

respectively. Since such products are inferior to pasta products made from 100-percent semolina, the macaroni industry on the west coast has indicated that it would go to a 100-percent semolina product if it could be assured of a stable supply at competitive prices.

Each of the nine crops of Durum wheat produced in the Tularelake area have moved into west coast market channels at good prices to producers within 3 months after harvest. With a record crop produced in the major Durum producing States in 1962, the Durum crop produced in the Tularelake area in 1962 was moved into the west coast market before December 1 at an average price of around \$3 per bushel.

Durum wheat fills a real and immediate need to the economy of farmers in the Tularelake area. Only a limited number of crops can be successfully produced in the area such as barley, potatoes, alsike cloverseed, and onions, and market prices for these commodities have declined to the point where there is little profit in the production of such commodities. Only about 1,000 acres of onions are produced in the area and these are produced under contract for dehydration. Vegetable production in the area has never been successful because of the long distances to the metropolitan markets.

Temporary legislation pertaining to the production of Durum wheat (class II) in the Tularelake area would expire with the 1963 crop. We recommend permanent extension of the program as provided in S. 762.

The production of Durum wheat (class II) in the Tularelake area is now and will continue to be ineligible for price support; therefore, there will be no additional expenses involved in carrying out the provisions of this proposed bill.

The Bureau of the Budget advises that there is no objection to the presentation of this report from the standpoint of the administration's program.

Sincerely yours,

ORVILLE L. FREEMAN,
Secretary.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill (S. 762) was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

MR. KUCHEL. Mr. President, I move that the Senate reconsider the vote by which the bill was passed.

MR. YOUNG of North Dakota. Mr. President, I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

THE FRIGHT PEDDLERS

MR. KUCHEL. Mr. President, the American people are keenly aware of the grave and evil hazards to our freedom and to our way of life which international communism is eternally dedicated to destroy.

Aside from a relative handful of traitorous zealots in the ranks or clutches of the Communist Party, we—all of us in this land—are unalterably committed to deter and, if necessary, to combat and defeat any aggression, Communist or otherwise, against us or our free friends, who, like us, propose to keep our freedom.

I rise today to speak of another danger we confront, not as dread or as foreboding, but equally offensive and evil to all reasonable, rational, free American citizens.

It is the danger of hate and venom, of slander and abuse, generated by fear and heaped indiscriminately upon many great Americans by another relative handful of zealots, in the ranks or clutches of self-styled "I am a better American than you are" organizations.

It results from a strange intellectual strabismus which professes to see our Government crawling with Communists and which, abandoning the processes of reason, pours its spleen upon anything or anybody which does not meet its own queer and puzzling dogmas.

Mr. President, in every day's deluge of mail at my office which sometimes means as many as 5,000 letters, telegrams, and postcards—there are generally a hundred and even two hundred letters which I describe simply as "fright mail."

Most of my colleagues receive such mail and most of them refer to it in much stronger terms. Coming from the most populous State in our Union, California, I think it is safe to say I get as much as anybody.

It is difficult enough attempting to answer thousands and thousands of letters which seek 15 answers to 15 questions on complicated foreign and domestic policies and issues—and by tomorrow at the latest.

Many times, the only economically and mechanically feasible way to reply is to send printed statements, which are then often returned in disgust because I have not answered with a long, personal letter.

But, Mr. President, that is a minor problem compared to what to do about the "fright mail."

I know this is a matter which many of my colleagues have given a lot of thought to privately, but, so far as I know, no one has discussed publicly.

I cheerfully admit that I have, in the past, attempted to reply calmly and factually to "fright mail," mustering all the reason and reserve I could summon.

Yet, I have found over the years that this is not quite the answer.

For most fright mail writers will come right back a week later, terrified about something else, urgently stating that they do not believe me—and that I am either misinformed or worse. Sometimes, they darkly insinuate that treason has prompted the reply they have received.

Treason. I still cannot believe my eyes when I stare at the ugliest word in the American lexicon tossed about in a letter as casually as the "Dear Senator" salutation which opens it. Indeed, I was once charged with treason by a correspondent who then closed his letter a few words later with "Respectfully yours."

Treason. The most heinous crime on the American books. And not always scrawled illiterately on a scrap of a paper bag, but often typed meticulously on embossed paper.

In recent months, I have been casually accused of ignorance or of a desire to sell my country down the river because I have said, for example, that it not only seemed untrue on its face, but was demonstrably untrue, that thousands and

thousands of Chinese Communist troops were poised on the Mexican border for an attack on California.

It not only seemed untrue on its face, but was demonstrably untrue that such-and-such American or free world leader—44 of them in all—is a Communist agent. The gallant Eisenhower is a favorite target for their contemptible slime.

What new and frightening charge tomorrow's mail will bring, I cannot begin to anticipate.

But I do want to disclose the two latest and intertwined "frights"—and analyze them in detail—by quoting in its entirety a typical letter I received in recent days.

The letter, on good stationery and carefully typed, comes from a constituent who lives high in the Berkeley Hills in California. It is somewhat mild in its intimations of treason, but otherwise is sadly typical. It reads as follows:

DEAR SENATOR KUCHEL: Thanks for your reply of March 9 regarding the Disarmament Agency.

I do not accept your statement that I have been the victim of misinformation. It is the other way around. Either you do not know what you have voted for in Public Law 87-297, or you are a traitor to the United States.

I would suggest you get in touch with Mr. Theodore Jackman, box 10188, Greenville, S.C. He is an expert on this subject. I had the pleasure of hearing him here in Berkeley a few days ago. Undoubtedly, he will be more than glad to come and talk with you about United Nations control over the United States of America, disarmament, and so forth.

Right at the present time, the U.S. Army is conducting operations in Georgia—Operation Moccasin—which many citizens over the country believe is a prelude and a training exercise for the takeover of the United States in the very near future, under United Nations authority. Of course, due to the large protest from citizens, the Army is denying everything.

Senator KUCHEL, you better study up on what's going on. You have gone on record to me that I am under a misapprehension that we are being unilaterally disarmed. If this country is taken over by the United Nations, I will personally label you a traitor.

You better study up on what's going on in this country.

Sincerely yours,

Mr. President, note carefully the language, typical of the fright letter. The writer is convinced, utterly convinced. There is no room for doubt. Of course, this is happening, he says; and, of course, that is happening, he says. Because "he knows." And how did he find out? And how, if it is possible, can we reach him with simple truth?

First, let us look at what is the simple truth.

Here is an editorial from the Claxton (Ga.) Enterprise, a weekly newspaper published in the area where Operation Water Moccasin was held. It is entitled "Fear of Snakes."

It reads as follows:

A national furor has been raised over the Army exercise recently underway here, known as Water Moccasin III. Our office has received numerous letters from such places as Richmond, Va.; Savannah; New

Orleans; and Minneapolis—all expressing a grave dread over the operation.

Evidently a lot of folks are scared of snakes, and in their sick minds anything with the name of a snake conjures up visions of a viper or a man-eating reptile that sets them off on weird fantasies that defy description.

One Congressman went so far as to suggest that the United States was training "barefooted Africans as guerrilla warriors," to be used to subjugate other African nations.

Another had it figured that the United Nations was sending in foreign troops to be trained to overthrow our Government. Another decided we were being trained in how to surrender our cities to insurgents.

Still another imagined all of this area being "invaded by hordes of Mongolians" who were overrunning the entire area.

It is fantastic what the human mind can dream up over the simple statement that a few foreign students will act as observers in the operation. We were in almost daily contact with an English major and an Italian police officer. We also were visited by an Army team that had a Turkish officer as an observer. But we missed out on the "hordes of foreign Communists."

Some of the statements we have read amount to hysteria, the result of a sick mind, carried away by the self-induced hallucinations. Our experience with the people involved directly in the operation left us with the feeling that we were taking part in some important training that may one day aid our Nation in its struggle for world peace against a foe that uses all sorts of unorthodox tactics. We are glad to know that we have people in our Armed Forces with the ability and training that these men showed during our observation of this operation.

We look forward to Operation No. IV, but we suggest that the Army change the name to Primrose Path IV—or Azalea Trail or some such title—Water Moccasin IV seems to make people dream bad dreams, and act like dope addicts.

The editorial demonstrates, rather savagely, but correctly, I think, the irrational frenzy of my correspondent's claims about Operation Water Moccasin, alleged Army subversion and United Nations takeover of the United States.

I shall send my frightened constituent a copy of it. But will it really convince him? Perhaps, perhaps not. The Claxton, (Ga.) Enterprise could be some clever sort of Communist front, my constituent may suspect.

But what is really frightening, is that I shall also have to send a copy of the editorial to several thousand similarly frightened Californians who have fallen hook, line and sinker for the Operation Water Moccasin "plot" and have asked me to do all I can to halt the operation, if I am a decent American, with any courage at all.

Just listen to some sample quotes from my mail on Operation Water Moccasin:
From Hermosa Beach:

Last night, in Los Angeles, I heard a talk by Mr. Theodore Jackman of Greenville, S.C., about a frightening military maneuver now being held in the State of Georgia, called Exercise Water Moccasin III. It is time Congress demands the facts about Exercise Water Moccasin III, the United Nations War Operations and NATO Operations.

From San Jose:

I am writing you this letter of protest, to the presence of foreign troops on American soil. That there are African Negro troops, who are cannibals (sic), stationed in Georgia.

From Hollywood:

It is unconstitutional to quarter American troops in American homes, so how come these pagan, ruthless, brutal, Godless savages? Yes, we know of the U.N. plans to place Mongolian and Congolese troops over our dear United States (the same kind of troops which ravished Katanga) if the U.N. can swing their damnable world police force plan, so undoubtedly these Moccasin troops are to be the same.

From Paradise:

From friends in the State of Georgia, I have a report that at this time there are 15,000 United Nations personnel from 15 countries, participating in what is known as Operation Water Moccasin.

From Westminster, near my home town:

I also understand there are oriental troops in Mexico at this moment waiting to "occupy" parts of California for their training.

From Berkeley:

The news has just broken, although there had been rumors for a week or more, that Georgia is the place for 16,000 African soldiers, being trained by the U.N. for guerrilla warfare. Complete with nose and ear rings. This time, the U.N., and our State Department, have gone too far.

From Sacramento, the capital of my State:

This morning on radio, over Mr. Beltrami's program, I heard the most fantastic thing I have ever heard. Water Moccasin—what is this secret fantastic thing going on in the Deep South. U.N. troops coming to America for some kind of a "war to invade America." Mr. Senator, these things are being said over the radio, and he would not say them if they were not true. He said, "it's a three-point program of the disarmament program."

From Los Angeles:

Water Moccasin—we are asking you to give us a report on what you are doing to protect our constitutional rights. Also repeal income taxes.

From Los Angeles:

I am greatly disturbed at the news of foreign troops on our soil, as in Water Moccasin III. I am convinced we must get out of the United Nations. There is no longer any doubt that it is dominated by the Communists.

From Los Angeles:

I have just heard about one of the most fantastic and truly frightening military maneuvers ever to be held in the United States. The Oxford, Miss., invasion and violence was illegal and completely unconstitutional. I feel the United Nations is responsible. The U.N. is no good. Let's get out of it—now. I'm still sick from the Katanga tragedy.

From Ontario:

These so-called war games are in reality a deceitful way of bringing in the troops that will be used to enforce United Nations law on U.S. citizens. What are you doing about this? Let's get out of the godless United Nations and kick it out of the United States of America.

Mr. President, just think of it—"cannibals stationed in Georgia," they have charged:

Pagan, ruthless, brutal godless savages, Mongolian and Congolese troops—world police plan. Fifteen thousand United Nations troops already here. Oriental troops in Mexico, waiting to occupy California. Sixteen thousand African troops,

already in Georgia, with rings in their noses and ears. A war to invade America. A United Nations takeover. Integration part of the disarmament program. Let us get out of the U.N. Investigate NATO.

And abolish income taxes, too—if, presumably, there is still time. [Laughter.]

Frightening is not the word for it. It is incredible.

It is incredible that so many Americans have been so cruelly swindled, and have allowed themselves to be so deceitfully duped, about a U.S. Army troop exercise instructing our soldiers in counter-guerrilla warfare—and witnessed, incidentally, by 124, not 15,000 or 16,000, foreign military officers from Canada, the Republic of China, France, Great Britain, Guatemala, Indonesia, Iran, Italy, Japan, South Korea, Liberia, Pakistan, the Philippines, Spain, Thailand, Turkey, and Vietnam.

Now who and what whips up so many Americans to a state of frenzy and despair over such "conspiracies" as the U.S. Army's "sellout to the United Nations" under the "direction of the Arms Control Agency?"

The answers are not hard to find.

Two of my cited correspondents indicated a mysterious "Mr. Jackman, of Greenville, S.C.," has contributed.

Others—as do many of my frightened correspondents—enclosed for my edification another definite source—an ignorant, crude, and equally hysterical leaflet.

I ask unanimous consent that the leaflet be printed at this point in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the leaflet was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

THE UNITED STATES HAS NO ARMY, NO NAVY,
NO AIR FORCE

For doubting Thomases who think this statement is not true, Senate bill No. 2180¹ entitled "The Arms Control and Disarmament Act" was approved by the House of Representatives as House bill 9118² and was signed into effect as Public Law 87-297 on September 26, 1961,³ by John F. Kennedy, President of the United States. This bill was prepared to expedite a plan already proposed at Geneva by our administration and State Department (see Publication No. 7277 for full particulars of its terms) to effect the "legal" connotation to disarmament.

The only thing that keeps our Army, Navy, and Air Force from being wiped out of existence is public opinion. At any time he chooses the President of the United States can now transfer our Army, Navy, and Air Force (your husband, father, son, or brother) to the command of Eugene D. Kiselev (Russian) who is Secretary of the United Nations Security Council (World Police Force).

* * * * *

Attributed to secret agreement between Alger Hiss and Molotov confirmed by Trygve Lie⁴ and U.S. State Department⁵ and verified by subsequent action, the Secretary of the United Nations Security Council (who

¹ See CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, v. 107, pt. 14 p. 18692.

² See CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, vol. 107, pt. 15, pp. 20249-20316 (first vote)—vol. 107, pt. 16, pp. 20905-20906 (final and approval).

³ CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, vol. 107, pt. 16, p. 21552.

⁴ Pp. 45-46 of his book "In the Cause of Peace."

⁵ Bulletin No. 422A, dated Aug. 2, 1947.

is in command of the United Nations Military Secretariat (World Police Force)* must always be a Russian.

Here is the record: 1946-49, Arkady S. Sobelov,⁷ U.S.S.R.; 1950-53, Konstantine Zinchenko,⁸ U.S.S.R.; 1953-57, Ilya Tchernyshev, U.S.S.R.; 1957-60, A. Dobrynin, U.S.S.R.; 1960-62, George P. Arkadev,⁹ U.S.S.R.; 1962—, Eugeny D. Kiselev, U.S.S.R.

Prepared by: United Societies of Methodist Laymen, Inc., Austin, Tex.

Mr. KUCHEL. That is the leaflet. It is very much like the dozens of allegedly "patriotic" fliers and pamphlets and leaflets which pour into my office by the hundreds, attached to frantic, pleading, threatening messages, sometimes typed on the finest stationery.

It is distressing and disillusioning to find persons of normal educational attainments—or any educational level—falling hysterically and emotionally, without reservations, for the unadulterated venom spewed by out-and-out crackpots for paranoia and profit.

It is disgusting to find self-appointed saviors, whether infantile or cunning, preying profitably and psychotically on the fears of Americans in the name of anticommunism. Indeed, the ugly labors they perform are a service to the Kremlin itself. They seek to divide and too often succeed in dividing, our people, far better than any Communist agents could do.

Day in and day out, every Senator and every Government official I know works long, hard hours devoted to one primary mission:

To protect and promote the security, welfare, and best interests of one country and one country alone—the United States of America, a country all of us in this Chamber unashamedly revere.

Do these people really believe, I ask myself—and now I ask them—that a gigantic and incredible and unprecedented conspiracy has occurred in America in which the President and his Cabinet, 99 percent of the Congress, 99 percent of the Nation's journalists, and even the U.S. Army have all taken part to sell out our country?

Do they really believe further that this conspiracy is visible only to a small number of self-appointed saviors, such as Mr. Jackman?

If they do, the only reasonable reply I can give to them which they will under-

stand is the honorable, 100 percent red, white, and blue expression: "Nuts."

Who, we might also ask, is this Mr. Jackman? He is simply the Reverend Theodore Jackman, an available speaker for the American Opinion Speakers Bureau, the "nationwide conservative speakers' exchange" run by the John Birch Society, whose major contribution to the security and welfare of the United States of America was to "unmask" Dwight Eisenhower as a traitor.

Speaking of that highly publicized organization, I digress for a moment to point out to my colleagues, who may not have heard, that the founder of the Birch group has recently revised the party line viewpoint on former President Eisenhower—at least somewhat.

According to newspaper reports, the latest reprints of the founder's memorable book "The Politician," now gives followers the following choice—

That he—Eisenhower—is a mere stooge, or that he is a Communist assigned the specific job of being a political front man.

But the ludicrous word from high up in the Birch councils on the late former Secretary of State John Foster Dulles, of course, remains: "I personally believe Dulles to be a Communist agent," the founder of the Birch Society continues to profess.

As Ripley said, "believe it or not."

But, returning to the leaflet, I have received so far more than 2,000 letters demanding abolition of the U.S. Arms Control Agency on the grounds cited in the leaflet. Indeed, several hundred constituents have sent to me this leaflet, or variations of it.

And the most depressing statistics of all are as follows:

Only four constituents have raised even the slightest doubt at all about the leaflet's validity—as only six even considered at all the possibility the Operation Water Moccasin fright was a hoax.

To the first few hundred constituents who wrote to me in panic that the Arms Control Act transfers our military to a Russian colonel, I observed mildly, they were victims of misinformation.

As my colleagues know, the forerunner of the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency was the Disarmament Administration, which was established under former President Eisenhower.

As my colleagues also know, strong support for the legislation to establish the Arms Control Agency was offered in testimony by such distinguished American leaders as Gen. Alfred M. Gruenther, former supreme commander of NATO; Gen. Lyman L. Lemnitzer, former Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and now supreme commander of NATO; Henry Cabot Lodge, my party's candidate for Vice President and a good American; Christian Herter, an able, dedicated American and Republican who serves the present administration as he did the last; and Robert Lovett, capable former Secretary of Defense.

Quoting from the report of the Committee on Foreign Relations of the U.S. Senate:

The (Arms Control) Agency is to be responsible, under the direction of the Secretary of State, for the acquisition of a fund

of practical and theoretical knowledge about disarmament and is directed to conduct research in that field, to engage public or private institutions or persons for such studies, and to coordinate work in this field now being undertaken by other Government agencies in accordance with procedures to be established by the President.

And so, the Foreign Relations Committee of the U.S. Senate, composed of 11 Democrats and 6 Republicans, unanimously went on record in favor of the legislation.

I told my frightened constituents all of this.

I told them that the Arms Control Agency, endorsed by an overwhelming Senate vote of 73 to 14 in 1961, has no power to disarm our country; that the only authority it has is to conduct research on matters of arms control and the effect of any reduction in the level of armaments on various parts of our economy; that it reports to the President and does not act independently; that its function is merely to bring the best talent available so that our representatives and the President can deal effectively with arms control matters; and that no responsible public official believes in unilateral disarmament or disarmament without an effective means of inspection.

What was the result? More leaflets in return, even wilder than the first batch. Plus, new or renewed accusations as to what is prompting my answers.

Let me cite some of the further authority or proof they sent on:

I ask unanimous consent that the text of that creed be printed in the RECORD in full.

There being no objection, the leaflet was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

THE HANDWRITING ON THE WALL: SOON YOU WILL NOT BE A CITIZEN OF A FREE AMERICA

The U.S. Congress has passed a law, No. 87-297, which established an office of dictator. This office to be filled by appointment, appointment by the President.

The appointee is not called dictator: he is called Director of Disarmament and Arms Control.

He shall give his orders to the Secretary of State and to the President; and they shall see that his orders are carried out.

There shall be no jurisdiction over him: neither by the President, nor by the Congress.

The Director shall collaborate with, and receive orders from Eugene Kiselev (Russian), the Secretary of the United Nations.

All the U.S. arsenals and conveyances; missiles, planes, tanks, and ships are through his orders to be placed at the disposal of the U.N. Secretary. Then, all military centers are to be dismantled, and all American military personnel discharged. But afterward, discharged Americans will be drafted by the United Nations, to serve it, in far-away places.

For your free America will be only a vassal state under the U.N. Uncle Sam cannot then move his finger, without permission from the United Nations. But Khrushchev and Russia always veto everything Uncle Sam requests. So then the U.N. will never favor the United States of America under any condition whatever.

Law 87-297 is operating. William C. Foster is the Director. Five atomic submarines, Polaris submarines have been given to the U.N. They have been definitely promised

* Articles 46, 47 of United Nations Charter.

⁷ Had Lt. Gen. A. Ph. Vasiliev as chairman of the Military Staff Committee—the same man who was in charge of a report containing recommendations of the general principles governing the organization of the Armed Forces made available to the Security Council by member nations of the United Nations, and the same man who was granted a leave of absence after setting up this organization to serve as adviser to North Korean Communists during the Korean war, in charge of all movements across the 38th parallel and from the evidence indicates that he knew what the U.S. troops would do before MacArthur was given his orders by Zinchenko.

⁸ Screened military directives to Gen. Douglas MacArthur during the Korean police action.

⁹ Screened and ordered Congo-Katanga directives and had more to say than you did that American planes be used to destroy anti-Communists in the Congo.

the U.N. by the President and Secretary McNamara, and airbases are frequently being dismantled. Law 87-297 makes Foster subject to no law, nor to any authoritative body.

You will not have your job, nor your home, neither your self. If your Congress is not awakened and caused to repeal Public Law 87-297 soon. It must be repealed very soon.

Let it be understood also, that there are deputy directors, and many more will be appointed. There will be these appointed officials over the entire country; and there will be no civil courts; neither any civil officers to appeal to; for these deputies' orders will supersede orders of all civil officers.

Sounds fantastic. Then listen: The U.N. did not help the liberty-loving people of Hungary. The U.N. stood by and watched the savage Communist hordes massacre the people of Hungary. The U.N. has harassed and caused atrocities to be committed upon the best and only great people of the Congo. The U.N. has never helped any liberty-loving people. The U.N. despises God. What is fantastic truly is that you are a person: yet you do not know when you began to be: yet you have a destiny—and do not know where you are headed.

While you and I were overwhelmed with the many machinations of the modern era: the oneworlders, through their never-tiring kibitzers overwhelmed most of your Congress, and pressured them into surrendering your Government.

And who is William C. Foster, Director of Disarmament who has this great power? He is not only a member of the ruling power, the Council on Foreign Relations, but a Director of this Council. And who is Col. Marshall Sanders, U.S. Air Force—on active duty in the Air Force? He is the colonel assigned by the Air Force to serve this Council on Foreign Relations for 1 year. He is listed in the annual report of the Council on Foreign Relations for 1962-63 as Air Force research fellow. This should convince anyone who rules the country today.

(Send to the U.S. Flag Committee, Post Office Box 269, Jackson Heights, N.Y., for report on this Council on Foreign Relations, §1.)

Mr. KUCHEL. Observe, there is that Russian colonel again, ever ubiquitous in "running the U.N.'s military operations" and getting ready to take over our Armed Forces, plus our jobs, our homes and our very selves.

It is simply impossible to take the time and energy to prove demonstrably the outright falsehood of every single claim alleged by this leaflet—from the giving of atomic submarines to the U.N., to William Foster's supposedly being subject to no law.

But consider the key and most frightening plank in these leaflets—the charge that a Russian colonel is commanding or will command all of our military. Can its origin be found?

Yes, it can. It can be found word for word in, of all things, a stage play written in the early 1950's by one Myron C. Fagan, the national director of something in Hollywood called the Cinema Educational Guild. The play, titled "Thieves' Paradise," purported to show, in Fagan's own words:

Why we must get the United States out of the U.N.—and the U.N. out of the United States * * * (revealing) all the different phases of the diabolical plot—how the U.N. was a "Trojan Horse" sneaked into the United States to serve as a sanctuary for Red spies, saboteurs and American traitors * * * how it was to destroy our freedoms through "treaties" such as "genocide" and the "World

Court" * * * to brainwash our youth through UNESCO and UNICEF, etc. * * * to drain us of our wealth through UNRRA, the Marshall plan, and our foreign aid giveaways * * * and finally to transform the United States into an enslaved unit of their Communist one-world government.

In a little green tract, titled "U.N. Tract No. 1," from which I just read, Fagan and the Cinema Educational Guild quotes the following dialog from his play:

STEFAN. When they were setting up the U.N., Molotov and Alger Hiss made a secret agreement that the military chief of the U.N. was always to be a Russian, appointed by Moscow. The first such Chief was Arkady Sobelov.

RITA. I know—

STEFAN. But do you know why Moscow wanted that arrangement? (Rita shakes her head—Stefan explains gloatingly) To be prepared, if the U.N. would have to interfere in any Communist attempts to grab free states their man would have charge of that interference and—

RITA. (Breaks in—suddenly understands) and their man would be able to keep the Red commanders fully informed of all the plans and movements of the U.N. forces?

There it is. The basis for the charge that a Russian colonel is going to command our military.

Now, first, who is Myron Fagan, who discovered this vile plot so many years ago?

I quote briefly from portions of the 11th report of the State Senate Fact-finding Subcommittee on Un-American Activities to the 1961 regular California Legislature:

We do not wish to impugn the sincerity of Mr. Fagan, but we do wish to make public the facts about his Cinema Educational Guild, and our opinion concerning the erroneous nature of many of the statements contained in its publications * * *. There are heavy evidences of anti-Semitism throughout many of the booklets and in many of the Fagan speeches * * *. Mr. Fagan may well be one of the Nation's outstanding experts on matters theatrical, but that does not necessarily qualify him as an expert in the field of countersubversive intelligence.

So there we have it. The daisy chain is complete.

And what the fright peddlers have handed down to one another, over the years, is a daisy of a whopper, a puerile and evil package of fright calculated to "scare the daylight" out of decent Americans.

This whopper has been debunked thoroughly over the years. Yet, here it is again. And not only in letters and leaflets. Let me quote from an item in the April 1963, issue of the despicable *The Cross and The Flag*, the anti-Semitic hate sheet of Gerald L. K. Smith:

U.N. MILITARY DICTATORSHIP

The world police force of the United Nations is run by a Communist carrying the title "Secretary of the U.N. Security Council." Since the beginning of the United Nations a Russian Communist has held this authoritative position of top U.N. military authority.

Below are the names of Soviet agents who have held this position to the exclusion of all other nations:

1946 to 1949: Arkady S. Sobelov, U.S.S.R.

1950 to 1953: Konstantine Zinchenko, U.S.S.R. Screened military directives to Gen. Douglas MacArthur during Korean police action.

1953 to 1957: Ilya Tchernyshev, U.S.S.R.
1957 to 1960: A. Dobrynin, U.S.S.R.
1960 to 1962: George P. Arkadev, U.S.S.R. Screened Congo-Katanga directives. Eugeniy D. Kiselev, U.S.S.R.

Or let me quote just a few paragraphs from a news item in the Long Beach, Calif., Independent, a metropolitan daily newspaper, of March 15, 1963:

The U.S. Government is trying to put all its Armed Forces under the command of a Russian general, John Roussetol told 600 persons in municipal auditorium Thursday night.

Roussetol, John Birch Society district governor for six Western States and former Congressman from California's 25th District, spoke on "Disarmament—Blueprint for Surrender."

This office (referring to Under Secretary for Security Council and Political Affairs) is held by a Russian general, he said, and if the United States turns over its armed forces to the U.N., the Russian general will command them.

Those fantastic charges, Mr. President, are false—completely false.

But when one, who was honored to be a Member of the Congress of the United States for 2 years—or even one who writes for an undisguised hatesheet, utters such a cry, there are Americans who listen and believe.

The facts are that our American Military Establishment is American and shall remain so. We joined the United Nations because we believe in and work for peace with justice in the world. Where the United Nations has sought to quell aggression, the United States has played its honorable role.

There is no Russian colonel, or general, or military or civilian individual who is "secretary of the United Nations" or "secretary of the U.N. Security Council."

Let the record be clear that there are 19 undersecretaries of the Security Council, of which 13 are filled by Americans or representatives of our allies and of which two are Soviet bloc nations.

None of them has anything to do with the command of any joint U.N. military operations. There is no world police force in the U.N. And, of course, no Soviet national ever gave Gen. Douglas MacArthur any orders.

How hysterical and idiotic can one get? I am afraid to answer, until I have seen tomorrow's mail.

Leaflets, of course, are not the only cause for hysteria.

Lunatic columnists, apostles of hate and fear on radio and television, and even loony letters to the editor provoke their share of fright mail.

The curious fact is that the fright peddlers, from the simple simpletons to the wretched racists, all claim to be conservatives.

They defile the honorable philosophy of conservatism with that claim as thoroughly as the Communists defile the honorable philosophy of liberalism.

I sympathize with some of my constituents who are honestly bewildered

and confused by the trash of the right-wing extremists.

I even feel sympathetic with those who have been taken in as dupes.

But I have nothing but seething contempt for the originators of the hoaxes and swindles, from the ludicrous leaders of the Birch Society to the equally ludicrous director of the Cinema Educational Guild, including any and all of the several hundred similar self-styled patriotic groups.

They are anything but patriotic. Indeed, a good case can be made that they are unpatriotic, and downright un-American. For they are doing a devil's work far better than Communists themselves could do.

It is curious to me that they all have generally the same aims, issued in all-out, uncompromising, almost hysterical demands: Get the United States out of the U.N. Stop all foreign aid. Repeal the income tax. Abandon NATO and bring our troops home from Europe.

It is ironic that these very aims are very likely identical to the real hopes and aspirations of the Kremlin.

At any rate, I could not imagine a program that would delight Khrushchev more.

For we have a United Nations, with imperfections to be sure, but a U.N. in which the Soviet Union has had to resort to a veto on 100 occasions, while we have never had to resort to a veto at all. We have a United Nations which the Soviet Premier has bitterly attacked on numerous occasions as U.S. controlled. A United Nations the Communists have never been able to control or subvert to their own use.

Yet, say the extremists, abandon it to the Communists.

Stop all foreign aid, the self-styled patriots say. Not some, not most, but all: military and economic. Let us stop helping to maintain 2 million free world troops on the perimeter of the Soviet Union, the patriots are really demanding. Let us write off every nation of the world as unrestricted fair game for the Communists, they are really saying. And if these countries need help in establishing military, and political and economic stability, let the Communists, or somebody else, provide it, they are really saying.

Repeal the income tax, they say. Not cut taxes, repeal them. And repeal our national defense, in the process.

Abandon NATO, they say. For the Birch leader has said:

With regard to that brainchild of Dean Acheson, godchild of Harry Truman, and eventual ward of Dwight Eisenhower, we have repeatedly insisted for years that it was probably the biggest—and certainly one of the most expensive—hoax in all human history.

Abandon NATO, they say—and leave Europe to the whim or mercy of the Soviet Union.

It is an amazing paradox that the rightwing extremists find the same programs and organizations to be subversive that the leftwing extremists find to be the "tools and weapons of the Wall Street imperialists," as witness Communist Party literature on our mutual security programs and NATO.

Of late I have been receiving letters from Birchers and their fellow travelers calling for removal of American troops in Vietnam. And I have also been receiving letters from Communists, leftwingers, and their fellow travelers calling for precisely the very same thing.

No, much as the fright peddlers, the rightwing extremists, and the Communists may desire such mutual goals, America is not going to bow to their dictates.

Let us, by all means, debate, as reasonable and rational and realistic people, the successes and failures of the United Nations and foreign aid.

But let us not do it on the basis of childish slogans or on the inane premise that they are Communist programs adopted by a Communist or pro-Communist Government in Washington.

Our policies—American policies—are open to question and debate—as they must always be.

I am a Republican—and I shall continue to question the cold-war policies of a Democratic administration and fight those I consider unwise.

But both political parties—Republicans and Democrats—have the best interests of the country we love in mind and heart.

I wonder, however, about the fright peddlers and the followers of an organization whose founder has declared: "Democracy is merely a deceptive phrase, a weapon of demagoguery and a perennial fraud."

Clutching at half-truths and downright falsehoods, the fright peddlers fabricate hoaxes, as we have seen, which frighten Americans and divert their attention from the real menace. They sow suspicion and hatred. They attempt to undermine faith in Government, its institutions, and its leaders. They preach resistance to the laws of our land. They degrade America and Americans, and do it as well as—or better than—the Communists do.

Yet, their followers—and even some honest conservatives—continually ask me: Why do I keep berating them, instead of the Communists?

I loathe and despise communism and Communists. By voice and by vote, all of my adult life I have attacked them and opposed.

I expect the hoaxes and the spreading of hysteria, the sowing of suspicion, and the denigration of our Government, institutions, and leaders from the camp of the enemy, communism, but not from fellow Americans.

I shall always fight the big lie, the smear, witch hunts, anti-Catholicism, anti-Semitism, racism of any kind—which are not the hallmarks of conservatism, but are the trademarks of communism and fascism.

I am concerned about rightwing extremists, not because of the noise they make, which, as with the vile Communists, is out of proportion to their size. I am concerned because they are, after all, Americans, not agents sworn to allegiance to a foreign power.

Astonishingly to me, I sometimes get letters from avowed Birchers who furiously deny their leader has ever charged the Central Intelligence Agency is Com-

munist controlled, or that Dulles was a Communist, or that NATO is a Communist planned hoax.

And I find it equally hard to believe that the followers of the fright peddlers are all wholly oblivious to the anti-Semitism, anti-Catholicism, and outright racism of many of their "saviors."

I am going to read a letter of the kind which arrives now and again. I cite the letter not to "prove" that all rightwing extremists are bigots or that a majority are; I really do not know how many are, perhaps very few.

I cite it merely to show that this type of person, the follower of Myron Fagan and Gerald L. K. Smith, has often found a new, "respectable" home in an extremist rightwing group that denies being bigoted.

The letter, from Westminster, Calif., reads in part:

Sirs: I am writing you again just to state that you can ignore me but you are sure not being ignored, your latest blast at Americans in the John Birch Society is just more evidence of your support of communism. I am a member of the Birch Society and I know many other members and every one of them are decent Americans who are interested in constitutional government and free enterprise and a real education for their children, and who mean to have it in spite of the grip that Jew communism that you support has on our country and Government. * * *

On this law by superior force that is called integration what do you think is going to be gained by that, the Jew press, radio, TV, and papers all scream the law of the land, who do you think believes it * * *. If you want integration let's start with the Jew schools. And synagogues * * *.

When are you going to stand up like a white man.

That is more than enough to illustrate my point—and to complete this autopsy.

My conclusion is simply this: Perhaps 10 percent of the 60,000 letters I receive each month fall into the category of fright mail.

This mail flies in the face of a clear, stern, and pertinent warning from a great and dedicated American, J. Edgar Hoover, in February 1962. Mr. Hoover then wrote in the *Journal of the American Bar Association*:

Today, far too many self-styled experts on communism are plying the highways of America, giving erroneous and distorted information. This causes hysteria, false alarms, misplaced apprehension by many of our citizens. We need enlightenment about communism but this information must be factual, accurate and not tailored to echo personal idiosyncrasies. To quote an old aphorism: We need more light and less heat.

Can these cruelly swindled victims of the fright peddlers be shocked into a reappraisal of their swindlers and be reclaimed as valuable and effective contributors in the fight against the real enemy? I do not know. But I believe it is time such an attempt be made.

Perhaps I am naive about this. Yet I feel there must be some—and they belong to both political parties—who can be persuaded to join the ranks again of sensible and decent anti-Communist, pro-Americans devoted to defending our Nation against defilement of both the extreme left and extreme right, here and abroad.

America has enough immediate and deadly dangerous enemies, without manufacturing hobgoblins. America can use all the responsible help it can receive.

Mr. ALLOTT. Mr. President, I should like to compliment the distinguished senior Senator from California on his statement. I believe that most people in America do not realize the extent to which the mail of Members of the Senate and the House reflects fear, frustration, and ignorance, with respect to what is going on in America.

If I may add to what the distinguished Senator has said, to me one of the most pathetic facts is that the extreme right wingers, if we may call them that—at least, the fear peddlers, and that is what they are, more than anything else—cause in the hearts and minds of many people a fear and frustration which are more dangerous to the morale and real interests of the United States than anything else. They are doing more damage to the morale of the United States than Mr. Khrushchev has been able to do to date. This damage constitutes a real hazard.

If I may relate one personal experience, last fall I was honored by being chosen to be a delegate of the United States to the United Nations. It was astounding and surprising the number of letters I received which were extremely vituperative and bitter, and which castigated me in the most severe terms for even consenting to serve my own country as a delegate to the United Nations. These letters are in my office. I say to the senior Senator from California that they constitute as big an indictment of what is happening as do some of the records and some of the letters he has read here.

Mr. KUCHEL. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. ALLOTT. I yield.

Mr. KUCHEL. I wish to say for the record that the distinguished senior Senator from Colorado performed a high service to the American Government and the American people when he was one of our representatives in the United Nations. He is a patriot. He served this country in war, overseas. He serves his country in this Chamber. He served this country in what the late Arthur Vandenberg called the "Town Meeting of the World."

I speak on this with deep feeling, and can only pledge to my colleagues in the Senate my undying support in this struggle.

The Senator has done a great job. Yet he has taken abuse from people who were misinformed and who, if they had their own way, would isolate our country. In this new era in which we live, isolation is impossible.

Mr. ALLOTT. I appreciate the remarks of the Senator very much. He is absolutely correct, of course, that isolation is impossible. If we are ever to achieve a world of order and peace, we will have to do it through some organization. The world is too big and too complicated today to do it through unilateral treaties or even multilateral treaties.

What are we to do? Are we to destroy the United Nations and then build up

another organization in trying to found a world of order and peace?

To me, that is unthinkable. I only wish it were possible for the ordinary American to have access to some of the letters the Senator has received and that I have received. Never a mail goes by that a letter of the type that he has read is not received by us.

As an illustration of how widespread this has become, I can only say that people will make the statement that they heard such and such said over the radio, or that they heard the Reverend So-and-So or Mr. So-and-So say this or that over the radio, and in that way it becomes gospel to them.

I know that some people will take the statements that the Senator from California has quoted, and will say, "This is what Senator KUCHEL said on the floor of the Senate. You will find it in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. Therefore, it is the truth."

They will quote it as the Senator's words even though the Senator quoted the remarks. They will say it must be the truth, because the Senator from California said it on the floor of the Senate. So much for that.

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I am sorry that I was not in the Chamber to listen to all of the remarkable speech made by the Senator from California. I congratulate him on his exposé of the kind of letters that he and the Senator from Colorado have mentioned. Those letters are not confined to Republicans alone. We too get them on this side of the aisle.

When an organization in this country brands as a Communist or as a Communist dupe a person of the stature of the former President, General Eisenhower, or the late Secretary of State, John Foster Dulles, who I believe was one of our great Secretaries of State, or his brother, Allen Dulles, the former Director of CIA, Gen. George C. Marshall, or others in that category, every decent and right-thinking man should stand up and be counted against that kind of slander and that type of organization.

I have been receiving this type of mail.

Sometimes it makes me quite angry. One can only hope that fairness, knowledge, and decency will prevail. I have an abiding faith in the great majority of the American people that common-sense, decency, and understanding will prevail with them.

These fringe groups are so dogmatic that would try to brand as Communist dupes the military genius of the Second World War, Gen. George Marshall; a former President, General Eisenhower; the brothers Dulles, and many other outstanding and patriotic Americans.

I congratulate the acting minority leader, the distinguished Senator from California and the distinguished Senator from Colorado for their remarks this afternoon.

Mr. ALLOTT. Mr. President, no one has greater respect for the majority leader than those of us who are on the floor now, particularly the Senator from Colorado. No one could ever doubt his great patriotic spirit, which he has demonstrated in every way whenever it was

required to be demonstrated. His words are very much appreciated. They are evidence of our unity on this subject, and show that we are united on this subject, rather than divided.

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I wish to state again, as I have stated on many occasions, that the distinguished Senator from Colorado [Mr. ALLOTT], together with his colleague, the Senator from Tennessee [Mr. GORE], served as delegates to the United Nations in an outstanding manner. The Senate is very proud of the many contributions which they have made to the welfare, the security, and the well-being of our country.

Mr. JAVITS. Mr. President, I should like to add my congratulations to our distinguished friend from California. I have noted with special interest one sentence in his statement, which reads:

It is disgusting to find self-appointed saviors, whether infantile or cunning preying profitably and psychotically on the fears of Americans in the name of anticommunism.

It should be pointed out that this danger is creeping up on the blind side of Americans. Almost every American is opposed to communism. However, it is important that we do what the Senator from California has done in speaking out against those who would spread hate and plant the seed of suspicion in the hearts of men. The thing to do is to take on the rascals frontally, as the Senator has done, and speak out against organizations like the Birch Society—and not every member of the Birch Society necessarily feels that way—and speak out, not only here on the floor of the Senate, unchallenged, but also in campaigns, as he has done, where it can be a political danger to do so. That is where it counts. The Senator from California has done that, as have the Senator from Colorado, the Senator from Minnesota, and others, including myself.

In speaking out in this way, we are ready to take the consequences. That is where it really counts. It is a dramatic demonstration of our unity in opposition to this kind of imposition on the feelings of the American people, by people who might just as well have as their goal the destruction of our society.

When this sort of thing begins, it does not stop. It goes on and on, and can be directed against Jews, Catholics, Negroes, Japanese, Mexicans, or anyone else.

I speak with deep feeling, and can only pledge to my colleagues in the Senate my undying alliance in this struggle.

Mr. KUCHEL. I thank the Senator from New York. I am eternally grateful for his comments. We need to be united against the continuing menace and danger which communism poses to the freedom of this country and freedom all over the globe. But the time has come when we must demonstrate to the American people that the purveyors of hate, particularly the purveyors of hate for profit, need to have the finger pointed at them. That is the kind of record the Senator from New York [Mr. JAVITS] and

the Senator from Colorado [Mr. ALLOTT] have made.

Mr. JAVITS. I thank the Senator from California.

Mr. ALLOTT. I appreciate the statement of the Senator from California.

OPERATION MOHOLE

Mr. ALLOTT. Mr. President, I am about to speak on a subject to which I referred last week, and which I expect to explore in considerable detail during the following week. Last year I discussed at great length on the floor of the Senate and in the Committee on Appropriations particularly, a project of the National Science Foundation known as Mohole. This particular name derives from a Yugoslav whose name is Mohorovicic. He did some exploratory work with respect to the earth and the earth's mantle. Two or three years ago the National Science Foundation authorized preliminary work on a project which immediately became nicknamed Mohole.

What is the purpose of Project Mohole? Project Mohole is intended to pierce the earth's crust. By this operation, scientists hope to learn exactly how the earth was put together.

Huge sums of money have been spent in space exploration, and really the only justification that has ever been made for that work is that it is hoped that something will be learned about the structure of the universe.

If Project Mohole can be accomplished successfully, the chances are very great that our scientists will learn even more about the composition of the earth and how it came to be a part of the great universe than will ever be learned from one or two explorations in space. Perhaps this statement is a little too expansive, but the possibilities for extending our knowledge about the earth through Project Mohole are almost limitless.

In 1961, the National Science Foundation made a public pronouncement to various persons in the United States that it intended to start Project Mohole. In July the National Science Foundation called a meeting at which there was a large attendance. At the meeting, certain criteria were established for those who would participate in the project. Much of this information was placed in the *Record* last year with respect to discussions on Mohole. The offering that was made by the National Science Foundation is also in the *Record*.

What were the elements of the offering? First, it was desired to have some group which had scientific know-how make an offering to the National Science Foundation to take charge of the project, manage it, and accomplish it.

Second, the Foundation indicated that it would give preference to some company which did not want a management fee for its work.

Third, the Foundation said that those who undertook the project would have to demonstrate considerable experience in similar scientific work and have the capability of designing all tools and structures.

Lastly, the Foundation said it was interested in management.

The proposal was set forth in some 15 points.

As a result of the invitation, many persons attended the meeting. Nevertheless, one firm, Brown & Root, of Texas, did not appear, nor did any of its representatives attend the meeting. Not only did Brown & Root not appear at the meeting on July 27; it never had any contact with the National Science Foundation until September 5. Brown & Root was not on the original invitation list of the National Science Foundation and had no representative present. But many qualified representatives of other companies appeared and eventually submitted bids on the project.

Some time later a screening committee was appointed in the National Science Foundation. As a result, there were two screenings of all the contractors, from which Socony Mobil Oil Co. and a group associated with it, and Shell Oil Co. and a group associated with it, Global Marine Exploration, came out first. Brown & Root came out fifth one time, and third another time.

All this information has been ably documented by the senior Senator from California [Mr. KUCHEL] and also by Mr. John Averill, of the Los Angeles Times, who dug into the subject at that time and discovered that a real mistake was being made under the guise of science. As a result of the two screenings, there was doubt for a long time as to just who would be awarded the contract.

The Senator from California [Mr. KUCHEL] then sent a letter to the General Accounting Office. In effect, the GAO gave a clean bill of health to the final selection by the National Science Foundation. I questioned Dr. Waterman and various other members of the National Science Foundation at great length in the hearings on the Independent Offices Appropriation bill for fiscal 1963. I showed to my own satisfaction, and I am sure to the satisfaction of most people, that Brown & Root had not satisfied, except to a very small degree, any of the criteria established by the National Science Foundation for those who would perform the work of Mohole.

When we consider going down from a ship through 22,000 or 23,000 feet of water and planting a drill stem in a hole in the ocean, and drilling from there, some of the problems and complexities of the project can be understood.

It means, first of all, taking a ship to a certain position and maintaining it in that position. It means devising entirely new concepts of drill stems and bits. It means designing new drill stems, because present drill stems could not support such a weight. It means designing new drills. It means designing new ways of entering and reentering. So it can be seen that many new problems arise.

We would all have thought it would be natural that someone who had engaged in drilling deep wells would have been selected for Operation Mohole. I am not critical because one company or another company was selected; but one of the criteria was that whoever was selected must have in-house scientific capability. This is all documented in the hearings. Even then, Dr. Waterman was

not satisfied that Brown & Root had such scientific capability.

At this time the facts are as follows: After some 9 or 10 months, Mohole has reached a point where I believe it can correctly be called a fiasco. It has been under review by a committee of the National Science Foundation and the Board of Directors of the Foundation. I do not know what the result of the review is, because, unfortunately, the National Science Foundation officials will not divulge to me—even though I am on the subcommittee which passes on the appropriation of funds for it, and even though its officials know of my interest in it—the results or the progress of the work in which it engages.

So a year later we find that the man who originally was designated by Brown & Root—and that was one of the original factors in the selection—has since been removed from the Mohole project, and has been moved, I believe, to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration construction near Houston, Tex.

Mr. President, it is not my purpose at this time to do as I will do next week and in the weeks to come—namely, point out, not 1, but 50 wrong roads taken with respect to this project. I believe I am justified in doing that. This project may not be the largest mistake the United States has ever made; but it was a \$46 million mistake last year, when we appropriated the first funds which started the Brown and Root contract. Now it has increased to at least 50 percent more than that; and, in my opinion, and I made this statement last year—before we are through with it, it will cost the United States \$100 million. \$100 million is still a great deal of money—at least, in my opinion.

The opportunities arising from Mohole to obtain greater knowledge are almost limitless. That situation is what aroused my first interest in it, several years ago, and it is what sustains my interest in it now. I have talked with 9 or 10 prominent scientists in the United States, whose names I shall not divulge at this time, who feel unequivocally that this project is a fiasco. They laugh at the results obtained and they laugh at the direction in which it is going.

Mr. President, my purpose in discussing this matter today is twofold. First, I wish to call to the attention of the Senate and to the attention of the people of the United States the fact that this program has started in a wrong direction. We must pull it back, and begin again, even though \$2 million or \$3 million has been spent—and I believe that approximately that amount has been spent up to this time. Certainly it is better to lose that amount of money, and to start afresh in a direction which we know will give us a reasonable chance of success, rather than to pour more money on top of the money already spent.

My second reason for speaking of this matter today, in addition to calling the attention of the Senate and the attention of the American people to this fiasco, is that I fully believe the United States will advance in proportion to the extent to which it develops its basic re-