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Florida is a rapidly growing state. Its population grew by around three million residents during each decade between 1970 and 2000. Since 2000, its population growth has been even greater. However, this growth has not been distributed evenly throughout the state. Some areas have grown rapidly while others have grown slowly or even declined. How much will Florida grow during the next few decades? How will this growth vary from one county to another?

These are important questions because many decisionsaffecting schools, roads, houses, shopping centers, hospitals, amusement parks, and countless other projects-require some assessment of future population trends. In fact, the success or failure of those plans may depend in large part on the degree to which projected growth is realized over time. Yet the future is essentially unknowable. No matter how accurate our data, how powerful our computers, and how sophisticated our techniques, we still cannot "see" into the future.

We are not completely lost, of course. We can observe population trends that have occurred in the past. We can collect data and build models showing what would happen if past trends continued or varied in some particular way. Since the future is intimately tied to the past, these projections will often provide reasonably accurate forecasts of future population change. If constructed and interpreted properly, population projections-although incapable of providing perfect predictions of the future-can be extremely useful tools for planning and analysis.

Since the future cannot be predicted with absolute certainty, we publish three series of population projections: high, medium, and low. We believe the medium projection is more likely to provide an accurate forecast of future population growth than either the high or low projections, but the high and low projections provide alternative scenarios that will be useful for some purposes. These alternative scenarios-along with information from other data sources-should be considered when using projections for planning purposes. Although the projections published here provide useful benchmarks, they should not be interpreted as the only possible scenarios for future population change.

## State projections

State-level projections were made using a cohort-component methodology in which births, deaths, and migration were projected separately for each age-sex cohort in Florida, by race (white, nonwhite) and ethnicity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic). The starting point was the population of Florida on April 1, 2005, as estimated by BEBR (Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Population Projections by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin for Florida and Its Counties, 2005-2030, Gainesville: University of Florida). Survival rates were applied to each age-sex-race/ethnicity cohort to project future deaths in the population. These rates were based on Florida Life Tables for 2000, published by the Office of Vital Statistics in the Florida Department of Health. The survival rates were adjusted upward in 2005, 2010, 2015, 2020, and

2025 to account for projected increases in life expectancy (U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division Working Paper No. 38, Series NP-05, 2000).

Domestic migration rates by age, sex, and race/ethnicity were based on data for 1995-2000 as reported in the 2000 Census. Domestic in-migration rates were calculated by dividing the number of persons moving to Florida from other states by the mid-decade population of the United States (minus Florida). Domestic out-migration rates were calculated by dividing the number of persons leaving Florida by Florida's mid-decade population. In both instances, rates were calculated separately for males and females by race and ethnicity for each five-year age group up to $85+$.

The domestic in-migration rates were weighted to provide three different scenarios of future population growth. For the high series, the weights ranged between 1.3 and 1.4 ; for the medium series, between 1.0 and 1.25 ; and for the low series the weight was 0.95 . The domestic out-migration rates were not weighted. For each of the three series, projections of domestic in-migration were made by applying weighted in-migration rates to the projected population of the United States (minus Florida), using the most recent set of national projections produced by the U.S. Census Bureau. Projections of out-migration were made by applying the 1995-2000 outmigration rates to the Florida population.

Projections of foreign immigration were also based on data from the 2000 Census. For the high projections, foreign immigration was projected to exceed the 1995-2000 level by $40 \%$ during each five-year interval. For the medium projections, foreign immigration was projected to exceed the 1995-2000 level by $20 \%$ during each five-year interval. For the low projections, foreign immigration was projected to remain the same as between 1995 and 2000 for each five-year interval. Foreign emigration was assumed to equal $22.5 \%$ of foreign immigration for each series of projections. The distribution of foreign immigrants by age, sex, race, and ethnicity was based on the patterns observed between 1995 and 2000.

Net migration is the difference between the number of inmigrants and the number of out-migrants during a particular time period. The medium projections produce net migration levels (including both domestic and foreign migration) of 355,000 per year between 2005 and 2010. The levels decline gradually over time, reaching 264,000 between 2025 and 2030. The low projections produce net migration levels that average between 200,000 and 220,000 per year between 2005 and 2030, while the high projections produce net migration levels that average between 356,000 and 428,000 . To put these numbers into perspective, net migration averaged 260,000-280,000 per year during the 1970s, 1980s, and 1990s and has averaged 350,000 per year since 2000. Since 1990, annual net migration levels have ranged between 181,000 and 400,000 .

Projections were made in five-year intervals, with each projected population serving as the base for the following projection. Projected in-migration for each five-year interval was added to the survived Florida population at the end of the interval and projected out-migration was subtracted, giving a projection of the population age five and older. Births were projected by applying age-specific birth rates (adjusted for child mortality) to the projected female population of each race/ethnicity group. These birth rates were based on Florida birth data for 1999-2001 and imply a total fertility rate of approximately 1.8 births per woman for non-Hispanic whites, 2.3 for non-Hispanic nonwhites, and 2.2 for Hispanics. In the medium and low series, birth rates were projected to decline gradually over time; in the high series, they were projected to remain at their 1999-2001 levels.

As a final step, projections for non-Hispanic whites, nonHispanic nonwhites, and Hispanics were added together to provide projections of the total population. The medium projection of total population for 2010 was adjusted to be consistent with the state population forecast produced by the State of Florida's Consensus Estimating Conference. None of the projections after 2010 had any additional adjustments.

## County projections

The cohort-component method is a good way to make population projections at the state level, but is not necessarily the best way to make projections at the county level. Many counties in Florida are so small that the numbers of persons in each age-sex-race/ethnicity category are inadequate for making reliable cohort-component projections. Even more important, county growth patterns are so volatile that a single technique based on migration data from only one or two time periods may provide misleading results. We believe more useful projections of total population can be made by using several different techniques and historical base periods.

For counties, we made eight projections using four simple extrapolation techniques and three different historical base periods. The four techniques were:

1. Linear - the population will change by the same number of persons in each future year as the average annual change during the base period.
2. Exponential - the population will change at the same percentage rate in each future year as the average annual rate during the base period.
3. Share of growth - each county's share of state population growth in the future will be the same as its share during the base period.
4. Shift share - each county's share of the state population will change by the same annual amount in the future as the average annual change during the base period.

For the linear and share-of-growth techniques we used base periods of five, ten, and fifteen years, yielding three sets of projections for each technique. For the exponential and shiftshare techniques we used a single base period of ten years, yielding one set of projections for each technique.

The starting point for each county's projection was the population estimate produced by the Bureau of Economic and Business Research for April 1, 2006. These estimates were based on 2000 Census counts and a variety of data and techniques showing population changes since 2000 (Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Florida Estimates of Population: April 1, 2006, Gainesville: University of Florida). The techniques described above provided eight projections for each county for each projection year (2010, 2015, 2020, 2025, and 2030). In order to moderate the effects of extreme projections, the highest and lowest projections for each county were excluded. The medium projection was then calculated by taking an average of the six remaining projections and adjusting the sum of the county projections to be consistent with the total population change implied by the state projections for each projection interval.

We made adjustments to the underlying population data in a number of counties before applying the techniques described above. This was done to account for special events and institutional populations such as university students and prison inmates. Adjustments were made for counties in which institutional populations account for a large proportion of total population and where changes in those populations have been substantially different from changes in the rest of the population. In the present set of projections, adjustments for institutional populations were made for Alachua, Baker, Bradford, Calhoun, Columbia, DeSoto, Dixie, Franklin, Gadsden, Gilchrist, Glades, Gulf, Hamilton, Hardee, Hendry, Holmes, Jackson, Jefferson, Lafayette, Leon, Liberty, Madison, Okeechobee, Santa Rosa, Sumter, Suwannee, Taylor, Union, Wakulla, Walton, and Washington counties. We also made adjustments in Charlotte, DeSoto, Escambia, and Hardee counties to account for the impact of the 2004 hurricanes on population growth in those four counties.

## Range of projections

The techniques described above were used to make the medium series of county projections. This is the series we believe will generally provide the most accurate forecasts of future population growth. We have also made a series of low and high projections to provide an indication of the uncertainty surrounding the medium projections. The low and high projections were based on analyses of past population forecast errors for counties throughout the United States.

The low and high projections indicate the range into which approximately half of future county populations will fall, if the future distribution of forecast errors in Florida is similar to the past distribution in the United States. Given Florida's population size and growth characteristics, we believe the future populations of at least half of Florida's 67 counties will fall between the low and high projections. The high and low projections themselves, however, do not have equal probabilities of occurring. In Florida, the probability that a county's future population will be above the high projection is greater than the probability that it will be below the low projection.

The range between the low and high projections varies according to the county's population size in 2006 (less than 25,$000 ; 25,000$ or more), rate of population growth between 1996 and 2006 (less than $15 \% ; 15-29 \% ; 30-49 \%$; and $50 \%$ or more) and the length of the projection horizon (forecast errors grow about linearly with the length of the projection horizon). Our studies have found that the distribution of absolute percent errors tends to remain fairly stable over time, leading us to believe that the low and high projections provide reasonable alternative scenarios. It must be emphasized, however, that the actual future population of any given county could be above the high projection or below the low projection.

For the medium series of projections, the sum of the county projections equals the state projection for each year (except for slight differences due to rounding). For the high and low series, however, the sum of the county projections does not equal the state projection. This occurs because potential variation around the medium projection is greater for counties than for the state as a whole. Thus, the sum of the low projections for counties is lower than the state's low projection and the sum of the high projections is higher than the state's high projection.

Note: The projections published in this bulletin refer solely to permanent residents of Florida; they do not include tourists or seasonal residents.

## Florida State and County Population Estimates, April 1, 2006, and Projections for 2010-2030

| County | Estimate |  |  | Projections, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and state | April 1, 2006 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| ALACHUA | 243,779 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 249,300 | 255,500 | 258,000 | 258,700 | 257,800 |
| Medium |  | 259,800 | 277,300 | 291,800 | 304,700 | 316,800 |
| High |  | 270,100 | 299,900 | 328,400 | 357,200 | 386,700 |
| BAKER | 25,004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 25,800 | 26,700 | 27,400 | 27,700 | 27,900 |
| Medium |  | 26,900 | 29,000 | 30,900 | 32,600 | 34,100 |
| High |  | 28,000 | 31,400 | 34,800 | 38,300 | 41,800 |
| BAY | 165,515 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 170,200 | 175,700 | 179,600 | 182,000 | 183,100 |
| Medium |  | 177,400 | 190,600 | 202,900 | 214,000 | 224,200 |
| High |  | 184,300 | 206,200 | 228,600 | 251,400 | 274,700 |
| BRADFORD | 28,551 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 28,900 | 29,300 | 29,700 | 29,900 | 29,900 |
| Medium |  | 29,800 | 31,200 | 32,500 | 33,700 | 34,700 |
| High |  | 30,700 | 33,100 | 35,600 | 38,000 | 40,500 |
| BREVARD | 543,050 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 562,200 | 585,500 | 602,100 | 613,200 | 619,700 |
| Medium |  | 586,100 | 635,200 | 679,700 | 720,000 | 757,500 |
| High |  | 609,000 | 687,300 | 766,300 | 846,900 | 929,600 |
| BROWARD | 1,753,162 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 1,793,900 | 1,848,400 | 1,886,400 | 1,904,100 | 1,907,400 |
| Medium |  | 1,869,900 | 2,005,700 | 2,131,200 | 2,239,800 | 2,339,000 |
| High |  | 1,943,400 | 2,169,800 | 2,400,900 | 2,629,500 | 2,861,000 |
| CALHOUN | 14,113 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 14,200 | 14,300 | 14,400 | 14,400 | 14,300 |
| Medium |  | 14,800 | 15,600 | 16,300 | 16,900 | 17,500 |
| High |  | 15,400 | 16,800 | 18,300 | 19,800 | 21,400 |
| CHARLOTTE | 160,315 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 168,200 | 177,700 | 185,000 | 190,000 | 193,500 |
| Medium |  | 175,400 | 192,800 | 208,600 | 222,700 | 235,900 |
| High |  | 182,200 | 208,600 | 235,400 | 262,400 | 290,200 |
| CITRUS | 136,749 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 143,200 | 150,700 | 156,500 | 160,400 | 163,100 |
| Medium |  | 149,300 | 163,500 | 176,600 | 188,100 | 198,900 |
| High |  | 155,100 | 177,000 | 199,200 | 221,500 | 244,600 |
| CLAY | 176,901 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 190,800 | 207,000 | 219,500 | 227,900 | 233,100 |
| Medium |  | 201,100 | 229,400 | 255,600 | 279,100 | 300,900 |
| High |  | 210,900 | 253,100 | 296,900 | 341,900 | 388,600 |
| COLLIER | 326,658 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 355,900 | 388,700 | 412,600 | 427,100 | 434,700 |
| Medium |  | 379,200 | 440,100 | 497,500 | 549,200 | 598,500 |
| High |  | 401,400 | 494,700 | 593,800 | 696,900 | 807,300 |
| COLUMBIA | 63,538 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 66,000 | 68,400 | 70,100 | 71,100 | 71,500 |
| Medium |  | 68,800 | 74,200 | 79,200 | 83,500 | 87,600 |
| High |  | 71,500 | 80,300 | 89,200 | 98,200 | 107,300 |
| DESOTO | 33,164 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 34,300 | 36,700 | 37,800 | 38,400 | 38,600 |
| Medium |  | 35,700 | 39,900 | 42,600 | 45,100 | 47,300 |
| High |  | 37,100 | 43,100 | 48,100 | 53,000 | 58,000 |
| DIXIE | 15,677 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 15,900 | 16,200 | 16,300 | 16,100 | 15,700 |
| Medium |  | 16,900 | 18,400 | 19,700 | 20,900 | 22,000 |
| High |  | 17,900 | 20,600 | 23,400 | 26,300 | 29,200 |
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## Florida State and County Population Estimates, April 1, 2006, and Projections for 2010-2030 (continued)

| County | Estimate |  |  | Projections, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and state | April 1, 2006 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| DUVAL | 879,235 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 906,000 | 938,000 | 960,100 | 973,300 | 980,300 |
| Medium |  | 944,500 | 1,017,700 | 1,084,400 | 1,143,900 | 1,199,900 |
| High |  | 981,500 | 1,101,100 | 1,221,900 | 1,344,100 | 1,470,400 |
| ESCAMBIA | 309,647 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 313,900 | 320,400 | 325,000 | 328,000 | 329,600 |
| Medium |  | 323,800 | 340,400 | 355,700 | 369,300 | 382,000 |
| High |  | 333,400 | 361,300 | 389,300 | 417,400 | 445,900 |
| FLAGLER | 89,075 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 106,100 | 124,900 | 139,900 | 150,700 | 157,800 |
| Medium |  | 113,100 | 141,300 | 168,000 | 192,200 | 215,100 |
| High |  | 119,700 | 159,000 | 201,300 | 245,800 | 293,100 |
| FRANKLIN | 11,916 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 11,900 | 12,000 | 12,100 | 12,100 | 12,000 |
| Medium |  | 12,400 | 13,100 | 13,700 | 14,200 | 14,700 |
| High |  | 12,900 | 14,100 | 15,400 | 16,600 | 17,900 |
| GADSDEN | 48,195 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 48,800 | 49,100 | 49,200 | 49,200 | 48,900 |
| Medium |  | 50,300 | 52,200 | 53,900 | 55,500 | 56,900 |
| High |  | 51,800 | 55,400 | 59,000 | 62,600 | 66,200 |
| GILCHRIST | 16,703 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 17,100 | 17,400 | 17,400 | 17,000 | 16,300 |
| Medium |  | 18,600 | 20,700 | 22,700 | 24,600 | 26,300 |
| High |  | 20,000 | 24,100 | 28,400 | 33,000 | 37,900 |
| GLADES | 10,796 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 11,100 | 11,200 | 11,100 | 11,000 | 10,800 |
| Medium |  | 11,600 | 12,100 | 12,600 | 13,000 | 13,400 |
| High |  | 12,100 | 13,100 | 14,200 | 15,200 | 16,200 |
| GULF | 16,509 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 16,300 | 16,000 | 15,600 | 15,100 | 14,500 |
| Medium |  | 17,300 | 18,200 | 19,000 | 19,700 | 20,400 |
| High |  | 18,300 | 20,400 | 22,500 | 24,600 | 26,900 |
| HAMILTON | 14,517 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 14,400 | 14,200 | 14,100 | 13,800 | 13,600 |
| Medium |  | 15,000 | 15,500 | 16,000 | 16,400 | 16,800 |
| High |  | 15,600 | 16,700 | 17,900 | 19,100 | 20,300 |
| HARDEE | 27,186 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 27,500 | 27,900 | 28,300 | 28,500 | 28,700 |
| Medium |  | 28,400 | 29,700 | 30,900 | 32,100 | 33,200 |
| High |  | 29,200 | 31,500 | 33,900 | 36,300 | 38,800 |
| HENDRY | 38,678 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 39,700 | 41,100 | 42,200 | 42,900 | 43,200 |
| Medium |  | 41,400 | 44,600 | 47,600 | 50,400 | 52,900 |
| High |  | 43,000 | 48,300 | 53,700 | 59,300 | 64,800 |
| HERNANDO | 157,006 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 165,100 | 174,900 | 182,000 | 186,000 | 188,000 |
| Medium |  | 174,000 | 193,800 | 212,300 | 228,500 | 243,700 |
| High |  | 182,500 | 213,800 | 246,300 | 279,000 | 313,300 |
| HIGHLANDS | 96,672 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 99,500 | 103,200 | 106,000 | 107,700 | 108,600 |
| Medium |  | 103,700 | 112,000 | 119,700 | 126,500 | 132,800 |
| High |  | 107,800 | 121,200 | 134,900 | 148,700 | 162,900 |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 1,164,425 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 1,220,300 | 1,285,900 | 1,336,500 | 1,370,700 | 1,392,300 |
| Medium |  | 1,272,300 | 1,394,600 | 1,507,600 | 1,607,000 | 1,698,600 |
| High |  | 1,322,000 | 1,509,500 | 1,701,000 | 1,892,900 | 2,088,500 |

## Florida State and County Population Estimates, April 1, 2006, and Projections for 2010-2030 (continued)

| County | Estimate |  |  | Projections, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and state | April 1, 2006 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| HOLMES | 19,502 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 19,400 | 19,400 | 19,300 | 19,100 | 18,800 |
| Medium |  | 20,200 | 21,100 | 21,900 | 22,600 | 23,300 |
| High |  | 21,000 | 22,800 | 24,600 | 26,400 | 28,300 |
| INDIAN RIVER | 135,262 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 142,300 | 150,500 | 156,300 | 159,700 | 161,100 |
| Medium |  | 150,000 | 166,800 | 182,400 | 196,200 | 209,000 |
| High |  | 157,300 | 183,900 | 211,500 | 239,600 | 268,500 |
| JACKSON | 50,246 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 52,400 | 52,900 | 53,000 | 53,000 | 52,800 |
| Medium |  | 54,100 | 56,200 | 58,100 | 59,800 | 61,400 |
| High |  | 55,700 | 59,600 | 63,500 | 67,400 | 71,400 |
| JEFFERSON | 14,353 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 14,300 | 14,300 | 14,300 | 14,100 | 13,900 |
| Medium |  | 14,900 | 15,600 | 16,100 | 16,700 | 17,200 |
| High |  | 15,500 | 16,800 | 18,100 | 19,500 | 20,900 |
| LAFAYETTE | 8,060 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 8,100 | 8,200 | 8,200 | 8,200 | 8,100 |
| Medium |  | 8,400 | 8,900 | 9,300 | 9,600 | 10,000 |
| High |  | 8,800 | 9,600 | 10,400 | 11,300 | 12,200 |
| LAKE | 276,783 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 299,700 | 325,400 | 343,800 | 354,600 | 358,900 |
| Medium |  | 319,300 | 368,500 | 414,700 | 456,200 | 495,000 |
| High |  | 338,000 | 414,100 | 494,700 | 578,500 | 666,500 |
| LEE | 585,608 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 641,800 | 706,700 | 757,800 | 794,300 | 818,900 |
| Medium |  | 676,500 | 782,600 | 881,700 | 970,700 | 1,053,900 |
| High |  | 709,300 | 863,800 | 1,025,300 | 1,191,500 | 1,364,800 |
| LEON | 272,497 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 279,800 | 288,500 | 293,400 | 296,100 | 296,500 |
| Medium |  | 291,700 | 313,100 | 331,600 | 348,300 | 363,700 |
| High |  | 303,200 | 338,700 | 373,400 | 408,900 | 444,800 |
| LEVY | 38,981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 40,800 | 43,000 | 44,700 | 45,900 | 46,800 |
| Medium |  | 42,500 | 46,600 | 50,400 | 53,800 | 57,000 |
| High |  | 44,200 | 50,500 | 56,900 | 63,500 | 70,200 |
| LIBERTY | 7,772 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 7,700 | 7,600 | 7,400 | 7,200 | 6,900 |
| Medium |  | 8,200 | 8,600 | 9,000 | 9,400 | 9,700 |
| High |  | 8,700 | 9,700 | 10,700 | 11,700 | 12,800 |
| MADISON | 19,814 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 19,700 | 19,600 | 19,500 | 19,200 | 18,900 |
| Medium |  | 20,500 | 21,300 | 22,000 | 22,700 | 23,300 |
| High |  | 21,300 | 23,000 | 24,800 | 26,500 | 28,300 |
| MANATEE | 308,325 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 324,400 | 343,300 | 358,000 | 368,200 | 374,900 |
| Medium |  | 338,300 | 372,300 | 403,700 | 431,400 | 457,000 |
| High |  | 351,500 | 403,000 | 455,600 | 508,500 | 562,400 |
| MARION | 315,074 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 335,600 | 359,100 | 376,800 | 388,100 | 394,300 |
| Medium |  | 353,700 | 398,000 | 439,200 | 476,000 | 510,200 |
| High |  | 370,900 | 439,000 | 509,800 | 582,200 | 657,100 |
| MARTIN | 142,645 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 147,800 | 153,900 | 158,500 | 161,600 | 163,400 |
| Medium |  | 154,100 | 167,000 | 179,000 | 189,700 | 199,700 |
| High |  | 160,100 | 180,700 | 201,800 | 223,200 | 245,100 |

## Florida State and County Population Estimates, April 1, 2006, and Projections for 2010-2030 (continued)

| County | Estimate |  |  | Projections, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and state | April 1, 2006 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| MIAMI-DADE | 2,437,022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 2,464,700 | 2,506,100 | 2,529,900 | 2,534,900 | 2,524,900 |
| Medium |  | 2,568,800 | 2,720,200 | 2,860,900 | 2,986,500 | 3,103,000 |
| High |  | 2,670,100 | 2,941,900 | 3,219,800 | 3,500,600 | 3,787,400 |
| monroe | 80,510 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 78,200 | 76,000 | 73,700 | 71,500 | 69,200 |
| Medium |  | 80,700 | 80,800 | 81,000 | 81,200 | 81,300 |
| High |  | 83,100 | 85,700 | 88,300 | 91,000 | 93,600 |
| NASSAU | 68,188 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 71,900 | 76,300 | 79,400 | 81,400 | 82,500 |
| Medium |  | 75,800 | 84,500 | 92,700 | 100,000 | 106,900 |
| High |  | 79,500 | 93,200 | 107,500 | 122,100 | 137,500 |
| OKALOOSA | 192,672 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 199,400 | 207,400 | 213,200 | 216,800 | 219,000 |
| Medium |  | 207,900 | 225,000 | 240,700 | 254,600 | 267,700 |
| High |  | 216,100 | 243,500 | 271,300 | 299,400 | 328,400 |
| OKEECHOBEE | 38,666 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 39,100 | 39,800 | 40,300 | 40,700 | 40,900 |
| Medium |  | 40,300 | 42,300 | 44,100 | 45,900 | 47,400 |
| High |  | 41,500 | 44,900 | 48,300 | 51,900 | 55,300 |
| ORANGE | 1,079,524 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 1,143,000 | 1,216,200 | 1,270,400 | 1,304,100 | 1,321,100 |
| Medium |  | 1,204,500 | 1,347,800 | 1,481,400 | 1,600,500 | 1,711,100 |
| High |  | 1,263,300 | 1,486,500 | 1,718,800 | 1,956,100 | 2,201,900 |
| OSCEOLA | 255,903 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 290,100 | 328,000 | 357,200 | 377,000 | 388,700 |
| Medium |  | 309,200 | 371,200 | 429,800 | 482,800 | 532,600 |
| High |  | 327,100 | 417,500 | 514,000 | 615,000 | 721,900 |
| PALM BEACH | 1,287,987 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 1,347,500 | 1,418,800 | 1,475,000 | 1,514,800 | 1,541,400 |
| Medium |  | 1,404,900 | 1,538,800 | 1,663,700 | 1,775,500 | 1,879,400 |
| High |  | 1,459,800 | 1,665,500 | 1,877,200 | 2,091,800 | 2,312,000 |
| PASCO | 424,355 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 450,400 | 481,600 | 504,300 | 518,600 | 526,100 |
| Medium |  | 474,600 | 533,600 | 587,900 | 636,200 | 681,100 |
| High |  | 497,800 | 588,600 | 682,300 | 777,900 | 876,900 |
| PINELLAS | 948,102 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 939,400 | 933,700 | 926,200 | 916,600 | 905,500 |
| Medium |  | 968,600 | 992,700 | 1,015,500 | 1,036,400 | 1,056,200 |
| High |  | 997,500 | 1,052,900 | 1,109,400 | 1,166,600 | 1,225,000 |
| POLK | 565,049 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 592,300 | 624,200 | 648,800 | 665,300 | 675,800 |
| Medium |  | 617,500 | 677,000 | 731,800 | 780,100 | 824,500 |
| High |  | 641,600 | 732,700 | 825,700 | 918,800 | 1,013,700 |
| PUTNAM | 74,416 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 74,600 | 75,200 | 75,500 | 75,500 | 75,300 |
| Medium |  | 77,000 | 79,900 | 82,700 | 85,200 | 87,500 |
| High |  | 79,300 | 84,800 | 90,500 | 96,100 | 101,900 |
| ST. JOHNS | 165,291 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 181,500 | 199,700 | 213,100 | 221,500 | 225,700 |
| Medium |  | 193,400 | 226,100 | 256,800 | 284,500 | 310,500 |
| High |  | 204,700 | 254,100 | 306,600 | 361,400 | 419,100 |
| ST. LUCIE | 259,315 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 283,500 | 312,700 | 335,600 | 351,600 | 362,400 |
| Medium |  | 298,800 | 346,200 | 390,400 | 429,700 | 466,400 |
| High |  | 313,300 | 382,100 | 454,000 | 527,400 | 603,900 |

## Florida State and County Population Estimates, April 1, 2006, and Projections for 2010-2030 (continued)

| County | Estimate |  |  | Projections, A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and state | April 1, 2006 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| SANTA ROSA | 141,428 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 151,300 | 161,300 | 168,900 | 174,100 | 177,100 |
| Medium |  | 159,500 | 178,800 | 196,900 | 213,500 | 229,000 |
| High |  | 167,200 | 197,200 | 228,500 | 261,100 | 295,100 |
| SARASOTA | 379,386 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 396,100 | 416,100 | 431,200 | 441,100 | 446,900 |
| Medium |  | 413,000 | 451,400 | 486,500 | 517,400 | 545,700 |
| High |  | 429,100 | 488,500 | 548,800 | 609,100 | 670,400 |
| SEMINOLE | 420,667 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 439,300 | 461,300 | 478,300 | 490,400 | 498,200 |
| Medium |  | 458,000 | 500,300 | 539,600 | 575,000 | 607,800 |
| High |  | 475,900 | 541,500 | 608,700 | 677,200 | 747,400 |
| SUMTER | 82,599 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 93,500 | 105,700 | 115,100 | 121,600 | 125,500 |
| Medium |  | 99,700 | 119,600 | 138,500 | 155,700 | 171,900 |
| High |  | 105,400 | 134,500 | 165,700 | 198,400 | 233,100 |
| SUWANNEE | 38,799 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 41,800 | 43,700 | 44,800 | 45,500 | 45,900 |
| Medium |  | 43,500 | 47,400 | 50,600 | 53,500 | 56,200 |
| High |  | 45,300 | 51,300 | 57,100 | 62,900 | 68,800 |
| TAYLOR | 21,471 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 21,500 | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,400 | 21,200 |
| Medium |  | 22,400 | 23,400 | 24,400 | 25,300 | 26,100 |
| High |  | 23,300 | 25,300 | 27,400 | 29,600 | 31,800 |
| UNION | 15,028 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 15,200 | 15,000 | 14,700 | 14,200 | 13,600 |
| Medium |  | 16,200 | 17,000 | 17,800 | 18,500 | 19,100 |
| High |  | 17,200 | 19,100 | 21,100 | 23,100 | 25,300 |
| VOLUSIA | 503,844 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 521,800 | 543,000 | 558,300 | 568,100 | 573,900 |
| Medium |  | 544,000 | 589,100 | 630,400 | 667,100 | 701,700 |
| High |  | 565,300 | 637,500 | 710,600 | 784,500 | 860,900 |
| WAKULLA | 28,393 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 32,400 | 34,600 | 36,400 | 37,700 | 38,400 |
| Medium |  | 34,100 | 38,400 | 42,400 | 46,100 | 49,600 |
| High |  | 35,800 | 42,300 | 49,300 | 56,500 | 64,000 |
| WALTON | 55,786 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 61,700 | 68,200 | 73,000 | 76,100 | 77,800 |
| Medium |  | 65,700 | 77,200 | 88,000 | 97,800 | 106,900 |
| High |  | 69,500 | 86,700 | 105,100 | 124,200 | 144,500 |
| WASHINGTON | 23,073 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 24,500 | 24,400 | 23,900 | 23,300 | 22,400 |
| Medium |  | 26,100 | 27,600 | 29,100 | 30,300 | 31,500 |
| High |  | 27,700 | 31,000 | 34,500 | 38,000 | 41,700 |
| FLORIDA | 18,349,132 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 19,144,200 | 20,409,900 | 21,692,500 | 22,948,400 | 24,132,300 |
| Medium |  | 19,974,200 | 21,831,500 | 23,552,100 | 25,086,000 | 26,513,300 |
| High |  | 20,384,200 | 22,634,600 | 24,876,000 | 27,054,200 | 29,119,300 |

Note: Funding for these projections was provided by the Florida Legislature.
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