangerous and attacks or causes severe injury to or death to any human, the owner is guilty of a felony of a third degree, et cetera. In addition, the dog shall be immediately confiscated by an Animal Control authority, placed in quarantine, if necessary, for the proper length of time or held for ten business days after the owner is given written notification under 767.12 and thereafter destroyed in an expeditious and humane manner.

The first element of that is whether the dog has previously been declared dangerous. The evidence and testimony show the dog was previously declared dangerous by the City of Port Orange. That determination was not appealed, it is final, and I'm

not here to determine the merits of that, but just simply whether it has been declared dangerous, which it has.

The next element is whether the dog attacked. I do find that Mr. Sandt's testimony has been consistent since day one. The first statement he provided on March 1st -- excuse me, he wrote in his statement, that the dog, you know, bit and shook him and he tried to get the dog off. The dog kept attacking him. He sustained an injury to his hand and his leg. Medical records and the photos that have been admitted into evidence also support that.

Ms. Benton does not dispute that the bite occurred.

The last element is that the dog caused severe injury to any human. Severe injury is defined in Section 767.11 and Section 8-28 of the city code is the same definition, it requires -- excuse me, it means "any physical injury that results in broken bones, multiple bites or disfiguring lacerations requiring sutures or reconstructive surgery." I find that the evidence clearly shows he had multiple bites and disfiguring lacerations requiring sutures or reconstructive surgery.

I will note for the record that Ms. Benton's testimony has been inconsistent. Her statement to

the animal control officer was that had she opened the door because Mr. Sandt allegedly asked to pet the dog. And I will also say that, even if he did ask to pet the dog, there is no evidence of provocation, which, again, isn't relevant to the proceeding under Subsection Two of the statute, and not Subsection One, but I'm making that one of my factual findings. Today Ms. Benton's testimony was that Mr. Sandt opened the door. Again, regardless of who opened the door, the attack occurred, there was a severe injury.

I think all of the elements of the statute have been met. I do not have discretion on what to do under the circumstances. The statute clearly provides the dog shall be -- after the appropriate notice, of course, the dog shall be destroyed in an expeditious and humane manner, so I will be upholding the City's determination.

Pursuant to Section 8-40B, the dog may not be destroyed in less than 30 calendar days from receipt of my order, so that will be part of my order. I will order the dog to continue to remain confined through the appeals process. Of course, I'm sure you're familiar with that, you certainly have a right to appeal my decision.

And that is final order with regard to agenda item case number 2018 021254. If there is nothing further, there's no unfinished business, old business or new business to report, the next Animal Control hearing will be June 5, 2018 at ten a.m. Thank you. MS. LaHART: Will you be entering a written order? HEARING OFFICER TURCOTTE: Yes, a written order will be coming in the mail. And for the record, the hearing is adjourned at 10:59. (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded.)

1	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	
4	STATE OF FLORIDA)
5	COUNTY OF FLAGLER)
6	
7	I, SUSAN WEISHAUPT, Notary Public, State of
8	Florida, I was authorized to and did stenographically
9	report the foregoing proceedings; and that the
10	transcript, pages 4 through 93, is a true and accurate
11	record of my stenographic notes.
12	
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, or employee,
14	or attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I
15	a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney
16	or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	Dated this 29th day of May, 2018.
19	
20	
21	Susan Weishaupt
22	SUSAN WEISHAUPT
23	
24	
25	

Index: \$125..argued Page 95

	29 26:14 34:7		81:15	antibiotics 18:9
\$		9	aggression 78:4,	anymore 35:2
\$125 75:17	3	98 81:5	8,16 aggressive	apartment 71:16,
\$165.63 15:19	30 78:5,18 31 26:10	A	81:13 86:19	apartments
(A-2 55:23,25	agree 22:1 31:17 37:8 45:1	71:17 apologize 13:21
(1) 82:23	4	58:16	agreed 30:1	44:25
0	40 81:3	A-3 13:24 a.m. 4:6	agreement 56:15	apparently 6:23 87:6
	41 17:17	ability 59:16	ahead 64:16,20 67:5 78:16 82:5	appeal 41:4,10,
021254 5:2	5	accident 27:14	air 11:20	15 46:11 57:19,23 58:7,9 89:8
1	5th 55:8 57:3	accordance 5:13,16 8:13 9:2,	alive 26:22 27:7 47:17	appealed 49:14 56:22 83:23
1 31:6	6	11 58:4	all's 16:24	appeals 54:7
100 80:25		action 53:6 addition 52:13	Allegiance 4:19,	appears 14:5
10:21 82:5	6 58:13	additional 16:6	allowed 73:11	appellate 7:5
10x10 81:16 12 20:5	6th 55:24 57:3,5,	17:25 27:13	89:12	appendix 9:25 10:3
12 20:5 12th 19:22 85:21		address 57:13 84:24 85:6 89:25	allowing 87:25	application
15 89:22	7	addressed 86:6	and/or 9:3	85:25
16 4:5	7 20:22,23	adjacent 17:24	angry 36:9,12	applied 83:25 85:4
165 17:11	767 5:13 32:3,7 53:15 57:12 59:3,	admit 47:8	animal 4:4,6 6:10	applies 44:16
1997 81:5	10 84:16	admitted 37:22, 24 55:7,12	25:19 26:5,12,14, 22 27:10 28:8,13,	85:4 apply 4:11 60:8
1st 27:3,16 30:7 85:15	767.12 49:10 52:17 54:2 88:22	admitting 69:16,	15 29:17,25 30:2 32:3,11 35:4,5,16,	86:12 89:1
	767.13 6:4 8:14	18 70:3,13	17,19,21,22,23,24 36:1,4 37:9,11	applying 6:11
2	41:13 51:10 52:8 57:12 82:23 85:11	adopt 72:21 advise 28:7,13	38:24 39:20 40:22 41:4 42:5,7 44:2,	appointment 13:2 66:1
20 37:3 81:3	767.13.2 62:18	41:1,7,16 42:24	4,5,7,18 45:1,7,8, 9,10,11,14,24,25	appointments 64:22
2018 4:5 5:2 6:18, 21 7:1 15:13 20:5,	775.082,775.083 52:12	advised 27:25	46:4,7,11,12,23,	approach 9:21,
22 26:10 31:6 58:13	775.084 52:12	advising 26:11 40:20	24 48:12 49:18 50:6,7,13 52:14	25 38:20 55:24
21 6:21 7:1		affidavit 15:5	55:4 56:16 58:7,9, 24 60:13 61:13	appropriately 60:14
24 15:13	8	19:23 85:21 87:20 affidavits 9:3	82:25 85:13,14,20 86:21	approximated
25th 66:7	8-41 8:15	afforded 5:23	animals 24:6	17:23
26th 27:22 66:6	80 70:15,18 75:10	afraid 24:20	84:21	approximately 17:21
27 6:18 27th 28:2 29:12	8:08 4:5	agenda 4:23 5:1	answers 83:19	April 4:5
21 UT 20:2 29:12		aggresives	antibiotic 70:23	argued 83:23

argument 54:15 arguments 39:9 arrived 23:19 Ashley 17:2 assertion 38:21 assuming 4:24 32:25 47:9 71:24 **attack** 5:18 8:20 12:20 13:10 14:9. 16 16:7 51:10 53:2 82:20 84:8 attacked 7:9,12 8:10,11,20 9:7 12:24 14:2 83:12, 13,16 84:3,7,9 86:8 attacks 6:1,2 52:9 82:25 84:20, attendants 28:18 attention 7:18 18:15 81:20 **attorney** 20:9,15 21:4 22:13 23:9 58:22,24 59:14 75:5 85:15 attorneys 59:16 61:13 63:12 68:22 75:6 attributable 84:22 authenticated 17:16 authority 34:11. 12 35:1,16 52:14 automatic 35:22 automatically 30:24 45:12 avulsion 17:21 aware 48:2 55:21 74:22 75:1,4 В back 7:13,20

11:17,25 12:7,9 16:2 25:1,9 26:9 27:5,9 28:6 30:16, 19,20,23 37:25 40:8,9 64:15 66:19 67:18,22 68:18 69:4 71:14 73:10,11 82:4 89:24

background 81:2

backyard 12:7 27:6 66:22

bad 22:3,6,23 68:23

bandage 70:24

bandages 69:20 70:11,22

bandaging 13:9

bar 67:8

Barb 33:13,21

Barbara 58:15

bark 27:8

barking 29:5 78:12

based 49:1 86:11 88:19

basically 11:6

basis 50:4

bathroom 24:9

bed 68:19,25 69:4 75:7

bedroom 25:9

begin 5:2

behaves 78:3

bend 87:2

bending 76:3

bent 11:19 29:19 67:11,12,13 68:14 76:2.8.10

Benton 6:16 7:14 8:4 10:20,24 13:4 16:2 23:7 27:1,2, 3,10,20,23 28:2,6

29:10,12,16,18,22 30:4,15,21 31:4, 17 33:1 36:10 37:8 40:20 51:1 55:19,25 58:21 65:4,14,19 72:17 73:2 76:15,24 83:10 87:11 89:8

Benton's 8:8

big 18:6 73:21

bigger 12:12 73:16

bill 15:16,20,24 16:3 17:13 70:14, 15 75:10,11,16,19 87:13

billing 17:11

bit 7:9 8:10 13:17, 19 14:19 18:19 29:21 30:24 31:2 68:10,11 73:12,16 83:13 84:3,10

bite 7:23 11:21 13:15 14:11 15:13 17:25 26:9,11 27:14,17,19,24 29:11 35:3,21 38:25 39:16 40:1, 10,12,23 43:4 51:10 53:6 67:4 68:8 73:20 83:17 87:4 89:6

bites 5:19 6:1 7:15 8:1,23 39:25 40:24 45:2 50:6 62:6 82:18,25 84:4

biting 12:1 61:23

bitten 7:12 31:19 45:6 46:4 49:13 59:20 65:20 87:3

blanket 60:8

bleeding 68:6

blood 19:9,13

blurred 14:5 16:9 board 6:19.25

board's 7:1

boarding 58:6

bond 81:14

boss 68:20 69:11 75:6

bottom 67:14

brain 28:10

break 36:22,25 45:23 64:9,11,14 82:3 89:21,23

breaks 35:23

breeder/trainer 81:4

breeding 81:7

briefly 62:13 73:2

bring 18:15 28:5 36:20

broken 67:7,9

brought 27:5 28:3 30:2 87:19

burden 42:8,13, 21 44:15,18 85:7 87:23

burn 66:12

business 8:5 52:16 64:22

Busters 29:14

butts 66:21

C

cage 28:24 29:1 78:13

cages 81:17

call 4:4 18:8 43:13 61:16 65:4 66:5 67:8 71:5,15, 21,22 72:7

called 10:25 21:13 64:18 65:25 66:6 69:11 71:21 75:6

calling 30:16 68:20

calls 23:14 31:24 39:5 49:20 59:4 61:15 66:2

calm 12:19

caps 18:6

car 68:4,5 70:21

care 77:24

caring 86:20

carpet 8:5 21:22 29:13 30:15 65:23 66:10,12 71:6,9, 13,19 76:16

carpet/tile 10:18

carpets 24:7 25:5 29:13

case 5:1,3 6:23 7:18 9:17 18:7 26:8,16,24 27:14 40:3 62:3,4 64:12 65:3 88:7 89:1

Cashio 18:5,8

cat 78:19

caused 7:22,25 8:11 83:12,16 84:3

causing 40:24

cell 66:3

centimeter 17:21

centimeters 17:22

chair 68:20

challenging 8:9 83:10

change 18:11

changed 56:19, 20 85:19 87:6

Chapter 5:13 32:3,6 53:15 57:11 59:3 84:16

check 27:3 64:25 70:19 72:8

cheek 17:24

chemical 25:7

66:10 **choose** 41:3,9 58:8 **chose** 53:17 Chris 26:11 circumstances 11:6 49:1 59:24 60:8,9,11 88:20 89:6 city 4:4,6 5:2,6, 12,13,15,17,22,23 6:12,17,19 8:7,15, 16 9:2,8,11,16,18 25:20 26:4,6,10, 13 27:4 28:22 34:5,8,9,10,12,18, 25 35:2,3,5,7,8 36:1 37:7,19 38:6, 10,16,22,23 39:6 40:21,22 41:25 42:12,13 43:20 45:1 47:11 48:4 50:22 51:15 53:1, 5,6,7,13 55:12,16 56:4 58:5,14 59:11 83:14,25 84:1,6 85:4,7,8,23 86:1,10,13 87:19 88:13,25 city's 5:15 6:10 7:3 8:25 9:9 25:16 43:7 47:12 64:4 83:4 85:24 87:18 civil 21:15 22:3, 23 civilly 21:5 clarification 49:7 **clarify** 38:6 53:1,

4 82:21 classification 6:21 7:2,4,5,22 8:19 54:8 73:8 82:10,11 83:22,23 classified 26:25 48:24 clean 16:25 21:22 24:8 29:13 71:6 cleaned 24:10 30:15 71:8

cleaner 65:23 cleaning 8:5 10:18 24:7 25:4 71:19 clear 85:9,10 **clerk** 70:13 **client** 19:7 21:5 24:20 36:22 41:1 48:2 58:15 61:1,9 87:5

client's 21:18 22:2,22 43:9 47:17 89:18 clinic 30:2 closing 54:15 closings 81:25 82:6

coaching 54:15 Coast 4:5,6 5:6 8:16 25:20 26:6, 13 29:13 32:4,11 34:9,11,13,19,25 35:7 36:2 42:5,7 56:4 58:14 59:11 68:11 69:10 88:13

Coast's 5:15 8:9 42:1 83:10 **code** 5:17,23

6:10,12 8:15 9:2, 11 58:5 83:25 84:6 86:1,13

comment 4:14 27:9

community 44:8 company 10:18

complete 78:21

completely 74:14

complex 71:16,

complies 32:17

Composite 9:25 13:24 40:16 47:6, 10 51:1

compound 81:14

concerned 18:2 condition 14:15

conducting 7:11

confine 84:23

confined 7:8 8:8 44:6 83:9

confinement 44:3

44:7 confiscated

confinements

52:13 83:2 confiscation

51:11 connect 66:4

connected 16:11

consistently 45:14

constant 45:7 constitutional 89:19

constructive 5:20

contact 27:23 contacted 26:13, 21

contained 32:18 45:21

contend 8:8 82:13 85:8

contends 83:14 85:7

content 57:5

contents 56:10

contest 5:14 42:3

contested 6:19 7:4 88:4

contesting 41:25

continual 60:2

continue 70:7

contradictory 87:8,9

control 4:4.7.8 6:10 25:19 26:5 32:3,11 35:16 42:5,7 45:2 52:14 84:23 85:13,14,20

conversation 74:13

convincing 85:9

Cooper 5:11 6:16,22 7:8,9,10, 25 8:17,19,21 9:1, 6 21:19 26:17,18 27:6,24 28:3,7,17, 24 29:16,18,23 30:19 33:8,24 34:6 38:12,21 42:1 43:2 47:17 50:11 56:12 60:14 65:21 66:25 67:11,12,13,16, 17,21,22 71:10 73:3,7,18 75:22 76:11 77:24 78:3. 4,8 79:7 81:8,10 82:9,11 83:21,24 84:3 86:17.24 87:13,15 88:3,4, 14,15 89:9,11

Cooper's 27:4 72:17 76:10

copies 36:17

copy 4:23 36:20, 21 37:22 38:10, 13,20 52:2

correct 19:19 20:10,23 21:5,24 22:2,6,10,23,25 26:17 28:23 31:8, 20,23 35:14 38:24 42:6,22,25 43:11, 24 45:4 46:8,9,12, 13,15 47:18,19,22 48:9 52:24 53:9 60:12,16 62:9,19 73:4 74:7

cosmetic 17:2

costs 58:6

couch 11:12 66:19

counsel 5:8

20:23.25 36:16 51:2 55:11 63:11 88:7

counselor's 51:18

county 77:23 couple 53:1

court 14:21 19:5. 11 21:15 27:18 33:15 40:7 74:13 78:23 79:24

court's 18:15

covered 81:16,17

creating 45:25

criminal 6:6

criteria 45:21 46:14,22

cross 72:24 79:4

CROSS-EXAMINATION 19:1 31:12 72:25

79:5 **cubby** 70:12

cup 69:21

curious 75:18

current 26:24 27:13

D

D-o-t-t-y-e 65:10

da 11:11,12

dad 81:4

dangerous 5:12, 17,25 6:8,11,17, 19,22 7:1,3,6,8, 12,19,21 8:10,18 9:1,7,10 26:25 28:22 32:10,18 34:6,13,18 35:1,4, 9,11,12 38:12,22, 24 39:4,7,18,20 40:2,21 41:22 43:10,22 44:2,15, 18 45:11,20 46:5, 25 48:24 49:12

Index: date..entered Page 98

51:10 52:9 53:3 54:5,8 57:16 60:15 61:22 62:6, 21 66:14,21 67:3 73:3,8,11,22,24 74:1 79:8 82:10, 24 83:11,15,21,24 84:9,13,14,17 85:2 86:14,25 88:3,4,12 89:1,3, 10 date 11:2,3 20:2.

date 11:2,3 20:2, 6,14 29:2 59:23

dated 20:22 57:2

dates 21:2

daughter 37:13

day 16:14 17:1 18:11 29:11 31:18 57:3 65:20 66:18 75:10,16

days 7:21 28:9 41:4 52:16 58:9

deadline 9:20

dealing 81:2

deals 81:13

death 31:22 52:10 78:20

decide 45:5

decided 36:10

decision 9:1 33:7,8 42:1 83:7 86:13 88:19 89:7

declaration 38:11 49:14

declare 34:11,12 35:8 39:17 43:22

declared 5:12,25 6:8,16 8:18 34:6 35:4 38:22 39:4 40:2,21 44:2,15 45:11,20 49:12 52:9 53:3 61:22 62:6 66:14 82:9, 24 83:21 86:14

declaring 38:24 44:18

88:3.4

deemed 7:19 28:22

defined 8:22 84:5

definition 32:17 82:16

deformed 13:8 21:6

deformity 17:23

degree 52:11

demeanor 9:4

department 6:11

depends 45:7 describe 6:14

11:6 36:16

designate 35:1

designated 89:3

designation 43:10 89:10

destroy 36:10

42:1 43:21

destroyed 8:12 33:4,9 41:4 43:8 44:17 49:3 52:17 58:9 83:3

destruction

51:11 52:21

determination

5:15,16,24 6:18 8:9 9:10 33:4 40:12,13 43:8 44:14 83:11 84:2 85:24

determinations

46:15

determinative 39:23

determine 32:16 50:18 87:10

determined

73:17

determining 39:19 60:1

difference 31:21 33:3 43:15,25 differentiate 6:6

DIRECT 10:10 26:1 65:17 77:8 80:13

discount 70:16 75:10

discretion 5:24 45:2,23 46:6,10 48:15,20 51:18 52:20 88:25

discuss 13:4 29:10 66:16

discussed 18:7 29:24 37:10

discusses 56:10

discussion 33:6, 18

disfigured 30:9 84:9

disfiguring 5:19 8:2,24 40:24 82:18

dishtowel 67:19

doctor 18:1,6 69:5

doctor's 18:5

doctors 16:13 17:7,10

document 19:24 37:6 40:17

documents

38:16 50:22,25 51:3

dog 5:11,17,24 6:1,7,11,16,17,19, 22,24 7:1,3,6,8, 13,14,15,19,20,21 8:10,18,20 9:1,4, 7,10 10:20 11:20 12:10,11,12,15,23 13:3,14,25 14:2,4, 9,16 15:13 16:7 18:19 21:13,16, 19,21,22 24:12 26:17,25 27:7,8, 13,20 29:3,5,17, 19,20,21,23 30:20,22,24 31:2 2,4 34:6,11,13,18 35:1,2,8,9,11,12, 15 36:10,11 39:3, 7,16,17,25 40:1 41:22 43:8,10,21 44:14,17 45:2,3,6 46:5,25 47:23,24 48:3,5,15,20,24 49:3,12 50:1,10, 16,19 51:10 52:8,

32:10,17,18 33:1,

13,21 53:2,3,11, 12,17 54:4,5,8 56:13,24 57:16 58:21 60:1,15

61:2,10,12,22,23, 24 62:2,5,8,17,21 66:14,21 68:8,9

73:3,8,11,22,24 74:1 75:22,24

76:2,3,4,7,9 78:12 79:8 82:10,24 83:11,15,16,22,24

84:7,9,13,14 85:18 86:2,6,14,

18 87:2 88:3,5,6, 12,15 89:1,10,12,

14,17

dogs 7:16 11:11, 15,18 12:11 13:5 21:23 23:20 24:3, 4 25:2 29:15,18 30:12,16,18 59:20 66:12 67:23 71:10 79:2,11,13 81:2, 14 84:18,24 85:2

domestic 82:25 84:21

86:22

door 11:10,22 12:4,5,10,14,16 23:24,25 30:23 66:24 67:7,9,10, 11,18,23 73:25 74:2 76:9,21 78:11

Dottye 6:15 7:13 8:8 37:8 40:20 65:4,14 83:10

draft 57:3 62:23 63:13,18

drafted 37:7 56:9,13

drafting 85:3

drainage 16:10

dressing 18:9,11 69:19 70:9,10

Drive 26:14 34:7

drove 28:4 70:20

drug 71:7

dry 66:11

due 4:11 5:18 40:20,23 48:11,25 50:14 87:18 89:5

duly 10:8 65:15 77:5

duties 59:17

dying 73:22,23

Ε

ear 16:11

earlier 50:10

ears 17:18

ease 51:25

East 29:13

eat 71:4

edema 17:24

effort 33:10

efforts 50:17

Ellington 80:18

emergency

15:21 17:16 18:4 31:4 68:1,3,5,6,7, 16 70:20

employed 77:21,

encourage 33:1

end 12:3

enforcement 6:10 75:22

enter 86:25

entered 30:11

47:11

Index: entire..hands Page 99

entire 54:13 fees 58:6 expenses 41:6 forfeiture 43:7,15 85:21 58:11 75:11 feet 66:13 forgot 14:20 **glass** 12:4,6 entitled 19:24 experience 81:3 66:24 67:18 73:25 **felony** 52:11 **form** 56:13 52:5 experienced God 69:2 87:5 fence 30:20 67:2 formal 4:10 entity 88:5 89:4 16:8 89:14 good 4:3 5:5 fenced-in 27:6 format 44:21 equipment 25:2 expired 7:6 9:22,23 10:12,13 71:7 fight 12:18 36:10 forward 15:15 16:23 25:21,22 explain 30:9 26:3 31:14,16 essentially 53:20 34:10 41:24 Filbert 26:11 found 36:9 72:20 65:5,6 74:17 77:2 establish 6:15 expressed 29:22 **filled** 15:1.6.7 **fourth** 14:11 79:19,21 80:15 21:3 euphemism expression Freiberg's 29:23 govern 4:12 88:14 16:23 final 7:5 15:24 37:9 41:22 46:15 87:22 17:12 33:7 euthanasia **extent** 54:25 governing 46:23 friend 65:24 37:10 86:5 88:16 finally 30:7 extra 70:11 friendly 30:12,23 grab 67:17 euthanization finding 38:25 67:4 68:13 73:18, grabbed 67:19 56:17 19 85:18 39:3,16,17 F greeted 30:12 euthanize 5:24 findings 8:17 friends 72:9 9:1 86:13 Grossman 84:16 face 13:9,16,20 frightened 24:24 33:12,13,21,22 14:12,15 19:9,12 finds 84:17 euthanized 5:18 58:15 front 28:19 38:13 30:25 67:19 68:24 6:9,24 9:10 28:7, fine 5:9 46:16 57:9 66:23 69:20 76:10,11 14 37:12 40:23 **ground** 22:13 69:1 73:15 74:2 84:10 87:3 50:7 56:11,14,25 fingers 14:18 group 33:10,17 84:15 86:3 88:15 full 18:12 face-to-face finish 22:14 48:4 29:20 euthanizing fundamental 70:13 74:14,25 groups 79:2 56:11 78:16 88:24 4:11 fact 20:25 22:22 growing 84:25 24:23 75:15 76:20 Eva 25:19.23 26:5 finished 74:19 future 38:2 49:2 82:9 84:12 86:1 **growl** 34:3 88:21 evidence 4:11 firm 20:18 74:6 88:4 6:15 7:7,24 9:5 75:3 growling 29:6,7 facts 9:6 22:9.22 37:17 47:7 82:15 G 78:13 fits 84:13 85:9 83:20 85:8,10,23 gruesome 84:11 86:11,16,18 fixed 70:24 factually 59:25 garage 71:8 guess 23:17 **EXAMINATION** Flagler 15:9,17 failure 84:22 gate 12:7 67:8,20 68:7 10:10 23:4 26:1 28:1,3,5,14 31:3 **fair** 47:5 gave 16:25 20:2 51:7 62:14 65:17 guidance 41:21 77:23 21:1 69:12 76:13 77:8 80:13 familiar 32:6,11 flip 15:15 guidelines 48:17 42:4 51:11 54:19, general 87:16 exclusive 87:9 **guilty** 52:11 flooded 71:17 22 55:3 56:2 generally 13:5 **excuse** 60:21 57:11 59:3,9 Florida 5:14 6:4 guy 68:8 70:9 29:4 46:3 71:14 62:20 8:14,23 15:16 german 81:3,5 excused 25:15 31:3 41:13 42:17 fast 14:13 Н 64:3 76:25 79:18 44:1 48:16,21,23 get all 68:15 February 6:21 57:18 59:10 80:18 exhibit 9:25 7:1 15:13 27:3,16, hand 4:18 14:19 girl 70:13 13:24 37:17,25 follow 6:25 11:15 22 36:13,15 42:10 give 5:7,8 26:4 40:16 47:6,11,12 18:10 24:16 30:19 46:17 feeds 81:19 37:2 48:19 66:5 51:1 55:6,8,11 36:1 44:1 76:15 handler 89:14 69:13 70:10,15 feel 50:5,13 60:13 existing 84:24 follow-up 23:3 72:18 76:18 hands 84:10 feeling 27:10 expeditious 8:13 follow-ups 62:11 **giving** 19:21 49:4 52:18

hanging 11:15 happen 10:19 13:3 68:12 69:8

happened 12:23 14:13 17:2 21:18 29:11 30:10 32:25 53:2 65:20 68:8, 21

hard 78:24

head 31:1

Healing 29:23 37:9

health 47:25 49:3,19 50:2

healthy 88:15

hear 19:5,11 22:15,16 33:15 48:6 74:23 78:17,

heard 30:25 66:9 74:10 86:12,17, 18,19

hearing 4:3,4,8,9, 22 5:9,14 6:20 7:21 8:7 9:13,16, 22 10:1,5 14:24 16:19 18:25 20:12 22:12 23:2,15 24:15,17 25:13, 16,21 31:10,25 32:23 33:14,19,22 34:20 36:23 37:18,21 38:5,8, 15,18 39:8,11 40:5,17 41:2,8,11, 17,20,22 42:2,14 43:16,17 44:13 46:20 47:1,4,5,8 49:8,15,21 51:6, 21 52:4,23 53:23 54:11,17,24 58:16,21 59:1,6,7 60:4,18,21,25 61:2,3,8,9,17 62:13,21 63:3,8, 11,14,20,24 64:1, 4,8,13,15 65:2,5, 7,11,19 69:22 70:6 72:4,12,15, 24 73:6 74:11,18

75:13 77:1,7,13,

16,18 78:6,15,24 79:4,16,19,25 80:4,9,12,16,19, 22 81:22,24 82:2, 4 83:9 86:15 87:19 88:23 89:20,24

hearings 4:7,10 54:7 57:20

hearsay 16:17 69:25 70:3 71:25 72:3.5

heart 70:23

held 52:15

Hernandez 44:22 60:25 63:7

hesitate 12:18

hired 85:15

holding 19:9,12

home 10:25 30:11 35:23 46:7, 11 71:5,21 87:1

Honor 5:5,10 6:14 9:24 13:22 24:14 25:18 32:21 42:12 47:13 60:17 62:12 64:7 82:8 83:6

hospital 12:8,24, 25 13:2,6 15:12, 16 16:3,14 17:6, 15 19:7,8,16 21:9 31:3 70:25 74:5 75:3,9 87:12

hours 64:21 66:11,15

house 11:7 21:22,23 23:19,21 25:2,8 47:17 66:8 67:24 71:1,8,11, 18 73:9

human 5:18 6:3 9:8 48:25 52:10 84:4

humane 8:13 28:1,3,5,14,19 37:12 44:6 49:4 52:18 77:23 79:11 **humanely** 37:12 58:7

hunting 75:24 hurricane 67:8

- 1

identify 10:14,22 13:23 15:8 37:6

immediately 52:13 83:2

implement 59:17

implementing 32:3 59:12

implements 34:17 39:7

impose 85:1

imposed 54:8

impound 45:3,6 46:4 60:1

impounded 45:12,24 46:1,23

impounding 59:20

improvement 87:21

inadequately 84:24

inch 69:7

incident 9:5 45:10 48:25 81:11 89:15

include 8:23 57:20

including 7:25 71:10 86:22,23

incorrect 73:13 75:4

increasingly 84:18

indication 42:21

infection 17:1

inflicted 8:21 9:7

information 10:2 68:15

informed 36:6

initial 6:18 26:9

initially 53:3

injures 62:7

injuries 13:13 14:9 18:18 82:13 84:12

injury 5:21 6:3 7:22,25 8:1,11,22 9:8 18:13 48:25 49:2 52:10 57:16 82:14,16,17 83:12,15,17,18 84:4,5,21 85:16 88:20

inside 12:11 21:22 23:21 24:12 29:17,18 70:21,22 71:6 81:16

insist 21:21

insisted 21:20

insistent 73:10

instance 85:5

instructions 41:18 42:3

insurance 68:2 69:17 72:1,2

intact 17:19

intended 47:14

intent 85:2

interaction 27:2, 15.22

interactions 27:1,13,19

interest 50:7 89:11

interested 24:4 30:17,18

interprets 34:18

interruption 65:12

investigated 46:24 88:12

investigation 35:13 46:6,25 53:18 54:5,7 55:4

invite 24:11 33:1

invited 8:4 87:1

involved 26:17

involvement 26:7

irregular 17:20

issue 7:16 8:19

issues 16:6,7 45:8 59:22

Item 4:24 5:1

J

J-a-s-o-n 77:18

January 6:17 26:10 66:6

Jason 28:18 77:4,12,17

Jennifer 5:5

job 8:5 10:25 11:13 59:17 87:9

Joseph 80:2,7

Jr 18:6

Judge 13:22

Judi 4:23

jumped 11:25

jumping 11:20 29:5

jurisdiction

35:17 53:13,16 88:5,6,8,10 89:4

Κ

keeping 43:23 44:2 50:1

kennel 28:17 78:19

Index: kennels..minute Page 101

loves 88:7 kennels 81:16.17 **letter** 20:17 36:13 March 19:22 4,18 70:1 72:16, 23 75:12.20 37:7,25 41:1 55:7, 20:5,22,23 30:7 **loving** 11:16 **key** 81:18 76:14,23 77:9,20 9,12,14,22,24 31:6 55:8,24 57:3, 78:21 kick 67:16 78:11 79:3,15,22 56:2,4,7,9,10,12 5,22 58:13 85:15, 80:11,14,21,23 57:2,5,22 58:4,14 lower 29:21 31:1 21 kicked 67:16 81:21 82:1 86:15, letterhead 55:12, lunge 34:3 margins 17:22 kill 21:16 61:23 16 88:25 17 56:5 marks 13:15 **luxury** 61:12 landlord's 72:1 killed 43:3 48:15, **letters** 55:16 14:12 17:25 18:1 20 89:17 lying 87:10 language 83:1 letting 37:8 matter 6:20 48:3 killing 88:16,17 large 45:24,25 89:25 level 43:20 М 59:22 **kind** 13:13,15 meaning 28:10 Licensed 45:17 14:5 16:7 18:17 larger 75:17 M-o-r-e-l-a-n-d 19:17 81:14 82:21 means 18:3 licensing 45:19 77:15 law 5:17 9:2,11 88:16 kindness 7:18 20:18 35:6,11 lie 21:14 **Madam** 19:5 medical 15:10 36:7 39:7 58:5,24 knee 14:22 38:15 47:5 54:11 life 48:5 72:17 17:8 18:15 82:14 59:12 61:13 74:5 knew 65:25 68:22 87:14 89:12 **made** 5:16 12:7 75:2,22 84:5 Medicine 15:21 73:3.5.24 74:1 17:7 19:6 33:8 85:10,25 86:12 likelihood 49:2 meet 11:19 21:20 75:5 39:13 56:15 66:4 88:11 88:20 28:2 30:22 33:2 71:10 knocked 11:10 lawfully 7:12 8:4 likes 88:13 73:22,23 85:9,18 magistrate 44:13 knowledge laws 36:5 84:24 limited 62:17 meeting 56:18 88:18 55:20 75:23.25 85:3,4 lines 16:16 59:21 mention 45:18, mail 37:15 lawsuit 22:3,23 19 L **lip** 11:23,24 17:20 maintained **lawyers** 63:15 mentioned 17:5 29:21 31:1 67:14, 50:19 87:15 33:24 47:16 75:8, 15 laceration 17:20 **laying** 68:19 maintains 88:25 live 89:12 lacerations 5:20 leading 51:20 **make** 8:16 19:18 mentions 56:11 8:2,24 40:24 52:22 53:22 60:2 living 29:15 34:6 22:17 23:10 24:11 82:18 35:2,9 77:22 merciful 88:17 26:22 27:9 31:21 leave 69:14 lack 50:14 33:3,7 36:21 mercy 88:16 **lobby** 33:25 34:1 leaving 9:20 47:17 50:17 54:14 78:20 lady 17:11 message 27:23 64:17 66:1 88:19 left 17:8 27:23 lock 18:6 **Lahart** 9:14,15 70:20 71:13,18 met 23:24,25 27:3 making 83:7 84:2 16:17 18:25 19:2, locks 81:17.18 29:14 67:12 78:21 leg 11:25 12:1 man 69:2 73:16 14 20:16 22:19,21 82:16 13:15,19 14:22 longer 35:9,16 86:20 87:4 23:1,14 24:16,19 15:1 31:2 67:21 method 49:18 88:5 25:12 31:11,13 man's 84:9 32:1,21,24 33:23 legal 31:24 34:14 looked 16:22 microchipping 34:17,24 37:1,3,5, managed 47:24 39:5 43:13 54:14 69:5 83:13 44:4 16 38:1,3,9,15,19 50:11,12,13 60:14 legislative 84:16 Millie 67:1 loose 29:15 45:8, 39:6,14 40:7,14 manager 29:25 14 42:15,19 43:19 legislature 84:17 mind 87:6 33:7,11,12,18,20 44:24 46:22 47:3. 85:3 **lost** 14:23 48:9 minimizing 41:5 5,15 49:10,16,24 legs 84:10 58:10 lot 13:7 51:5,16,20 52:2, mandated 6:7 22 53:22 54:11,21 9:12 86:2 length 52:15 love 78:20 **minimum** 39:3, 57:24 58:1,12 mandating 84:14 **Lentz** 17:2 loved 29:18 59:4 60:2,19,20, minute 46:19 24 61:5,16,20 manner 8:13 lets 21:21 lover 29:17 64:11 62:10 63:4,6,9,23, 43:23 49:4,25 25 64:11,23 65:2, 52:18 60:8 89:13

Index: minutes..paid Page 102

minutes 82:1 notification 41:5 necessarily 16,20,21 26:5,11 43:14 56:24 52:17 58:10 89:22 29:8 31:10.14.25 32:23 33:14,19,22 notify 35:5 **mirror** 13:8 **needed** 18:12 34:20 36:23 24:10 26:21 30:15 mirrors 42:17 nuisance 45:25 37:18,21 38:5,8, nice 7:15 11:21 15,18 39:8,11 missing 69:7 number 4:24 5:1 40:5,17 43:17 Nicole 4:7 15:6,9,15,21 69:2 44:13 45:2 46:20 mix 67:1 47:1,4,6,8 49:8, night 66:7 71:22 mixes 66:18 0 15,21 51:6,21 72:7 52:4,23 53:23 moment 64:6 **Nix** 5:5,6,10 9:18, 54:11,17,24 58:16 oath 4:13 10:8 moments 7:14 24 10:2,6,11 15:3 59:7 60:4,18,21 25:24 65:15 77:5 17:4 18:23 20:11 61:3,17 62:13 Monday 13:3 80:8 22:4,11 23:3,5,18 63:3,8,20,24 64:1, 18:10 21:13 24:14 25:18 26:2 **object** 40:4 54:13 4,8,13,15 65:2,5, 31:9,24 34:14 **month** 73:12 69:24 78:10 7,11,20 69:22 36:19,24 37:1,2,4, 70:6 72:4,12,15, months 78:1 objection 16:17 20 38:4,6 39:5,9 24 74:11,18 75:13 86:21 20:11 22:4,11,15, 40:4 42:12 43:12 77:1,7,13,16,18 16 23:14 31:24 44:23 47:13 49:5, Moreland 77:4. 78:6,15,24 79:4, 34:14 37:19,24 11,20 51:6,8,17, 12,21 79:17 16,19,25 80:4,9, 38:4,6 39:5,12 24 52:7,25 53:25 12,16,19,22 morning 4:3 5:5 43:12,18 47:9 54:12,24 55:2 81:22,24 82:2,4 9:22,23 10:12,13 49:5,11,20 51:16, 57:25 58:2,13,17 85:20 86:15 88:23 25:21,22 26:3 20 52:22 53:22 59:5,8 60:6,17 89:20.24 31:14,16 65:5,6 60:2 61:15 63:17 61:15 62:11,15 77:2 79:20,21 72:3,11 75:12,20 officers 85:14.15 63:2,17 64:6,10, 80:15,17,21 85:22 88:22 17,25 69:24 70:2 oh's 67:3 72:3,11,24 73:1 **mouth** 17:18 Observation 74:24 75:14,21 open 67:10,11 29:5 move 29:25 36:4 76:21 76:12 78:10,11 47:7 53:17 88:11 observations 79:6,14 81:22,23 opened 11:22 29:3 78:3 82:7,8 88:2,22 **moved** 26:12 12:14,16 54:17 35:13,16,19 36:1 observed 4:12 no-kill 80:25 73:25 76:9 78:11 78:13 mucosal 17:19 nonchalant opening 5:7 occupation 21:12 muddied 53:5 opens 66:24 10:17 23:8 nonlawyer 43:13 muddy 82:22 operating 18:14 occur 53:6 noon 11:5 multiple 5:19 7:9 opinion 31:24 occurred 35:4 8:1,23 18:19 39:5 43:13 **nose** 17:18 40:24 45:24 82:17 offer 48:7.9 noted 47:20 opportunity 5:4 84:3,11 offered 19:15 57:11 opposed 43:21 muzzle 28:20 48:2 **notes** 17:7,9,17 63:12 33:25 offhand 11:4 18:5 opposing 55:11 muzzling 44:3 **office** 18:10 **notice** 28:17 88:7 65:22 69:18 41:20 42:2 47:20 options 86:5 Ν 58:20 60:25 61:2, officer 4:3,8,22 8,9 62:20 63:10, Orange 5:13 5:9 9:13,16,22 natural 74:12 14 10:1,5 14:24

24 39:10 40:22 53:2,6,7,13 68:12 69:11 73:5 Orange's 6:18 38:11 order 4:5 39:17 59:17 72:17 89:21 ordered 36:3 orders 36:1 ordinance 32:3, 12,15,19 42:5,7, 10,16,17,20 45:21 46:2,14,17 87:18 outcome 6:7 39:22 54:6 86:2 overrule 22:18 39:8,12 Overruled 16:19 20:12 23:15 49:22 51:21 52:23 53:23 60:4 70:6 72:4 78:15 88:23 overture 71:11 owner 5:11 6:6, 16 10:19 23:7 24:3 27:1 35:25 36:3.6 47:21 50:14,16 52:10,16 53:17 55:23 57:6 58:21 61:2,10 owner's 5:8 37:24 42:2 owners 9:3 41:14 55:8 61:12 84:23 85:2 ownership 54:6 Р P-i-m-e-n-t-e-l 80:3 package 19:25 **paid** 15:18,20 16:3,4 70:14,22 6:17,20 7:1 26:10, 75:10,11,18,19 12,15,24 27:5 87:12 28:22 34:5.12

16:19 18:25 20:12

35:3,8,25 38:22,

22:12 23:2,15

24:15,17 25:13,

nature 4:10 49:1

60:3 88:19

notices 62:23

63:18

Palm 4:5.6 5:6.15 8:9.16 25:20 26:6. 13 32:4,11 34:9, 10,13,19,25 35:7 36:1 42:1,5,7 56:4 58:14 59:11 68:11 69:10 83:10 88:13 paperwork 63:13

65:9

paragraph 18:6 58:3,13

paralegal 24:21 75:2

Pardon 47:13 57:25

part 13:15 17:18 18:15 32:16 75:19 84:22

partial 75:11

parts 17:15

patient 18:10,12

patient's 18:7

pattern 84:12 86:1

Paws 29:24 37:10

pay 70:15

payment 58:5

peacefully 7:11

penalties 51:10

penalty 6:6 31:22 54:8

pending 40:9 46:11 53:17 54:6 55:4

penetrate 18:1

people 13:2 16:21 33:25 55:17 63:11,12,15 78:5, 19 84:19 87:25

percent 70:16,18 75:10 80:25

perfectly 84:13

perform 8:5

performed 18:14

periodically 72:7

permanently 50:17

permission 76:18

person 6:1 21:11 62:7 73:12 82:25 87:12

person's 50:18

personal 56:16 85:16

personally 30:2

personnel 39:6

persons 84:21

pertinent 5:22 6:13

pet 11:11 24:4 29:19 67:12,13 68:14

petting 11:12 30:13

phone 66:3

photos 82:15 84:11

physical 8:1 82:17

physically 73:15

picture 13:25 14:4,8,11,23 60:10

pictures 13:22, 23

pills 17:1

Pimentel 80:2,7, 15 81:25

pinpoint 54:1

place 86:7 87:22

plain 85:11

plan 21:5

plastic 16:24 18:8 69:9,12

play 39:19,22 40:11,13 79:1,11

playing 79:1

plays 39:24

Pledge 4:19,20

point 17:14 28:21 30:6 74:5

pointing 10:22 15:19

popped 67:11

porch 7:14.20 11:14,17,18 12:10,12 21:25 24:9 25:1 27:5,9 30:16,19 66:25 71:9 74:1,3 76:16, 19,22

Port 5:13 6:17,18, 20.25 26:10.12. 15,24 27:5 28:22 34:5,12 35:3,8,25 38:11,22,23 39:10 40:21 53:2,5,6,7, 13 68:11 69:11 73:5

portion 4:14 86:25

pose 49:19

posing 47:24

position 26:4 83:4

posting 44:5

pouring 19:13

preponderance 85:7

present 4:17 9:3,

17 17:25 65:3

presented 47:11

presenting 5:2

pretty 74:17

prevails 89:8

prevent 50:1

previously 5:12, 25 6:7 7:19 8:17

10:3.8 15:6 25:24 40:21 42:4 52:9 65:15 82:24 83:21 86:14

prior 45:10

priors 44:20

problem 66:13 84:25

problems 16:11 66:3 87:18

procedural 87:21

procedure 57:23 58:8 66:9

procedures 41:21,25 57:19 59:6

proceed 37:1 38:8 65:13

proceeding 42:8 43:7 44:12 50:23 87:22

proceedings 4:9,13,15 39:10

process 4:12 28:9.12.16 29:2 30:3 56:22 87:18 89:5

Professionals 15:22

profusely 68:6

proof 42:8,13,22, 24 43:1,2,4,5,20 44:16.19 87:24

proper 44:6 52:15

properly 84:23

property 7:17 8:4 43:9 44:5 88:8,9

protective 47:21 50:11 86:18

protects 87:16

protruding 19:9

provide 41:21 48:5 53:11 63:10 provided 10:3 37:14 38:17 40:16 50:22 51:1,3 52:12 57:6 58:14, 15,21 61:1,2,8,9 63:15 70:4

provisions 6:12 34:18

provocation 6:2 8:10 83:1,14

provoke 7:10

provoked 39:20 40:1,11 57:17 62:2,8,17 76:3,7 82:21,23 83:5 89:2

provokes 61:23

public 4:9,14 36:19 47:25 49:2, 19 50:2,8 87:16 88:21 89:11

pulled 13:20

punishable 52:11

purpose 64:19

purposes 74:11

put 13:3 22:12 26:18 29:23 47:7 55:16 66:10 67:22 68:4.18

putting 21:12 69:20

Q

qualifications 50:18

qualified 34:16 89:14

quarantine

26:13,16,19,20 27:4,8,16,25 28:6, 8.16 29:2.9 35:22. 24 40:23 47:18 52:14 77:25 79:12

quarantined 35:24 46:7

quarantining 27:24 quarter 69:7 quasi-judicial 4:10 question 16:20 20:13 22:9,14,18, 19,20 32:22 34:21,22,25 35:7 39:15 40:6,9 42:20 44:9 45:13 46:3,21 47:2 48:18 49:22 50:9, 21,24 54:20,21,25 61:6,14,18 63:21 74:14,20 80:20 questioned 36:22 questioning 38:2 54:18 questions 16:1 18:23 23:3 24:14 31:9 34:15 43:12 51:19 54:14 60:3. 17 63:2 64:10,23 76:12 81:23 83:17 R **rabies** 28:10 45:9,14,16,17

46:1 59:22 rag 19:9,12 raise 4:17 **raised** 39:10 ran 11:12 read 40:8,9 48:23 51:25 52:5 54:2 58:3 85:11 86:4 reading 49:6,9,10 58:12 87:20 ready 25:4

real 66:13 reask 22:20 54:25 74:20 reason 21:4 35:15 70:14

recall 19:21 20:2 21:9 25:10 74:8

received 15:16 26:9 38:23

recited 4:21

recognize 10:19 13:25 40:17

recommend 65:24

recommendatio **n** 6:25 69:12

recommended 6:24 18:9 65:25 69:9

recommends 18:7

reconstructive 8:3.24 16:15 18:21 82:19

record 10:15 17:8,15,16 18:5 36:16 52:5 61:3 77:10 79:23 86:11

recorded 4:14

records 15:10 17:6 18:16 36:19 82:14

recross 60:21

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

24:18 60:23 63:5 red 67:19

redirect 23:4 51:6,7 60:18 62:14 76:13

reference 38:1 51:25

referring 51:15, 23 54:4.9 55:7

regard 79:10 registering 44:4

regular 24:7 rehabilitated 86:7

rejected 48:9 rejecting 48:7

related 13:9 54:7

relating 16:6 17:17 43:1

release 27:4,16 29:2,9

released 27:7 65:1

relevance 59:4 75:12

relevancy 20:11 40:4 49:11 72:11 78:10

relevant 39:12 57:15 62:1,5,7 72:5

relied 54:16

relocate 53:11

relocated 54:5 relocating 53:12

relocation 53:21 54:2 55:4

rely 59:16

relying 38:21 50:22

rem 88:10

remain 4:18 9:6 28:13 46:11

remember 11:1 48:8

remind 88:8

reminder 74:12

remove 53:13 67:23

removed 48:12 53:16

renew 32:21

renter's 71:25

repair 18:13

repeat 34:22 40:6 49:23 61:7 76:6

reporter 14:21 19:5.11 33:15 40:7 74:13 78:23 79:24

representative 58:22

represented 61:13 63:11

representing 20:18

request 37:16 41:2 42:3 64:18 86:10

requested 5:14 6:23 59:1

requesting 41:17

requests 8:16 9:9

require 18:12 35:11

required 43:20 58:6

requirements 43:23 44:3 85:1

requires 88:9 requiring 5:20

8:2,24 82:18 rescue 48:4,13

80:25 rescues 81:7

residence 30:11

resolve 48:2

respectfully 9:8 86:10

respond 5:4

respondent 5:3

Respondent's 37:17

response 45:13 50:9

responsibility 32:16 50:14

responsible 32:2 48:7 58:5 59:11

rest 48:5 74:23 78:17

restraining 49:18

restrictions 50:1

resulted 8:1

resulting 5:19

results 82:17

retained 18:2 20:9,15,23,25 21:4

return 66:2

review 5:22 6:4

rights 41:10 89:19

rinsing 69:20

ripping 31:1

Rodriguez 25:19, 23 26:5 31:14 32:2 33:14 38:10 39:15 40:15 44:23,25 47:16 51:9 58:4 61:4,6, 14 63:8 64:2 78:12

role 39:19.22.24 40:11.13

room 17:16 18:5, 14 24:9 29:15 67:25 68:1,3,5,6, 7,16,18,19 69:14, 15 70:20

rottweiler 48:4 80:25

rottweilers 81:6

Rue 20:17,19,20

rules 4:11 22:13 87:21

ruling 22:17

running 45:8,14, 23,25 59:22

Ryder 26:14 34:7

Index: S-a-n-d-t..sue Page 105

s	section 6:4 8:14 32:15 51:9 54:9	shown 30:14 50:15 83:20 85:24	61:15,16 spell 65:8 77:14	55:3 57:18 83:25 84:13 86:4,6
S-a-n-d-t 10:16	83:6	shows 81:19	spelled 65:9	88:18
	sections 52:12	shut 67:22	spend 27:25	statutes 5:14,22 6:4,12 8:15,23
safe 44:7,8 89:13	sedated 28:4	side 16:9 17:20	spoke 16:13	32:18 41:13 42:18
safely 50:11,12, 13 58:7	seeking 43:21	50:23	17:10 29:10 30:7	44:1 48:11,16,19,
safety 47:25	send 62:25 63:18	sign 37:13 55:17	31:7 85:14	22,24 53:10 57:8 59:10
49:3,19 50:2,7	sense 24:11	56:18	spoken 30:5	stay 69:15
84:19 88:21	sentence 54:3	signature 15:11	staff 6:23 59:11	stayed 30:3
sailed 83:24	separate 17:11	signed 55:14 56:7	78:19	step 66:12
saline 69:20	set 25:7 59:24	significant	stand 4:17 9:21	stepped 67:6
sanctuary 48:5,	60:9	17:19,23,24	standard 42:24	73:25
13 81:1	sets 60:7	simply 87:20	44:16 85:10 87:24	steps 11:23 66:25
Sandt 7:9,10,15, 16,17,23 8:3,20,	setting 24:7	sincere 87:11	standing 4:18 15:8 66:19 67:9	stipulate 42:12,
22 9:19 10:7,12,	severe 5:21 6:2	sit 16:2 76:24	stands 69:1	15
16 13:23 15:5	7:22,25 8:11,21 9:7 48:25 52:10	sitting 66:25 67:2	start 25:4 64:12	stop 73:14,21
17:17 18:24 19:6 23:6 25:14 29:14,	57:16 82:14,16	68:16,19 71:15	80:1	stopped 16:10
19 30:5,10,14,18,	83:12,15,16,18 84:4,5,12	75:6	started 11:25	73:16
22,24 31:1,3,7,18, 19 32:25 64:18		situations 6:5	12:5 65:8 66:20	stops 22:16
65:20,25 66:1	severely 62:7	skin 18:1 35:23	67:10 71:22	stories 31:20
67:2,17 68:13,22 69:10,13 70:3	sew 69:6	slamming 12:5	state 5:17 6:12 9:2,11 35:11 36:5,	story 22:2 31:22
71:13 72:7,14	shelter 46:8,12 86:21	sleep 29:23	7 39:2 41:11,12,	strangers 78:21
76:7,8,18 81:12 83:16 84:7 86:24	shepherds 81:4,	slid 12:4 76:21	13 42:7,18 44:1 48:11,16,21,24	86:23
89:16	5	sliding 12:4	57:18 58:5,19	stress 28:15
Sandt's 31:22	shih 66:18 67:1	66:24 67:18 73:25	77:10 79:22 84:5, 17,20 85:25 86:12	strictly 85:25
74:22 75:1 82:13	ship 83:24	small 29:15	88:11	stringent 43:23
83:4 85:12	shook 11:24	30:12,16 67:23,24	stated 15:7 19:6	stripped 89:9
Sarasota 80:18	13:20 30:25	smaller 23:20	23:19 74:8 75:2	stuff 11:21
sat 70:21 71:12	short 36:25 64:9,	society 28:1,3,5, 14,19 37:12 44:6	85:13 87:4 88:2	subject 35:12
save 72:17 87:14	14 82:3 89:23	77:23 79:11	statement 5:7 15:5 19:21,25	43:22 54:4
Scare 23:16	shot 45:9,15,16,	sooner 41:4 58:9	20:3 21:1	submitted 37:16 82:15
scars 13:12		sort 89:10	statements	
school 59:12	shots 59:23	speak 14:21	23:10 70:2 85:19	subpoena 87:25
scope 8:7 83:9	show 5:23 6:5 7:7,24 9:5 13:21,	16:15 17:7	states 17:18 52:8 82:23	subsection 52:1, 8,20 54:3 57:12
scratching 66:20	23 36:16 42:10	speaking 48:8		85:11
screened-in 67:7	55:9	special 44:13	stating 27:10 48:8	subsequent
seated 4:23	showed 11:13 30:14	specific 39:12	statute 32:10	49:13
seconds 12:18	showing 7:17	59:25	41:14 49:6,8 51:9,	suddenly 53:12
37:2	3	speculation	12,14,22 52:3 53:21 54:19,22	sue 21:5,10,15 69:3 87:5
		23:14 49:20 59:4	33.21 31.10,22	09.3 07.3

Index: suing..vision Page 106

68:13 70:9 74:16

87:6

threat 47:24 49:2, supervisor 33:6 tasked 6:11 19 50:2 84:19 tech 68:7 70:3 supports 83:5 **threats** 88:21 telling 21:9 66:8 supposed 35:19 threw 11:24 53:11 56:18 71:23 87:10 **throat** 17:18 supposedly 76:3 ten 28:9 37:2 41:4 52:15 58:9 64:11 time 4:16 9:15 surface 17:19 89:22 11:2,4 25:1 26:19, 23 27:4,7,8 29:24 surgeon 16:24 ten-day 35:23 18:8 69:9,12 30:11 34:5 35:25 tension 67:8 45:9 52:15 67:12 surgery 5:20 8:3, 68:21 69:15 76:18 25 16:15 17:3 territorial 27:11 78:25 79:2 18:21 82:19 47:20,23 50:10 86:17 times 7:10 13:17 surprised 76:20 18:11,19 45:24 **Terry** 9:19 10:7. 66:1 84:11 surrounding 16 30:5 65:25 89:6 tissue 17:21 test 28:10 sustain 13:13 today 5:11 6:15 43:17 **tested** 28:10 8:19 28:18 43:5 sustained 14:9 49:17 56:23 testified 10:8 70:15,17 82:12 18:18 31:25 49:15 25:24 42:4 50:9 83:8 59:7 72:12 75:13, 53:10 57:4 59:9 20 63:18 65:15 73:3 today's 8:7 83:9 77:5 80:8 84:7 **sutures** 8:2 82:18 **told** 13:1,7 15:23 85:13,17 24:24 28:4 29:12. sweet 86:21 testify 73:9 78:12 16,19 30:8,10,21, switched 81:5 testifying 9:19 23 66:4,7,13 68:21 71:21 72:10 54:12,13 80:10 sworn 4:18,20 86:24 10:8 25:24 65:15 testimony 4:13, 70:4 77:2,5 80:5, 17 6:15 7:7,24 9:4 tooth 18:2 8,10 16:18 18:19 19:6 top 67:15 24:2 48:14 49:17, T topic 51:19 25 53:20 59:19 60:12 61:21,24 tore 11:24 13:11. 62:5.8.16 73:21 tab 19:25 38:16 16 74:4,8,21,22 75:1 40:16 41:20 tort 88:7 76:1,2,4 82:12,15 tabbed 10:4 83:5.20 85:12.18. track 14:23 21,24 86:12 87:9, **tactic** 23:16 14 train 84:23 tail 67:3 68:14 thickness 18:13 transfer 50:16 73:19,20 thing 11:8 13:1 transferred 48:3 takes 87:22 25:7 85:16 54:6 taking 19:8 77:24 things 53:1,4 transpired 31:18 talk 16:4 56:19,20 60:8,9 transport 37:9 85:6 talking 22:16 56:16 54:1 62:4 70:13 thought 47:1

suing 21:17

suit 22:7

85:20

talks 56:11

transported 31:4 trauma 68:19 treatment 31:4 treatments 15:12 true 19:15 39:15 truth 87:11 **Turcotte** 4:3,7,22 5:9 9:13,16,22 10:1,5 14:24 16:19 18:25 20:12 22:12 23:2,15 24:15,17 25:13, 16,21 31:10,25 32:23 33:14,19,22 34:20 36:23 37:18,21 38:5,8, 18 39:8,11 40:5 43:17 46:20 47:1, 4,8 49:8,15,21 51:6,21 52:4,23 53:23 54:17,24 58:16 59:7 60:4, 18,21 61:3,17 62:13 63:3,8,20, 24 64:1,4,8,13,15 65:2,5,7,11 69:22 70:6 72:4,12,15, 24 74:11,18 75:13 77:1,7,13,16,18 78:6,15,24 79:4, 16,19,25 80:4,9, 12,19,22 81:22,24 82:2,4 86:15 88:23 89:20,24 **turned** 11:18 type 21:10 typical 61:1 typically 55:16 tzu 66:18 67:1 U uncontested 82:9 88:2 uncontroverted 9.6

understand

43:6,14 61:6

74:20 understanding 7:3 18:20 24:2 35:19 40:2 48:19 52:19 56:12 57:1, 19 58:20 78:25 understood 44:9 61:21 74:10 unfortunate 84:12 uniform 85:1 unprovoked 8:21 12:20 39:1, 16,21 40:12 57:13 84:8,20 upheld 6:20,21 7:2 43:8,9 73:8 82:11 83:22 89:7 **uphold** 8:25 9:9 44:14 86:13 upholding 7:21 upper 17:20 V vaccinated 46:1 vaccination 44:4 version 21:18 22:2,5,9,22 31:22 versions 31:18 **versus** 63:15 **vet** 28:7,9,11 29:23 30:2 37:10 56:16 VHS 28:25 vicious 86:19 victim 7:23 8:11, 12 27:20 29:13 30:5,7 40:25 43:4 49:13 83:12,14,18 87:19 **victims** 44:19 violation 89:5,18 vision 16:9

Index: visitors..Ziffra Page 107

visitors 71:23 witnesses 4:20 44:19 80:11 88:1 voluntarily 19:19 **woman** 68:11 voluntary 15:4 69:16 73:17 75:9 19:24 word 56:11 57:13 86:4 W work 72:8 77:23 wagging 67:3 worked 12:5 23:9 68:14 73:18,20 68:22 74:5 75:2,6 waiting 69:4 wound 17:22,25 70:10 waive 41:3,9 58:8 wrap 18:17 Walgreens 70:21 71:15 wrestled 67:21 walked 28:17,18 write 70:18 30:18 33:24 67:9 writing 85:20 walks 66:23 **written** 19:21 wand 25:6 20:7 41:5 52:16 58:10 wanted 7:17 11:19 15:7 18:14 wrong 22:5 60:13 21:20 28:9 29:22 30:21 33:2 64:25 Υ 66:6 69:14 71:17 76:1 85:17 86:6 yard 30:20 66:25 wanting 70:1 67:22 71:10 warned 87:2 years 37:11 81:3 water 69:21 70:1 yeehaw 12:14 71:18,20 yesterday 78:21 waters 53:4 82:22 young 86:20 ways 47:23 65:9 **Yup** 50:3 wearing 28:19 Z week 66:2 welfare 49:3,19 **Ziffra** 20:17 50:2 84:19 88:21 whatnot 59:24 whatsoever 33:3 48:15 whichever 75:9 widespread 84:18 windfall 87:7 window 7:5