



Annual Budget Report Fiscal Year 2012-2013

Find Your Florida



This page intentionally left blank

City Officials



ELECTED OFFICIALS



Frank Meeker, Council Member District 2, Jason DeLorenzo, Council Member District 3,Jon Netts, Mayor, Bill McGuire, Council Member District 1, Bill Lewis, Council Member District 4

APPOINTED OFFICIALS

City Manager, Jim Landon City Attorney, William E. Reischmann, Jr. City Clerk, Virginia Smith Finance Director, Christopher M. Quinn Information Technology & Communications Director, Steve Viscardi Fire Chief, Michael C. Beadle Public Works / Utility Director, Richard H. Adams Recreation & Parks Director, Luciana Santangelo Engineering & Stormwater Director, John C. Moden

|--|

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) presented a Distinguished Budget Presentation Award to the **City of Palm Coast, Florida** for the Annual Budget beginning October 01, 2011. In order to receive this award, a governmental unit must publish a budget document that meets program criteria as a policy document, as an operations guide, as a financial plan, and as a communications device. This is the eighth consecutive year that the City of Palm Coast has received this award.

This award is valid for a period of one year only. We believe our current budget continues to conform to program requirements, and we are submitting it to GFOA to determine its eligibility for another award.

Budget Book Highlights

For easy use, this budget book has been divided into separate functional areas for review as follows:

Introduction

This section contains the transmittal letter, a table of the organization and our 2012-2013 strategic action plan. It also includes statistical information and history about our City.

Executive Summary

This section provides the financial policies and summaries of the budget on a city-wide basis including revenues, expenditures and personnel.

Budget Detail

This section contains data on individual funds and additional detail is provided by department.

Capital Improvement Program

This section provides summaries of the City's Capital Improvement Program, the effect of the Program on each fund and a detail page for each capital project in the program. The fleet replacement schedule can be found in this section as well.

Workforce Talent

N TURNING N

This sections highlights our teams that help to address City needs for executive leadership and to develop additional capacity within the City organization to provide leadership for projects and issues not normally accomplished as part of the routine functions of the organization.

Awards and Special Recognition

We are proud of what our City has accomplished and in this section we showcase some of our past year(s) awards and other special recognition received.

Glossary and Acronyms

This section provides the reader a glossary of terms and acronyms used in this document.





This page intentionally left blank

Table of contents

| | | Page |
|-------------------|--|----------|
| | City officials | i |
| | Distinguished Budget Presentation Award | ii |
| | Budget Book Highlights | iii |
| | Table of Contents | V |
| Introduction | Transmittal Letter | 1 |
| | Organizational Chart | 7 |
| | Strategic Action Plan | 8 |
| | The Perfect Place to Live (History of our City) | 13 |
| | Our City, at a glance | 17 |
| | Population Statistics, Millage Rate Comparison | 18 |
| | Utility Rate Comparison | 20 |
| | Employment Data | 21 |
| | Principal Property Tax Payers | 23 |
| Executive Summary | Financial Policies | 27 |
| | Budget Process | 49 |
| | Budget Calendar | 50 |
| | Budget Amendment Process | 51 |
| | Fund Descriptions | 52 |
| | Computation of Estimated Fund Balances | 54 |
| | Budget Summary | 55 |
| | Revenue Explanations | 59 |
| | Revenue Forecast Methodology | 60 |
| | Major Revenue Trends | 61 |
| | Major Revenue Sources | 62 |
| | Property Information | 65 |
| | Property Value Per Capita | 67 |
| | Expenditures by Category | 69 71 |
| | Expenditures by Function Personnel Information | 73 |
| | Long-Term Debt | 73 |
| | - | |
| Budget Detail | Budget Detail | 79 |
| | General Fund Revenues by Source | 81 |
| | General Fund Expenditures by Category | 83 |
| | General Fund Expenditures by Function CDBG Fund | 85 87 |
| | Police Education Fund | 88 |
| | Police Automation Fund | 89 |
| | Disaster Reserve Fund | 90 |
| | Recycling Revenue Fund | 91 |
| | Streets Improvement Fund | 92 |
| | Park Impact Fee Fund | 93 |
| | Fire Impact Fee Fund | 94 |
| | Development Special Projects Fund | 95 |
| | Transportation Impact Fee Fund | 96 |
| | Neighborhood Stabilization Fund | 97 |
| | Business Assistance Center Fund | 98 |
| | Old Kings Road Special Assessment Fund | 99 |

Table of contents

| Budget Detail | SR100 Community Redevelopment Fund | 100 |
|--------------------------------|---|-----|
| - | Capital Projects Fund | 101 |
| | Utility Fund | 102 |
| | Utility Capital Projects Fund | 103 |
| | Solid Waste Fund | 104 |
| | Stormwater Management Fund | 105 |
| | Building Permits & Inspections Fund | 106 |
| | Golf Course Fund | 107 |
| | Tennis Center Fund | 108 |
| | Information Technology & Communications Fund | 109 |
| | Self Insured Health Fund | 110 |
| | Fleet Management Fund | 111 |
| | Department Funding | 112 |
| | City Council | 114 |
| | Administration | 116 |
| | City Manager, Community Relations, Purchasing and Contracts | |
| | Management, Economic Development, City Clerk, Human Resources, | |
| | Law Enforcement | |
| | City Attorney | 127 |
| | Financial Services . | 129 |
| | Community Development | 134 |
| | Permits and Inspections, Planning, Code Enforcement | |
| | Fire | 143 |
| | Public Works / Utility | 148 |
| | Streets, Facilities Maintenance, Parks Maintenance | |
| | Fleet Management, Administration, Utility Maintenance, Wastewater | |
| | Collection, Wastewater Treatment, Water Plant #1, Water Plant #2, Water | |
| | Plant #3, Water Quality, Water Distribution, Customer Service, Utility Non- | |
| | Departmental | |
| | Engineering and Stormwater | 170 |
| | Recreation and Parks | 177 |
| | Recreation / Athletics, Tennis Center, Golf Course | |
| | General Fund Non-Departmental | 185 |
| | Information Technology and Communications | 186 |
| Capital Improvement Program | Capital Improvement Program | 191 |
| capital improvement i rogram | Summary by Funding Source | 197 |
| | Summary by Department | 199 |
| | Revenue and Expense Projections by Fund | 201 |
| | Project Detail Sheets | 208 |
| | Fleet Replacement Schedule | 256 |
| Workforce Talent | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 265 |
| Awards and Special Recognition | | 203 |
| Awarus anu opecial Recognition | | 215 |
| Glossary and Acronyms | Glossary | 277 |
| | Acronyms | 282 |



INTRODUCTION

Find Your Florida



This page intentionally left blank



September 31, 2012

CITY MANAGER'S LETTER

I am pleased to present to you the adopted budget for Fiscal Year 2013, which reinforces City Council's Strategic Action Plan mission statement, operating values, and goals. The adopted budget for Fiscal Year 2013 outlines the programs and projects that support the Strategic Action Plan and also reflects the improving economic conditions following one of the nation's worst economic downturns. This letter also highlights points of emphasis related to each goal of the Strategic Action Plan.

IMPROVING ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

In 2012, the economic conditions and trends in Palm Coast started to improve when compared to previous years. Below are a few examples of improving conditions:

- Growth Palm Coast is projected to be the 2nd fastest growing area over the next five years.
- Employment Palm Coast has largest drop in unemployment rate in Florida over year.
- Taxable Sales Palm Coast taxable sales grew 21% or \$94 million since '07.
- Capital Investment \$246.7 million was invested in private construction in Palm Coast since '09.
- Real Estate Values Palm Coast area median sales prices for single-family homes began to rise in 2012 for the first time since the economic downturn.
- Economic Outlook Palm Coast was named one of the 15 best housing markets in next five years. Also, Palm Coast was named one of the 5 great places to retire.

While property values tend to lag behind changes in the real estate market, based on the above improving economic conditions, we are optimistic that revenue sources in the upcoming fiscal years will stabilize and then begin to rise. This will enable the City to maintain our aging infrastructure and invest in capital projects that were either delayed or eliminated during the economic downturn.

STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN

During the past fiscal year, City Council developed a new mission statement, operating values, and goals as part of a Strategic Action Plan. Staying true to the City Council Mission Statement (below) will help the City to be recognized as one of Florida's premier cities in which to live, work, and play.

City Council Mission Statement

"To provide our residents, visitors, and business community with exceptional government services in order to improve the quality of life, grow the local economy, and protect the natural environment through a planned, integrative approach using available technology."

City Manager Budget Letter Page 2 of 6

The Strategic Action Plan is the guiding policy document for City Council and City Staff. This budget is driven by the mission statement and goals contained in the Strategic Action Plan. Highlights of initiatives related to each of the City Council's goals are included below along with points of emphasis in this year's budget.

> Goal 1 Expansion

To anticipate the need for additional services and infrastructure to provide opportunities for mixed use development with goods, services, and employment.

In the upcoming fiscal year, we will continue to not only plan for tomorrow, but also for the long term infrastructure needs to accommodate our anticipated growth. Even during the economic recession, the City continued to experience an increase in population, and we anticipate the growth to continue in the future. The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research projects that by 2025, the City of Palm Coast will double in population, exceeding 158,000 people. During this past fiscal year and the next, the City has and will continue to face challenges in funding not only future infrastructure needs, but also maintenance of aging infrastructure.

Stormwater Funding Commitment – During the budgeting process, the City Council made a key policy decision to fund Stormwater improvements primarily from user fees using the current methodology. While the City Council will review the methodology in the upcoming fiscal year to better allocate fees to the appropriate users, this commitment to fund Stormwater improvements through fees provides a dedicated revenue stream to ensure continued maintenance of our aging Stormwater infrastructure.

Utility Rate Study – In the upcoming fiscal year, the City Council will consider utility rate and fee adjustments to fund necessary utility maintenance and infrastructure improvements to meet previous bond covenants, allow for refinancing and additional debt obligations, and comply with regulatory requirements. The utility rate and fee adjustments will be a result of the Utility Rate Study anticipated to be completed early in the upcoming fiscal year.

Infrastructure Funding Challenge – Also, in the upcoming fiscal year, the City Council will be asked to provide direction on how to fund governmental infrastructure (transportation, parks & recreation, fire) needs in the future. During the economic recession, City Council opted to lower or maintain tax rates while maintaining essential services, but forgoing or delaying governmental infrastructure projects. Many of these governmental infrastructure projects are associated with grants that contain performance provisions and penalties. In addition, growth has continued during the economic recession and the need for these governmental infrastructure projects still exist. A multi-department team of staff will develop a priority list of projects along with funding options for City Council to consider during the upcoming fiscal year.





City Manager Budget Letter Page 3 of 6



In the upcoming fiscal year, the City will continue to implement programs and projects outlined in Prosperity 2021 to develop and maintain a strong economy. The improving economic conditions indicated in the opening of this letter support the City's efforts to maintain our neighborhoods, support existing businesses, and encourage investment by attracting new residents, visitors, and businesses.

Prosperity 2021 – The City is now in the second year of implementing Prosperity 2021: Plan for Growing our Local Economy, which will be integrated into the recently adopted Strategic Action Plan. While the improving economic conditions indicate that the City's efforts are paying dividends, there is still more work to do. City staff will continue to focus on projects and programs within Prosperity 2021 to help our local economy recover.

Business Assistance Center – The Palm Coast Business Assistance Center, or BAC, was established in May 2011 to help our existing business grow through a unique partnership with the Small Business Development Center at the University of Central Florida (SBDC at UCF). Since its inception, the BAC has helped businesses maintain or create 89 jobs and invest approximately \$4.5 million in our local economy through capital investment, increased sales, and salaries. In addition, the BAC with City Council's support has established numerous programs, including the Annual Business Expo and Loan Guarantee Program. During the budget process, City Council affirmed its support of the BAC in the upcoming year by renewing the contract with the SBDC at UCF.

Sports Tournaments – The City in partnership with our local sports clubs and the Flagler County Tourist Development Council continues to attract sports tournaments and the associated visitor spending to our local economy. In the upcoming year, City staff will continue to work to make these events even bigger and better, along with their positive impact on our local economy.

> Goal 3 Finance

To leverage our financial strengths while insuring the City remains committed to fiscal responsibility in delivering value added services to residents and businesses.

During the budget process, the City Council was able to maintain existing levels of service, while maintaining the current level of property tax collections. In the upcoming fiscal year, City staff will continue to explore ways to strengthen our financial position while delivering value to our citizens.

Rollback Millage Rate Adopted – During the budget process, the City Council adopted the rollback millage rate, which resulted in the same amount of property tax collection as the previous year. This allowed the City to maintain the same level of service to the citizens of Palm Coast, while maintaining the 2nd lowest millage rate for cities our size (population between 60,000-90,000) in Florida.





City Manager Budget Letter Page 4 of 6

Fund Balance Reserve Policy – During the budget process, the City was able to maintain compliance with the fund balance reserve requirements in the City's major funds. Maintaining compliance provides the City financial stability and a fund reserve to use if unexpected emergencies arise.

Refinancing Debt – In the upcoming fiscal year, the City plans to take advantage of all-time low interest rates to refinance debt in two funds, the State Road 100 CRA and the Utility Fund. By refinancing debt, the City will save on interest costs over the long-term and this will allow the City to take on additional debt to complete necessary projects at very low interest rates.

Goal 4 Environmental To blend our residential and commercial properties with our "City of Parks and Trails" image to create a sustainable framework of visual appeal while caring for our land, water, air, and wildlife.

The City's commitment to environmental sustainability has been recognized by our designation as a Gold Level Green City by the Florida Green Building Coalition and numerous other awards and recognitions. In this upcoming fiscal year, City staff will continue to look for opportunities to conserve natural resources and become more efficient with the resources that we do use.

Solid Waste and Recycling Program – This past fiscal year, the City implemented a comprehensive program to encourage citizens to recycle. This program resulted in a 15% increase in recycling in the first week of implementation. In the upcoming fiscal year, City staff will continue to promote and publicize the program, so that even more residents participate and become environmentally conscious.

Water Resources – In the upcoming fiscal year, the City is scheduled to begin construction of the Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) project at Water Treatment Plant #2. The ZLD project will eliminate the need to discharge concentrate to water bodies, increase plant efficiency, and make better use of our water resources.

Goal 5 Quality of Life To enhance the quality of life for our citizens by providing safe, affordable, and enjoyable options for cultural, educational, recreational, and leisure-time events.

The City's numerous parks, trails, events and activities make our community truly a special place. In the upcoming year, City staff will continue to maintain and improve these facilities, along with looking for ways to help residents and visitors "Find *their* Florida" here in Palm Coast.

Trails – City staff developed the Pedestrian/Bicycle Master Plan with the project priorities set by City Council almost three years ago. City staff aggressively pursued grants to accomplish many of the projects and I am proud to say that the City now has approximately 125 miles of trails for residents to





City Manager Budget Letter Page 5 of 6

enjoy. In the upcoming fiscal year, City staff will begin work on one of the few remaining sections, Seminole Woods Parkway, with the assistance of Community Development Block Grant funding.

Special Events – The City continues to expand the number and scope of events hosted at Central Park to provide residents additional opportunities for cultural and recreational events. In addition, these special events attract visitors and keep existing residents here on the weekends to spend their disposable income right here in Palm Coast. City staff will continue to work to expand these events to attract more visitors and provide residents an enjoyable experience.

> Goal 6 Workforce Talent

To develop and implement a comprehensive plan to improve City employee skills and performance through education and training; performance management; and personal development opportunities.

The Strategic Action Plan adopted by City Council included a goal specifically focused on employee development, which represents a strong commitment to have a dedicated and skilled workforce. In the upcoming fiscal year, City staff will work towards this goal by continuing to develop our workforce and provide motivation, so that our employees are knowledgeable and provide excellent service to our citizens.

LITE Team – During the past fiscal year, we implemented a management development program for professional employees interested in developing their skills while taking challenges beyond primary roles and expertise. Through the Leadership Intern Training Experience (LITE) team concept, employees are provided the opportunity to work directly with the City Manager and other members of top management. The LITE teams will continue to finish important projects during the upcoming fiscal year and we plan on evaluating the program to determine its effectiveness and determine if other teams should be formed to tackle upcoming challenges.

Two teams were specifically formed to help address the City Council goal of providing personal development opportunities for City employees. One team, known as EAT, is developing and implementing a comprehensive training program for all City employees. Another team, known as BAM, is focusing on identifying, developing and implementing programs that would motivate City employees.

Pay Plan Reinstituted – During the budgeting process, the City Council reinstituted the pay plan that was suspended during the economic downturn. This commitment by City Council to keep our pay plan competitive will aid in retaining exceptional staff with significant institutional knowledge and ensure our investment in our employees continues to pay dividends of efficiency and effectiveness.

LOOKING FORWARD

In closing, I am more encouraged than ever about the future of Palm Coast. This past fiscal year, City staff met the challenge of delivering quality services with less resources to do so, while maintaining citizen satisfaction, as reflected in the Annual Citizens Survey. Those citizens who had interacted with an





City Manager Budget Letter Page 6 of 6

employee of the City of Palm Coast gave high marks to those employees and most rated their overall impression of employees as "excellent" or "good." In addition, of the 34 city services for which comparisons were available, 29 were above or similar to the national benchmark.

With a strong City Council vision, mission statement, and goals as our guide, along with exceptional employees to take on the challenges ahead and implement City Council's Strategic Action Plan, I have no doubt that in 2013 our community is poised for economic growth and prosperity. While our City will continue to face challenges related to funding improvements to our aging infrastructure, previous City Council decisions and direction have provided a stable foundation upon which to meet those challenges. And with motivated City employees, I am optimistic that we will continue to meet those challenges while maintaining high citizen satisfaction with City programs and services.

On behalf of all City employees, we look forward to a prosperous and successful 2013, as we continue to make Palm Coast, Florida's premier City in which to live, work, and play.

Sincerely,

Jim Landon City Manager





Organizational Chart



Please Note: Police protection is provided by contract with the Flagler County Sheriffs Office.



Implementing a Vision

Over the course of five (5) months, the City of Palm Coast City Council participated in an exhaustive planning exercise that consisted of interviews and workshop events to reaffirm a City-wide Mission, Values and Long-term Vision. During this process, City Council was provided a Leadership Guide tool that housed core planning elements to better assist with the Strategic Action Plan development process.

Leadership Guide Elements included, but were not limited to:

- ⇒ Core Values to the Strategic Action Plan Process
- ⇒ Five-Year Progress Report (2007-2012 Fiscal Years)
- \Rightarrow 2035 Comprehensive Plan Objectives and Policies
- ⇒ 2011 National Citizen Survey Report
- \Rightarrow 2023 Strategic Plan Goals and Objectives

Through City of Palm Coast City Council's direction, the Vision will be implemented through six (6) Long-term Goals and supporting Short-term **Objectives** and Strategies. In comparison to previous years, an additional tier of organization was established to bridge a closer relationship with implementing the Vision and Fiscal Year budgetary commitments. Approaches Through (Annual projects), City staff track activities, budget, performance and overall accountability of the pursuit of the City's Vision.



Implementing a Vision



| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Administration | Community Development | Engineering & Stormwater | Finance | Fire | IT&C | Parks and Recreation | Public Works |
|--|--|---|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|------|------|----------------------|--------------|
| Goal 2: Economic | To develop an evaluation matrix to assess the implementation of Prosperity 2021 | Inventory progress to date | | | | | • | | | |
| To develop and maintain a strong economy by supporting the growth and development of new and | To develop a "branding and marketing strategy" and establish criteria to measure success | Expand use of "Find Your Florida" brand; campaign City's economic strengths and opportunities; support event activities with positive economic impact | | | | | | | | |
| existing businesses while creating an environment to attract new companies that align with our values | To promote the Palm Coast Business Assistance Center (BAC) as the destination center for small business training and support in Flagler County | Develop a branding strategy, create programs to assist small business; develop education programs to reacher broader participation in Flagler County and across Florida; strengthen BAC partner effort; increase Private sector financial support for BAC | | | | | | | | |
| Vision: To be | | Florida's premier cities in which to live, work | (2 | and | p | lay | | | | |

Implementing a Vision



| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Administration | Community Development | Engineering & Stormwater | Finance | | IT&C | Parks and Recreation | Public Works |
|---|--|---|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------|------|----------------------|--------------|
| | | Short-Term | | A | pproa | A hoe | ssign | ment | 5 | |
| | To develop programs to enhance our water conservation strategies | Utilize nature's water supply resources effectively for water supply; target expansion of reclaimed water | | | | | | | | |
| Goal 4: Environmental To blend our residential and commercial properties with our "City of Parks and Trails" | To evaluate the evolution of City of Palm Coast Recreation and Parks Facilities Master Plan projects | Develop a phased approach to financing and constructing Long Creek Nature Preserve concept; build bicycle/pedestrian connections; identify user experiences through technological and innovative design enhancements | | | | | | | | |
| image to create a sustainable framework of visual appeal while caring for our land, water, air and wildlife | Evalute current "Green" initiatives and targeted projects that are sustainable | Reduce waste; staying in front of sustainable communities in the State of Florida; develop Alternative Energy Strategy; implement City-wide energy savings program | | | | | | | | |
| | Protect the environment through appropriate development strategies | Protect natural green spaces | | | | | | | | |

Implementing a Vision

| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Administration | Community Development | Engineering & Stormwater | | Fire | | Public Works |
|---|--|---|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------|-----|--------------|
| | | Short-Term | | A | pproa | ch As | signmi | nts | _ |
| Goal 5: Quality of Life | Enhance community and visitors' recreational opportunities and experiences at community events | Identify fun and interactive elements into community events; promote the variety of local leisure and recreational activities | | | | | | | |
| To enhance the quality of life for our citizens by providing safe, affordable, and enjoyable options for cultural, educational, recreational, and | Enhance safety measures throughout the community | Identify enhancements to reduce hazards in parks; enhance safety improvements at intersections and along roadways; seek partnerships to educate public; improve ISO standards; target natural hazard mitigation opportunities; Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan training | | | | | | | |
| leisure-time events | Seek partnerships with educational institutions to expand community educational and cultural opportunities | Share resources between organiziation to broaden experiences; expand outreach opportunities to identify and network resources | | | | | | | |

| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Administration | Community Development | Engineering & Stormwater | Finance | Fire | 1T&C | Parks and Recreation | Public Works |
|--|---|---|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------|------|----------------------|--------------|
| | To develop a program to | Short-Term | | A | p proa | ach A | ssigr | ment | s | _ |
| | To develop a program to improve staff retention and recognize individual skills and talents | Establish a program to identify individual skills and foster improvement of professional skills; assess pay rates and benefits; develop an Employee Motivation and Reward Program | | | | | | | | |
| Goal 6: Workforce Talent To develop and implement a comprehensive plan to improve City employee skills and performance through education and training; performance management; and personal development | To develop in-house and identify external training opportunities for employees | Create a comprehensive training program; assess staff positions, training, certification, public policy needs that benefit the Organization and the Community | | | | | | | | |
| | To enhance awareness of customer service relationships with our citizens | Establish a program that solicits customer feedback and ensure follow-up consultation; develop a reach-out initiative to enhance community awareness of City services; create a customer service element to City-wide training program | | | | | | | | |
| opportunities | To coordinate with regional public and private educational institutions to identify partnership opportunities which benefit both parties | Seek and solicit student internship opportunities; enhance faculty relationships and partnership opportunities; assist with curriculum development to develop future employees | | | | | | | | |



This page intentionally left blank

The Perfect Place to Live...

Before 1969, land that would eventually become the City of Palm Coast was considered by some as nothing more than a "big pine-covered swamp." But when the corporate eyes of ITT/ Levitt looked upon the virtually uninhabited land, they saw 22,000 acres of golf courses, marinas, oceanfront motels, scenic drives, and house lots awaiting the arrival of sun-seeking "pioneers." Marketing strategies targeting urban residents in the north and midwest offered slices of land cut out of miles of forests, and soon a 500-mile infrastructure of roads, utilities, and sewer lines bound Palm Coast to a future that included becoming the largest planned unit development in Florida history.

International Telephone and Telegraph Corporation (ITT) began as an international communications firm in the 1930s. It grew to become a multinational corporation by 1968 with an income estimated over \$7 billion. From the outset ITT provided the financial muscle to purchase large tracts of land and pay the enormous cost of constructing an infrastructure to create a huge development in rural Flagler County, Florida. The man in charge of the Palm Coast development from its inception until 1975 was Levitt and Son's Dr. Norman Young whose marketing group planned and named this project.

In a February 1970 report to the Flagler Chamber, Dr. Young projected the completion of a sales/model center, a golf course, and homes for the residents by the end of the year. The first building erected, the Welcome Center, served as the hub for sales activities and was surrounded by pleasant walkways leading to a dozen model homes. The 64-foot high observation tower provided panoramic views of the surrounding woods, lakes, streams, Intracoastal Waterway (ICW), and Atlantic Ocean. It presided over a golf course, model homes, canals, and early home construction that was to become the "core area" of Palm Coast.



At first there were no public roads. Earliest visitors came from Route A1A to a small dock on the east side of the ICW and proceeded by boat to the Welcome Center on the main canal. When the prospective buyers arrived, they were taken by elevator to the top of the tower. Much of the land was sold sight unseen from a platted map for as low as \$3,500 by the sales person, pointing away from the tower and saying, "It's out there somewhere."

The Welcome Center was the only public building in Palm Coast for almost two years after the earliest "pioneers" occupied their homes in January 1972. In later years the building, models, boat docks, and road access were updated. The center hosted a continuous stream of visitors and buyers who received their first look at an area being advertised as possibly "the perfect place to live." Much of the tremendous growth in Palm Coast through the early 1990s came from sales generated at the Welcome Center. It was sold after ITT left the community in 1995 and the building was torn down.

From their start in 1969 until ITT withdrew in 1995, the corporation essentially provided most of the services and leadership in Palm Coast. They had planned, built, and maintained a model environmental community. In a unique private/ government relationship, ITT had financed Palm Coast's most necessary improvements. The interchange at I-95 and the Hammock Dunes bridge were funded at relatively unnoticeable cost to local taxpayers and the state. As ITT withdrew from the Palm Coast community in the years from 1994 to 1996, the void left by its departure was increasingly felt in the community.



The complicated and often contentious process of incorporation began. Flagler County

residents' opposition groups debated. The county authorized a feasibility study, the state legislative delegation sponsored incorporation, and the Florida state government approved the referendum.

On September 21, one week after Hurricane Floyd postponed the vote, more than 60% of the nearly 12,000 voters casting ballots in the referendum had opted to turn the unincorporated population center of Flagler County into a city. On December 31, 1999 residents of Palm Coast not only celebrated the end of a millennium and a century, but a new year and a new city.

The City of Palm Coast's population on January 1, 2000 is estimated at 29,360. The mayor, city council, and city manager all seemed to agree that this first year's emphasis should be on planning rather than forging ahead without giving sufficient thought to the complicated problems facing a new city.

Originally, city business was done in twoand-a-half rooms of the present Community Center. In March, newly chosen city manager Richard Kelton arranged to rent office space at the former ITT headquarters building at One Corporate Drive. By May, the Flagler County Commission turned over the former county library to be renovated and used by the city as its first "permanent" city hall. A public opening celebration was held on October 26, 2000.

In 2001, providing residents with essential government services and promoting the community's economic growth were two major goals. As a result of joint meetings of Palm Coast City Council and Flagler County Commissioners,

the county turned over many parcels of land to the city benefiting fire, public works, recreation and parks, and the locating of new schools by the board of education. At the same time, the city began studying the acquisition of its water sources.

In future years, Palm Coast purchased their own water company, relocated their city hall after selling their building to bring in a new business and annexed 5,800 acres in the northwest corner of Flagler County near the St. John's County line. Most significant to the city's future was the approval of a large site for Town Center which would provide the city with 1 million feet of office space, 2 million feet of retail/commercial space, 750,000 feet of institutional buildings, a 2,400-seat movie theater, and 240 nursing home beds. City Council passage started the process of seeking approval of the massive DRI by state agencies.



By 2004, Palm Coast residents numbered 50,000 and its designation as a micropolitan city was announced.

By the end of 2005, Palm Coast was officially designated as the "fastest growing

micropolitan area" in the country by the United States Census Bureau. The population had more than doubled to over 64,500 in the six years since incorporation

In 2006, Cobblestone Village started construction, Palm Coast was named "Tree City USA" by the National Arbor Day Foundation, and a room was provided on the side of city hall for the Palm Coast Historical Society. The city saw an increase in the amount of commercial construction activity in 2006, especially in the new Town Center where Walgreens and Publix, the first retail stores, opened on the west side of the site. Town Center is to be the "heart of Palm Coast."

Wal-Mart had opened in Palm Coast in 1987 and upgraded to a supercenter thereafter and now a newly constructed four-lane road is prepared to accommodate a future second store. The grand opening of the Target Superstore in July 2008 provided a great stimulus to the shops at Town Center. The city had reason to celebrate its "dynamic decade" at their 10th anniversary.

Palm Coast started the year 2013 with approximately 76,450 residents. Newspapers have reported a 2% drop in the unemployment rate and home sales are reported to be at a seven -year high. In a general spirit of recovery, Palm Coast seems well suited to continue its well-paced continued growth that is the mark of a well-planned community. There are reasonably priced home sites in all sections of the city and the northwestern part has a huge acreage with infrastructure in place accommodate to commerce, industry, and new residents to join us in enjoying our way of life.

Following is a time line of the most significant openings and events of ITT Corporation

1970 – Welcome Center opens.

1971 – First new road in Palm Coast opens and home construction begins. Palm Coast Golf Club (now Palm Harbor) opens first nine holes.

1972 – First residents move into homes on Casper Drive. Palm Coast Yacht Club (now a Centex project) opens.

1973 – First small business (Handy Way Convenience Center) opens.

1974 – Sheraton Palm Coast Inn on the ocean opens (later it becomes the site of the Hammock Dunes clubhouse). Palm Coast Flagler County High School, on ICDC-donated land, is built.

1975 – Palm Coast Service District is formed, the first step toward home rule. Alan Smolen replaces Dr. Norman Young as president of ICDC.

1976 – St. Mark by the Sea, the city's first church, opens. Palm Coast Fire District is created.

1977 – Decca Marine, the city's first industrial firm, opens.

1978 – A state-mandated Comprehensive Land Use Plan limits ITT to 42,000 aces of development and a maximum of 224,000 people.

1979 – Palm Harbor Shopping Center, anchored by Publix and Eckerd's, opens as does Belle Terre Swim and Racket Club.

1980 – Palm Coast Marina opens.

1981 – I-95 interchange opens.

1982 – Flagler County Humane Society opens animal shelter.

1983 – Flagler County Library opens in Palm Harbor Shopping Center; Daytona Beach Community College opens a branch campus on ICDC-donated land.

1984 – Hammock Dunes development approved.

1985 – Jim Gardner replaces Alan Smolen as ICDC president.

1986 – The Players Club, site of USTA-sponsored tennis tournaments, opens.

1987 – Remodeled Sheraton Resort replaces former Yacht Club. Wadsworth Elementary School opens.

1988 – Hammock Dunes toll bridge over ICW opens with big celebration.

1989 – ITT's Admiral Corporation builds Hammock Dunes and Island Estates.

1990 – Palm Coast population reaches 18,556.

1991 – Old King's Elementary School and Flagler Auditorium open.

1994 – ITT CEO states company is looking to sell off most of its Palm Coast assets.

1995 – I-95 intersection gets four lanes. Major selling of assets continues. Grand Haven construction begins.

1997 – Palm Coast Civic Association and Flagler County Citizens League combine to foster incorporation of a city to fill the void left by ITT.

This short history represents the author's continuing love affair with the Palm Coast community. The people who pioneered Palm Coast formed a partnership with the developer. ITT, together overcoming frontier obstacles in a spirit of mutual respect, active participation and community cooperation. Each contributed to some phase of a rich full life in a beautiful environmental setting. This author believes that ITT was successful in its 25 years of building and managing a planned growth community. It is my hope that Palm Coast's present remarkable growth can be sustained and managed and that people will continue their cooperative its community spirit channeled toward an even richer fuller life in pursuit of "the perfect place to live."

Art Dycke, City Historian (Volunteer), Kay Stafford, Editor, THE PALM COAST HISTORIAN

Our City, at a glance...

STATISTICAL INFORMATION

| INITIAL INCORPORATION: | December 31, 1999 | |
|---|-------------------|--|
| FORM OF GOVERNMENT: | Council / Manager | |
| CITY POPULATION: | 76,450 | |
| AREA: Square Miles | 81 | 1.4 |
| LEISURE SERVICE FACILITIES: | | A |
| Community Centers | 1 | |
| Swimming Pools | 1 | |
| Baseball / Softball Fields | 8 | |
| Basketball Courts | 5 | 2 |
| Bocce Ball Courts | 3 | Mart Balling Planter and |
| Handball / Raquetball Courts | 6 | |
| Shuffleboard Courts | 2 | |
| Tennis Courts | 17 | |
| Volleyball Courts (Sand) | 2 | - alter and aller to |
| Playgrounds | 8 | |
| PUBLIC SAFETY: | | |
| Fire Stations | 5 | All and a second se |
| Firefighters / Volunteers | 57 / 27 | |
| Law Enforcement (Contract Service) | - | A COMPANY |
| FACILITIES: | | |
| Miles of Paved Streets | 551 | the first fi |
| Miles of Unpaved Streets | 0.5 | |
| Miles of Sidewalks, Walkways, and Bikepaths | 91 | |
| Number of Street Lights | 2,942 | |
| Number of Traffic Signals | 52 | |
| Number of Public Buildings | 100 | Alter Sand |
| CITY UTILITIES: | | the second second |
| Water Customers | 37,401 | Contraction of Contraction |
| Wastewater Customers | 35,313 | |
| Solid Waste Customers | 32,549 | The state |
| Stormwater Customers | 50,104 | |
| SCHOOL ENROLLMENT: K-12 | 13,000 | |
| | | |

ind Your Florida

17

POPULATION STATISTICS

| Fiscal Year Ended | Palm Coast Population | % Change During the Period | Flagler County Population | % Change During the Period |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1999 | 30,767 | | 45,818 | |
| 2000 | 32,732 | 6.39% | 49,832 | 8.76% |
| 2001 | 35,443 | 8.28% | 53,061 | 6.48% |
| 2002 | 38,348 | 8.20% | 57,709 | 8.76% |
| 2003 | 42,850 | 11.74% | <mark>61,618</mark> | 6.77% |
| 2004 | 50,484 | 17.82% | 69,683 | 13.09% |
| 2005 | 58,216 | 15.32% | 78,617 | 12.82% |
| 2006 | 67,832 | 16.52% | 89,075 | 13.30% |
| 2007 | 70,376 | 3.75% | 93,568 | 5.04% |
| 2008 | 74,590 | 5.99% | 95,512 | 2.08% |
| 2009 | 73,910 | -0.91% | 94,901 | -0.64% |
| 2010 | 74,067 | 0.21% | 94,905 | 0.00% |
| 2011 | 75,180 | 1.50% | <mark>95,361</mark> | 0.48% |
| 2012 | 76,450 | 1.69% | 97,376 | 2.11% |

MILLAGE RATE COMPARISON

| | | 2011 | Rank | 2012 | Rank | |
|----------------|----|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| | | Operating | (Low to | Operating | (Low to | Contraction of |
| Jurisdiction | l | Millage | High) | Millage | High) | |
| Beverly Beach | | 2.3 | 1 | 2.3 | 1 | |
| Bunnell | | 6.9506 | 6 | 6.9506 | 5 | AL PART |
| Flagler Beach | | 4.85 | 4 | 5.1244 | 4 | |
| Flagler County | * | 6.2232 | 5 | 7.08 | 6 | States And Al |
| Marineland | | 7.3823 | 7 | 9.3912 | 8 | Contraction of the second |
| Ormond Beach | ** | 4.2014 | 3 | 4.0132 | 2 | |
| Palm Coast | | 3.54 | 2 | 4.1502 | 3 | and the second |
| St. Augustine | | 7.5 | 8 | 7.5 | 7 | |
| | | • | agler County is t idents in Flagle | the General Fund m er Countv. | nillage, | |
| | | s debt service r | - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2 | 8233 |





Quick Facts (this data provided by the US Census Bureau)

| Population, 2011 estimate | 76,499 |
|---|---------|
| Population, percent change, April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2011 | 1.80% |
| Persons under 5 years, percent, 2010 | 5.40% |
| Persons under 18 years, percent, 2010 | 21.40% |
| Persons 65 years and over, percent, 2010 | 23.00% |
| Female persons, percent, 2010 | 52.20% |
| White persons, percent, 2010 (a) | 79.90% |
| Black persons, percent, 2010 (a) | 12.70% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native persons, percent, 2010 (a) | 0.30% |
| Asian persons, percent, 2010 (a) | 2.50% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander, percent, 2010 (a) | 0.10% |
| Persons of Hispanic or Latino origin, percent, 2010 (b) | 10.00% |
| White persons not Hispanic, percent, 2010 | 72.80% |
| Living in same house 1 year & over, percent, 2007-2011 | 86.70% |
| Foreign born persons, percent, 2007-2011 | 15.30% |
| Language other than English spoken at home, percent age 5+, 2007-2011 | 17.40% |
| Veterans, 2007-2011 | 8,310 |
| Mean travel time to work (minutes), workers age 16+, 2007-2011 | 24.8 |
| Homeownership rate, 2007-2011 | 79.50% |
| Housing units in multi-unit structures, percent, 2007-2011 | 5.10% |
| Median value of owner-occupied housing units, 2007-2011 | 197,000 |
| Households, 2007-2011 | 27,677 |
| Persons per household, 2007-2011 | 2.65 |
| Per capita money income in the past 12 months (2011 dollars), 2007-2011 | 22,929 |
| | |

Median household income, 2007-2011 Land area in square miles, 2010 Persons per square mile, 2010



48,594

90

837

Utility Rate Comparison

| | Water Charge | | Wastewater Charge | |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Residential | Rank | Residential | Rank |
| Jurisdiction | (4,000 gallons) | (Low to High) | (4,000 gallons) | (Low to High) |
| Bunnell | \$37.17 | 5 | \$42.76 | 5 |
| Flagler Beach | \$35.88 | 4 | \$28.88 | 3 |
| Flagler County | \$55.04 | 6 | \$33.30 | 4 |
| Ormond Beach | \$26.51 | 1 | \$24.04 | 1 |
| Palm Coast | \$29.54 | 2 | \$25.10 | 2 |
| St. Augustine | \$35.54 | 3 | \$46.47 | 6 |

| | Solid Waste | | Stormwater | |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Charge | Rank | Charge | Rank |
| Jurisdiction | (Residential) | (Low to High) | (Residential) | (Low to High) |
| Bunnell | \$18.31 | 4 | N/A | N/A |
| Flagler Beach | \$15.37 | 1 | \$4.00 | 1 |
| Flagler County | \$20.78 | 6 | N/A | N/A |
| Ormond Beach | \$16.48 | 2 | \$8.00 | 3 |
| Palm Coast | \$19.82 | 5 | \$11.65 | 3 |
| St. Augustine | \$16.61 | 3 | \$5.00 | 2 |



Employment Data

Flagler County, Top 10 Employers

- 1. Flagler County Schools: 1,741
- 2. Palm Coast Data: 1,100
- 3. Florida Hospital Flagler: 900
- 4. Publix: 560
- 5. Hammock Beach Resort: 475
- 6. Wal-Mart: 425
- 7. City of Palm Coast: 386
- 8. Sea Ray Boats Inc.: 375
- 9. County of Flagler: 297
- 10. Flagler County Sheriff's Office: 264

SOURCE: Flagler County Chamber of Commerce





START/FINIS

Employment Data



Our residents were not immune to the nationwide unemployment crisis that occurred over the last several years. However, records indicate that the unemployment rate for the City continues to decline significantly since it reached an all time high in 2009. We believe the commercial development in our area and the improving state-wide economy is having a positive effect on this rate for our area.



Principal Property Tax Payers

| Owner Name | Tot | al Taxable Value |
|--------------------------------|-----|------------------|
| FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY | \$ | 63,726,395.00 |
| INLAND DIVERSIFIED PALM COAST | \$ | 18,670,588.00 |
| BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS I | \$ | 14,017,204.00 |
| INTEGRA WOODS LLC | \$ | 13,181,928.00 |
| BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS | \$ | 11,703,121.00 |
| FLORIDA LANDMARK COMMUNITIES | \$ | 10,952,303.00 |
| PALM COAST MEDICAL SPECIALISTS | \$ | 10,889,829.00 |
| WAL-MART STORES EAST LP | \$ | 10,430,958.00 |
| CELEBRITY RESORTS OF PALM | \$ | 10,346,400.00 |
| TARGET CORPORATION T-2364 | \$ | 9,800,000.00 |





City Aerial map



Voting Districts





This page intentionally left blank


Executive Summary

Find Your Florida



This page intentionally left blank

Financial Policies

The City Council has taken a conservative approach to the finances of the City while providing for the protection of the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Palm Coast through a full range of efficient and effective municipal services. Within the City Council's overall vision for the City, two primary financial policies have been established. The first is to ensure the long term financial viability of the City and the second is to diversify and strengthen the City's economy.

Financial Planning

The City will adopt a balanced budget as required by Florida Statute, Chapter 166. The budget is balanced when the amount available from taxation and other sources, including amounts carried over from prior fiscal years, equals the total appropriations for expenditures and reserves.

Revenue

User fees and charges will be sufficient to cover the cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged. Recreation and park fees are not intended to cover the full cost of services. These services will be supplemented so that all citizens have the opportunity to utilize these activities and resources regardless of economic condition.

The City will use one-time revenues for nonrecurring expenditures. One-time revenues would include grants, prior year surplus, or other revenues that are not likely to occur in future years. Non-recurring expenditures would include special programs or capital purchases that would not require the same expenditure in future years.

Expenditure

The City will issue debt only for capital expenditures. The term of the debt will not be for a period longer than the expected life of the

asset. Debt for a period longer than three years or more than \$15 million may not be issued without voter consent unless the debt is funded.

The City will maintain reserves of at least fifteen percent in its four operating funds.

The City Council will receive a monthly comparison of actual expenditures to budget. The City Manager will recommend any adjustments to the budget that may be required during the year.

Fund Balance

The General Fund Unassigned fund balance, at year end, will be between ten percent and twenty percent of the following year's budgeted Unassigned fund balance over expenditures. twenty percent may be transferred to the Disaster Reserve Fund, if necessary to meet the fund balance goal, or to the Capital Projects Fund. If the Unassigned fund balance is below ten percent at year end, a plan must be developed using increased revenues or a reduction in expenditures to return the Unassigned fund balance to ten percent or greater within three years. If economic conditions cause a temporary loss of revenue, the unassigned fund balance may be used to help alleviate the effects of the loss of revenue. If the unassigned fund balance exceeds ten percent of the following year's budgeted General Fund expenditures, up to 50% of the amount over the ten percent minimum may be used to offset the shortfall. At no time should the adjusted unassigned fund balance be used to offset a structural deficit since these types of deficiencies are considered to be permanent.

The Utility Fund Unrestricted net assets, at year end, will be between ten percent and twenty percent of the following year's budgeted

expenses. Unrestricted net assets over twenty percent will be assigned to a rate stabilization fund or transferred to the Utility Capital Projects Fund for utility system renewal and replacement. If the Unrestricted net assets are below ten percent at year end, a plan must be developed using increased revenues or a reduction in expenses to return the Unrestricted net assets to ten percent or greater within three years.

The Stormwater Fund Unrestricted net assets, at year end, will be between ten percent and twenty percent of the following year's budgeted capital expenses excluding expenditures. Unrestricted net assets over twenty percent will be assigned for future system capital projects. If the Unrestricted net assets are below ten percent at year end, a plan must be developed using increased revenues or a reduction in expenses to return the Unrestricted net assets to ten percent or greater within three years.

The Solid Waste Fund Unrestricted net assets, at year end, will be between five percent and ten percent of the following year's budgeted operating expenses. Unrestricted net assets over ten percent will be assigned to a rate stabilization fund. If the Unrestricted net assets are below five percent at year end, a plan must be developed using increased revenues or a reduction in expenses to return the Unrestricted net assets to five percent or greater within three years.

The Tennis Center Fund and the Golf Course Fund Unrestricted net assets, at year end, will be between five percent and ten percent of the following year's budgeted operating expenses. Unrestricted net assets over ten percent will be assigned for future capital projects including renewal and replacements. If the Unrestricted net assets are below five percent at year end, a plan must be developed using increased revenues or a reduction in expenses to return the Unrestricted net assets to ten percent or greater within three years.

The Disaster Reserve committed fund balance. at year end, will be at least two one hundredths percent (0.02%) of the just value of all property in the City on July 1 of that year. If the Disaster Reserve is below the minimum amount at year end, a transfer should be made from the General Fund in an amount sufficient to increase the fund balance above the minimum amount, within two years. The Disaster Reserve can be used to address unanticipated expenditures arising out of a hurricane, tornado, other major weather related events, and/or other massive infrastructure failures or other disasters, whether man-made or caused by emergency procedures nature. using as provided for in the City's Purchasing Policy.

All other funds, including Special Revenue Funds, Capital Project Funds, and Internal Service Funds do not have a fund balance requirement. Fund balances in these funds are dictated by revenue sources and a schedule of capital projects.

All financial policies have been presented to and adopted by City Council via resolution.

INVESTMENT POLICY

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this policy is to set forth the investment objectives and parameters for the management of the funds of the City of Palm Coast, (hereinafter "City"). These policies are designed to ensure the prudent management of public funds, the availability of operating and capital funds when needed, and an investment return competitive with comparable funds and financial market indices.

II. SCOPE

In accordance with Section 218.415, Florida Statues, this investment policy applies to all cash and investments held or controlled by the City and shall be identified as "general operating funds" of the City with the exception of the City's Pension Funds and funds related to the issuance of debt where there are other existing policies or indentures in effect for such funds. Additionally, any future revenues, which investment requirements statutorv have conflicting with this Investment Policy and funds held by state agencies (e.g., Department of Revenue), are not subject to the provisions of this policy.

III. INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES

Safety of Principal

The foremost objective of this investment program is the safety of the principal of those funds within the portfolios. Investment transactions shall seek to keep capital losses at a minimum, whether they are from securities defaults or erosion of market value. To attain this objective, diversification is required in order that potential losses on individual securities do not exceed the income generated from the remainder of the portfolio. From time to time, securities may be traded for other similar securities to improve yield, maturity or credit risk. For these transactions, a loss may be incurred for accounting purposes to achieve optimal investment return, provided any of the following occurs with respect to the replacement security:

- A. The yield has been increased, or
- B. The maturity has been reduced or lengthened, or
- C. The quality of the investment has been improved.

Maintenance of Liquidity

The portfolios shall be managed in such a manner that funds are available to meet reasonably anticipated cash flow requirements in an orderly manner. Periodical cash flow analyses will be completed in order to ensure that the portfolios are positioned to provide sufficient liquidity.

Return on Investment

Investment portfolios shall be designed with the objective of attaining a market rate of return throughout budgetary and economic cycles, taking into account the investment risk constraints and liquidity needs. Return on investment is of least importance compared to the safety and liquidity objectives described above. The core of investments is limited to relatively low risk securities in anticipation of earning a fair return relative to the risk being assumed.

IV. DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY

In accordance with the City's Charter, the responsibility for providing oversight and direction in regard to the management of the program resides with the City investment Manager. The management responsibility for all City funds in the investment program and investment transactions is delegated to the City's Finance Director. The Finance Director shall establish written procedures for the operation of the investment portfolio and a system of internal accounting and daily investment trades and to procedures for regulate the activities of employees.

V. STANDARDS OF PRUDENCE

The standard of prudence to be used by investment officials shall be the "Prudent Person" standard and shall be applied in the context of managing the overall investment program. Investment officers acting in accordance with written procedures and this investment policy and exercising due diligence shall be relieved of personal responsibility for an individual security's credit risk or market price changes, provided deviations from expectation are reported to the City Council in a timely fashion and the liquidity and the sale of securities are carried out in accordance with the terms of this policy. The "Prudent Person" rule states the following:

Investments should be made with judgment and care, under circumstances then prevailing, which persons of prudence, discretion and intelligence exercise in the management of their own affairs, not for speculation, but for investment, considering the probable safety of their capital as well as the probable income to be derived from the investment.

While the standard of prudence to be used by investment officials who are officers or employees is the "Prudent Person" standard, any person or firm hired or retained to invest, monitor. or advise concerning these assets shall be held to the higher standard of "Prudent Expert". The standard shall be that in investing and reinvesting moneys and in acquiring, retaining. managing. and disposing of investments of these funds, the contractor shall exercise: the judgment, care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing. which persons of prudence, discretion, and intelligence, acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of like character and with like aims by diversifying the investments of the funds, so as to minimize the risk, considering the probable income as well as the probable safety of their capital.

VI. ETHICS AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Employees involved in the investment process shall refrain from personal business activity that could conflict with proper execution of the investment program, or which could impair their ability to make impartial investment Also, employees involved in the decisions. investment process shall disclose to the City Clerk, in accordance with the appropriate state statutes governing conflicts of interest. anv material financial interests in financial institutions that conduct business with the City, and they shall further disclose any material personal financial/investment positions that could be related to the performance of the City's investment program.

The maturities of the underlying securities of a repurchase agreement will follow the requirements of the Master Repurchase Agreement (see page 25 of Attachment A).

VII. INTERNAL CONTROLS AND INVEST-MENT PROCEDURES

The Finance Director shall establish a system of internal controls and operational procedures that are in writing and made a part of the City's operational procedures. The internal controls should be designed to prevent losses of funds, which might arise from fraud, employee error, and misrepresentation, by third parties, or imprudent actions by employees. The written procedures should include reference to safekeeping, repurchase agreements, separation of transaction authority from accounting and record keeping, wire transfer agreements, banking service contracts, collateral/depository "delivery vs. agreements. and payment" procedures. No person may engage in an investment transaction except as authorized under the terms of this policy.

Independent auditors as a normal part of the annual financial audit for the City shall conduct a review of the system of internal controls to ensure compliance with policies and procedures.

VIII. CONTINUING EDUCATION

The Finance Director and appropriate staff shall annually complete a minimum 8 hours of continuing education in subjects or courses of study related to investment practices and products.

IX. AUTHORIZED INVESTMENT INSTITUTIONS AND DEALERS

Authorized City staff and Investment Advisors shall only purchase securities from financial institutions, which are Qualified Institutions by the City or institutions designated as "Primary Securities Dealers" by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Authorized City staff and Investment Advisors shall only enter into repurchase agreements with financial institutions that are Qualified Institutions and Primary Securities Dealers as designated by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The City's Investment Advisor(s) shall utilize and maintain a list of approved primary and non-primary securities dealers. The Finance Director and/or designee shall maintain a list of financial institutions and broker/dealers that are approved for investment purposes and only firms meeting the following requirements will be eligible to serve as Qualified Institutions:

- regional dealers that qualify under Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15C3-1 (uniform net capital rule);
- 2. Capital of no less than \$10,000,000;
- 3. registered as a dealer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;
- 4. member of the National Association of Dealers (NASD);
- 5. registered to sell securities in Florida; and
- 6. the firm and assigned broker have been engaged in the business of effecting transactions in U.S. government and agency obligations for at least five (5) consecutive years.
- 7. Public Depositories qualified by the Treasurer of the State of Florida, in accordance with Chapter 280, Florida Statutes.

All brokers, dealers and other financial institutions deemed to be Qualified Institutions shall be provided with current copies of the City's Investment Policy. A current audited financial statement is required to be on file for each financial institution and broker/dealer with which the City transacts business.

X. MATURITY AND LIQUIDITY REQUIREMENTS

To the extent possible, an attempt will be made to match investment maturities with known cash needs and anticipated cash flow requirements. Investments of current operating funds should have maturities of no longer than twenty-four (24) months.

Investments of bond reserves, construction funds, and other non-operating funds ("core funds") shall have a term appropriate to the need for funds and in accordance with debt covenants, but should not exceed ten (10) years.

From time to time the above parameters may require modification in order to meet specific construction draw schedules or other predetermined operating, capital needs or to satisfy debt obligations but in no event shall exceed thirty (30) years.

XI. COMPETITIVE SELECTION OF INVESTMENT INSTRUMENTS

After the Finance Director has determined the approximate maturity date based on cash flow needs and market conditions and has analyzed and selected one or more optimal types of investments, a minimum of three (3) Qualified Institutions and/or Primary Dealers must be contacted by the Investment Advisor and asked provide bids/offers on securities to in auestions. Bids will be held in confidence until the bid deemed to best meet the investment objectives is determined and selected.

However, if obtaining bids/offers are not feasible and appropriate, securities may be purchased utilizing the comparison to current market price method on an exception basis. Acceptable current market price providers include, but are not limited to:

- B. Bloomberg Information Systems
- C. Wall Street Journal or a comparable nationally recognized financial publication providing daily market pricing

Daily market pricing provided by the City's custodian or their correspondent institutions

The Investment Advisor shall utilize the competitive bid process to select the securities to be purchased or sold. Selection by comparison to a current market price, as indicated above, shall only be utilized when, in judgment of the Investment Advisor and/or the Finance Director, competitive bidding would inhibit the selection process.

Examples of when the City may use this method include:

- 1. When time constraints due to unusual circumstances preclude the use of the competitive bidding process
- 2. When no active market exists for the issue being traded due to the age or depth of the issue
- 3. When a security is unique to a single dealer, for example, a private placement
- 4. When the transaction involves new issues or issues in the "when issued" market

Overnight sweep investments or repurchase agreements will not be bid, but may be placed with the City's depository bank relating to the demand account for which the sweep investments or repurchase agreement was purchased.

A. Telerate Information System

XII. AUTHORIZED INVESTMENTS AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Investments should be made subject to the cash flow needs and such cash flows are subject to revisions as market conditions and the City's needs change. However, when the invested funds are needed in whole or in part for the purpose originally intended or for more optimal investments, the Finance Director or designee may sell the investment at the then-prevailing market price and place the proceeds into the proper account at the City's custodian.

The following are the investment requirements and allocation limits on security

types, issuers, and maturities as established by the City. The Finance Director or designee shall have the option to further restrict investment percentages from time to time based on market conditions, risk and diversification investment strategies. The percentage allocations requirements for investment types and issuers are calculated based on the original cost of each investment. Investments not listed in this policy are prohibited.

The allocation limits and security types do not apply to the investment of debt proceeds. These investments shall be governed by the debt covenant included in the debt instrument.

| Authorized Investment- Sector Type | Maximum Allocation | Individual Issuer Limit |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Florida PRIME Fund | 25% | N/A |
| United States Government Securities | 100% | N/A |
| United States Government Agencies | 75% | 50% |
| Federal Instrumentalities (United States Government Sponsored Enterprises "GSE") | 80% | 40% |
| Mortgage Backed Securities "MBS" | 20% | 15% |
| Interest Bearing Time Deposit or Savings Accounts | 25% | 15% |
| Repurchase Agreements | 50% | 25% |
| Commercial Paper | 30% | 10% |
| Corporate Notes | 15% | 5% |
| Bankers' Acceptances | 30% | 10% |
| State and/or Local Government Taxable and/or Tax-Exempt Debt | 20% | 5% |
| Registered Investment Companies (Money Market Mutual Funds) | 50% | 25% |
| Intergovernmental Investment Pools | 25% | N/A |

A. Florida PRIME

1. Investment Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in Florida PRIME.

2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 25% of available funds may be invested in Florida PRIME.

3. Florida PRIME shall be rated "AAAm" by Standard & Poor's or the equivalent by another Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO") and the published objectives of the fund must agree with the Securities and Exchange Commission investment requirement for 2a-7.

4. Due Diligence Requirements

A thorough investigation of Florida PRIME or any money market fund is required prior to investing, and on a continual basis. The Finance Director will utilize the questionnaire contained in Attachment B on page 30. A current prospectus must be obtained and/or current pool documents and portfolio reports.

B. United States Government Securities

1. Purchase Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in direct negotiable obligations, or obligations the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed by the United States Government.

Such securities will include, but not be limited to the following:

- Cash Management Bills
- Treasury Securities State and Local Government Series ("SLGS")
- Treasury Bills
- Treasury Notes
- Treasury Bonds
- Treasury Strips
- 2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 100% of available funds may be invested in the United States Government Securities.

3. Maturity Limitations

The maximum length to maturity of any direct investment in the United States Government Securities is ten (10) years from the date of purchase.

C. United States Government Agencies

1. Purchase Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in bonds, debentures, notes or callable issued or guaranteed by the United States Governments agencies, provided such obligations are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government.

Such securities will include, but not be limited to the following:

- Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA)
- GNMA guaranteed mortgage-backed bonds
- GNMA guaranteed pass-through obligations
- United States Export Import Bank
- Direct obligations or fully guaranteed certificates of beneficial ownership
- Farmer Home Administration
- Certificates of beneficial ownership
- Federal Financing Bank
- Discount notes, notes and bonds
- Federal Housing Administration Debentures
- General Services Administration
- United States Maritime Administration
 Guaranteed
- Title XI Financing
- New Communities Debentures
- United States Government guaranteed debentures
- United States Public Housing Notes and Bonds
- United States Government guaranteed public housing notes and bonds
- United States Department of Housing and Urban Development
- Project notes and local authority bonds
 - 2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 75% of available funds may be invested in United States Government agencies.

3. Limits on Individual Issuers

A maximum of 50% of available funds may be invested in individual United States Government agencies.

4. Maturity Limitations

The maximum length to maturity for an investment in any United States Government agency security is ten (10) years from the date of purchase.

D. Federal Instrumentalities (United States Government Sponsored Enterprises ("GSE"))

1. Purchase Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in bonds, debentures or notes which may be subject to call, issued or guaranteed as to principal and interest by United States Government Sponsored Enterprises (Federal Instrumentalities) which are non-full faith and credit agencies limited to the following:

- Federal Farm Credit Bank (FFCB)
- Federal Home Loan Bank or its district banks (FHLB)
- Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA)
- Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie-Macs)
- 2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 80% of available funds may be invested in Federal Instrumentalities. . The combined total of available funds invested in Federal Instrumentalities and Mortgage Backed Securities cannot be more than 80%.

3. Limits on Individual Issuers

A maximum of 40% of available funds may be invested in any "GSE".

4. Maturity Limitations

The maximum length to maturity for an investment in any Federal Instrumentality security is ten (10) years from the date of purchase.

E. Mortgage-Backed Securities ("MBS")

1. Purchase Authorization

Mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") which are based on mortgages that are guaranteed by a government agency or GSE for payment of principal and a guarantee of timely payment.

2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 20% of available funds may be invested in MBS. The combined total of available funds invested in Federal Instrumentalities and Mortgage Backed Securities cannot be more than 80%.

3. Limits on Individual Issuers

A maximum of 15% of available funds may be invested with any one issuer. The maximum percentage invested in securities of any one issuer is inclusive of mortgage backed securities of same issuer.

4. Maturity Limitations

A maximum length to maturity for an investment in any MBS is seven (7) years from the date of purchase.

The maturity of mortgage securities shall be considered the date corresponding to its average life. This date reflects the point at which an investor will have received back half of the original principal (face) amount. The average life may be different from the stated legal maturity included in a security's description

F. Interest Bearing Time Deposit or Saving Accounts

1. Purchase Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in nonnegotiable interest bearing time certificates of deposit or savings accounts in banks organized under the laws of this state and in national banks organized under the laws of the United States and doing business and situated in the State of Florida. Additionally, the bank shall not be listed with any recognized credit watch information service.

2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 25% of available funds may be invested in non-negotiable interest bearing time certificates of deposit.

3. Limits on Individual Issuers

A maximum of 15% of available funds may be deposited with any one issuer.

The maximum maturity on any certificate shall be no greater than one (1) year from the date of purchase.

G. Repurchase Agreements

1. Purchase Authorization

- A. The Finance Director may invest in repurchase agreements composed of only those investments based on the requirements set forth by the City's Master Repurchase Agreement. All firms are required to sign the Master Repurchase Agreement prior to the execution of a repurchase agreement transaction.
- B. A third party custodian with whom the City has a current custodial agreement shall hold the collateral for all repurchase agreements with a term longer than one (1) business day. A clearly marked receipt that shows evidence of ownership must be supplied to the Finance Director and retained.
- C. Securities authorized for collateral are negotiable direct obligations of the United Government, States Government Agencies, and Federal Instrumentalities with maturities under five (5) years and must have a market value for the principal and accrued interest of 102 percent of the value and for the term of the repurchase agreement. Immaterial short-term deviations from 102 percent requirement are permissible only upon the approval of the Finance Director.
- 2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 50% of available funds may be invested in repurchase agreements excluding one (1) business day agreements and overnight sweep agreements.

3. Limits on Individual Issuers

A maximum of 25% of available funds may be invested with any one institution.

4. Limits on Maturities

The maximum length to maturity of any repurchase agreement is 90 days from the date of purchase.

H. Commercial Paper

1. Purchase Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in commercial paper of any United States company that is rated, at the time or purchase, "Prime-1" by Moody's and "A-1" by Standard & Poor's (prime commercial paper).

2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 30% of available funds may be directly invested in prime commercial paper.

3. Limits on Individual Issuers

A maximum of 10% of available funds may be invested with any one issuer.

4. Maturity Limitations

The maximum length to maturity for prime commercial paper shall be 270 days from the date of purchase.

I. High Grade Corporate Notes

1. Purchase Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in corporate notes issued by corporations organized and operating within the United States or by depository institutions licensed by the United States that have a long term debt rating, at the time of purchase, at a minimum within the single "A" category by any two NRSROs

2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 15% of available funds may be directly invested in corporate notes.

3. Limits on Individual Issuers

A maximum of 5% of available funds may be invested with any one issuer.

4. Maturity Limitations

The maximum length to maturity for corporate notes shall be five (5) years from the date of purchase.

J. Bankers' acceptances

1. Purchase Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in Bankers' acceptances issued by a domestic bank or a federally chartered domestic office of a foreign bank, which are eligible for purchase by the Federal Reserve System, at the time or purchase, the shortterm paper is rated, at a minimum, "P-1" by Moody's Investors Services and "A-1" Standard & Poor's. Additionally, the bank shall not be listed with any recognized credit watch information service.

2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 30% of available funds may be directly invested in Bankers' acceptances

3. Limits on Individual Issuers

A maximum of 10% of available funds may be invested with any one issuer.

4. Maturity Limitations

The maximum length to maturity for Bankers' acceptances shall be 180 days from the date of purchase.

K. State and/or Local Government Taxable and/or Tax-Exempt Debt

1. Purchase Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in state and/ or local government taxable and/or taxexempt debt, general obligation and/or revenue bonds, rated at the time of purchase, at a minimum within the single "A" category by any two NRSROs, for long-term debt, or rated at least "MIG-2" by Moody's and "SP-2" by Standard & Poor's for short-term debt.

2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 20% of available funds may be invested in taxable and tax-exempt debts.

3. Limits on Individual Issuers

A maximum of 5% of available funds may be invested with any one issuer.

4. Maturity Limitations

A maximum length to maturity for an investment in any state or local government debt security is five (5) years from the date of purchase.

L. Registered Investment Companies (Mutual Funds)

1. Investment Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in shares in open-end and no-load fixed-income securities mutual funds provided such funds are registered under the Federal Investment Company Act of 1940 and invest in securities permitted by this policy.

2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 50% of available funds may be invested in mutual funds excluding one (1) business day overnight sweep agreements.

3. Limits of Individual Issuers

A maximum of 25% of available funds may be invested with any one mutual fund.

4. Rating Requirements

The money market mutual funds shall be rated "AAAm" " or better by Standard & Poor's, or the equivalent by another NRSRO.

5. Due Diligence Requirements

A thorough investigation of any money market mutual fund is required prior to investing, and on a continual basis. The Finance Director will utilize the questionnaire contained in Attachment B on page 30. A current prospectus must be obtained.

M. Intergovernmental Investment Pool

1. Investment Authorization

The Finance Director may invest in intergovernmental investment pools that are authorized pursuant to the Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act, as provided in Section 163.01, Florida Statutes and provided that said funds contain no derivatives.

2. Portfolio Composition

A maximum of 25% of available funds may be invested in intergovernmental investment pools. 3. Rating Requirement

The investment pool shall be rated "AAAm" by Standard & Poor's or the equivalent by another NRSRO.

4. Due Diligence Requirements

A thorough review of any investment pool/ fund is required prior to investing, and on a continual basis. The Finance Director will utilize the questionnaire contained in Attachment B on page 30. . A current prospectus must be obtained and/or current pool documents and portfolio reports.

XIII. DERIVATIVES AND REVERSE REPUR-CHASE AGREEMENTS

Investment in any derivative products or the use of reverse repurchase agreements requires specific City Council approval prior to their use. If the City Council approves the use of derivative products, the Finance Director shall develop sufficient understanding of the derivative products and have the expertise to manage them. A "derivative" is defined as a financial instrument the value of which depends on, or is derived from, the value of one or more underlying assets or indices or asset values. If the City Council approves the use of reverse repurchase agreements or other forms of leverage, the investment shall be limited to transactions in which the proceeds are intended to provide liquidity and for which the Finance Director has sufficient resources and expertise to manage them.

XIV. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

In order to assist in the evaluation of the portfolios' performance, the City will use performance benchmarks for short-term and long-term portfolios. The use of benchmarks will allow the City to measure its returns against other investors in the same markets.

A. Investment performance of funds designated as short-term funds and other funds that must maintain a high degree of liquidity will be compared to the return the S&P Rated GIP Index Government 30 -Day Yield. Investments of current operating funds should have maturities of no longer than twenty-four (24) months.

Β. Investment performance of funds designated as core funds and other non-operating funds that have a longer-term investment horizon will be compared to the Merrill Lynch 1-3 Year U.S. Treasury Note Index and the portfolio's total rate of return will be compared to this benchmark. The appropriate index will have a duration and asset mix that approximates the portfolios and will be utilized as a benchmark to be compared to the portfolios' total rate of return. Investments of bond reserves, construction funds, and other non-operating funds ("core funds") should have a term appropriate to the need for funds and in accordance with debt covenants, but should not exceed ten (10) years.

XV. REPORTING

The Finance Director shall provide the City Manager with a "Quarterly Investment Report" that summarizes but not limited to the following:

Recent market conditions, economic developments and anticipated investment conditions. The investment strategies employed in the most recent quarter. A description of all securities held in investment portfolios at quarter-end.

The total rate of return for the quarter and yearto-date versus appropriate benchmarks.

Any areas of policy concern warranting possible revisions to current or planned investment strategies. The market values presented in these reports will be consistent with accounting guidelines in GASB Statement 31.

On an annual basis, the Finance Director shall submit to the City Council a written report on all invested funds. The annual report shall provide all, but not limited to, the following: a complete list of all invested funds, name or type of security in which the funds are invested, the amount invested, the maturity date, earned income, the book value, the market value, the yield on each investment.

The annual report will show performance on both a book value and total rate of return basis and will compare the results to the above-stated performance benchmarks. All investments shall be reported at fair value per GASB Statement 31. Investment reports shall be available to the public.

XVI. THIRD-PARTY CUSTODIAL AGREE-MENTS

Securities, with the exception of certificates of deposits, shall be held with a third party custodian; and all securities purchase by, and all collateral obtained by the City should be properly designated as an asset of the City.

The securities must be held in an account separate and apart from the assets of the financial institution. A third party custodian is defined as any bank depository chartered by the Federal Government, the State of Florida, or any other state or territory of the United States which has a branch or principal place of business in the State of Florida, or by a national association organized and existing under the laws of the United States which is authorized to accept and execute trusts and which is doing business in the State of Florida. Certificates of

deposits will be placed in the provider's safekeeping department for the term of the deposit. The custodian shall accept transaction instructions only from those persons who have been duly authorized by the City Manager and

which authorization has been provided, in writing, to the custodian. No withdrawal of securities, in whole or in part, shall be made from safekeeping, unless by such a duly authorized person.

The custodian shall provide the Finance Director with safekeeping statements that provide detail information on the securities held by the custodian. On a monthly basis, the custodian will also provide reports that list all securities held for the City, the book value of holdings and the market value as of month-end.

Security transactions between a broker/dealer and the custodian involving the purchase or sale of securities by transfer of money or securities must be made on a "delivery vs. payment" basis, if applicable, to ensure that the custodian will have the security or money, as appropriate, in hand at the conclusion of the transaction. Securities held as collateral shall be held free and clear of any liens.

XVII. INVESTMENT POLICY ADOPTION

The Investment Policy shall be adopted by City resolution. The Finance Director shall review the policy annually and the City Council shall approve any modification made thereto. Any inconsistencies between the current portfolio and this policy will be considered acceptable as long as corrective measures are completed to adjust the portfolio in accordance with this policy.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON 12/04/2012

PURCHASING POLICY

| TYPES | OF | COMMON | PURCHASING |
|----------|------|--------|------------|
| TRANSACT | IONS | | |

Standard Purchase Order

The standard purchase order is requested by the user with a requisition and is issued for most types of items and/or services. These purchase orders will be encumbered in the financial accounting system.

Service Contract Purchase Orders (SCS)

Service Contract Purchase Orders (SCS) are issued by the Purchasing Manager as a result of a quote or bid usually for construction or consultant type jobs where progress payments are required throughout the duration of the project OR for payments for transactions where regular specific payments are required over a specified length of time. While the term of a Service Contract Purchase Order may or may not coincide with the fiscal year, the amount of funds encumbered must be available in the current year's budget.

Requests for construction, consultants, leases, or similar transactions must begin with the proper department.

Price Agreement Purchase Orders

A price agreement is a nonexclusive, indefinite quantity agreement. It is a tool to facilitate purchases when the exact quantity of a required commodity or service is unknown or may vary depending upon the using department's requirements. A Price Agreement is used to obtain commodities or services needed frequently for operations and maintenance.

If usage is anticipated to be greater than or equal to **\$3,000** but less than **\$15,000** in a single year, at least three (3) verbal quotes must be obtained and documented. If usage is anticipated to be greater than or equal to **\$15,000** but less than **\$25,000** in a single year, three written quotes must be obtained. If usage is anticipated to be greater than **\$25,000** in a single year, formal competition (bid or RFP) must be used to select the vendor, unless the award is made from another political entity's contract, or justified as a sole/single source.

A price agreement may be requested by using departments or initiated by the Purchasing Manager. A price agreement shall be awarded by the Purchasing Manager and issued for citywide use. The term of a price agreement may not necessarily coincide with the fiscal year.

After review by the Purchasing Manager, a request for a Price Agreement may be returned to the user with the recommendation to use a more appropriate purchasing method such as a regular Purchase Order, Procurement Card or Direct Payment Voucher.

Commodities or services available for purchase from current Price Agreements may be obtained from the Purchasing Manager. Departments may request a commodity or service be purchased through the Price Agreement method at any time during the fiscal year.

Contracts

Contracts will be processed through the Purchase Order system simply as an expedient way to handle the encumbrance of the funds. The requisite documentation should be filed with the Purchasing Manager. In general, this will cover contracts for which there is no competitive function (such as charitable contributions approved each fiscal year by City Council, or payments to other governmental agencies) which are primarily issued to other governments or to non-profit organizations. These should be encumbered at the beginning of each fiscal year for those payments approved in each fiscal year budget. However, they may be requested and encumbered at any time during the fiscal year for approved transactions.

PURCHASING CARD

The purchasing card is another tool for small purchases. Purchasing cards are issued in an employee's name with preset spending controls and limits. The per transaction limit for purchases is set at seven hundred forty nine dollars or less (\$749.00). Purchasing cards are only issued upon written request from the department director. The purchasing card is intended only for small value, non-recurring needs, usually from local sources.

DIRECT PAYMENT VOUCHER

For certain approved transactions for which there is no competitive purchasing function, a using department may make a request for payment directly to the Accountant without a purchase order. Direct Payment Vouchers require all the necessary approvals and signatures as a requisition.

The following transactions are examples of payment using a Direct Payment Voucher:

- Bond related expenses
- Child support payments
- Debt service payments
- Instructor Fees
- Insurance (Administrative Services Approval)
- Land purchases (Public Works/Right-of-Way including legal fees and related costs) (Council approval required)
- Medical insurance refunds (Financial Services Approval only)
- Outside Counsel

- Payments to Other Government Agencies (all types of taxes, assessments, fees, permits, utility deposits)
- Postage (U.S. Post Office only no invoice required)
- Pre-approved interview and/or moving expenses (Personnel only)
- Risk Management Claims settlements
- Subscriptions to, and public and legal advertisements in, newspapers and periodicals
- Tax deed application expenses and surpluses

EMERGENCY PURCHASE ORDER

Emergency purchases are **ONLY** for commodities or services necessary because of certain emergency conditions that may affect the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of The City of Palm Coast.

PURCHASING REQUIREMENTS

The following procedures should be used

depending on the amount of the purchase. The estimated value of the purchase determines what steps must be completed before a purchase order can be issued for the request.

OBTAINING QUOTES

While it is the Purchasing Manager's responsibility to obtain quotes, the user can shorten purprocess by obtaining one or more chasing quotes. The quotes must all be for identically the same quantity and quality and under the same terms and conditions. Even if the user provides quotes with the requisition, a complete description of the item or service needed. including required delivery time, and all special conditions must be clearly written on the requisition or attached as a memo. If the user does not provide quotes, it is imperative the user write a complete and accurate description to assist the Purchasing Manager in obtaining guotes and filling the order promptly and accurately.

Regardless, the Purchasing Manager always reserves the right to obtain additional quotes.

| TOTAL CONTRACT VALUE | QUOTE REQUIREMENTS (Minimum) | AWARD APPROVAL |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| \$ 0 - \$749.99 | Single Quote, Purchasing Card | Department Director or Designee |
| \$750 - \$2,999.99 | Single Quote, Purchase Order | Department Director |
| \$3,000 - \$14,999.99 | 3 Verbal Quotes Minimum Purchase Order | Department Director |
| \$15,000 - \$25,000 | 3 Written Quotes Minimum Purchase Order | City Manager or Designee |
| \$25,000.01 - \$30,000 | Formal Invitation or Bid Contract or Purchase Order | City Manager or Designee |
| \$30,000.01 and Above | Formal Invitation or Bid Contract or Purchase Order | City Council |

CONTRACTS FOR THE PURCHASE OF ANY COMMODITIES OR SERVICES MAY ONLY BE SIGNED

SINGLE QUOTES

(1) Estimated Value under \$750.00

Use the purchasing card for this type of purchase.

(2) Estimated Value under \$3000.00

This only requires a single quote, but a general check of the marketplace should be made to ensure the best value. If there is a preferred vendor, provide a complete name and address, description of the commodity or service and how much it will cost including freight and/or shipping on the requisition form. The Purchasing Manager will review the requisition. If the Purchasing Manager agrees with the recommendation for purchase, the requisition will be processed. If the Purchasing Manager questions whether the commodity can be purchased from another vendor, for a better price, or is available from another source or contract. the department will be contacted to discuss the change.

VERBAL QUOTES

(3) Estimated Value \$3,000 - \$14,999.99

Requisition forms for purchases for this dollar value are completed in the same manner as above. However, minimums of three (3) VERBAL quotes are required. The user may obtain the necessary and furnish them with quotes the requisition form, or, provide sufficient specifications so that the Purchasing Manager can obtain the guotes. User obtained quotes should be listed either on the requisition or on a separate page: Firm name, telephone number, name of contact person, and each unit price including any freight costs.

WRITTEN QUOTES

(4) Estimated Value \$15,000 - \$25,000

Requisition forms for purchases for this dollar value are completed in the same

manner as above. However, minimums of three (3) WRITTEN quotes are required. The user may obtain the necessary quotes and furnish the original of each written quote with the requisition form, or, provide sufficient specifications so that the Purchasing Manager can obtain quotes.

FORMAL INVITATIONS

If the estimated value is greater than \$25,000, the Purchasing Manager must prepare and solicit formal, sealed, advertised invitations (Invitation To Bid, Request For Proposals, Request For Statements of Qualifications, or Request For Information).

If available, specifications for the requested purchase shall accompany the requisition. The Manager Purchasing will review the specifications to see if they are adequate for bid or will work with the requesting department to write specifications, terms and conditions for the invitation. The Purchasing Manager will coordinate all of the details of the invitation such as a pre-proposal conference, if applicable, and the suggested opening, evaluation and award dates. etc. After the formal opening the Purchasing Manager and the using department will evaluate the bids or proposals based upon predetermined criteria for award.

PUBLIC ADVERTISEMENTS

All formal invitations must be publicly advertised seven days in advance of the specified opening date in one major newspaper that covers the area. There are other advertising requirements depending upon the type and value of the proposed contract. Other advertising is suggested if a wide selection of potential proposers is required.

Pre-Solicitation, Pre-Bid, Pre-Proposal Conferences

Conferences may be scheduled and conducted by the Purchasing Manager, or his/her designee, before the official time and date set for the formal opening to explain the procurement requirements and to solicit information from potential bidders/ proposers.

A MANDATORY conference may be scheduled and conducted if the technical or physical requirements of the invitation requires the physical presence of potential proposers. Proposals from anyone <u>not</u> attending the mandatory conference will <u>not</u> be considered. Mandatory conferences restrict competition and therefore must be justified in writing to the Purchasing Manager and <u>approved</u> by the Finance Director prior to release of the Invitation.

For construction projects in excess of \$200,000, the legal advertisement must be published at least 5 days prior to the pre-bid conference. (Section 255.0525 (1), Florida Statutes)

Formal Opening

Responses to all invitations shall be clocked in upon receipt and opened publicly at the time, date and place designated in the Invitation under control of the Purchasing Manager. the strict The name of each bidder or proposer shall be read aloud and tabulated along with the pertinent information as described in the Note: Applicable Florida Statutes Invitation. Section 180.24 and Section 218.80 and 255.0525.

Late Proposals

Any bid, proposal, or offer received at the place designated in the Invitation after the official date and time specified for receipt of proposals shall be considered late and will <u>not</u> be considered for award. Any request for withdrawal or modification received after the date and time specified shall <u>not</u> be considered. The time clock in the City Hall is the official time for all Formal Openings.

Bidders List

The Purchasing Manager maintains a database of vendors with whom the City has done business in the past and a list of firms who have expressed interest in submitting quotes and bids to the City. Any firm wishing to register to do business with the City can request a "Bidders Registration Form," complete it, and return it to the City. The firm will then be assigned a vendor number and assigned to commodity categories by the Purchasing Manager.

Users can call the Purchasing Manager to see if a certain vendor is included in the database. Users may suggest to the Purchasing Manager any potential bidders they would like to receive an invitation, request for quote or bid or may request a Bidders Registration Form be mailed or faxed to a potential bidder with whom they are interested in doing business.

The "Bidders Registration Form" is also on the City's Web page.

AWARD OF CONTRACTS AND APPROVALS

Award

All quotes and invitations shall be awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible proposer, or upon criteria established before the proposals are opened. If no criteria are specified in the quotes or invitation, then the award will be based upon the price alone.

Approvals

Before any purchase order on budgeted items can be issued, the following approvals are required based upon the total value of the purchase:

Protest Procedures

A vendor/bidder may protest the award of any contract any time prior to its award by contacting the Purchasing Manager.

The decision of the Purchasing Manager may be appealed to the City Manager by filing a written appeal with the City Manager within seven days of the decision. The decision of the City Manager may be appealed to the City Council by filing a written appeal with the City Clerk within seven days of the City Manager's decision.

PROCUREMENT COMMITTEES

Definitions

A "selection committee" is defined as any committee been delegated that has decision-making functions, directly or indirectly. A selection committee ranks and/or short-lists respondents to an Invitation or Request for Statements of Qualifications (RSQ) based upon the information submitted in response to the This definition includes all selections RSQ. under Chapter 287.055 Florida Statutes, the Contractors Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA), as amended. All selection committee meetings are open public meetings as defined in Chapter 286 FS and subject to Florida's Government in the Sunshine Law.

An <u>"evaluation committee</u>" is defined as a committee that is responsible for advising and informing the final decision maker, the City Manager or City Council, through fact-finding consultations. The evaluation committee meets to evaluate proposals or offers submitted in response to an Invitation for conformance with defined evaluation criteria. The outcome of an evaluation committee is whether responses meet the evaluation criteria and which response (offer) is best for the City. An evaluation committee

meeting falls within the requirements of an open public meeting and subject to Florida's Government in the Sunshine Law.

A "<u>technical review committee</u>" is defined as any committee appointed by the Purchasing Manager to establish and/or review specifications or scope of work for any procurement project. A technical review committee does not generally fall within the requirements of an open public meeting. However, to the extent that it makes recommendations that would be part of the decision making process it too would be subject to Florida's Government in the Sunshine Law.

Public Meeting Notice/Advertisements

Reasonable public notice shall be given before any selection committee meeting. Such notice shall include: (1) name and/or purpose of selection committee, (2) time and place of meeting, and (3) ADA requirements notification information. All Public Meeting notices shall be posted in City Hall.

The Purchasing Manager shall have published a meeting notice for any selection committee meeting at least one (1) day before the meeting date in a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Palm Coast, Florida. The public meeting notice(s) may be included in the Public advertisement announcing the procurement.

These committee meetings do not rise to the level of being matters of "critical public importance" but should be noticed appropriately.

The following notice guidelines as outlined in the Government in the Sunshine Manual (January 22, 2001) shall apply:

- The notice should contain the time and place of the meeting and, if available, an agenda (or if no agenda is available, subject matter summations might be used);
- 2. The notice should be prominently displayed in the area in the agency's offices set aside for that purposes, e.g., for cities, in City Hall;
- 3. Emergency sessions should be afforded the most appropriate and effective notice under the circumstances and special meetings should have at least 24 hours reasonable notice to the public; and
- 4. The use of the press releases and/or phone calls to the wire services and other media is highly effective. On matters of critical public concern such as rezoning, budgeting, taxation, appointment of public officers, etc., advertising in the local newspapers of general circulation would be appropriate.

Meeting Minutes

The minutes of any open public meeting shall be available for public review. The original minutes shall be filed with the invitation package with the Purchasing Manager.

If an open public meeting is adjourned and reconvened at a later date to complete the business of the meeting, the second meeting shall also be noticed. This includes any meeting where a presentation is made to the selection committee.

Membership

The Purchasing Manager shall chair all selection and evaluation committees and shall appoint the committee from staff or other representatives who have knowledge and interest in the procurement project. Suggestions from the affected user shall be given consideration.

Committee members must ensure that they disclose any conflict of interests and abstain from voting when a conflict exists in accordance with State Law.

Any person with a conflict of interest shall not be appointed to any procurement evaluation or selection committee, or shall be removed from the committee if the conflict arises after appointment to the committee. A conflict of interest is defined as any circumstance in which personal interest of a committee member in the a matter before him or her in his or her official capacity may prevent or appear to prevent him or her from making an unbiased decision with respect to the matter. A conflict of interest is also anv conflict defined in the City of Palm Coast Code or Policies, Florida Statutes, or Federal Statutes.

Voting

The vote of each member of the selection committee shall be recorded in the minutes. Committee members are required to vote either in person or via a telephonic appearance. A quorum must be present at the site of the committee meeting and be able to hear the non-present member in order for the telephonic appearance to be authorized.

A selection committee member must be present, physically or electronically, for the all of the presentations to vote.

Selection shall be made by consensus if possible. If the committee chair deems consensus impossible the selection shall then take place by totaling individual votes of committee members.

Discussion with Respondents

Any discussion before an award by City Council between any member of an evaluation or selection committee and any respondent regarding the procurement project is highly discouraged. Committee members shall disclose any attempts to influence his/her decision to the Purchasing Manager. All requests for information, clarification, or the status for any procurement project shall be directed to the Purchasing Manager.

Recommendation for Award

The Purchasing Manager shall forward the selection committee's recommendation of ranking to the Finance Director for submission to the City Manager or City Council as required.

| Туре | Times | Minimum** | Recommended |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| City Bids, RFPs, RSQs | 1 | 7 days | 20-30 days |
| Construction > \$200,000 and <= \$500,000 ¹ | 1 | 21 days | 30 days |
| Construction > \$500,000 1 | 1 | 30 days | 30 days |
| Sale or Lease of City Real Property | 2 | 14 days | 30 days |
| Road projects | 2 | 30 days | 30 days |
| Federal Aviation Authority Projects | 2 | 10 days | 20-30 days |
| Federal Transit Authority -capital equipment | 1 | 10 days | 20-30 days |
| Federal Transit Authority – projects | 2 | 10 days | 20-30 days |
| Grants | At least 1 or per grant | Per grant or 5 days | 20-30 days |
| Addendum - changing closing date | 1 | 5 days | 10 - 15 days |

Public Advertising Requirements:

*Minimum - number of days from date Public advertisement appears in the newspaper until closing date.

** Public advertisement must also be at least 5 days before any pre-bid meeting.

¹ Florida Statute 255.0525 (2)

Budget Process

Methodology

The preparation and adoption of the Annual Budget is one of the most important actions carried out by the City Council, citizens and staff of Palm Coast. To achieve the best results in the planning and delivery of community services, key financial decisions must be made. The budget process provides a forum to accumulate financial and performance information concerning local services into a common format; analyze and debate the merits of each service; and make decisions about the level and cost of service which will be provided. The budget is designed to provide the citizens of Palm Coast with the full complement of municipal services. This budget aims at providing the highest quality municipal service to efficient and effective the community in an manner.

<u>Review</u>

Early in the budget process, each department outlines functions and goals to accomplish in the They then prepare a coming fiscal year. detailed estimate of monies and personnel required to provide these services. These requests are reviewed by the City Manager and the Finance Director. Upon completion of this matching with the projected review and revenues available, the budget is compiled by the Finance Department. During the month of July of each year, the City Manager submits the proposed budget to the City Council. The City Council reviews the proposed budget and conducts public workshops to obtain preliminary direction as to the probable approval levels of the budget.

Adoption

During the month of September, in accordance with the timing specified in State Law (F.S. 200.065), two public hearings are held. The time of the first hearing is advertised on the "Notice of Proposed Property Taxes" sent to property owners and by the usual procedure for advertising a public hearing. The first meeting is to tentatively adopt the budget and millage rate. For the second hearing, in addition to normal advertising procedure, a general summary of the proposed budget is advertised in the newspaper along with a date for the statutory public hearing to adopt the budget and millage rate. The City's fiscal year is October 1 through September 30.

Public Participation

The public is invited and encouraged to attend and participate in all work sessions and public hearings.

Budgetary Basis

Governmental funds are budgeted using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become available and measurable. An example would be sales tax or state revenue sharing that is measurable but may not be received until after the end of the fiscal year. Expenditures are recognized when the liability has occurred and is measurable. This would be charges for work that has been completed and invoiced but not paid at yearend. Estimated expenditures for capital outlay and debt service payments are budgeted in all funds; however, the actual expenditure for capital and principal on debt is applied to balance sheet accounts and is not expensed in the proprietary funds. Depreciation is not budgeted in any fund but is recorded in proprietary funds. Revenue in the proprietary funds is recognized when due and expenses when they are incurred.

Budget Calendar

| January 31 | Strategic Action Plan, perfomance progress report submitted to City Council |
|--------------|---|
| February 15 | Previous fiscal year audit report and final operating results submitted to City Council |
| March 15 | Infrastructure Capital Improvement Program, ten year project schedule and funding report submitted to City Council |
| March 30 | Revised Strategic Action Plan adopted by City Council |
| April 1 | Budget process initiated by Finance Department |
| April 1 | MUNIS budget system opened for Department line-item entries |
| May 1 | Departments submit additional program, personnel, fleet requests to Finance for FY 2013 |
| May 1 | Departments submit technology requests to Information Technology & Communications for FY 2013 |
| May 1 | FY 2013 Revenue Estimates prepared by Finance |
| May 15 | MUNIS budget system closed for Department line-item entries. Note: Personal services/internal transfers will be projected by Finance |
| June 1 - 10 | Finance reviews budget requests and Capital Improvement Program (CIP) with department heads. (COPY OF CIP SENT TO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FOR COMP PLAN REVIEW) |
| June 15 | Finance submits the preliminary budget to the City Manager and department heads. |
| June 16 - 30 | City Manager meets with department heads to discuss departmental needs and requests, including the Capital Improvement Program |
| July 10 | Budget workshop – General Fund |
| July 17 | Establish proposed Millage Rate (TRIM Rate). (MUST BE DONE PRIOR TO AUGUST 4). |
| July 24 | Budget workshop – Proprietary Funds |
| July 31 | Budget workshop – Special Revenue and Capital Funds |
| August 16 | Property Appraiser distributes "Trim Notices" with date and time of first Public Hearing on Millage Rate. |
| August 28 | Budget workshop – Final proposed budget presentation |
| September 5 | Public Hearing to tentatively adopt Millage Rate and Budget (FINAL ADOPTION MUST BE ADVERTISED WITHIN 15 DAYS) |
| September 15 | Place meeting notice and budget summary advertisements. (PUBLIC HEARING MUST BE NO LESS THAN 2 DAYS OR MORE THAN 5 DAYS AFTER ADVERTISEMENT OR MORE THAN 20 DAYS AFTER FIRST HEARING). |
| September 19 | Public Hearing to adopt final Millage Rate Resolution and Budget Resolution. |
| September 20 | Forward a copy of the Millage Rate Resolution to the Property Appraiser and the Tax Collector. |
| October 3 | Certificate of Conformance sent to State (MUST BE DONE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF FINAL ADOPTION). 50 |

Budget Amendment Process

Supplemental Appropriation Amendments After Adoption

If, during the fiscal year, the City Manager certifies that there are available for appropriation, revenues in excess of those estimated in the budget, the City Council by resolution may make supplemental appropriations for the year up to the amount of such excess.

Emergency Appropriations

To meet a public emergency affecting, life, health, property, or the public peace, the City Council may make emergency appropriations. Such appropriations may be made by emergency ordinance to the extent that there are no available unappropriated revenues to meet such appropriations.

Reduction Of Appropriations

If, at any time during the fiscal year, it appears probable to the City Manager that the revenues available will be insufficient to meet the amount appropriated, he shall report to the City Council without delay, indicating the estimated amount of the deficit, any remedial action taken by him, and his recommendations as to any other steps to be taken. The City Council shall then take such further action as it deems necessary to prevent or minimize any deficit and for that purpose it may by resolution reduce one or more appropriations.

Transfer Of Appropriations

At any time during the fiscal year the City Manager or his designee may transfer part or all of any unencumbered appropriations balance among programs within a department. The City Council may by resolution transfer part or all of any unencumbered appropriation balance from one department to another.

Limitations; Effective Date

No appropriation may be reduced below that amount required by law to be appropriated, if any. No appropriation may be reduced below the amount of the encumbered balance. The supplemental emergency appropriations and and reduction or transfer of appropriations authorized may made effective be immediately upon adoption.

Lapse Of An Appropriation

appropriation, Everv except an appropriation for a capital expenditure, shall lapse at the close of the fiscal year to the extent that it has not been expended or encumbered. An appropriation for a capital expenditure shall continue in force until the purpose for which it was made has been accomplished or abandoned.

Fund Description

The City of Palm Coast utilizes a fund structure as outlined in the accounting regulations that govern units of local government. A fund is a fiscal and accounting entity with a selfbalancing set of accounts recording cash and other financial resources, together with all related liabilities and residual equities or balances and changes therein, which are segregated for the purpose of conducting specific activities or attaining certain objectives accordance with special regulations, in restrictions, or limitations. Funds are divided into various types according to the legal restrictions imposed upon them or by their uses. Following is the fund structure contained in the fiscal year 2013 budget for the City of Palm Coast

I. Governmental Funds

Accounted for on a "spending" or "financial flow" measurement focus. Accordingly, the budgeted fund balances provide an indicator of available spendable, or appropriate resources.

General Fund

The general fund of a government unit serves as the primary reporting vehicle for current government operations. The general fund is used to account for all current financial resources, with the exception of those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Special Revenue Funds

The special revenue funds account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditure for specified purposes. These include impact fee funds, grant funds, a community redevelopment fund, and various capital project funds.

II. Proprietary Funds

Proprietary funds are accounted for on a cost of services or "capital maintenance" measurement focus. Accordingly, all assets and liabilities are included on their balance sheets and the reported fund equity provides an indication of the economic net worth of the fund. Operating statements for proprietary fund types report increases and decreases in total economic net worth.

Enterprise Funds

The enterprise funds account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises. The Utility Fund and the Utility Capital Projects Fund were established in conjunction with the utility purchase. Other funds include Solid Waste, Stormwater Management, Golf Course, Tennis Center, Building Permits & Inspections, and Information Technology & Communications.

Internal Service Funds

The internal service funds account for financing goods or services provided by one department to other City departments or to other governments on a cost-reimbursement basis. The internal service funds in this budget are the Fleet Management Fund and the Self Insured Health Fund.

Fund Balances

Fund balances/retained earnings referred to on the following page of this document do not necessarily represent cash and investment balances in the respective funds. It does, however, represent the net of the total fund assets, less total fund liabilities and contributed capital in the respective fund types.

GENERAL FUND:

In the General Fund, the primary purpose of reserve retention is to fund unforeseen occurrences. These unforeseen occurrences are funded only upon specific appropriation by the City Council. City policy is to maintain General Fund reserves between 10% and 20% of the annual budget. The estimated unassigned fund equity for the beginning of fiscal year 2013 will be 17.6%.

ENTERPRISE FUNDS:

In the Enterprise Funds, reserves are planned to meet operating expenses and future capital needs. Each enterprise fund has a separate rate structure. These rates are reviewed annually to insure that adequate funding will be available to meet the needs of the individual enterprise's budgetary requirements.

INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS:

Internal Service Funds differ from other fund types in that funds remaining at year-end are normally redistributed back to the originating funds. An exception to this rule is made when timing differences exist and/or reserves for capital purchases are maintained.

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS:

There are no fund equity reserve requirements for Special Revenue Funds. The purpose of these funds is to fund specific programs and capital improvement projects included in the City's budget. Funding sources include fines and forfeitures, impact fees, interest earnings, and fund equity.

CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES:

There is an overall increase during the two-year period of approximately \$5 million. This is primarily due to the reclassification of interfund loans to fund transfers during fiscal year 2012. The General Fund is estimated to remain level. The Building Permits & Inspections Fund is expected to decrease bv approximately \$400,000. This is being caused by a reduction in permit fees designed to reduce the surplus balance. This reduction is sunsetting during fiscal year 2013. The Utility Capital Projects Fund is expected to increase by \$2.7 million. This is due to pending capital expenditures on several large projects scheduled beyond 2013. Decreases in fund balance in the Streets Improvement Fund (\$3.7 million) and SR100 Community Redevelopment Fund (\$1.6 million) are due to construction projects scheduled for fiscal vear 2013.

Computation of Estimated Fund Balances

| FUND | Avail Fur Bala 09/30 | id nce | Estimated Fund Balance 09/30/12 | (E | let Annual Revenues xpenditures) Revenues | Available Fund Balance 09/30/13 | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------|--|------|--|--|--|
| General | \$ | 2,653,373 \$ | 2,653,373 | 3 \$ | 44,000 \$ | 2,697,373 | |
| CDBG | | - | | - | - | - | |
| Police Education | | 17,594 | 24,554 | ļ | 7,265 | 31,819 | |
| Police Automation | | 267,910 | 289,760 |) | 22,900 | 312,660 | |
| Disaster Reserve | | 2,183,984 | 2,190,984 | ļ | 7,500 | 2,198,484 | |
| Recycling Revenue | | 395,808 | 486,758 | } | 91,350 | 578,108 | |
| Streets Improvement | | 2,688,506 | 3,761,806 | 6 | (3,761,379) | 427 | |
| Park ImpactFee | | 424,843 | 333,143 | } | (29,369) | 303,774 | |
| Fire Impact Fee | | 74,269 | 107,569 |) | 35,676 | 143,245 | |
| Development Special Projects | | 1,557,737 | 1,299,737 | , | 5,841 | 1,305,578 | |
| Transportation Impact Fee | | - | | - | 574,100 | 574,100 | |
| Neighborhood Stabilization | | - | | - | - | - | |
| Old Kings Road Special Assessment | | 166,003 | 166,003 | } | - | 166,003 | |
| SR 100 Community Redevelopment | | 2,287,134 | 2,553,026 | 6 | (1,643,934) | 909,092 | |
| Capital Projects | | 964,593 | 14,022 | 2 | (9,720) | 4,302 | |
| Utility | | 2,471,712 | 2,677,876 | 5 | 119,479 | 2,797,355 | |
| Utility Capital Projects | | 3,023,273 | 1,356,074 | ļ | 2,760,083 | 4,116, <mark>1</mark> 57 | |
| Solid Waste | | 682,786 | 682,786 | 6 | 147,619 | 830,405 | |
| Stormwater Management | | - | 1,323,573 | } | (135,792) | 1,187,781 | |
| Building Permits & Inspections | | 3,262,776 | 2,043,893 | } | (437,486) | 1,606,407 | |
| Golf Course | | 21,586 | 5,578,086 | 3 | - | 5,578,086 | |
| Tennis Center | | - | | - | - | - | |
| Information Technology & Communications | | 757,591 | 2,552,089 |) | 98,432 | 2,650,521 | |
| Health Insurance | | 146,114 | 146,114 | ļ | (20,000) | 126,114 | |
| Fleet Management | | 2,483,820 | 3,645,683 | } | (195,846) | 3,449,837 | |
| | \$ 2 | 26,531,412 \$ | 33,886,909 | \$ | (2,319,281) \$ | 31,567,628 | |

Budget Summary

By Fund Type

| | | Operating | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|------------|--------------|
| | Estimated | Expenditures | Capital | Operating | Total |
| Fund Type | Revenue | & Contingency | Expenditures | Transfers | Expenditures |
| General Fund | \$ 25,790,398 | \$ 24,966,773 | \$ 127,625 \$ | 696,000 \$ | 25,790,398 |
| Special Revenue Funds | | | | | |
| CDBG Fund | 1,078,500 | 918,500 | - | 160,000 | 1,078,500 |
| Police Education Fund | 31,765 | 31,765 | - | - | 31,765 |
| Police Automation Fund | 312,660 | 312,660 | - | - | 312,660 |
| Disaster Reserve Fund | 2,198,484 | 2,198,484 | - | - | 2,198,484 |
| Recycling Revenue Fund | 405,400 | 405,400 | - | - | 405,400 |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 17,889,472 | 375,027 | 17,514,445 | - | 17,889,472 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | 453,774 | 353,774 | 100,000 | - | 453,774 |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | 143,245 | 143,245 | - | - | 143,245 |
| Development Special Projects Fund | 1,305,577 | 1,305,577 | - | - | 1,305,577 |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | 574,100 | 574,100 | - | - | 574,100 |
| Neighborhood Stabilization Fund | 1,900,000 | 1,900,000 | - | - | 1,900,000 |
| OKR Special Assessment Fund | 264,200 | 264,200 | - | - | 264,200 |
| BAC Fund | 60,225 | 60,225 | - | - | 60,225 |
| SR100 Community Redevelopment Fund | 5,735,844 | 1,435,844 | 4,300,000 | - | 5,735,844 |
| Capital Projects Fund | 339,302 | 4,302 | 335,000 | - | 339,302 |
| Sub-Total | 32,692,548 | 9,364,603 | 22,249,445 | - | 32,692,548 |
| Enterprise Funds | | | | | |
| Utility Fund | 29,880,644 | 27,106,626 | 443,000 | 2,331,018 | 29,880,644 |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 20,540,254 | 11,792,831 | 8,747,423 | - | 20,540,254 |
| Solid Waste Fund | 7,400,000 | 7,400,000 | - | - | 7,400,000 |
| Stormwater Management Fund | 7,745,292 | 4,678,192 | 2,988,100 | 79,000 | 7,745,292 |
| Building Permits & Inspections Fund | 1,398,961 | 1,398,961 | - | - | 1,398,961 |
| Golf Course Fund | 1,618,881 | 1,595,881 | - | 23,000 | 1,618,881 |
| Tennis Center Fund | 304,278 | 303,278 | - | 1,000 | 304,278 |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | 2,878,300 | 2,513,900 | 350,400 | 14,000 | 2,878,300 |
| Sub-Total | 71,766,610 | 56,789,669 | 12,528,923 | 2,448,018 | 71,766,610 |
| Internal Service Funds | | | | | |
| Self Insured Health Fund | 3,169,350 | 3,169,350 | - | - | 3,169,350 |
| Fleet Management Fund | 4,070,043 | 2,244,543 | 1,825,500 | - | 4,070,043 |
| Sub-Total All Funds | 137,488,949 | | | 3,144,018 | 137,488,949 |
| Less: Interfund Charges and Transfers | 12,149,815 | | | | 12,149,815 |
| | \$ 125,339,134 | | | \$ | 125,339,134 |

Budget Summary (continued)

By Function

| | | | Special | | | | | T -4-1 | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|-----------------|---------|------------------|----|---------------------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | General Fund | | Revenue Funds | | Enterprise Funds | Funds | | Total Funds | |
| CASH BALANCES BROUGHT FORWARD | \$ | Fund | \$ | 10,454,948 | \$ | 2,862,199 \$ | | 46 \$ | 13,532,993 | |
| | Ψ | _ | Ψ | 10,434,340 | Ψ | 2,002,133 4 | 210,0 | τυ ψ | 10,002,000 | |
| ESTIMATED REVENUES | | | | | | | | | | |
| TAXES: Millage Per \$1,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Advalorem Taxes: 4.2958 | | 14,610,000 | | 265,000 | | 245,750 | - | | 15,120,750 | |
| Sales, Use, and Fuel Taxes | | 425,000 | | 2,195,000 | | - | - | | 2,620,000 | |
| Telecommunication Service Tax | | 2,700,000 | | - | | - | - | | 2,700,000 | |
| Local Business Tax | | 395,000 | | - | | - | - | | 395,000 | |
| Permits, Fees and Special Assessments | | 715,000 | | 264,200 | | 935,368 | - | | 1,914,568 | |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | | 2,921,988 | | 15,266,242 | | - | - | | 18,188,230 | |
| Charges for Services | | 2,360,243 | | 996,000 | | 48,093,102 | 315,0 | 00 | 51,764,345 | |
| Fines & Forfeitures | | 389,000 | | 406,300 | | - | - | | 795,300 | |
| Interest Revenue | | 295,000 | | 39,858 | | 565,542 | 6,2 | 50 | 906,650 | |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | | 17,191 | | 124,000 | | 260,107 | - | | 401,298 | |
| Non Revenues | | - | | - | | 2,245,000 | 6,600,7 | 97 | 8,845,797 | |
| Transfers from Other Funds | | 961,976 | | 681,000 | | 1,559,542 | 101,5 | 00 | 3,304,018 | |
| Debt Proceeds | | - | | 2,000,000 | | 15,000,000 | - | | 17,000,000 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Revenues and | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Financing Sources | | 25,790,398 | | 22,237,600 | | 68,904,411 | 7,023,5 | 47 | 123,955,956 | |
| Tatal Estimate d Davance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Estimated Revenues | ¢ | 05 700 200 | • | 20 COD E 40 | ¢ | 74 766 640 | 7 000 0 | 00 | 407 400 040 | |
| and Balances | \$ | 25,790,398 | ò | 32,692,548 | à | 71,766,610 \$ | 7,239,3 | 93 | 137,488,949 | |
| Less: Interfund Transfers | | | | | | | | | 12,149,815 | |
| Net Revenues | | | | | | | | \$ | 125,339,134 | |
| | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| EXPENDITURES/EXPENSES | | | | | | | | | | |
| General Government | \$ | 7,768,495 | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 2,765,868 | - | \$ | 10,584,363 | |
| Public Safety | | 9,883,734 | | - | | 1,398,961 | - | | 11,282,695 | |
| Physical Environment | | - | | - | | 39,001,662 | - | | 39,001,662 | |
| Transportation | | 5,032,678 | | 22,349,045 | | 6,274,466 | - | | 33,656,189 | |
| Economic Environment | | - | | 2,833,500 | | - | - | | 2,833,500 | |
| Culture/Recreation | | 2,365,491 | | 639,050 | | 1,899,159 | - | | 4,903,700 | |
| Internal Service | | - | | - | | - | 7,239,3 | 93 | 7,239,393 | |
| Debt Service | | - | | 905,775 | | 12,563,942 | - | | 13,469,717 | |
| Transfers to Other Funds | | 696,000 | | 160,000 | | 2,448,018 | - | | 3,304,018 | |
| | | - | | - | | - | - | | - | |
| Total Expenditures/Expenses | | 25,746,398 | | 26,937,370 | | 66,352,076 | 7,239,3 | 93 | 126,275,237 | |
| Reserves | | 44,000 | | 5,755,178 | | 5,414,534 | - | | 11,213,712 | |
| Total Appropriated Evenerality | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Appropriated Expenditures | ¢ | 05 700 000 | ¢ | 20 600 E 40 | ¢ | 74 766 640 | 7 000 0 | 02 | 427 400 040 | |
| and Reserves | \$ | 25,790,398 | à | 32,692,548 | à | 71,766,610 \$ | 7,239,3 | 33 | 137,488,949 | |
| Less: Interfund Transfers | | | | | | | | | 12 1/0 845 | |
| Net Expenditures | | | | | | | | \$ | 12,149,815 125,339,134 | |
| Net Experiatures | | | | | | | | Ŷ | 120,000,104 | |

Budget Summary (continued)

By Department Detail

| | | Actual | Actual | Projected | Proposed |
|-------------------------------------|----|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| | | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
| General Fund | | | | | |
| City Council | \$ | 83,221 | \$ 82,156 | \$ 95,479 | \$ 95,50 |
| City Manager | | 424,502 | 351,244 | 361,827 | 361,26 |
| Community Relations | | 254,090 | 198,887 | 213,525 | 186,81 |
| Purchasing and Contracts Management | | 326,093 | 297,791 | 296,014 | 298,41 |
| Economic Development | | 213,450 | 252,108 | 244,545 | 295,95 |
| City Clerk | | 201,036 | 161,906 | 131,995 | 129,61 |
| Human Resources | | 291,106 | 268,357 | 305, 125 | 349,77 |
| Law Enforcement | | 2,599,720 | 2,599,774 | 2,599,863 | 2,599,86 |
| City Attorney | | 337,778 | 392,454 | 383,301 | 387,23 |
| Financial Services | | 763,014 | 715,263 | 722, 127 | 692,69 |
| Community Development | | | | | |
| Planning | | 1,468,078 | 1,436,530 | 1,437,295 | 1,505,20 |
| Code Enforcement | | 2,055,867 | 2,001,468 | 2,144,807 | 2,149,69 |
| Total Community Development | | 3,523,945 | 3,437,998 | 3,582,102 | 3,654,90 |
| Fire | | 7,897,127 | 7,448,342 | 7,283,387 | 7,283,87 |
| Public Works | | | | | |
| Streets | | 5,157,976 | 5,187,617 | 5,049,743 | 5,127,67 |
| Facilities Maintenance | | 678,373 | 654,447 | 668,616 | 682,49 |
| Parks/Facilities | | 992,200 | 966,070 | 1,096,258 | 1,181,63 |
| Total Public Works | | 6,828,549 | 6,808,134 | 6,814,617 | 6,991,80 |
| Engineering | | 1,234,851 | 383,694 | 505,201 | 500,82 |
| Recreation/Athletics | | 906,380 | 1,214,547 | 1,327,081 | 1,283,85 |
| Non-Departmental | | 6,797,277 | 806,293 | 648,874 | 678,00 |
| Total General Fund | | 32,682,139 | 25,418,948 | 25,515,063 | 25,790,39 |

Budget Summary (continued)

By Department Detail (continued)

| FUND/DEPARTMENT | Actual FY 10 | Actual FY 11 | Projected FY 12 | Proposed FY 13 |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| CDBG Fund | | | 75,000 | 1,078,500 |
| Police Education Fund | 21,314 | - 18,135 | 24,554 | 31,765 |
| Police Automation Fund | 21,014 | 10, 155 | 289,760 | 312,660 |
| | 1 601 | - | | |
| Distaster Reserve Fund | 1,621 | - | 2,190,984 | 2,198,484 |
| Recycling Revenue Fund | 129,415 | 257,688 | 395,800 | 405,400 |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 9,351,401 | 4,820,476 | 9,466,798 | 17,889,472 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | 2,262,979 | 102,992 | 537,643 | 453,774 |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | 3,925 | - | 107,569 | 143,245 |
| Development Special Projects Fund | - | - | 1,564,736 | 1,305,577 |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | 10,937,078 | 633,867 | 2,892,000 | 574,100 |
| Neighborhood Stabilization Fund | 1,652,210 | 1,602,199 | 1,100,000 | 1,900,000 |
| Old Kings Road Special Assessment Fund | 2,091,675 | 260,656 | 264,200 | 264,200 |
| BAC Fund | - | - | 65,225 | 60,225 |
| SR100 Community Redevelopment Fund | 2,471,847 | 2,372,357 | 3,448,892 | 5,735,844 |
| Capital Projects Fund | 4,012,776 | 2,871,683 | 14,201,020 | 339,302 |
| Utility Fund | 4 400 504 | 4 400 055 | 4 400 400 | 4 007 004 |
| Utility Customer Service | 1,138,521 | 1,193,655 | 1,183,168 | 1,287,991 |
| Utility Administration | 1,314,740 | 1,151,638 | 1,157,078 | 1,166,712 |
| Utility Maintenance | 652,293 | 594,595 | 624,361 | 634,055 |
| Wastewater Collection | 2,371,099 | 2,070,343 | 2,235,644 | 2,252,088 |
| Wastewater Treatment | 1,753,714 | 1,734,357 | 1,648,920 | 1,765,803 |
| Water Plant #1 | 1,529,393 | 1,665,536 | 1,717,773 | 1,728,607 |
| Water Plant #2 | 1,214,471 | 1,207,796 | 1,214,966 | 1,238,566 |
| Water Plant #3 | 963,526 | 963,969 | 926,110 | 972,726 |
| Water Quality | 413,409 | 421,981 | 479,473 | 469,587 |
| Water Distribution | 4,073,580 | 2,714,327 | 2,670,421 | 2,770,772 |
| Non-Departmental | 20,829,320 | 24,922,261 | 15,726,881 | 15,593,737 |
| Total Utility Fund | 36,254,066 | 38,640,458 | 29,584,795 | 29,880,644 |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | - | - | 7,093,171 | 20,540,254 |
| Solid Waste Fund | 7,550,829 | 7,631,424 | 7,486,000 | 7,400,000 |
| Stormwater Management Fund | 4,731,022 | 4,339,305 | 7,778,600 | 7,745,292 |
| Building Permits & Inspections Fund | 1,796,344 | 1,468,521 | 1,504,901 | 1,398,961 |
| Golf Course Fund | 2,249,980 | 1,942,244 | 7,156,609 | 1,618,881 |
| Tennis Center Fund | 287,419 | 354,794 | 283,087 | 304,278 |
| Information Technology & Comm Fund | 2,522,657 | 2,502,729 | 4,665,001 | 2,878,300 |
| Self Insured Health Fund | 554,003 | 2,801,630 | 3,206,000 | 3,169,350 |
| Fleet Management Fund | 2,964,381 | 3,190,989 | 3,774,196 | 4,070,043 |
| Total All Funds | 124,529,081 | 101,231,095 | 134,671,604 | 137,488,949 |
| Less: Interfund Transfers | 21,784,168 | 14,414,436 | 24,445,559 | 12,149,815 |
| Total | \$ 102,744,913 \$ | 86,816,659 \$ | 110,226,045 \$ | 125,339,134 |

Revenue Explanations

AD VALOREM TAXES:

The property tax is based on the value of real and personal property. Each year the county property appraiser determines the total assessed value of each parcel of property in Palm Coast. After subtracting all lawful exemptions, the remaining value is the taxable value. Ad valorem property taxes are based on the taxable value. The property tax is calculated by multiplying the taxable value by .001 and then multiplying this number by the rate of taxation, or the millage rate.

LOCAL OPTION, USE, AND FUEL TAXES: These are local option taxes, including gas tax and the Local Government Infrastructure Surtax. The proceeds are distributed based on a State mandated formula or an interlocal agreement between the cities and the county.

COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES TAXES:

This tax is collected and distributed by the State. It replaced the franchise tax and utility tax that were charged for telephone and cable television services.

PERMITS, FEES AND SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS:

According to Section 205.042, Florida Statutes, a municipality may levy a local business tax receipt for the privilege of engaging in or managing any business, profession, or occupation within its jurisdiction. This revenue also includes building permits and animal licenses.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE:

These revenues are collected by one government and shared with other governmental units. This includes state sales tax, revenue sharing, grants, and various other state taxes and licenses.

CHARGES FOR SERVICES:

These are also called "user fees." They are defined as "voluntary payments based on direct, measurable consumption of publicly provided goods and services." User fees are derived from charges for water and wastewater services, solid waste collection, recreation and athletic activities, and various other City services.

JUDGMENTS, FINES & FORFEITS:

This revenue comes from code enforcement fines and settlement of criminal cases taken to court. It includes a portion of monetary penalties and recovery of costs incurred by the City.

INTEREST AND OTHER EARNINGS:

Revenue derived from the investment of cash receipts and idle funds are an important source of revenue. The City of Palm Coast recognizes the importance of establishing effective cash management and restructuring their government operations to accelerate revenues, promote aggressive collections, and maximize cash flow.

MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES:

These are revenues that do not fit into one of the other revenue categories.

NON REVENUES:

These are Internal Service Fund revenues that are derived from other City funds where they are shown as operating expenditures. They include fleet leases and vehicle maintenance, as well as contributions towards the Self Insured Health Fund.

TRANSFERS FROM OTHER FUNDS:

Transfers are made from one fund to another for various reasons. Some transfers are simply contributions and some are based on services provided by another fund. Still others are based on need, such as transfers to a capital fund.

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES:

This is most often an appropriation of previous surplus.

Revenue Forecast Methodology

The purpose of detailing forecast methodologies for revenues is to determine the validity of the forecast over time and to utilize the information in making future forecasts. The following are assumptions concerning revenues, covering all funds.

| Revenue Source | Forecast Methodology |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Ad Valorem Taxes | Approved millage multiplied by tax roll, less 3.41% for assumed non-collection and/or discounts taken for early payment. |
| Local Option, Use, and Fuel Taxes | State's forecast (based on city and county population and lane miles), adjusted for historical trend analysis. |
| Communications Services Taxes | State's forecast adjusted for historical trend analysis. |
| Permits, Fees and Special Assessments | Historical trend analysis. |
| State Revenue Sharing | State's forecast (based on city and state population), adjusted for historical trend analysis. |
| Half-Cent Sales Tax | State forecast (based on city population), adjusted for historical trend analysis. |
| Grants | Amount on application approved by granting agency. |
| Other Intergovernmental Revenue | Historical trend analysis. |
| Water Sales and Wastewater Charges | Historical trend analysis. |
| Solid Waste Fees | Historical trend analysis. |
| Stormwater Management Fees | Historical trend analysis. |
| Other Charges for Services | Historical trend analysis. |
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | Historical trend analysis. |
| Interest and Other Earnings | Historical trend analysis. |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | Historical trend analysis. |
| Non Revenues | Amounts billed to departments for usage. |
| Transfers from Other Funds | Capital needs and allocation of common costs. |
Major Revenue Trends

There are 11 major revenue sources that account for 61 percent of the City's estimated revenues for fiscal year 2013, not including debt proceeds. Appropriated fund balance accounts for another 11 percent of the revenue. These are listed and shown graphically on the following page. The following is a more detailed analysis of the trends for these 11 major revenue sources.

AD VALOREM TAXES: There had been an average decrease in property values of approximately 11% over the past three years, though the decreases are starting to moderate. For 2013, there was a decrease in taxable value of about 6.3%. This makes three consecutive years of declines in property values. The decline is expected to stop in 2013 and then values should level off or show some slight growth.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT INFRASTRUCTURE

SURTAX: FY2003 was the first year that the half-cent surtax was collected. This tax was approved by the voters for a period of ten years. Growth of this revenue is expected to closely parallel the half-cent sales tax. This tax is set to expire on December 31, 2012, and no replacement has been identified.

COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES TAXES: Decreases in this revenue have averaged about 4% over past years. Recent changes in State legislation have decreased collections and the taxable base. We expect additional decreases of 1% to 2% in future years. **TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEES:** Permits issued have declined over the past three years and as a result impact fees have also been declining. All construction related revenues are expected to level off at these lower levels for the next one to three years.

HALF-CENT SALES TAX: This revenue source has been declining slightly through fiscal year 2011. However, fiscal year 2012 saw a small increase. We expect to see continued improvement over the next few years and should see increases of 1% to 2%.

WATER AND WASTEWATER SALES: This is a revenue source related to the utility system. It is expected that this revenue source will increase by an average of 5% per year over the next four years in anticipation of future bond issues for infrastructure improvements.

WATER AND WASTEWATER IMPACT FEES:

Like transportation impact fees this revenue source is expected to level off.

SOLID WASTE CHARGES: This charge is expected to mirror the population growth of about 1% to 2%.

STORMWATER FEES: This fee is charged on developed and undeveloped property. A 40% rate increase is expected in fiscal year 2013. These additional fees are to fund an aggressive capital improvement program. In subsequent years, a 1% to 2% growth rate is anticipated.

Major Revenue Sources

| | Actual FY 10 | | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----|------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|--|
| | • | | ¢ | FY 11 | ¢ | FY 12 | ¢ | FY 13 | |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | \$ | 17,828,406 | \$ | 15,181,152 | \$ | 15,030,924 | \$ | 15,120,750 | |
| Local Infrastructure Surtax | | 2,458,212 | | 2,422,436 | | 2,371,400 | | 2,412,600 | |
| Telecommunication Service Tax | | 2,858,825 | | 2,793,952 | | 2,775,000 | | 2,700,000 | |
| Half-Cent Sales Tax | | 1,939,723 | | 1,829,577 | | 1,848,200 | | 1,850,000 | |
| Transportation Impact Fees | | 2,610,810 | | 1,024,914 | | 840,000 | | 1,026,100 | |
| WaterSales | | 14,478,993 | | 16,143,425 | | 16,869,000 | | 17,727,700 | |
| Wastewater Charges | | 8,648,904 | | 10,028,514 | | 10,203,500 | | 10,464,600 | |
| Water Impact Fee | | 1,325,736 | | 748,297 | | 1,283,800 | | 1,240,800 | |
| Wastewater Impact Fee | | 1,371,846 | | 706,613 | | 1,144,000 | | 1,128,300 | |
| Solid Waste Charges | | 7,278,358 | | 7,498,306 | | 7,724,600 | | 7,745,800 | |
| Stormwater Utility Fee | | 3,598,820 | | 5,050,067 | | 5,170,800 | | 5,170,800 | |
| Debt Proceeds | | 4,000,000 | | - | | 9,425,998 | | 17,000,000 | |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | | - | | - | | 15,421,999 | | 13,532,993 | |
| All Other Sources | | 47,796,897 | | 35,772,570 | | 44,562,383 | | 40,368,506 | |
| TOTAL | \$ | 116,195,530 | \$ | 99,199,823 | \$ | 134,671,604 | \$ | 137,488,949 | |
| Less: Interfund Transfers | | 21,784,168 | | 14,414,436 | | 24,445,559 | | 12,149,815 | |
| | \$ | 94,411,362 | \$ | 84,785,387 | \$ | 110,226,045 | \$ | 125,339,134 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Major Revenue Sources | | 64,398,633 | | 63,427,253 | | 65,261,224 | | 66,587,450 | |
| All Other Revenue Sources | | 30,012,729 | | 21,358,134 | | 44,964,821 | | 58,751,684 | |
| | \$ | 94,411,362 | \$ | 84,785,387 | \$ | 110,226,045 | \$ | 125,339,134 | |



Revenues by Source

| | Actual | Actual | Projected | Proposed |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | \$17,828,406 | \$15,181,152 | \$15,030,924 | \$15, 120, 750 |
| Local Option, Use and Fuel Taxes | 4,465,053 | 4,541,620 | 4,384,100 | 2,620,000 |
| Communications Services Taxes | 2,858,825 | 2,793,952 | 2,775,000 | 2,700,000 |
| Local Business Tax | 404,685 | 414,385 | 394,000 | 395,000 |
| Permits, Fees and Special Assessme | 2,095,627 | 1,200,016 | 1,216,884 | 1,914,568 |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | 8,879,625 | 7,988,868 | 10,253,728 | 18, 188, 230 |
| Charges for Services | 47,693,535 | 47,943,464 | 49,053,640 | 51,764,345 |
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | 1,043,095 | 2,974,013 | 890,964 | 795,300 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 1,474,529 | 856,662 | 927,124 | 906,650 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 3,667,982 | 891,255 | 451,684 | 401,298 |
| Non Revenues | 6,106,687 | 6,141,288 | 8,934,696 | 8,845,797 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 15,677, <mark>4</mark> 81 | 8,273,148 | 15,510,863 | 3,304,018 |
| Debt Proceeds | 4,000,000 | - | 9,425,998 | 17,000,000 |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | 15,421,999 | 13,532,993 |
| TOTAL | \$116,195,530 | \$99,199,823 | \$134,671,604 | \$137,488,949 |
| Less: Interfund Transfers | 21,784, 168 | 14,414,436 | 24,445,559 | 12, 149, 815 |
| - | \$ 94,411,362 \$ | 84,785,387 | \$ 110,226,045 | 125, 339, 134 |

FISCAL YEAR 2013



Revenues by Source



Property Information

Property Values, Millage Rates and Ad Valorem Receipts

Fiscal Year 2004 through Fiscal Year 2013

| | | Change Change from from Ad Val | | | Ad Valorem | Change alorem from | | | |
|--------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Fiscal | Gross Taxable | Previous | % | Millage | Previous | % | Tax | Previous | % |
| Year | Valuations | Year | Change | Levy | Year | Change | Revenues | Year | Change |
| 2013 | \$ 3,646,122,021 | \$ (245,472,105) | -6.31% | 4.29580 | 0.3058 | 7.66% | \$15,120,750 | \$ 120,050 | 0.80% |
| 2012 | 3,891,594,126 | (574,910,627) | -12.87% | 3.99000 | 0.4900 | 14.00% | 15,000,700 | (47,600) | -0.32% |
| 2011 | 4,466,504,753 | (780,493,434) | -14.88% | 3.50000 | 0.0000 | 0.00% | 15,048,300 | (2,952,500) | -16.40% |
| 2010 | 5,246,998,187 | (884,966,982) | -14.43% | 3.50000 | 0.5377 | 18.15% | 18,000,800 | 398,019 | 2.26% |
| 2009 | 6,131,965,169 | (877,912,252) | -12.52% | 2.96230 | -0.00004 | 0.00% | 17,602,781 | (2,581,559) | -12.79% |
| 2008 | 7,009,877,421 | 769,882,932 | 12.34% | 2.96234 | -0.28766 | -8.85% | 20,184,340 | 580,534 | 2.96% |
| 2007 | 6,239,994,489 | 1,795,139,561 | 40.39% | 3.25000 | -0.15000 | -4.41% | 19,603,806 | 4,971,510 | 33.98% |
| 2006 | 4,444,854,928 | 1,337,273,838 | 43.03% | 3.40000 | 0.00000 | 0.00% | 14,632,296 | 4,424,397 | 43.34% |
| 2005 | 3,107,581,090 | 703,341,979 | 29.25% | 3.40000 | 0.00000 | 0.00% | 10,207,899 | 2,352,376 | 29.95% |
| 2004 | 2,404,239,111 | 420,498,483 | 21.20% | 3.40000 | 3.40000 | 0.00% | 7,855,523 | 1,315,956 | 20.12% |

Ad Valorem Tax History

Fiscal Year 2004 through Fiscal Year 2013



Property Information (continued)

Property Value and Millage Rates

Tax Roll Recapitulation July 1, 2012

| | # of | Just | Assessed | | | Taxable Value |
|---------------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Class of Property | Parcels | Value | Value | Exempt | Taxable | per Parcel |
| Residential Vacant Land | 18,227 | \$ 217,742,258 | \$ 217,730,444 | \$ 272,410 | \$ 217,458,034 | 11,931 |
| Residential Single Family | 31,101 | 3,704,427,750 | 3,689,958,667 | 1,161,106,200 | 2,528,852,467 | 81,311 |
| Residential Mobile Homes | 2 | 40,330 | 40,330 | 20,244 | 20,086 | 10,043 |
| Residential Other | 2,659 | 286,541,866 | 285,293,421 | 26,763,775 | 258,529,646 | 97,228 |
| Commercial Vacant Land | 269 | 98,941,276 | 92,674,527 | 878,533 | 91,795,994 | 341,249 |
| Commercial Other | 737 | 406,311,393 | 323,192,863 | 9,140,631 | 314,052,232 | 426,122 |
| Industrial Vacant Land | 45 | 6,455,150 | 6,455,150 | - | 6,455,150 | 143,448 |
| Industrial Other | 42 | 29,193,107 | 29,190,407 | 475,638 | 28,714,769 | 683,685 |
| Agriculture | 122 | 73,437,297 | 5,153,190 | 64,000 | 5,089,190 | 41,715 |
| Institutional | 115 | 205,654,011 | 205,492,475 | 178,366,378 | 27,126,097 | 235,879 |
| Government | 839 | 299,076,871 | 298,478,844 | 298,470,900 | 7,944 | 9 |
| Other Real Property | 236 | 7,304,343 | 7,304,343 | 1,061,652 | 6,242,691 | 26,452 |
| Personal Property | - | 355,481,233 | 355,481,233 | 196,990,106 | 158,491,127 | - |
| CENTRALLY ASSESSED | - | 2,810,835 | 3,454,376 | - | 3,286,594 | |
| Total | 54,394 | \$ 5,693,417,720 | \$ 5,519,900,270 | \$ 1,873,610,467 | \$ 3,646,122,021 | 67,032 |

Value of Exemptions



Property Information (continued)

Property Value Per Capita

| | | | | | Property | |
|------|---------------|---------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | Property | Percent | | Percent | Value Per | Percent |
| Year | Value | Change | Population | Change | Resident | Change |
| 2004 | 2,404,239,111 | 21.20% | 42,850 | 20.90% | 56,108 | 0.25% |
| 2005 | 3,107,581,090 | 29.25% | 50,484 | 17.82% | 61,556 | 9.71% |
| 2006 | 4,444,854,928 | 43.03% | 58,216 | 15.32% | 76,351 | 24.04% |
| 2007 | 6,239,994,489 | 40.39% | 67,832 | 16.52% | 91,992 | 20.49% |
| 2008 | 7,009,877,421 | 12.34% | 70,376 | 3.75% | 99,606 | 8.28% |
| 2009 | 6,131,965,169 | -12.52% | 74,590 | 5.99% | 82,209 | -17.47% |
| 2010 | 5,246,998,187 | -14.43% | 73,910 | -0.91% | 70,992 | -13.64% |
| 2011 | 4,466,504,753 | -14.88% | 74,067 | 0.21% | 60,304 | -15.06% |
| 2012 | 3,891,594,126 | -12.87% | 75,180 | 1.50% | 51,764 | -14.16% |
| 2013 | 3,646,122,021 | -6.31% | 76,450 | 1.69% | 47,693 | -7.86% |

Residential Property as a % of all Property



Residential property is carrying the largest burden of property taxes within the City. The City Council has identified a goal of supporting the growth and development of new and existing businesses in order to have non-residential development bear a higher portion of the property taxes. The percentage has leveled off over the last few years as the economy has significantly affected non-residential development.

Property Information (continued)



As presented in this graph, property values have shown a significant decrease since FY 2010 but have tapered off in the last two years.



The graph above reflects a change in millage rates from fiscal year 2010 of 3.5000 to our current fiscal year at the millage rate of 4.29580.

Expenditures by Category

| | Actual | Actual | Projected | Proposed |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| CATEGORY | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
| Personal Services | \$26,426,014 | \$23,255,106 | \$24,424,176 | \$24,409,177 |
| Operating Expenses | 49,047,360 | 51,662,589 | 39,276,585 | 41,245,255 |
| Capital Outlay | 25,166,274 | 9,709,974 | 16,282,271 | 43,369,070 |
| Debt Service | 7,981,573 | 8,150,489 | 12,845,249 | 13,469,717 |
| Grants & Aide | 230,379 | 179,789 | 420,000 | 478,000 |
| Transfers to Other Funds | 15,677,481 | 8,273,148 | 15,510,863 | 3,304,018 |
| Contingency | - | - | 25,912,460 | 11,213,712 |
| TOTAL | \$124,529,081 | \$101,231,095 | \$134,671,604 | \$137,488,949 |
| Less: Interfund Transfers | 21,784,168 | 14,414,436 | 24,445,559 | 12,149,815 |
| | \$102,744,913 | \$86,816,659 | \$110,226,045 | \$125,339,134 |



Expenditures by Category



Expenditures by Function

| | Actual | Actual | Projected | Proposed |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| FUNCTION | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
| General Government | \$9,338,529 | \$9,900,985 | \$10,551,360 | \$10,584,363 |
| Public Safety | 11,809,868 | 10,367,343 | 11,388,151 | 11,282,695 |
| Physical Environment | 39,230,751 | 37,778,831 | 33,729,568 | 39,001,662 |
| Transportation | 27,206,177 | 15,412,473 | 12,390,735 | 33,656,189 |
| Economic Environment | 1,652,210 | 1,602,199 | 1,185,000 | 2,833,500 |
| Culture/Recreation | 5,602,631 | 3,753,008 | 5,339,885 | 4,903,700 |
| Internal Service | 6,029,861 | 5,992,619 | 5,818,333 | 7,239,393 |
| Debt Service | 7,981,573 | 8,150,489 | 12,845,249 | 13,469,717 |
| Transfers to Other Funds | 15,677,481 | 8,273,148 | 15,510,863 | 3,304,018 |
| Contingency | - | - | 25,912,460 | 11,213,712 |
| TOTAL | \$124,529,081 | \$101,231,095 | \$134,671,604 | \$137,488,949 |
| Less: Interfund Transfers | \$21,784,168 | \$14,414,436 | \$24,445,559 | \$12, 149, 815 |
| | \$102,744,913 | \$86,816,659 | \$110,226,045 | \$125,339,134 |



Expenditures by Function



Personnel by Department

| | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | PROPOSED | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------|----------|--------|
| DEPARTMENT | FY 08 | FY 09 | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | CHANGE |
| GENERAL FUND | | | | | | | |
| Mayor and Council | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CityManager | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Community Relations | 6.00 | 6.00 | 3.50 | 2.38 | 2.38 | 1.50 | (88.0) |
| Purchasing and Contracts Management | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | - |
| Economic Development | - | - | 2.00 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | - |
| City Clerk | 4.00 | 4.00 | 2.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | - |
| Human Resources | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 |
| Law Enforcement | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| City Attorney | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| Financial Services | 10.00 | 9.00 | 9.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | - |
| Planning | 19.00 | 17.00 | 16.50 | 15.15 | 14.15 | 14.90 | 0.75 |
| Code Enforcement | 23.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 26.30 | 26.30 | 26.30 | - |
| Fire | 72.00 | 67.00 | 67.00 | 66.00 | 61.50 | 59.00 | (2.50) |
| Streets | 46.00 | 50.00 | 50.30 | 45.30 | 45.30 | 43.30 | (2.00) |
| Facilities Maintenance | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Parks Maintenance | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 13.00 | 1.00 |
| Engineering | 17.00 | 17.00 | 17.45 | 3.20 | 6.70 | 5.95 | (0.75) |
| Recreation | 26.80 | 27.30 | 25.30 | 23.90 | 19.08 | 19.08 | - |
| Capital Projects | 20.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 252.20 | | | | | | |
| TOTAL GENERAL FUND | 253.30 | 249.80 | 244.05 | 216.48 | 209.66 | 206.28 | (3.38) |
| UTILITY FUND | 115.50 | 115.50 | 115.20 | 113.20 | 115.20 | 116.20 | 1.00 |
| SOLID WAST E FUND | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FUND | 18.00 | 30.00 | 29.55 | 35.55 | 30.05 | 30.05 | - |
| BUILDING PERMITS & INSPECTIONS | 24.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 15.40 | 15.40 | 13.40 | (2.00) |
| | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | | | | |
| TENNIS CENTER FUND | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | - | - | - | - |
| INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND COMMUNICATIONS | 14.50 | 14.50 | 14.50 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 12.50 | - |
| FLEET MANAGEMENT FUND | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 |
| FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS | 431.30 | 435.80 | 428.30 | 396. 1 3 | 385.81 | 382.43 | (3.38) |

Personnel by Department

Per 1,000 Population

| DEPARTMENT | ACTUAL FY 08 | ACTUAL FY 09 | ACTUAL FY 10 | ACTUAL FY 11 | ACTUAL FY 12 | PROPOSED FY 13 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Population | 74,590 | 73,910 | 74,067 | 75,180 | 75,617 | 76,450 |
| GENERAL FUND | , | , | , | , | , | , |
| Mayor and Council | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| City Manager | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Community Relations | 0.08 | 80.0 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Purchasing and Contracts Management | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Economic Development | - | - | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| City Clerk | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Hum an Resources | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Law Enforcement | 0.01 | 0.01 | - | - | - | - |
| City Attorney | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Financial Services | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.10 |
| Planning | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Code Enforcement | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.34 |
| Fire | 0.97 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.81 | 0.77 |
| Streets | 0.62 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.57 |
| Facilities Maintenance | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Parks Maintenance | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 |
| Engineering | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Recreation | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Capital Projects | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL GENERAL FUND | 3.39 | 3.38 | 3.30 | 2.89 | 2.79 | 2.70 |
| UTILITY FUND | 1.55 | 1.56 | 1.56 | 1.51 | 1.52 | 1.52 |
| SOLID WASTE FUND | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FUND | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.39 |
| BUILDING PERMITS & INSPECTIONS | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.18 |
| TENNIS CENTER FUND | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | - | - | - |
| INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND COMMUNICATIONS | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.16 |
| FLEET MANAGEMENT FUND | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS | 5.77 | 5.90 | 5.79 | 5.28 | 5.12 | 5.00 |

Personnel

Total



The number of City personnel (full time equivalent) has seen a decrease of approximately 12% since fiscal year 2008 from 431 in 2008 to 382 in 2013.



Per 1,000 Population

The number of City personnel (full time equivalent) per 1,000 citizens reflects a decrease from 5.77 in fiscal year 2008 to 5.12 in fiscal year 2012.

Personnel Pay Plan

This plan has a salary range established for each position in the City with the exception of the City Manager. The pay plan includes an annual adjustment that occurs each year on January 1, based on the prior June 30 Consumer Price Index (CPI). The annual pay plan adjustment for 2011 was 1.1%, but only employees whose pay fell below the average wage for Flagler County actually received the increase. There were about 100 employees in this category. There was no pay plan annual adjustment for 2012

Because of the weak economy and declining revenues, there were no merit increases for

fiscal year 2011 or 2012. Included in this budget for 2013 is the reinstatement of both the annual adjustment and merit increase program.

The annual adjustment reflected in the table below is 1.7%, and will go into effect on January 1, 2013. Merit increases, based on employee performance, range from 0% to 5%, with a department average capped at 2%.

Below is the pay scale for fiscal year 2013. The amounts shown are annual salaries. In the detail section of this budget there is a Personnel Roster for each department. The pay grade for each position is shown on this roster.

| Paygrade | 2013 Minimum | 2013 1st Quartile | 2013 Mid- Point | 2013 3rd Quartile | 2013 MAX |
|----------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 2 | 22,432 | 25,230 | 28,029 | 28,404 | 33,626 |
| 4 | 24,729 | 27,811 | 30,892 | 31,305 | 37,053 |
| 5 | 26,043 | 29,252 | 32,462 | 32,897 | 38,880 |
| 6 | 27,356 | 30,745 | 34,134 | 34,591 | 40,913 |
| 7 | 28,669 | 32,238 | 35,807 | 36,286 | 42,946 |
| 8 | 30,092 | 33,840 | 37,589 | 38,092 | 45,087 |
| 9 | 31,279 | 35,208 | 39,136 | 39,659 | 46,993 |
| 10 | 32,795 | 36,928 | 41,062 | 41,610 | 49,331 |
| 11 | 34,418 | 38,757 | 43,096 | 43,672 | 51,775 |
| 12 | 36,150 | 40,694 | 45,239 | 45,843 | 54,329 |
| 13 | 37,990 | 42,766 | 47,541 | 48,176 | 57,093 |
| 14 | 40,695 | 45,805 | 50,914 | 51,594 | 61,134 |
| 15 | 43,509 | 48,979 | 54,447 | 55,175 | 65,386 |
| 16 | 46,541 | 52,394 | 58,080 | 58,858 | 69,957 |
| 17 | 49,787 | 56,052 | 62,317 | 63,149 | 74,847 |
| 18 | 53,250 | 59,952 | 66,653 | 67,543 | 80,056 |
| 19 | 57,039 | 64,202 | 71,366 | 72,319 | 85,693 |
| 20 | 61,044 | 68,695 | 76,346 | 77,367 | 91,649 |
| 22 | 73,815 | 83,084 | 92,353 | 93,587 | 110,891 |
| 23 | 81,176 | 92,729 | 104,283 | 115,837 | 127,880 |

Long-Term Debt

The City of Palm Coast has limited debt, both because of City Charter restrictions and a pay-as-you-go philosophy of the City Council. The City Charter states that "unfunded multiyear contracts, the repayment of which: extends in excess of 36 months; or exceeds \$15 million" must be approved by the electorate of the City. The rate covenant for the Utility System Revenue Bonds provides that net revenues must be sufficient to pay 110% of the bond debt service requirement for the year or 105% and 120% when impact fees are included. The City has no other legal debt limits.

| Issue | Final Maturity | Orignal Amount | (| Principal Dutstanding at 09/30/12 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----|---|
| Lease Purchases | 2019 | \$ 764,145 | \$ | 273,157 |
| Bank Loans | 2025 | 13,000,000 | | 11,702,000 |
| State Revolving Fund Loans | 2030 | 38,402,896 | | 29,198,491 |
| Utiltiy System Revenue Bonds | 2036 | 146,490,000 | | 129,690,000 |
| Totals | - | \$ 198,657,041 | \$ | 170,863,649 |

Long-Term Debt Outstanding

Total Long-Term Debt

| Year | Principal | Interest | Total |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 2013 | \$ 4,982,124 | \$ 7,118,968 | \$ 12,101,091 |
| 2014 | 6,018,770 | 6,920,230 | 12,939,000 |
| 2015 | 6,201,545 | 6,684,404 | 12,885,950 |
| 2016 | 6,421,519 | 6,444,751 | 12,866,271 |
| 2017 | 6,647,140 | 6,190,477 | 12,837,618 |
| 2018-2022 | 37,346,754 | 26,564,941 | 63,911,695 |
| 2023-2027 | 40,682,170 | 18,221,749 | 58,903,919 |
| 2028-2032 | 37,103,626 | 9,592,569 | 46,696,195 |
| 2033-2037 | 25,460,000 | 1,559,513 | 27,019,513 |
| | | | |
| Totals: | \$ 170,863,649 | \$ 89,297,603 | \$ 260,161,251 |



This page intentionally left blank

BUDGET DETAIL

Find Your Florida



This page intentionally left blank

Budget Detail

The Budget Detail gives more information on the budget, than is shown in the Executive Summary. Detail information is provided on the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, Enterprise Funds, and Internal Service Funds.

| FUND/DEPARTMENT | I | Proposed |
|--|----|-------------|
| FOND/DEPARTMENT | | FY 13 |
| Total General Fund | \$ | 25,790,398 |
| | _ | |
| Special Revenues Funds | _ | |
| CDBG fund | | 1,078,500 |
| Police Education Fund | | 31,765 |
| Police Automation Fund | | 312,660 |
| Distaster Reserve Fund | | 2,198,484 |
| Recycling Revenue Fund | | 405,400 |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | 17,889,472 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | 453,774 |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | 143,245 |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | 1,305,577 |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | 574,100 |
| Neighborhood Stabilization Fund | | 1,900,000 |
| Old Kings Road Special Assessment Fund | | 264,200 |
| BAC Fund | | 60,225 |
| SR100 Community Redevelopment Fund | | 5,735,844 |
| Capital Projects Fund | | 339,302 |
| Enterprise Funds | | |
| Utility Fund | - | 29,880,644 |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | 20,540,254 |
| Solid Waste Fund | | 7,400,000 |
| Stormwater Management Fund | | 7,745,292 |
| Building Permits & Inspections Fund | | 1,398,961 |
| Golf Course Fund | | 1,618,881 |
| Tennis Center Fund | | 304,278 |
| Information Technology & Comm Fund | | 2,878,300 |
| Internal Services Funds | | |
| Self Insured Health Fund | - | 3,169,350 |
| Fleet Management Fund | | 4,070,043 |
| | | 4,070,043 |
| Subtotal Budget All Funds | \$ | 137,488,949 |
| Less: Interfund Transfers | | 12,149,815 |
| Total | \$ | 125,339,134 |
| | | |

Budget Overview by Fund Type



| То | tal | AII | Funds | |
|----|--|-----|-------|--|
| | 10 A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | | | |

\$137,488,949

| General Fund | F | Revenues Funds | Enterprise Funds | Inter | nal Services Funds |
|------------------|----|-------------------|---------------------|-------|-----------------------|
| \$ 25,790,398 | \$ | 32,692,548 | \$ 71,766,610 | \$ | 7,239,393 |
| 19% | | 24% | 52% | | 5% |

General Fund Revenues by Source

| SOURCE | Actual FY 10 | Actual FY 11 | Projected FY 12 | Proposed FY 13 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Ad Valorem Taxes | \$15,197,133 | \$15,181,152 | \$13,344,824 | \$14,610,000 |
| Local Option, Use and Fuel Taxes | 339,247 | 359,374 | 342,000 | 425,000 |
| Communications Services Taxes | 2,858,825 | 2,793,952 | 2,775,000 | 2,700,000 |
| Local Business Tax | 404,685 | 414,385 | 394,000 | 395,000 |
| Permits, Fees and Special Assessments | 965,554 | 719,156 | 695,000 | 715,000 |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | 1,967,829 | 2,022,042 | 2,051,100 | 2,921,988 |
| Charges for Services | 2,615,059 | 2,590,801 | 2,449,346 | 2,360,243 |
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | 387,000 | 382,396 | 478,264 | 389,000 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 426,066 | 303,830 | 295,000 | 295,000 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 59,387 | 38,790 | 30,125 | 17,191 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 785,401 | 876,828 | 2,660,404 | 961,976 |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$26,006,186 | \$25,682,706 | \$25,515,063 | \$25,790,398 |

FISCAL YEAR 2013



General Fund Revenues by Source



Property taxes are the single largest revenue source for the general fund. The total property taxes in the general fund declined during fiscal year 2012 due to a portion of the overall millage (.45 mills) being dedicated to Stormwater capital projects.

General Fund Expenditures by Category

| CATEGORY | Actual FY 10 | Actual FY 11 | Projected FY 12 | Proposed FY 13 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Personal Services | \$15,280,804 | \$13,291,510 | \$13,618,924 | \$13,551,417 |
| Operating Expenses | 10,451,965 | 11,001,130 | 11,063,782 | 11,203,356 |
| Capital Outlay | 101,891 | 8,183 | 36,483 | 127,625 |
| Debt Service | 39,480 | 26,320 | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | 220,379 | 169,789 | 110,000 | 168,000 |
| Transfers to Other Funds | 6,587,620 | 922,016 | 685,874 | 696,000 |
| Contingency | - | - | - | 44,000 |
| TOTAL | \$32,682,139 | \$25,418,948 | \$25,515,063 | \$25,790,398 |

FISCAL YEAR 2013



General Fund Expenditures by Category



Overall general fund expenses have stabilized after a major restructuring in fiscal year 2010. This was in response to economic conditions in the city.

General Fund Expenditures by Function

| FUNCTION | Actual FY 10 | Actual FY 11 | | | Projected FY 12 | Proposed FY 13 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|----|--------------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| General Government | \$ 8,501,636 | \$ | 7,341,262 | \$ | 7,642,857 | \$ | 7,768,495 | |
| Public Safety | 10,496,847 | | 10,048,116 | | 9,883,250 | | 9,883,734 | |
| Physical Environment | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Transportation | 6,150,176 | | 6,153,687 | | 5,049,743 | | 5,032,678 | |
| Culture/Recreation | 906,380 | | 927,547 | | 2,253,339 | | 2,365,491 | |
| Debt Service | 39,480 | | 26,320 | | - | | - | |
| Transfers to Other Funds | 6,587,620 | | 922,016 | | 685,874 | | 696,000 | |
| Contingency | - | | - | | - | | 44,000 | |
| TOTAL | \$ 32,682,139 | \$ | 25,418,948 | \$ | 25,515,063 | \$ | 25,790,398 | |

FISCAL YEAR 2013



General Fund Expenditures by Function



CDBG Fund

The purpose of this fund is to account for a Community Development Block Grant, residential housing rehabilitation program as well as the expenditures for the CDBG entitlement program.

| SOURCE | Actual FY10 | Actual FY11 | Original Budget FY12 | E | stimated FY12 | Proposed Budget FY13 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|----|------------------|----------------------------|
| Intergovernmental Revenue | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | 75,000 | \$ 1,078,500 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | 75,000 | \$ 1,078,500 |

REVENUES

| | | | | Original | | | Proposed |
|--------------------------|----|-------|---------|----------|----|----------|-----------------|
| | A | ctual | Actual | Budget | E | stimated | Budget |
| CATEGORY | F | Y10 | FY11 | FY12 | | FY12 | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| Operating Expenses | | - | - | - | | 75,000 | 918,500 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Debt Service | | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | | - | - | - | | - | 160,000 |
| Contingency | | - | - | - | | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | 75,000 | \$ 1,078,500 |

Police Education Fund

The purpose of this fund is to account for the revenue and expenditures associated with police officer education. Revenues represent a portion of the collections from fines and forfeitures.

| SOURCE | Actual FY10 | Actual FY11 | Original Budget FY12 | Estimated FY12 | Proposed Budget FY13 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | \$ 7,024 | \$ 7,961 | \$ 5,000 | \$ 6,900 | \$ 7,200 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 990 | 91 | 300 | 60 | 65 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | - | - | - | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | 17,500 | 17,594 | 24,500 |
| TOTAL | \$ 8,014 | \$ 8,052 | \$ 22,800 | \$ 24,554 | \$ 31,765 |

REVENUES

| | | | Original | | F | Proposed |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----|----------|
| | Actual | Actual | Budget | Estimated | | Budget |
| CATEGORY | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY12 | FY12 | | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| Operating Expenses | 21,314 | 18,135 | - | - | | - |
| Capital Outlay | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Contingency | - | - | 22,800 | 24,554 | | 31,765 |
| TOTAL | \$ 21,314 | \$ 18,135 | \$ 22,800 | \$ 24,554 | \$ | 31,765 |

Police Automation Fund

The purpose of this fund is to account for the revenue and expenditures associated with the acquisition and upgrade of the technology of existing police equipment. Revenues represent a portion of the collections from fines and forfeitures.

| SOURCE | Actual FY10 | Actual FY11 | Original Budget FY12 | Estimated FY12 | Proposed Budget FY13 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | \$ 22,119 | \$ 25,167 | \$ 19,500 | \$ 21,000 | \$ 22,000 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 5,686 | 1,587 | 2,600 | 850 | 900 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | - | - | - | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | 272,400 | 267,910 | 289,760 |
| TOTAL | \$ 27,805 | \$ 26,754 | \$ 294,500 | \$ 289,760 | \$ 312,660 |

REVENUES

| | | | | Original | | | F | Proposed |
|--------------------------|----|-------|---------|---------------|----|-----------|----|----------|
| | A | ctual | Actual | Budget | I | Estimated | | Budget |
| CATEGORY | F | Y10 | FY11 | FY12 | | FY12 | | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Operating Expenses | | - | - | - | | - | | - |
| Capital Outlay | | - | - | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | - | - | | - | | - |
| Grants & Aide | | - | - | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | | - | - | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | - | 294,500 | | 289,760 | | 312,660 |
| TOTAL | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ 294,500 | \$ | 289,760 | \$ | 312,660 |

Disaster Reserve Fund

The purpose of this fund is to establish a reserve to cover the potential costs of a hurricane or other disaster.

REVENUES

| | | | | | Original | | | | Proposed |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----|--------|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|-----------|
| | Actual | | Actual | | Budget | | Estimated | | Budget |
| SOURCE | FY10 | | FY11 | FY12 | | FY12 | | FY13 | |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 43,157 | | 12,370 | | 21,000 | | 7,000 | | 7,500 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | | - | | 2,210,500 | | 2,183,984 | | 2,190,984 |
| TOTAL | \$ 43,157 | \$ | 12,370 | \$ | 2,231,500 | \$ | 2,190,984 | \$ | 2,198,484 |

| | | | | Original | | Proposed |
|--------------------------|----|--------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | ŀ | Actual | Actual | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| CATEGORY | I | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Operating Expenses | | 1,621 | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Outlay | | - | - | - | - | - |
| Debt Service | | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contingency | | - | - | 2,231,500 | 2,190,984 | 2,198,484 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 1,621 | \$ - | \$ 2,231,500 | \$ 2,190,984 | \$ 2,198,484 |

Recycling Revenue Fund

The purpose of this fund is to account for the receipt and expenditure of money received from the sale of recyclable materials collected as a part of the solid waste program. This fund is also used to account for the results of special events within the community.

| SOURCE | Actual FY10 | Actual FY11 | Original Budget FY12 | Estimated FY12 | Proposed Budget FY13 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Intergovernmental Revenue | \$ 48,023 | \$ 9,998 | \$ - | \$ 33,600 | \$ 25,000 |
| Charges for Services | 85,540 | 347,788 | 78,600 | 245,000 | 255,000 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 6,204 | 1,930 | 1,600 | 1,200 | 1,400 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 31,578 | 6,555 | 230,000 | 116,000 | 124,000 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | - | - | - | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 171,345 | \$ 366,271 | \$ 310,200 | \$ 395,800 | \$ 405,400 |

REVENUES

| | | | | Proposed | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|
| | | Actual | Actual | | Budget | I | Estimated | Budget |
| CATEGORY | FY 10 | | FY 11 | FY12 | | FY12 | | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - 9 | ş - |
| Operating Expenses | | 129,415 | 257,688 | | 124,700 | | 304,850 | 314,050 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | - | | - | | - | - |
| Debt Service | | - | - | | - | | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | | - | - | | - | | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | | - | - | | - | | - | - |
| Contingency | | - | - | | 185,500 | | 90,950 | 91,350 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 129,415 | \$ 257,688 | \$ | 310,200 | \$ | 395,800 | 405,400 |

Streets Improvement Fund

The Streets Improvement Fund is used to track revenue associated with the half-cent Local Government Infrastructure Surtax and the expenditure of those funds on the street resurfacing program. The surtax was effective beginning January 1, 2003 and continues for 10 years. This tax is set to expire on Dec. 31, 2012. Beginning in FY07 State Revenue Sharing monies have been placed in this fund. Approximately 30% of State Revenue Sharing comes from gas taxes.

REVENUES

| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----|-------------|-----------------|
| Local Option, Use and Fuel Taxes | \$ 4,125,806 | \$ 4,182,246 | \$ | 4,042,100 | \$ 2,195,000 |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | - | - | | - | 100,000 |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | 1,583,878 | 1,921,449 | | 2,346,392 | 11,448,042 |
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | 626,952 | 241,169 | | 384,800 | 377,100 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 66,594 | 10,378 | | 5,000 | 7,524 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 170 | - | | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | | 2,688,506 | 3,761,806 |
| TOTAL | \$6,403,400 | \$6,355,242 | • | \$9,466,798 | \$17,889,472 |

| | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Operating Expenses | 249,749 | 153,948 | 382,200 | 374,600 |
| Capital Outlay | 5,501,652 | 4,666,528 | 2,744,792 | 17,514,445 |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - |
| NonOperating Expenses | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | 3,600,000 | - | 2,578,000 | - |
| Contingency | - | - | 3,761,806 | 427 |
| TOTAL | \$ 9,351,401 | \$ 4,820,476 | \$ 9,466,798 | \$ 17,889,472 |

Park Impact Fee Fund

The purpose of this fund is to account for revenues from park impact fees and the expenditures of those funds. Park impact fees may be used to acquire land for parks and to construct parks. It may not be used for the operation of the parks.

REVENUES

| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|----|-----------|-----------|
| Intergovernmental Revenue | \$ 896,865 | \$ 100,901 | \$ | - \$ | - |
| Charges for Services | 267,207 | 160,859 | | 112,800 | 117,300 |
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | - | - | | - | - |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 18,342 | 723 | | - | 3,331 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | - | | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | | 424,843 | 333,143 |
| TOTAL | \$1,182,414 | \$262,483 | • | \$537,643 | \$453,774 |

| | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Personal Services | \$ - \$ | - \$ | - \$ | - |
| Operating Expenses | - | - | - | 50,000 |
| Capital Outlay | 2,262,979 | 102,992 | 4,500 | 100,000 |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - |
| NonOperating Expenses | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | 200,000 | - |
| Contingency | - | - | 333,143 | 303,774 |
| TOTAL | \$2,262,979 | \$102,992 | \$537,643 | \$453,774 |

Fire Impact Fee Fund

The purpose of this fund is to account for revenues from fire impact fees and the expenditures of those funds. Fire impact fees may be used to acquire land for fire stations, to construct stations, or to purchase additional equipment. It may not be used for operations or to replace existing facilities or equipment.

| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|-----------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Intergovernmental Revenue | \$ - \$ | - \$ | - \$ | - |
| Charges for Services | 61,359 | 26, 398 | 33,300 | 34,600 |
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | - | - | - | - |
| Interest and Other Earnings | (91) | 435 | - | 1,076 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 200,000 | - | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | 74,269 | 107,569 |
| TOTAL | \$261,268 | \$26,833 | \$107,569 | \$143,245 |

REVENUES

| | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| Personal Services | \$ - \$ | - \$ | - \$ | - |
| Operating Expenses | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Outlay | 3,925 | - | - | - |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - |
| NonOperating Expenses | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | - | 107,569 | 143,245 |
| TOTAL | \$3,925 | \$0 | \$107,569 | \$143,245 |
Development Special Projects Fund

The purpose of this fund is to account for revenues from developer contributions and the expenditures of those funds. Developer contributions are for specific purposes such as traffic signals, street lights, or sidewalks, and may not be used to cover other expenditures.

| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Intergovernmental Revenue | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Charges for Services | 47,550 | 5,148 | - | - |
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | - | - | - | - |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 37,552 | 9,284 | 7,000 | 5,841 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | - | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | 1,557,736 | 1,299,736 |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL | \$ 85,102 | \$ 14,432 | \$ 1,564,736 | \$ 1,305,577 |

REVENUES

| FY 10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|---------|----|-------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - 5 | 6 - |
| | - | | - | - | - |
| | - | | - | - | - |
| | - | | - | - | - |
| | - | | - | - | - |
| | - | | - | - | - |
| | - | | - | 265,000 | - |
| | - | | - | 1,299,736 | 1,305,577 |
| \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,564,736 | \$ 1,305,577 |
| \$ | | | \$ - \$ - - - - - - - | \$ - \$ - \$ | \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ |

Transportation Impact Fee Fund

The purpose of this fund is to account for revenues from transportation impact fees. Prior to October 1, 2004 these fees were administered by Flagler County. Transportation impact fees may be used to increase transportation capacity and may not be used for maintenance or repairs.

| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Permits, Fees and Special Assessme \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | 366,687 | - | - | - |
| Charges for Services | 838,621 | 412,423 | 552,000 | 574,100 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | (21,710) | 2,495 | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 3,600,000 | - | 2,340,000 | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL \$ | 4,783,598 | \$ 414,918 | \$ 2,892,000 | \$ 574,100 |

REVENUES

| | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Operating Expenses | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Outlay | 10,937,078 | 633,867 | - | - |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - |
| NonOperating Expenses | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | - | 2,892,000 | 574,100 |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL | \$ 10,937,078 | \$ 633,867 | \$ 2,892,000 | \$ 574,100 |

Neighborhood Stabilization Fund

The purpose of this fund is to track the revenue and expenditures associated with amounts received from federal grants for housing programs.

| RE | ENU | JES |
|----|------------|-----|
| | | |

| | | | Original | | Proposed |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2011205 | Actual | Actual | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| SOURCE | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | \$ 1,652,210 | \$ 1,915,234 | \$ 850,000 | \$ 1,100,000 | \$ 1,900,000 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | - | 150,000 | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | 583,700 | - | - |
| | | | | | |
| TOTAL | \$ 1,652,210 | \$ 1,915,234 | \$ 1,583,700 | \$ 1,100,000 | \$ 1,900,000 |

| | A - t 1 | | Actual | Original | F ation at a | Proposed |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| | Actual | Actual A | | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| CATEGORY | FY10 | | FY 11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Operating Expenses | 1,652,210 | | 1,602,199 | 1,583,700 | 1,100,000 | 1,900,000 |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | - | - | - |
| Debt Service | - | | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | | - | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ <mark>1,</mark> 652,210 | \$ | 1,602,199 | \$ 1,583,700 | \$ 1,100,000 | \$ 1,900,000 |

Business Assistance Center Fund

This fund accounts for the activities of the Palm Coast Business Assistance Center (BAC). The BAC was started in 2011 through a unique partnership between the City of Palm Coast and the University of Central Florida Small Business Development Center. The Center's mission is to help grow local businesses.

| SOURCE | Actual FY10 | Actual FY11 | Original Budget FY12 | E | stimated FY12 | | Proposed Budget FY13 |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|----|------------------|----|----------------------------|
| Charges for Services | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 15,000 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | - | - | | 55,225 | | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | | - | | 45,225 |
| | - | - | - | | - | | - |
| TOTAL | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | 65,225 | \$ | 60,225 |

REVENUES

| | | Actual | Actual | Original Budget | E | stimated | Proposed Budget |
|--------------------------|----|--------|---------|--------------------|----|------------------------|--------------------|
| CATEGORY | | FY 10 | FY11 | FY12 | | FY12 | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - \$ | - |
| Operating Expenses | | - | - | - | | 10,000 | 15,000 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Debt Service | | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Contingency | | - | - | - | | 55,225 | 45,225 |
| TOTAL | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | <mark>65,225 \$</mark> | 60,225 |

Old Kings Road Special Assessment Fund

The purpose of this fund is to account for revenues from assessments to property owners and the expenditures of those funds to four-lane Old Kings Road from Palm Coast Parkway south to State Road 100.

| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|---------------------------------------|---------|-----------|------|---------|---------------|
| Permits, Fees and Special Assessme \$ | 219,391 | \$ 250,34 | 2 \$ | 264,200 | \$ 264,200 |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | - | | - | - | - |
| Charges for Services | 1,924 | | - | - | - |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 14,627 | 12 | 1 | - | - |
| Debt Proceeds | - | | - | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | | - | - | - |
| TOTAL \$ | 235,942 | \$ 250,46 | 3\$ | 264,200 | \$ 264,200 |

REVENUES

| | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | F | Y 13 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---|---------|
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - 9 | 5 | - |
| Operating Expenses | - | - | - | | - |
| Capital Outlay | 2,004,611 | 12,289 | - | | - |
| Debt Service | 87,064 | 248,367 | 264,200 | | 264,200 |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | | - |
| NonOperating Expenses | - | - | - | | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | - | | - |
| Contingency | - | - | - | | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 2,091,675 | \$ 260,656 | \$ 264,200 | 6 | 264,200 |

SR100 Community Redevelopment Fund

The City established a redevelopment area in June 2004. This fund will track revenues and expenditures related to redevelopment.

| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Intergovernmental Revenue | \$ 786,325 | \$ 787,784 | \$ 798,707 | \$ 814,700 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 83,602 | 15, 116 | 12,000 | 11,941 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 600, 198 | 485,016 | 515,874 | 521,000 |
| Debt Proceeds | 4,000,000 | - | - | 2,000,000 |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | • | 2,122,311 | 2,388,203 |
| TOTAL | \$ 5,470,125 | \$ 1,287,916 | \$ 3,448,892 | \$ 5,735,844 |

REVENUES

| | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Personal Services | \$ - \$ | - \$ | - \$ | - |
| Operating Expenses | 39,409 | 7,464 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Capital Outlay | 1,746,032 | 1,715,524 | 370,000 | 4,300,000 |
| Debt Service | 686,406 | 649, 369 | 640,689 | 641,575 |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - |
| NonOperating Expenses | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | - | 2,388,203 | 744,269 |
| TOTAL | \$ 2,471,847 \$ | 2,372,357 \$ | 3, 4 48,892 \$ | 5,735,844 |

Capital Projects Fund

The purpose of this fund is to account for revenues provided for major capital projects and to track the expenditures of those funds. The City allocates a portion of the ad valorem taxes to this fund. In 2010 the allocation to this fund was 0.52 mills. No ad valorem taxes were allocated to this fund for 2011 or 2012. For 2013, the allocation is .047 mills.

| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | | | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|----|-----------|---------------|
| Ad Valorem Taxes | \$ 2,631,273 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 165,000 |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | 1,383,138 | | 1,183,402 | | 3,541,429 | - |
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | - | | - | | - | - |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 152,480 | | 13,458 | | 9,000 | 280 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | | 1,794,498 | | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 85,000 | | - | | 200,000 | 160,000 |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | | - | | 964,593 | 14,022 |
| TOTAL | \$ 4,251,891 | \$ | 2,991,358 | \$ | 4,715,022 | \$ 339,302 |

REVENUES

| | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Operating Expenses | 365,498 | 301,092 | - | - |
| Capital Outlay | 2,608,106 | 2,570,591 | 4,761,000 | 335,000 |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - |
| NonOperating Expenses | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | 1,039,172 | - | 9,425,998 | - |
| Contingency | - | - | 14,022 | 4,302 |
| TOTAL | \$ 4,012,776 | \$ 2,871,683 | \$ 14,201,020 | \$ 339,302 |

Utility Fund

The Utility Fund is an enterprise fund used to account for the City's water and wastewater utility activities. The Utility provides services to all city residents and some portions of the surrounding county.

| | | | Original | | Proposed |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Actual | Actual | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Water Sales | \$ 14,478,993 | \$ 16,143,425 | \$ 17,908,500 | \$ 16,869,000 | \$ 17,727,700 |
| Sewer Service | 8,648,904 | 10,028,514 | 10,393,500 | 10,203,500 | 10,464,600 |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Charges for Service | 4,643,827 | 3,018,897 | 1,529,395 | 2,242,295 | 1,415,643 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 360,411 | 54,498 | 329,100 | 270,000 | 272,701 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 1,231,077 | 39,195 | - | - | - |
| Non Revenues | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | - | 5,023,320 | - | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 29,363,212 | \$ 34,307,849 | \$ 30,160,495 | \$ 29,584,795 | \$ 29,880,644 |

REVENUES

| | | Original | | | | | | Proposed | |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------|------|------------|------|------------|------------------|--|
| | Actual | Actual Actual | | | Budget | | Estimated | Budget | |
| CATEGORY | FY10 | | FY11 | FY12 | | FY12 | | FY13 | |
| Personal Services | \$ 7,134,787 | \$ | 6,806,811 | \$ | 6,952,289 | \$ | 6,951,194 | \$ 7,002,858 | |
| Operating Expenses | 18,454,084 | | 17,614,819 | | 8,917,426 | | 8,520,591 | 8,747,423 | |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | | 475,952 | | 415,000 | 443,000 | |
| Debt Service | 6,971,542 | | 6,920,696 | | 11,338,656 | | 11,228,855 | 11,226,866 | |
| Grants & Aide | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | 10,000 | |
| Transfers to Other Funds | 3,683,653 | | 7,288,132 | | 2,252,991 | | 2,252,991 | 2,331,018 | |
| Contingency | - | | - | | 213,181 | | 206,164 | 119,479 | |
| TOTAL | \$ 36,254,066 | \$ | 38,640,458 | \$ | 30,160,495 | \$ | 29,584,795 | \$ 29,880,644 | |

Utility Capital Projects Fund

This fund is used to record revenues and expenditures related to impact fees, renewal and replacement transfers from the Utility Fund, and bond proceeds.

| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | | | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|----|-----------|------------------|
| Local Option, Use and Fuel Taxes | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | 1,257,897 | | 391,584 | | 1,500,000 | 1,560,000 |
| Judgments, Fines & Forfeits | 143,325 | | 397,936 | | 303,214 | 286,541 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 2,799,068 | | 1,384,522 | | 1,388,587 | 1,459,542 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | | - | | - | 15,000,000 |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | | - | | 3,901,370 | 2,234,171 |
| TOTAL | \$ 4,200,290 | \$ | 2,174,042 | \$ | 7,093,171 | \$ 20,540,254 |

REVENUES

| | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--------------------------|------------|-------|--------------|------------|
| Personal Services | \$ - \$ | - \$ | - \$ | - |
| Operating Expenses | - | - | 14,000 | 161,000 |
| Capital Outlay | - | - | 4,845,000 | 15,385,000 |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - |
| NonOperating Expenses | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | - | 2,234,171 | 4,994,254 |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL | \$ - \$ | - \$ | 7,093,171 \$ | 20,540,254 |
| | | | | |

Solid Waste Fund

The City contracts for solid waste services. This fund is used to track the revenues and contract cost for this service.

| | | | Original | | Proposed |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Actual | Actual | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| SOURCE | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Communications Services T; \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Charges for Services | 7,667,964 | 7,805,956 | 7,605,000 | 7,486,000 | 7,400,000 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | (6,382) | 115 | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | - | - | - | - | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL \$ | 7,661,582 | \$ 7,806,071 | \$ 7,605,000 | \$ 7,486,000 | \$ 7,400,000 |

REVENUES

| | | | Original | | Proposed |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Actual | Actual | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| CATEGORY | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Operating Expenses | 7,550,829 | 7,631,424 | 7,438,284 | 7,486,000 | 7,252,381 |
| Capital Outlay | - | - | - | - | - |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | - | 166,716 | - | 147,619 |
| TOTAL | \$ 7,550,829 | \$ 7,631,424 | \$ 7,605,000 | \$ 7,486,000 | \$ 7,400,000 |

Stormwater Management Fund

In July 2004 the City Council approved the establishment of a stormwater utility, effective October 1, 2004. In June 2005, the Palm Coast Community Service Corporation's responsibilities for a portion of the stormwater system were brought under the City as the Stormwater Management Department and unified the entire system.

| | Original Actual Budget Estimated | | | | | Estimated | Proposed Budget | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|-----------|--------------------|----|-----------|
| SOURCE | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY12 | | FY12 | | FY13 |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,686,100 | \$ | 1,686,100 | \$ | 245,750 |
| Permits, Fees and Special As | 62,411 | | 12,965 | | 80,000 | | - | | - |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | 194,670 | | 48,058 | | - | | 307,500 | | - |
| Charges for Services | 5,446,849 | | 4,765,366 | | 4,823,810 | | 4,900,000 | | 7,309,000 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | (54,749) | | 1,051 | | - | | - | | - |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 188,095 | | 569,018 | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | - | | - | | 885,000 | | 885,000 | | - |
| Debt Proceeds | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | | - | | - | | - | | 190,542 |
| TOTAL | \$ 5,837,276 | \$ | 5,396,458 | \$ | 7,474,910 | \$ | 7,778,600 | \$ | 7,745,292 |

REVENUES

Ad Valorem Taxes for FY 13 are based on a millage rate of 0.0700

Transfers from Other Funds for FY 12 include State Revenue Sharing from the Streets Improvement Fund

| | | | | | Original | | Proposed | | |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| | Actual | | Actual Budget | | | | Estimated | | Budget |
| CATEGORY | | FY 10 | FY11 | | FY12 | | FY12 | | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 1,145,048 | \$ 1,047,101 | \$ | 1,650,432 | \$ | 1,659,079 | \$ | 1,684,931 |
| Operating Expenses | | 3,008,623 | 2,942,467 | | 1,572,976 | | 1,569,104 | | 1,601,435 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | - | | 2,681,200 | | 2,259,600 | | 2,988,100 |
| Debt Service | | 185,901 | 305,737 | | 1,502,302 | | 711,505 | | 1,337,076 |
| Grants & Aide | | - | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | | 391,450 | 44,000 | | 68,000 | | 68,000 | | 79,000 |
| Contingency | | - | - | | - | | 1,511,312 | | 54,750 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 4,731,022 | \$ 4,339,305 | \$ | 7,474,910 | \$ | 7,778,600 | \$ | 7,745,292 |

Building Permits & Inspections Fund

This is a fund that was created during fiscal year 2010 to track revenues and expenses for the Building Permits & Inspections Department. Previously this function was recorded in the General Fund.

| SOURCE | | Actual FY 10 | | Actual FY11 | | Original Budget FY12 | | Estimated FY12 | | Proposed Budget FY13 |
|------------------------------|----|-----------------|----|----------------|----|----------------------------|----|-------------------|----|----------------------------|
| Permits, Fees and Special As | \$ | 200,341 | \$ | 217,553 | \$ | 249,700 | \$ | 257,684 | \$ | 935,368 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | Ψ | 127,248 | Ψ | 14,074 | Ψ | 12,800 | Ψ | 9,000 | Ŷ | 6,000 |
| • | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | | 1,285 | | 7,546 | | 500 | | 19,334 | | 20,107 |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | | - | | - | | 1,244,334 | | 1,218,883 | | 437,486 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 328,874 | \$ | 239,173 | \$ | 1,507,334 | \$ | 1,504,901 | \$ | 1,398,961 |

REVENUES

| CATEGORY | Actual FY10 | Actual FY11 | Original Budget FY12 | Estimated FY12 | Proposed Budget FY13 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Personal Services | \$ 1,384,796 | \$ 1,133,154 | \$ 1,170,959 | \$ 1,166,951 | \$ 1,088,223 |
| Operating Expenses | 411,548 | 335,367 | 336,375 | 337,950 | 310,738 |
| Capital Outlay | - | - | - | - | - |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 1,796,344 | \$ 1,468,521 | \$ 1,507,334 | \$ 1,504,901 | \$ 1,398,961 |

Golf Course Fund

This is a fund that was created during fiscal year 2008 to track revenues and expenses for the Palm Harbor Golf Course that was contributed to the City. The course opened in November of 2009. A management company is being hired to operate the golf course. A transfer from the Capital Projects Fund was used for the capital cost related to the renovation.

| SOURCE | Actual FY10 | Actual FY11 | Original Budget FY12 | Estimated FY12 | Proposed Budget FY13 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Charges for Services | \$ 1,213,334 | \$ 1,501,459 | \$ 1,668,100 | \$ 1,550,109 | \$ 1,618,881 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 4,195 | 123 | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 67,854 | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | - | 256,000 | - | 5,606,500 | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 1,285,383 | \$ 1,757,582 | \$ 1,668,100 | \$ 7,156,609 | \$ 1,618,881 |

REVENUES

GOLF COURSE EXPENDITURES

| | | | Original | | Proposed |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Actual | Actual | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| CATEGORY | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Operating Expenses | 1,876,394 | 1,924,244 | 1,646,100 | 1,578,109 | 1,595,881 |
| Capital Outlay | - | - | - | - | - |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | 373,586 | 18,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 23,000 |
| Contingency | - | - | - | 5,556,500 | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 2,249,980 | \$ 1,942,244 | \$ 1,668,100 | \$ 7,156,609 | \$ 1,618,881 |

Tennis Center Fund

This fund was created during fiscal year 2007 to track revenues and expenses for the tennis center that opened in October 2007. This fund will be supported by charges for court rentals and tennis lessons. It is anticipated that these revenues will need to be supplemented by a transfer from the General Fund for a least the next few years of operation. A management company has been hired to operate the tennis center beginning in 2011.

| SOURCE | Actual FY10 | Actual FY11 | Original Budget FY12 | Estimated FY12 | Proposed Budget FY13 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Charges for Services | \$ 164,404 | \$ 181,596 | \$ 206,700 | \$ 163,087 | \$ 204,278 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 73 | - | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 1,260 | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 117,000 | 181,000 | 99,100 | 120,000 | 100,000 |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 282,737 | \$ 362,596 | \$ 305,800 | \$ 283,087 | \$ 304,278 |

TENNIS CENTER EXPENDITURES

| | | | Original | | | Proposed |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----|------------|----------|
| | Actual | Actual | Budget | E | Estimated | Budget |
| CATEGORY | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | | FY12 | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 207,295 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - \$ | - |
| Operating Expenses | 78,124 | 353,794 | 304,800 | | 282,087 | 303,278 |
| Capital Outlay | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | 2,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Contingency | - | - | - | | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 287,419 | \$ 354,794 | \$ 305,800 | \$ | 283,087 \$ | 304,278 |

Information Technology & Communications Fund

Information Technology & Communications was moved from the General Fund to an enterprise fund to begin operation of the City's fiber optic network. Capacity on the network will be marketed to service providers with the revenue being used for the maintenance and expansion of the network, and general technology operations.

| | Actual | Actual | Original Budget | Estimated | Proposed Budget |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| SOURCE | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Charges for Services | \$ 2,259 | \$ - | \$ 255,100 | \$ 362,203 | \$ 393,000 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 11,967 | 156 | 3,400 | 300 | 300 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 243,835 | 225,871 | 193,600 | 231,000 | 240,000 |
| Non Revenues | 2,358,181 | - | 2,277,000 | 2,277,000 | 2,245,000 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 750,000 | - | - | 1,794,498 | - |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | 17 <mark>6,</mark> 936 | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 3,366,242 | \$ 226,027 | \$ 2,906,036 | \$ 4,665,001 | \$ 2,878,300 |

REVENUES

| | | | Original | | Proposed |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Actual | Actual | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| CATEGORY | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 1,082,951 | \$ 803,936 | \$ 832,420 | \$ 832,391 | \$ 843,576 |
| Operating Expenses | 1,428,526 | 1,698,793 | 1,462,316 | 1,375,646 | 1,271,892 |
| Capital Outlay | - | - | 299,300 | 350,466 | 350,400 |
| Debt Service | 11,180 | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | 12,000 | 12,000 | 14,000 |
| Contingency | - | - | - | 1,794,498 | 98,432 |
| TOTAL | \$ 2,522,657 | \$ 2,502,729 | \$ 2,906,036 | \$ 4,665,001 | \$ 2,878,300 |

Self Insured Health Fund

The Self Insured Health Fund is an Internal Service Fund that is designed to accumulate employer and employee insurance premiums for the purpose of funding health benefits for eligible participants. Transfers from the user departments provide the revenue necessary to accomplish this task.

| | Actual | Actual | Original Budget | | Estimated | Proposed Budget |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|----|-----------|--------------------|
| SOURCE | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | | FY12 | FY13 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | \$ 3,530 | \$ 2,325 | \$ - | \$ | 1,000 | \$ 1,250 |
| Non Revenues | 676,032 | 2,819,860 | 3,155,000 | | 3,205,000 | 3,148,100 |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | | - | 20,000 |
| TOTAL | \$ 679,562 | \$ 2,822,185 | \$ 3,155,000 | \$ | 3,206,000 | \$ 3,169,350 |

REVENUES

| | | | Original | | Proposed |
|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Actual | Actual | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| CATEGORY | FY10 | FY 11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Operating Expenses | 554,003 | 2,801,630 | 3,155,000 | 3,206,000 | 3,169,350 |
| Capital Outlay | - | - | - | - | - |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 554,003 | \$ 2,801,630 | \$ 3,155,000 | \$ 3,206,000 | \$ 3,169,350 |

Fleet Management Fund

The Fleet Management Fund is an Internal Service Fund that is designed to maintain the City's fleet of vehicles and equipment and to accumulate reserves for the acquisition of replacements for the fleet when the useful life has expired. Transfers from the user departments provide the revenue necessary to accomplish this task.

| | | | Original | | Proposed |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Actual | Actual | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| SOURCE | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Charges for Services | \$ 251,844 | \$ 406,785 | \$ 315,000 | \$ 315,000 | \$ 315,000 |
| Interest and Other Earnings | 51,412 | 14,566 | 31,300 | 6,500 | 5,000 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 48,943 | 4,280 | - | - | - |
| Non Revenues | 3,072,474 | 3,321,428 | 3,439,696 | 3,452,696 | 3,452,697 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 781,220 | 66,462 | - | - | 101,500 |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | - | 195,846 |
| TOTAL | \$ 4,205,893 | \$ 3,813,521 | \$ 3,785,996 | \$ 3,774,196 | \$ 4,070,043 |

REVENUES

| | | L/ | | _0 | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | Original | | Proposed |
| | Actual | | Actual | | Budget | Estimated | Budget |
| CATEGORY | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY12 | FY12 | FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 190,333 | \$ | 172,594 | \$ | 188,321 | \$ 195,637 | \$ 238,172 |
| Operating Expenses | 2,774,048 | | 3,018,395 | | 1,848,463 | 1,921,266 | 2,006,371 |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | | 495,430 | 495,430 | 1,825,500 |
| Debt Service | - | | - | | - | - | - |
| Grants & Aide | - | | - | | - | - | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | - | | - | | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | | - | | 1,253,782 | 1,161,863 | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 2,964,381 | \$ | 3,190,989 | \$ | 3,785,996 | \$ 3,774,196 | \$ 4,070,043 |

Department Funding

Departmental responsibility may cross funds. The table on this page and the next identifies the funding sources for department activities.

| | General | Enterprise | Capital | Internal | Special | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|--|
| Department / Division | Fund | Funds | Project Funds | Service Fund | Revenue Funds | |
| City Council | \$ 95,501 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| Administration | | | | | | |
| City Manager | 361,263 | - | - | - | - | |
| Community Relations | 186,810 | - | - | - | - | |
| Purchasing and Contracts | | | | | | |
| Management | 298,416 | - | - | - | - | |
| Solid Waste | | 7,400,000 | - | - | - | |
| Economic Development | 295,957 | - | - | - | - | |
| City Clerk | 129,617 | - | - | - | - | |
| Human Resources | 349,774 | - | - | - | - | |
| SelfInsured Health | - | - | - | 3,169,350 | - | |
| Non-Departmental | 678,000 | - | - | - | - | |
| Law Enforcement | 2,599,863 | - | - | - | - | |
| Police Education | - | - | - | - | 31,765 | |
| Police Automation | - | - | - | - | 312,660 | |
| Disaster Reserve | - | - | - | - | 2,198,484 | |
| Recycling Revenue | - | - | - | - | 405,400 | |
| City Attomey | 387,236 | - | - | - | , - | |
| Financial Services | 692,699 | - | - | - | - | |
| Community Development | , | | | | | |
| Building Permits and | | | | | | |
| Inspections | - | 1,398,961 | - | - | - | |
| Planning | 1,505,208 | - | - | - | - | |
| Code Enforcement | 2,149,693 | - | - | - | - | |
| CDBG | 2,1.10,000 | | | | 1,078,500 | |
| Neighborhood Stabilization | - | - | - | - | 1,900,000 | |
| Business Assistance Center (BAC) | - | - | - | - | 60,225 | |
| SR100 Community | | | | | 00,220 | |
| Redevelopment | - | - | - | - | 5,735,844 | |
| Capital Projects | - | - | 339,302 | - | - | |
| Fire | | | 000,002 | | | |
| Fire | 7,283,871 | - | - | - | - | |
| Fire Impact Fee | | - | - | - | 143,245 | |
| importer oo | | | | | 110,240 | |

Department Funding (continued)

| Department / Division | | General Fund | Enterprise Funds | Capital Project Funds | Interna Service F | | Special evenue Funds |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|--|----------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Public Works / Utility | | Funu | Fullus | Project Funds | Servicer | | evenue runus |
| Streets | \$ | 5,127,678 | \$ - | \$- | \$ | - \$ | - |
| Facilities Maintenance | Ψ | 682,493 | Ψ | Ψ | Ψ | - Ψ | - |
| Parks / Facilities | | 1,181,633 | - | - | | _ | - |
| Streets Improvement | | | - | - | | - | 17,889,472 |
| Customer Service | | - | 1,287,991 | - | | _ | |
| Administration | | - | 1,166,712 | - | | _ | - |
| Utility Maintenance | | - | 634,055 | - | | - | - |
| Wastewater Collection | | - | 2,252,088 | - | | - | - |
| Wastewater Treatment | | - | 1,765,803 | - | | - | - |
| Water Plant #1 | | - | 1,728,607 | - | | - | - |
| Water Plant #2 | | - | 1,238,566 | - | | - | - |
| Water Plant #3 | | - | 972,726 | - | | - | - |
| Water Quality | | - | 469,587 | - | | - | - |
| Water Distribution | | - | 2,770,772 | - | | - | - |
| Non-Departmental | | - | 15,593,737 | - | | - | - |
| Utility Capital Projects | | - | | 20,540,254 | | - | - |
| Stormwater Maintenance | | - | 3,294,992 | - ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- | | - | - |
| FleetManagement | | - | - , | - | 4.070 | 0,043 | - |
| Engineering and Stormwater Ma | anademo | ent | | | ., | , | |
| Engineering | 5 | 500,828 | - | - | | - | - |
| Development Special Projects | | , - | - | - | | _ | 1,305,577 |
| Transportation Impact Fee | | - | - | - | | - | 574,100 |
| Old Kings Road Special | | | | | | | , |
| Assessment | | - | - | - | | - | 264,200 |
| Stormwater Engineering | | - | 4,450,300 | - | | - | , - |
| Recreation and Parks | | | | | | | |
| Recreation / Athletics | | 1,283,858 | - | - | | - | - |
| Park Impact Fee | | - | - | - | | - | 453,774 |
| Golf Course | | - | 1,618,881 | - | | - | , - |
| Tennis Center | | - | 304,278 | - | | - | - |
| Information Technology and | | | | | | | |
| Communications | | - | 2,878,300 | - | | - | - |
| Te | tal \$ | 25,790,398 | \$ 51,226,356 | \$ 20,879,556 | \$ 7,239 | 9,393 \$ | 32,353,246 |
| | | 20,100,000 | Ψ 01,220,000 | ¥ 20,010,000 | Total | \$,555 ¢ | 137,488,949 |
| | | | | | TULAI | <u> </u> | 137,400,848 |

City Council

The City Council is the elected legislative and policy body for the City of Palm Coast. As the City Council, they establish policies that will provide for the protection, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of Palm Coast. These policies help create a quality environment, insure that municipal services are provided at an economic cost, and that future needs of the City can be met. The City Council appoints the City Manager, City Attorney, and the external auditors who perform the annual audit of the City finances.

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|--------|----|--------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | N | et Change |
| Expenditures | | FY10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 65,128 | \$ | 64,943 | \$ | 65,272 | \$ | 65,195 | \$ | (77) |
| Operating Expenditures | | 18,093 | | 17,213 | | 30,207 | | 30,306 | | 99 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 83,221 | \$ | 82,156 | \$ | 95,479 | \$ | 95,501 | \$ | 22 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | Proposed | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description | F | Y 13 | | | | | |
| | \$ | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Total \$

_

PERSONNEL ROSTER

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | - |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Full-time | | - | - | - | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| Mayor * | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Vice - Mayor ** | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Council Member ** | | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | - |
| Total Personnel | | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | - |

* Budgeted at \$11,400 per year.

** Budgeted at \$9,600 per year.

City Council

Performance Measures

City Council

| PERFORMANCE REVIEW | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| DEMAND/WORKLOAD: | | | | |
| Population – City. | 74,067 | 75,180 | 75,617 | 76,450 |
| City employees (FTE). | 428.3 | 396.13 | 385.81 | 382.43 |
| Total original Citywide budget. | \$208,985,815 | \$188,483,459 | \$120,417,494 | \$137,488,949 |
| EFFICIENCY/EFFECTIVENESS: | | | | |
| Non-discretionary revenue generated per citizen. | \$464.94 | \$381.90 | \$434.26 | \$510.45 |
| City Council cost per capita. | \$1.12 | \$1.18 | \$1.26 | \$1.25 |
| Citizen rating of overall quality of life. | 75% | 67% | 70% | 72% |
| Citizen rating of the overall direction that the City is taking. | 58% | 50% | 48% | 50% |
| Citizen rating of the City as a place to live. | 85% | 78% | 80% | 82% |
| (Percent "excellent" or "good"). | | | | |
| | | | | |

Department Summary

The City Manager's office is responsible implementation of all policies for established by the City Council and for directing the operations of the City government. The Manager provides guidance to all City departments and submits the annual budget designed to achieve the goals of the Council. The City Manager prepares alternatives and makes recommendations that will assist the Council in achieving the goals they have established. The office also provides clerical services for the Mayor and Council.

The City Manager's office consists of the Community Relations function, functions of the City Clerk, Purchasing and Contracts Management, Economic Development, and Human Resources.

The City Clerk acts the Secretary to the City Council. The office of the City Clerk has the Records Management and Land Management function and performs Supervisor of Elections duties. The Secretary to City Council is the preparing agendas and responsible for minutes for City Council meetings, as well as the processing of all City resolutions. ordinances. and proclamations adopted and/or approved by City Council. The Records function of the City Clerk's office is the official record keeper for all City documents setting guidelines for the management and retention of these documents, as

well as handling the scanning, indexing destruction of all City documents. and Due to the fact that this function is the official records holder, the City Clerk's office also handles citizens' requests for public information and records. The function is Land Management for handling responsible the management of all City property, to closings. Land Management include function keeps an active record of all City owned properties. As the Supervisor of Elections the City Clerk's office is responsible for City elections and works in conjunction with the County Supervisor of Elections, in overseeing City of Palm Coast elections.

Law Enforcement is provided by the Flagler County Sheriff's Office. The City has a contract with the Sheriff for additional officers, above a base level of service, to provide extra officers within Palm Coast.

Department Objectives

| Administration | Objective | Strategy |
|----------------|--|--|
| | To develop a program to improve staff retention and recognize individual skills and talents | Establish a program to identify individual skills and foster improvement of professional skills; assess pay rates and benefits; develop an Employee Motivation and Reward Program |
| | To develop in-house and identify external training opportunities for employees | Create a comprehensive training program; assess staff positions, training, certification, public policy needs that benefit the Organization and the Community |
| | To enhance awareness of customer service relationships with our citizens | Establish a program that solicits customer feedback and ensure follow-up consultation; develop a reach-out initiative to enhance community awareness of City services; create a customer service element to City- wide training program |
| | To coordinate with regional public and private educational institutions to identify partnership opportunities which benefit both parties | Seek and solicit student internship opportunities; enhance faculty relationships and partnership opportunities; assist with curriculum development to develop future employees |

Vision: To be recognized as one of Florida's premier cities in which to live, work and play

Organizational Chart





Performance Measures

City Manager - Administration

| PERFORMANCE REVIEW | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| DEMAND/WORKLOAD: | | | | |
| Population – City. | 74,067 | 75,180 | 75,617 | 76,450 |
| City employees (FTE). | 428.3 | 396.13 | 385.81 | 382.43 |
| Total original Citywide budget. | \$208,985,815 | \$188,483,459 | \$120,417,494 | \$137,488,949 |
| EFFICIENCY/EFFECTIVENESS: | | | | |
| General Fund operating cost per capita. | \$439.88 | \$347.71 | \$336.94 | \$335.68 |
| Number of employees per 1,000 residents. | 5.78 | 5.27 | 5.1 | 5 |
| Citizen rating of quality of City services. | 69% | 71% | 67% | 69% |
| Citizen rating of the overall image or reputation of the City. | 77% | 71% | 69% | 71% |
| Citizen rating of the value of services for the taxes paid to the City. | 53% | 54% | 50% | 53% |
| Citizen rating of Economic Development Services. | 34% | 31% | 30% | 31% |
| (Percent "excellent" or "good"). | | | | |

City Manager

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | N | et Change |
| Expenditures | | FY10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | Y12-F Y13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 400,159 | \$ | 327,745 | \$ | 326,914 | \$ | 325,440 | \$ | (1,474) |
| Operating Expenditures | | 24,343 | | 23,499 | | 34,913 | | 35,823 | | 910 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 424,502 | \$ | 351,244 | \$ | 361,827 | \$ | 361,263 | \$ | (564) |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | Proposed | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description | FY 13 | | | | | | | |
| | | \$ | - | | | | | |
| | Total | \$ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| City Manager | 52 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Executive Assistant to the City Manager | 13 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| Intern | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |

Community Relations

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|-----------|----|-----------------|----|------------------------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | Ν | et Change |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 192,029 | \$ | 132,009 | \$ | 133,134 | \$ | 101,063 | \$ | (32,071) |
| Operating Expenditures | | 62,061 | | 66,878 | | 80,391 | | 85,747 | | 5,356 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 254,090 | \$ | 198,887 | \$ | 213,525 | \$ | 1 86,810 | \$ | <mark>(</mark> 26,715) |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | posed | |
|-------------|-------|-------|------|
| Description | | F | Y 13 |
| | | \$ | - |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Total | \$ | - |
| | | | |

PERSONNEL ROSTER

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Community Relations Coordinator | 20 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Customer Service Representative I | 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | (1.00) |
| Total Full-time | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| Community Relations Coordinator | 20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | (1.00) |
| Customer Service Representative I | 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Personnel | | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | - |

Purchasing and Contracts Management

| | EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----|---------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|--------------------|--|--|
| | | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | N | et Change | | |
| Expenditures | | FY10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY13 | F | Y12-FY13 | | |
| Personal Services | \$ | 309,434 | \$ | 274,059 | \$ | 267,118 | \$ | 269,860 | \$ | 2,742 | | |
| Operating Expenditures | | 16,659 | | 23,732 | | 28,896 | | 28,556 | | <mark>(340)</mark> | | |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 326 <mark>,</mark> 093 | \$ | 297,791 | \$ | 296,014 | \$ | 298,416 | \$ | 2,402 | | |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | Propose | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------|---------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description | | F | Y 13 | | | | | | |
| | | \$ | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total | ¢ | | | | | | | |
| | TOtal | Ψ | - | | | | | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Purchasing Manager | 18 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Contracts Administrator | 18 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Purchasing Technician III | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Purchasing Technician | 7 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Total Full Time | | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | - |

Economic Development

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | Ne | et Change |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | Y12-F Y13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 91,348 | \$ | 79,182 | \$ | 80,798 | \$ | 82,059 | \$ | 1,261 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 12,102 | | 75,426 | | 121,747 | | 113,898 | | (7,849) |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | 110,000 | | 97,500 | | 42,000 | | 100,000 | | 58,000 |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 213,450 | \$ | 252,108 | \$ | 244,545 | \$ | 295,957 | \$ | 51,412 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | Prop | osed |
|-------------|-------|------|------|
| Description | | 13 | |
| | | \$ | - |
| | | | |
| | _ | | |
| | Total | \$ | - |
| | | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Economic Development Coordinator | 21 | - | - | | - |
| Senior Planner | 17 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| StaffAssistant | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Total Full-time | | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| Event Coordinator | 16 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Personnel | | 0.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | - |

City Clerk

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | Ν | et Change |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 175,112 | \$ | 88,715 | \$ | 95,017 | \$ | 93,302 | \$ | (1,715) |
| Operating Expenditures | | 25,924 | | 73,191 | | 36,978 | | 36,315 | | (663) |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 201,036 | \$ | 161,906 | \$ | 131,995 | \$ | 129,617 | \$ | (2,378) |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | Proposed | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description | | FY 13 | | | | | | |
| | | \$ | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Total | \$ | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Paralegal | 17 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| Records Coordinator | 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary N/A | | | | | |
| | | | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | - |

Human Resources

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---------|----|------------------------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|--------------------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | Ne | et Change |
| Expenditures | | FY10 | | FY11 | | FY12 | | FY 13 | F١ | Y12-F Y13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 188,085 | \$ | 191, <mark>63</mark> 0 | \$ | 203,074 | \$ | 240,754 | \$ | 37,680 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 103,021 | | 76,727 | | 102,051 | | 109,020 | | <mark>6,969</mark> |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expanditures | ¢ | 201 106 | ¢ | 060 257 | ¢ | 205 405 | ¢ | 240 774 | ¢ | 44.640 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 291,106 | \$ | 268,357 | \$ | 305,125 | \$ | 349,774 | \$ | 44,649 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | | posed |
|-------------|-------|----|-------|
| Description | | F | Y 13 |
| | | \$ | - |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Total | \$ | - |
| | | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Human Resources Manager | 18 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Human Resources Technician | 10 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Human Resources Assistant | 8 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Total Full-time | | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 |

Law Enforcement

| | EXF | PEI | NDITURE : | SU | IMMARY | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----|-----------|----|-----------|-----------------|----|------------|
| | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | Proposed | I | Net Change |
| Expenditures | FY 10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | FY 13 | | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| Operating Expenditures | 2,599,720 | | 2,599,774 | | 2,599,863 | 2,599,863 | | - |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Debt Service | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Transfers | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Contingency | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 2,599,720 | \$ | 2,599,774 | \$ | 2,599,863 | \$ 2,599,863 | \$ | - |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | | posed |
|-------------|-------|----|-------|
| Description | | F | Y 13 |
| | | \$ | - |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Total | \$ | - |
| | | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Full-time | | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | - |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | - | - | - | - |

City Attorney

Department Summary

The City utilizes the services of a legal firm, which in accordance with the City Charter, is directly responsible to the City Council and is the City's legal representative. The City's legal representative shall be responsible for advising and representing the City Council, the City Manager and all City departments, officers and advisory boards and agencies of City government in all legal matters relating to their official responsibilities.

The City's representative serves as legal general counsel to the City and handles its legal matters. The legal representative defends and prosecutes for the City in civil litigation, ordinance violations and administrative proceedings. Litigation in State and Federal courts includes, but is not limited to, eminent domain. civil rights actions. inverse condemnations. mortgage and lien foreclosures, property assessment disputes, challenges to City codes and ordinances, appeals for review of City Council decisions. enforcement and code lien foreclosures. Administrative proceedings but are not limited internal include. to. Planning hearings of the and Land Development Regulation Board: Code Enforcement Board hearings; and hearings before State administrative law judges in growth management, labor cases and environmental cases.

The City's legal representative is responsible for conducting legal research, drafting, reviewing and revising ordinances, resolutions. contracts. real property instruments, and proposed legislation. The City's legal representative is an integral part of the City's Land Development Code process and the City's comprehensive planning program. The City's legal representative assists City staff in bankruptcy cases; assists in the collection of code enforcement fines, costs, fees and special assessments; and files claims when necessary.

The City's legal representative continues to reduce the costs associated with representation of the City by keeping to a minimum the reliance on outside counsel for both litigated and non-litigated matters.

The City's legal representative is also working on a system of standard City legal forms to be used by City staff and the public.

The Paralegal position was created to assist city staff with the preparation of ordinances, resolutions and other legal documents that are then reviewed and approved by the city attorney.

City Attorney

| | EXF | PEN | DITURE | SUN | MMARY | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-----|---------|-----|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|
| | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | Ne | et Change |
| Expenditures | FY10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F١ | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 32,684 | \$ | 34,346 | \$ | 34,282 | \$ | 36,338 | \$ | 2,056 |
| Operating Expenditures | 305,094 | | 358,108 | | 349,019 | | 350,898 | | 1,879 |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 337,778 | \$ | 392,454 | \$ | 383,301 | \$ | 387,236 | \$ | 3,935 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| Description | | oposed FY 13 |
|-------------|-------|-----------------|
| | | \$ - |
| | Total | \$ |
| | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Paralegal | 17 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| | | | | | |

Financial Services

Department Summary

The mission of the Financial Services Department is to help the City of Palm Coast meet its goals for the future by providing fast and efficient services to citizens, businesses, customers, vendors, employees and other City departments while adhering to local, state, and federal laws and regulations.

The Financial Services Department includes Finance/Budget, Utility Billing, and Business Tax Receipts functions. These functions are provided through two divisions, the Utility Fund and the General Fund divisions.

Department The provides sound investment and revenue advice to the City Officials, budget guidance to the Manager City and Department Directors, audit services in accordance with state and federal laws, licensing assistance to City businesses, billing services for the City's Utility Department, and financial and tax consulting services to the departments.

The Department performs these functions with a streamlined staff structure by utilizing, cross training, multi -tasking, and matrix management to achieve cost effective services for the City.

The Local Business Tax Receipt Division (formerly Occupational Licensing) is

responsible for the issuance of all Local Business Tax Receipts. The Division also issues Door to Door and Right of Way Solicitation Permits. This division also works closely with the City's Business Assistance Center (BAC).

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City of Palm Coast for its comprehensive annual financial report eleven consecutive years.

The City of Palm Coast also received the GFOA Distinguished Budget Presentation Award for nine consecutive years.

Financial Services

Department Objectives

| Finance | Objective | Strategy |
|---------|---|---|
| | Increase efficiency through enhanced operations and technological advancements | Review operational procedures and policies; evaluate technological opportunities; continue and enhance volunteer opportunities; seek in-house alternative to external services |
| | To develop in-house and identify external training opportunities for employees | Create a comprehensive training program; assess staff positions, training, certification public policy needs that benefit the Organization and the Community |
| | Diversify our revenue sources | Evaluate and target diversification of funding sources; seek private/public partnerships |
| | To develop a program to improve staff retention and recognize individual skills and talents | Establish a program to identify individual skills and foster improvement of professional skills; assess pay rates and benefits; develop an Employee Motivation and Reward Program |
| | To develop an evaluation matrix to assess the implementation of Prosperity 2021 | Inventory progress to date |
| | To develop a "branding and marketing strategy" and establish criteria to measure success | Expand use of "Find Your Florida" brand; campaign City's economic strengths and opportunities; support event activities with positive economic impact |
| | To promote the Palm Coast Business Assistance Center (BAC) as the destination center for small business training and support in Flagler County | Develop a branding strategy, create programs to assist small business; develop education programs to reached broader participation in Flagler County and across Florida; strengthen BAC partner effort; increase Private sector financial support for BAC |
| | Establish system to continually evaluate and enhance financial controls | Create an anonymous reporting program to alert financial improprieties; annual evaluation and risk assessment to strengthen controls |
Financial Services

Organizational Chart



1/27/2012



Financial Services

Performance Measures

Finance Department

| FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|---------|--|---|---|
| | | | |
| 74,067 | 75,180 | 75,617 | 76,450 |
| 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 428.3 | 396.13 | 385.81 | 382.43 |
| 27,431 | 28,300 | 27,503 | 28,250 |
| 3,200 | 3,250 | 3,300 | 3,320 |
| 440,474 | 445,000 | 446,498 | 447500 |
| | | | |
| \$10.30 | \$9.51 | \$9.55 | \$9.06 |
| 99.70% | 99.80% | 99.91% | 99.92% |
| 0.60% | 0.90% | 0.90% | 0.85% |
| 95.80% | 96.50% | 97.00% | 98% |
| 3.20% | 8.40% | 6.47% | 10% |
| | | | |
| | 74,067 8 428.3 27,431 3,200 440,474 \$10.30 99.70% 0.60% 95.80% | 74,067 75,180 8 8 428.3 396.13 27,431 28,300 3,200 3,250 440,474 445,000 \$10.30 \$9.51 99.70% 99.80% 0.60% 0.90% 95.80% 96.50% | 74,067 75,180 75,617 8 8 8 428.3 396.13 385.81 27,431 28,300 27,503 3,200 3,250 3,300 440,474 445,000 446,498 \$10.30 \$9.51 \$9.55 99.70% 99.80% 99.91% 0.60% 0.90% 0.90% 95.80% 96.50% 97.00% |

Financial Services

| | EXF | PEN | DITURE | SUN | MMARY | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-----|---------|-----|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|
| | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | Ν | et Change |
| Expenditures | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY12 | | FY 13 | F | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 663,014 | \$ | 557,476 | \$ | 549,306 | \$ | 521,506 | \$ | (27,800) |
| Operating Expenditures | 100,000 | | 157,787 | | 172,821 | | 171,193 | | (1,628) |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 763,014 | \$ | 715,263 | \$ | 722,127 | \$ | 692,699 | \$ | (29,428) |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | OAI II AE OOTEAT OOTEEDOEE | | |
|-------------|----------------------------|----------|---|
| | | Proposed | |
| Description | | FY 13 | |
| | \$ | | - |
| | | | |

Total

\$

-

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Finance Director | 22 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Chief Accountant | 18 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | (1.00) |
| Accountant II | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Business Tax Receipts Supervisor | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Budget & Financial Analyst | 12 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Accounting Technician III | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Accounting Technician II | 10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Accounting Technician I | 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Business Tax Receipts Tech II | 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | - |

Department Summary

The Community Development Department consists of five main functions; Planning, Building Services, Code Enforcement, Capital Projects, and Economic Development. Together they assure and maintain the City's aesthetics, health, safety and welfare, and protection of natural resources. The budget provides for necessary training, certification for licensed personnel, continuing education as well as customer service and cross-training programs for all staff.

The Planning Division focuses on implementing the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code to ensure a high quality of life in the City through a balanced approach to development review and analysis. The Planning Division is currently implementing paperless project plan review.

The Building Permits Division focuses on implementing the Florida Building Code to ensure that all physical structures are constructed in compliance with all applicable codes, to ensure the public health, safety, and The responsibility of the Building welfare. Division includes; permitting, plan review, and inspection. The budget allows for the 90% fee reduction adopted in 2009, to continue through December 31, 2012. The budget also provides for continued software and application enhancements to facilitate paperless project review.

The Code Enforcement Division oversees the enforcement of City Codes, including such nuisance issues as parking on the lawn, litter and debris, abandoned properties, overgrown conditions, noise complaints and all issues related to animal control to include nuisance animals, animals running at large and animal cruelty. Additionally, Code oversees the enforcement of wildfire mitigation and tree removal regulations. The risk of wildfire in the City of Palm Coast is substantial under expected climatic conditions and weather patterns. The City has developed a hazard mitigation process to address the evolution of the City's development from a pine plantation to residential community which а includes selective mowing of brush. Such mitigation also helps the community conserve its natural resources for aesthetic, environmental, and Stormwater management purposes. Code Enforcement implements the City's Code of Ordinances by proactively patrolling the City and monitoring citizen complaints to ensure compliance. The budget allows the division to start moving toward cost effective and mobile technology to increase staff efficiency in the field

The Capital Projects Division is responsible for managing the construction of projects for the City. This division continues to seek and obtain federal grant funding for projects, including sidewalks, paths, and trails.

Planning is responsible for Drafting and Maintenance of the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Regulations.

The Comprehensive Plan, adopted by the City Council in 2004, provides the City's "roadmap" comprised of goals, objectives, and policies through 2020. The primary function of the Planning & Zoning Division is to implement this plan while ensuring that it remains updated to properly reflect changing conditions within the community.

Department Summary

Review of Development Plans and Issuing Development Orders

Site Plans for new multi-family, commercial. office. and industrial developments are approved by the City's technical reviewed and review staff to ensure application submittals are in compliance with City codes and ordinances, and issue development orders when projects are approved. Technical review staff also reviews subdivisions for both residential and non-residential projects.

Review, Processing, and Monitoring of other Development-related applications

In addition to site plans and subdivisions, there are other development-related applications which must be reviewed and processed on a monthly basis including variances, special exceptions. public/semi-public/special uses. rezonings, and applications for home occupations. Depending upon the specific type of application, the Planning & Zoning business processes may include educating applicants, preparation of reports and recommendations, and presentation of cases to the Planning and Land Development Regulation Board (PLDRB) and City Council. In fact, the Planning & Zoning Division acts as primary staff to the PLDRB vet another main aspect of its processes.

Larger, more complex applications, such as Developments of Regional Impact (DRI) and Future Land Use Map (FLUM) amendments, are also part of the Planning & Zoning processes. DRIs also involve ongoing monitoring efforts to ensure developer compliance with development agreements.

In 2004, the City Council established the SR100 Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) and adopted a Redevelopment Plan and a tax increment financing district. Administration of

the SR100 CRA, shall involve investing the tax increment revenues in accordance with the plan guideline to help spur the redevelopment of private property, a major business process of the Planning & Zoning Division. It is expected that this business process will continue to expand in the 5-year timeframe as tax increment revenues increase exponentially with commensurate increases in developmental pressures.

Economic Development Team The is а multidisciplinary working group tasked with implementing "Prosperity 2021," the City's Plan growing our local economy. Planners, for accountants, information technology professionals, public relations and City event planners, and City management work on a variety of programs and projects focused on the three key areas of Prosperity 2021: neighborhoods, business districts, and the overall Citywide economic conditions. This includes the federally funded Neighborhood Stabilization Programs and Housing Rehabilitation Program, as well as Recruitment and Marketing, the Business Ready Program, the Business Assistance Center, and working with various governmental and private sector agencies to make our local economy stronger. Using distributed funds from the dissolution of Enterprise Flagler, the Business Assistance Center Guarantee Assistance Program (GAP) will provide another tool to assist clients of the Business Assistance Center in obtaining access to capital. Through the stimulation of private investment, the City aims to encourage creation of permanent, private sector jobs and increase the local tax base. The loan guarantee can be used for business expansion needs, working capital, and/or establishing a line of credit.

(continued)

Capital Projects

Completed Projects in 2012:

Construction of various trails/paths were completed in 2012 to include:

- \Rightarrow Rymfire to Lehigh Path
- ⇒ Belle Terre Phase III
- ⇒ Palm Harbor Path
- ⇒ Whiteview Path Ph II
- ⇒ Indian Trails Sports Complex Storage Building

Under Construction or Design:

- ⇒ Seminole Woods Path construction to begin in 2013
- ⇒ Bull Dog Drive Design completed in 2012 and scheduled to continue in 2013
- ⇒ Longs Landing Design was completed in 2012 and design is scheduled to continue in 2013. Construction is planned to start in 2014.
- ⇒ Holland Park Improvements design started in 2012 and design is to continue in 2013 with construction not yet planned.
- ⇒ Pine Lakes Parkway North design was completed in 2012 and construction is scheduled to begin in 2013.
- ⇒ Royal Palms shoulder design began in 2012 and design will continue in

2013. Construction is scheduled to begin in 2013 as well.

- ⇒ Design for bridge rehabilitation on Palm Harbor was completed in 2012 and construction is scheduled to begin in 2013.
- ⇒ Old Kings Road North widening design was completed in 2012 and scheduled to continue in 2013.
- ⇒ Palm Coast Parkway six lane right of way design was completed in 2012. Construction is scheduled for 2013.

Department Objectives

.

| Community Development | Objective | Strategy |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| | To develop in-house and identify external training opportunities for employees | Create a comprehensive training program; assess staf positions, training, certification, public policy needs that benefit the Organization and the Community |
| | To coordinate with regional public and private educational institutions to identify partnership opportunities which benefit both parties | Seek and solicit student internship opportunities; enhance faculty relationships and partnership opportunities; assist with curriculum development to develop future employees |
| | To enhance infrastructure in order to maintain quality neighborhoods and business districts | Projects targeted as highest priority for replacement shall be evaluated for potential upgrade or enhancement |
| | To assess the need to expand infrastructure for sustainable growth | Maintain an inventory of infrastructure condition and priority rating; coordinate facility capacity upgrades; keeping older neighborhoods attractive and relevant |
| | Diversify our revenue sources | Evaluate and target diversification of funding sources; seek private/public partnerships |
| | Increase efficiency through enhanced operations and technological advancements | Review operational procedures and policies; evaluate technological opportunities; continue and enhance volunteer opportunities; seek in-house alternative to external services |
| | To evaluate the evolution of City of Palm Coast Recreation and Parks Facilities Master Plan projects | Develop a phased approach to financing and constructing Long Creek Nature Preserve concept; build bicycle/pedestrian connections; identify user experiences through technological and innovative design enhancements |
| | Enhance community and visitors' recreational opportunities and experiences at community events | Identify fun and interactive elements into community events; promote the variety of local leisure and recreational activities |
| | Enhance safety measures throughout the community | Identify enhancements to reduce hazards in parks; enhance safety improvements at intersections and along roadways; seek partnerships to educate public; improve ISO standards; target natural hazard mitigation opportunities; Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan training |

Organizational Chart



1/23/2012



Performance Measures

Community Development

| PERFORMANCE REVIEW | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|-------------------|
| DEMAND/WORKLOAD: | | | | |
| Number of code violations. | 23,749 | 21,261 | 23,201 | 24,000 |
| Number of permits issued. | 5,413 | 5,521 | 6,863 | 7,000 |
| Number of site plan and subdivision applications. | 20 | 32 | 35 | 35 |
| Population – City. | 74,067 | 75,180 | 75,617 | 76,450 |
| | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY/EFFECTIVENESS: | | | | |
| Cost per permit issued. | \$271.66 | \$325.37 | \$216.99 | \$199.85 |
| Code Enforcement expenditures per capita. | \$27.76 | \$26.62 | \$28.36 | \$28.12 |
| Planning expenditures per capita. | \$19.82 | \$19.11 | \$19.01 | \$19.69 |
| Percentage of code cases initiated by the City. | 42.20% | 75.00% | 75.00% | <mark>7</mark> 6% |
| Citizen rating of quality of City code enforcement services. | 50% | 47% | 55% | 56% |
| Citizen rating of animal control. | 64% | 57% | 65% | 67% |
| Citizen rating of City land use, planning and zoning. | 46% | 42% | 39% | 41% |
| (Percent "excellent" or "good"). | | | | |
| | | | | |

Planning

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|------------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | N | let Change |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 1,265,863 | \$ | 1,261,131 | \$ | 1,177,653 | \$ | 1,254,734 | \$ | 77,081 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 202,215 | | 175,399 | | 259,642 | | 250,474 | | (9,168) |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 1,468,078 | \$ | 1,436,530 | \$ | 1,437,295 | \$ | 1,505,208 | \$ | 67,913 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | Proposed | |
|-------------|----------|--|
| Description | FY 13 | |
| | \$ - | |

Total \$

-

PERSONNEL RUSIER

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Community Development Director | 22 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | - |
| Planning Manager | 20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Construction Manager | 19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Landscape Architect | 18 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Senior Planner | 17 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 3.50 | (1.00) |
| Urban Forrester | 16 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Planner | 15 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Project Coordinator | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Land Development Technician | 13 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 13.80 | 12.80 | 12.80 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| Senior Planner | 17 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Senior Staff Assistant | 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | |
| Total Personnel | | 15.80 | 14.80 | 15.80 | - |

Building Services

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | Ν | et Change |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 1,384,796 | \$ | 1,133,154 | \$ | 1,166,951 | \$ | 1,088,223 | \$ | (78,728) |
| Operating Expenditures | | 411,548 | | 335,367 | | 337,950 | | 310,738 | | (27,212) |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 1,796,344 | \$ | 1,468,521 | \$ | 1,504,901 | \$ | 1,398,961 | \$ | (105,940) |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | Propose | d |
|-------------|---------|---|
| Description | FY 13 | |
| | \$ | - |
| | | |

Total \$

-

| Olean Karfan Tila | Dev Ore de | Approved | Approved | | Net Change |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|-------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| <u>Full-time</u> | | | | | |
| Community Development Director | 22 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | - |
| Building Official | 20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Chief Building Inspector | 18 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Senior Building Inspector | 15 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 |
| Plans Examiner | 15 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Building Inspector | 14 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | (2.00) |
| Permit Supervisor | 13 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Construction Inspector | 12 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - | (2.00) |
| Permit Technician | 8 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 15.40 | 15.40 | 13.40 | (2.00) |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 15.40 | 15.40 | 13.40 | (2.00) |

Code Enforcement

| | | EXF | PEI | NDITURE | SU | MMARY | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------|-----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|------------|--|
| | | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | | Net Change | |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | | FY12-FY13 | |
| Personal Services | \$ | 1,380,295 | \$ | 1,400,093 | \$ | 1,492,069 | \$ | 1,515,573 | \$ | 23,504 | |
| Operating Expenditures | | 675,572 | | 601,375 | | 652,738 | | 634,120 | | (18,618) | |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 2,055,867 | \$ | 2,001,468 | \$ | 2,144,807 | \$ | 2,149,693 | \$ | 4,886 | |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | Proposed | |
|-------------|----------|---|
| Description | FY 13 | |
| | \$ | - |

Total \$

-

| | | Approved | | Proposed | Net Change |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Community Development Director | 22 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | - |
| Code Enforcement Manager | 20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Code Enforcement Supervisor | 14 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Officer Manager | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Code Enforcement Inspector | 10 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | - |
| Animal Control Officer | 10 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Landscape Specialist | 10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Tree Inspector | 9 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Senior Staff Assistant | 8 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | (1.00) |
| StaffAssistant | 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Records Clerk | 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Customer Service Representative I | 6 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 |
| Data Entry Clerk | 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | (1.00) |
| Total Full-time | | 25.30 | 25.30 | 25.30 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| Code Enforcement Inspector | 10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Customer Service Representative I | 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Total Personnel | 142 | 27.30 | 27.30 | 27.30 | - |
| | | | | | |

Department Summary

The Fire Department provides basic and advanced life support and fire suppression/prevention services. The fire service area includes the City, as well as mutual aid response, when needed, within the County and other municipalities. In addition, the department is responsible for enforcing City, State, and Federal Fire and Life Safety Codes. This is accomplished by performing building and site plan reviews, business inspections, and public education.

Three new stations were opened in fiscal year 2009, one of which also houses Fire Administrative Offices. A total of 59 FTE's and 27 Volunteer members operate out of 5 fire stations located throughout the City. The Department is licensed for Advanced Life Support (ALS), non -transport with all front line apparatus licensed for ALS service. The results of a station location/fire response zone evaluation study conducted by an outside consulting firm as well as an Insurance Services Organization survey, will likely result in the need for additional stations and services throughout the City in the future. (The City I.S.O rating is currently a 4).

All front line fire apparatus are equipped with laptop technology interfaced with the Flagler County Sheriff's Office Computer Automated Dispatch system. A traffic signal control system has also been installed in front line fire apparatus to allow responding emergency apparatus, when responding to an incident, the ability to control traffic lights in order to reach their destination with minimal traffic delays.

Fire

Department Objectives

Objective

Increase efficiency through enhanced operations and technological advancements

To develop in-house and identify external training opportunities for employees

Enhance safety measures throughout the community

Evaluate current "Green" initiatives and targeted projects that are sustainable

Strategy

Review operational procedures and policies; evaluate technological opportunities; continue and enhance volunteer opportunities; seek in-house alternative to external services Create a comprehensive training program; assess staff positions, training portification public policy

training, certification, public policy needs that benefit the Organization and the Community

Identify enhancements to reduce hazards in parks; enhance safety improvements at intersections and along roadways; seek partnerships to educate public; improve ISO standards; target natural hazard mitigation opportunities; Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan training

Reduce waste; staying in front of sustainable communities in the State of Florida; develop Alternative Energy Strategy; implement Citywide energy savings program

Vision: To be recognized as one of Florida's premier cities in which to live, work and play

Organizational Chart





Performance Measures

Fire Department

| PERFORMANCE REVIEW | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| DEMAND/WORKLOAD: | | | | |
| Population – City. | 74,067 | 75,180 | 75,617 | 76,450 |
| City area (square miles). | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Number of emergency responses. | 5,590 | 5,578 | 5,578 | 5,700 |
| Number of business inspections. | 1,181 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Number of plan reviews. | 199 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Number of new construction inspections. | 571 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| EFFICIENCY/EFFECTIVENESS: | | | | |
| Cost per emergency response. | \$1,405.68 | \$1,328.02 | \$1,300.59 | \$1,273.08 |
| Percentage of response times, 5 minutes or less. | 61% | 64% | 56% | 58% |
| Citizen rating of quality of City fire services | 95% | 92% | 96% | 97% |
| (Percent "excellent" or "good"). | | | | |

EXPENDITURE SUMMARY

| | Actual | Actual | Projected | Proposed | N | et Change |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----|-----------|
| Expenditures | FY 10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY 13 | F | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 5,982,344 | \$ 5,498,868 | \$ 5,303,071 | \$ 5,099,038 | \$ | (204,033) |
| Operating Expenditures | 1,866,261 | 1,941,291 | 1,980,316 | 2,082,208 | | 101,892 |
| Capital Outlay | 48,522 | 8, <mark>1</mark> 83 | - | 102,625 | | 102,625 |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Transfers | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Contingency | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 7,897,127 | \$ 7,448,342 | \$ 7,283,387 | \$ 7,283,871 | \$ | 484 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | Proposed | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Description | | | FY 13 | | | |
| Lifepak Cardiac Monitor | | \$ | 64,000 | | | |
| Scott Airpaks | | | 38,625 | | | |
| | Total | \$ | 102,625 | | | |

| FERSONNEL ROSTER | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Fire Chief | 22 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Deputy Fire Chief | 20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Fire Captain | 19 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | - |
| Fire Marshal | 19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Fire Lieutenant | 16 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | - |
| Firefighter/Paramedic | 13 | 33.00 | 29.00 | 28.00 | (1.00) |
| Fire Inspector | 12 | 1.00 | 0.50 | - | (0.50) |
| Firefighter/EMT | 11 | 9.00 | 9.00 | 8.00 | (1.00) |
| Senior Staff Assistant | 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Staff Assistant | 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 66.00 | 61.50 | 59.00 | (2.50) |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 66.00 | 61.50 | 59.00 | (2.50) |

Department Summary

Public Works is responsible for providing the City's water and wastewater services, maintenance and repairs to City streets, the drainage swales, and other rights -of-way, including striping, signals, signage, litter collection, and mowing. The department also manages the City's fleet of vehicles and large equipment.

The Fleet Management (FM) Fund is an Internal Service Fund that is designed to maintain the City's fleet of vehicles and equipment and to accumulate reserves for the acquisition of replacements for the fleet when the useful life has expired. Transfers from the user departments provide the revenues necessary to accomplish this task.

The Utility Division provides water, wastewater and reuse services to the City of Palm Coast and surrounding areas. The Utility operates as an enterprise fund utilizing its operating revenues and capacity fees to cover its expenses with no support from tax dollars.

The initial purchase of the utility system as well as major capital expenditures, have been financed through the issuance of Revenue Bonds. In

addition, State Revolving Fund loans have been acquired to fund recent expansions of the wastewater collection. treatment and reuse facilities. It is the responsibility of the Utility Division to deliver a high quality of service to the citizens of Palm Coast and surrounding areas. This includes following all mandated compliance rules and regulations set forth in the operating permits issued by the various regulatory authorities and to be responsible stewards of the environment. The department maintains a twenty-four hour, seven day a week emergency call service to respond to the utility service needs of the citizens.

148

Department Objectives



Vision: To be recognized as one of Florida's premier cities in which to live, work and play

Organizational Chart



Performance Measures

Public Works / Utility

| PERFORMANCE REVIEW | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| DEMAND/WORKLOAD: | | | | |
| Population | 74,067 | 75,180 | 75,617 | 76,450 |
| Streets (miles). | 570 | 570 | 570 | 570 |
| City area (square miles). | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Street fees collected. | \$92,841 | \$112,000 | \$111,000 | \$113,000 |
| Units in the fleet. | 291 | 291 | 291 | 299 |
| Public Works employees (FTE). | 179.5 | 172.5 | 174.5 | 205.5 |
| Number utility connections. | 42,903 | 43,000 | 43,115 | 43,365 |
| | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY/EFFECTIVENESS: | | | | |
| Street costs per capita | \$68.39 | \$67.51 | \$65.31 | \$65.59 |
| Parks cost per capita. | \$13.40 | \$12.85 | \$14.50 | \$15.46 |
| Citizen rating of quality of City street | 55% | 68% | 70% | 72% |
| repair services. | 0070 | 0070 | 1070 | 12/0 |
| Citizen rating of quality of sidewalk | 57% | 65% | 68% | 70% |
| maintenance. | 01 /0 | | 0070 | |
| Citizen rating of quality of parks. | 80% | 82% | 85% | 86% |
| Citizen rating of drinking water. | 66% | 65% | 65% | 66% |
| Citizen rating of sewer services. | 73% | 76% | 81% | 82% |
| (Percent "excellent" or "good"). | | | | |
| | | | | |

Water Service Area Map



Sewer Service Area Map



Streets

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-------------------------|----|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|----|-----------|
| | | Actual Actual Projected | | | Proposed | | Net Change | | | |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 2,288,399 | \$ | 1,984,558 | \$ | 2,043,104 | \$ | 2,037,772 | \$ | (5,332) |
| Operating Expenditures | | 2,836,758 | | 3,203,059 | | 2,991,156 | | 2,975,406 | | (15,750) |
| Capital Outlay | | 32, <mark>81</mark> 9 | | - | | 15,483 | | 19,500 | | 4,017 |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | 75,000 | | 75,000 |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | 20,000 | | 20,000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 5,157,976 | \$ | 5,187,617 | \$ | 5,049,743 | \$ | 5,127,678 | \$ | 77,935 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | Proposed | | | |
|---------------------|-------|----------|--------|--|--|
| Description | | FY 13 | | | |
| Trailers for mowers | | \$ | 4,500 | | |
| Pressure Washer | | | 8,500 | | |
| Gator Cart | | | 6,500 | | |
| | Total | \$ | 19,500 | | |

| | | Approved | | Proposed | Net Change |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|----------------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Public Works Director | 22 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | - |
| Streets Superintendent | 19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Public Works Chief Operator | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Public Works Supervisor | 12 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Equipment Operator III | 10 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 |
| Equipment Operator II | 8 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 14.00 | 4.00 |
| Equipment Operator I | 6 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 12.00 | (6.00) |
| Maintenance Worker | 5 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | (1.00) |
| Sign Technician I | 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Sign Technician II | 9 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| StaffAssistant | 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 45.30 | 45.30 | 43.30 | <mark>(</mark> 2.00) |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | 154 | 45.30 | 45.30 | 43.30 | (2.00) |

Facilities Maintenance

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | Proposed | | Ν | et Change |
| Expenditures | | FY10 | | FY11 FY12 | | FY 12 | FY 13 | | FY12-FY13 | |
| Personal Services | \$ | 94,487 | \$ | 85,591 | \$ | 92,946 | \$ | 94,755 | \$ | 1,809 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 583,886 | | 568,856 | | 575,670 | | 587,738 | | 12,068 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 678,373 | \$ | 654,447 | \$ | 668,616 | \$ | 682,493 | \$ | 13,877 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | Proposed | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description | | FY 13 | | | | | | |
| | | \$ | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Total | \$ | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Equipment Operator II | 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Equipment Operator I | 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-Time | | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |

Parks Maintenance

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|------------|--|
| | | Actual | | Actual | I | Projected | | Proposed | ١ | Vet Change | |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | I | FY12-FY13 | |
| Personal Services | \$ | 586,507 | \$ | 597,242 | \$ | 600,934 | \$ | 671,675 | \$ | 70,741 | |
| Operating Expenditures | | 385,143 | | 368,828 | | 474,324 | | 504,458 | | 30,134 | |
| Capital Outlay | | 20,550 | | - | | 21,000 | | 5,500 | | (15,500) | |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 992,200 | \$ | 966,070 | \$ | 1,096,258 | \$ | 1,181,633 | \$ | 85,375 | |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| Description | Proposed FY 13 | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|----|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Bleachers | | \$ | 5,500 | | | | |
| | Total | \$ | 5,500 | | | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Public Works Supervisor | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Equipment Operator III | 10 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Equipment Operator II | 8 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Equipment Operator I | 6 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 |
| Maintenance Worker | 5 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 12.00 | 12.00 | 13.00 | 1.00 |
| | | | | - | |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | - | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 12.00 | 12.00 | 13.00 | 1.00 |

Fleet Management

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-------------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | 1 | Vet Change |
| Expenditures | | FY10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 190,333 | \$ | 172,594 | \$ | 195,637 | \$ | 238,172 | \$ | 42,535 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 2,774,048 | | 3,018,395 | | 1,921,266 | | 2,006,371 | | 85,105 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | 495,430 | | 1,825,500 | | 1,330,070 |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | 1,161,863 | | - | | (1,161,863) |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 2,964,381 | \$ | 3,190,989 | \$ | 3,774,196 | \$ | 4,070,043 | \$ | 295,847 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE



| | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|-----------|----------|---|---|--|
| Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| | | | | |
| 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| 9 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 |
| | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 |
| | | | | |
| | - | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | - |
| | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 |
| | 12 | Pay Grade FY 11 12 1.00 9 2.00 3.00 - | Pay Grade FY 11 FY 12 12 1.00 1.00 9 2.00 2.00 3.00 3.00 | Pay Grade FY 11 FY 12 FY 13 12 1.00 1.00 1.00 9 2.00 2.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 |

Administration

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|------------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | N | let Change |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 921,165 | \$ | 858,050 | \$ | 875,956 | \$ | 885,064 | \$ | 9,108 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 393,575 | | 293,588 | | 281,122 | | 273,148 | | (7,974) |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | 8,500 | | 8,500 |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 1,314,740 | \$ | 1,151,638 | \$ | 1,157,078 | \$ | 1,166,712 | \$ | 9,634 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | Pro | posed |
|-------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Description | F | Y 13 |
| Fire sprinkler system repairs | \$ | 8,500 |

Total \$

8,500

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Public Works Director | 22 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | - |
| Utility Development Manager | 19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Environmental Specialist | 17 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Project Coordinator | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Inspector | 14 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Inventory Coordinator | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Construction Inspector | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Office Manager | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Engineering Technician | 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Development Review Technician | 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Staff Assistant | 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 10.70 | 10.70 | 10.70 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 10.70 | 10.70 | 10.70 | - |
| | 158 | | | | |

Utility Maintenance

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|----------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | | t Change |
| Expenditures | | FY10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F١ | ′12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 449,588 | \$ | 430,964 | \$ | 403,903 | \$ | 406,169 | \$ | 2,266 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 202,705 | | 163,631 | | 220,458 | | 227,886 | | 7,428 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 652,293 | \$ | 594,595 | \$ | 624,361 | \$ | 634,055 | \$ | 9,694 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE



| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Mechanical Technician - Lead | 14 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Mechanical Technician III | 13 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Mechanical Technician II | 8 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 |
| Mechanical Technician I | 6 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | (1.00) |
| Total Full-time | | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | - |

Wastewater Collection

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|------------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | N | let Change |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 1,045,396 | \$ | 928,005 | \$ | 1,011,466 | \$ | 1,014,598 | \$ | 3,132 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 1,256,979 | | 1,139,749 | | 1,219,178 | | 1,232,490 | | 13,312 |
| Capital Outlay | | 10,540 | | 2,589 | | 5,000 | | 5,000 | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | 58,184 | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 2,371,099 | \$ | 2,070,343 | \$ | 2,235,644 | \$ | 2,252,088 | \$ | 16,444 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| Description | | Proposed FY 13 |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|
| Reuse meter | | \$ 5,000 |
| | Total | \$ 5,000 |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Utility Systems Manager | 19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Supervisor | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Technician - Lead | 12 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Technician III | 9 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | - |
| Customer Service Field Technician II | 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Technician II | 7 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | - |
| Utility System Technician I | 5 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 18.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 18.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | - |

Wastewater Treatment

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|------------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | Ν | let Change |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 607,372 | \$ | 595,974 | \$ | 563,112 | \$ | 588,746 | \$ | 25,634 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 1,128,166 | | 1,138,383 | | 1,075,808 | | 1,177,057 | | 101,249 |
| Capital Outlay | | 18,176 | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | (10,000) |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 1,753,714 | \$ | 1,734,357 | \$ | 1,648,920 | \$ | 1,765,803 | \$ | 116,883 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE



| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Utility Systems Chief Operator | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator - Lead | 13 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Reuse Technician | 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator II | 10 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator I | 8 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 9.00 | 9.00 | 9.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 9.00 | 9.00 | 9.00 | - |

Water Plant 1

| | EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | Ne | et Change |
| Expenditures | | FY10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F١ | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 608,355 | \$ | 577,285 | \$ | 614,148 | \$ | 593,452 | \$ | (20,696) |
| Operating Expenditures | | 921,038 | | 1,088,251 | | 1,103,625 | | 1,135,155 | | 31,530 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 1,529,393 | \$ | 1,665,536 | \$ | 1,717,773 | \$ | 1,728,607 | \$ | 10,834 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE



| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Utility Systems Chief Operator | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator - Lead | 13 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator III | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator II | 10 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator I | 8 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Technician III | 9 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | - |

Water Plant 2

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|------------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | I | Projected | | Proposed | N | let Change |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 432,693 | \$ | 452,130 | \$ | 457,020 | \$ | 431,546 | \$ | (25,474) |
| Operating Expenditures | | 781,778 | | 755,666 | | 757,946 | | 807,020 | | 49,074 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 1,214,471 | \$ | 1,207,796 | \$ | 1,214,966 | \$ | 1,238,566 | \$ | 23,600 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE



| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Utility Systems Chief Operator | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator - Lead | 13 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator III | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator II | 10 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator I | 8 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | - |

Water Plant 3

| | | EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|----|----------|----|------------|----|----------|
| | Actual Actua | | Actual | Projected | | Proposed | | Net Change | | |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F١ | (12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 454,645 | \$ | 454,212 | \$ | 436,146 | \$ | 446,770 | \$ | 10,624 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 508,881 | | 509,757 | | 489,964 | | 525,956 | | 35,992 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 963,526 | \$ | 963,969 | \$ | 926,110 | \$ | 972,726 | \$ | 46,616 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | Prop | posed |
|-------------|-------|------|-------|
| Description | | F١ | (13 |
| | | \$ | - |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Total | \$ | - |
| | | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Utility Systems Chief Operator | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator - Lead | 13 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator III | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator II | 10 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Operator I | 8 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | - |

Water Quality

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---------------|----|-----------|----|---------|----------|---------|------------|-----------|
| | | Actual Actual | | Projected | | F | Proposed | | Net Change | |
| Expenditures | | FY10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY13 | F | Y12-F Y13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 337,910 | \$ | 358,169 | \$ | 376,857 | \$ | 354,722 | \$ | (22,135) |
| Operating Expenditures | | 75,499 | | 63,812 | | 102,616 | | 114,865 | | 12,249 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 413,409 | \$ | 421,981 | \$ | 479,473 | \$ | 469,587 | \$ | (9,886) |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | | posed |
|-------------|-------|----|-------|
| Description | | F | Y 13 |
| | | \$ | - |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Total | \$ | - |
| | | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Utility Systems Manager | 19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Technician - Lead | 12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Technician II | 7 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Utility System Technician I | 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | - |

Water Distribution

| EXPENDITURE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | | Net Change | |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 1,510,255 | \$ | 1,306,868 | \$ | 1,391,861 | \$ | 1,380,972 | \$ | (10,889) |
| Operating Expenditures | | 2,083,778 | | 938,814 | | 878,560 | | 933,800 | | 55,240 |
| Capital Outlay | | 479,547 | | 402,183 | | 400,000 | | 429,500 | | 29,500 |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | 66,462 | | - | | 26,500 | | 26,500 |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 4,073,580 | \$ | 2,714,327 | \$ | 2,670,421 | \$ | 2,770,772 | \$ | 100,351 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | Proposed | | | |
|----------------|-------|----------|---------|--|--|
| Description | | | FY 13 | | |
| Meter installs | | \$ | 416,000 | | |
| Traler | | | 13,500 | | |
| | Total | \$ | 429,500 | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Utility Systems Manager | 19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Supervisor | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Technician - Lead | 12 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Technician III | 9 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | - |
| Lead Meter Reader | 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Customer Service Field Technician II | 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Utility Systems Technician II | 7 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | - |
| Utility System Technician I | 5 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | - |
| Meter Reader | 5 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | (2.00) |
| Meter Reader II | 6 | - | - | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Total Full-time | | 24.00 | 24.00 | 24.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 24.00 | 24.00 | 24.00 | - |
Public Works / Utility

Customer Service

| | EXF | PEI | NDITURE | SU | MMARY | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|----|-----------|-----------------|----|-----------|
| | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | Proposed | Ν | et Change |
| Expenditures | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | FY 13 | F | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 748,408 | \$ | 817,154 | \$ | 820,725 | \$ 900,819 | \$ | 80,094 |
| Operating Expenditures | 355,665 | | 349,059 | | 332,443 | 352,172 | | 19,729 |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Debt Service | 34,448 | | 27,442 | | 30,000 | 35,000 | | 5,000 |
| Grants and Aide | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Transfers | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Contingency | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 1,138,521 | \$ | 1,193,655 | \$ | 1,183,168 | \$ 1,287,991 | \$ | 104,823 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | CAFITAL OUTLAT SCHEDULL | |
|-------------|-------------------------|----------|
| | | Proposed |
| Description | | FY 13 |
| | \$ | |

Total \$

-

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Customer Service Manager | 15 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Accountant I | 14 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Customer Service Supervisor | 12 | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| Customer Service Lead | 10 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Accounting Technician II | 10 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Accounting Technician I | 8 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Customer Service Representative II | 8 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 |
| Customer Service Representative I | 6 | 9.00 | 9.00 | 9.00 | - |
| Data Entry Clerk | 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | (1.00) |
| Total Full-time | | 15.00 | 17.00 | 18.00 | 1.00 |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| Customer Service Representative I | 6 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Mail Clerk | 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | (1.00) |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Personnel | | 16.00 | 18.00 | 19.00 | 1.00 |

Public Works / Utility

Utility Non-Departmental

| | EXF | PENDITURE | SUMMARY | | |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Actual | Actual | Projected | Proposed | Net Change |
| Expenditures | FY 10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 19,000 | \$ 28,000 | \$- | \$- | \$ - |
| Operating Expenditures | 10,746,020 | 11,174,109 | 2,058,871 | 1,967,874 | (90,997) |
| Capital Outlay | - | - | - | - | - |
| Debt Service | 6,937,094 | 6,893,254 | 11, <mark>1</mark> 98,855 | 11,191,866 | (6,989) |
| Grants and Aide | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | - |
| Transfers | 3,625,469 | 7,221,670 | 2,252,991 | 2,304,518 | 51,527 |
| Contingency | - | - | 206,164 | 119,479 | (86,685) |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 21,337,583 | \$ 25,327,033 | \$ 15,726,881 | \$ 15,593,737 | \$ (133,144) |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | Proposed | |
|-------------|----------|--|
| Description | FY 13 | |
| | \$- | |

Total \$_____

Public Works / Utility

Stormwater Operations

| | EXF | ΡΕΙ | NDITURE | SU | MMARY | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----|-----------|----|-----------|-----------------|----|------------|
| | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | Proposed | ١ | Vet Change |
| Expenditures | FY10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | FY 13 | I | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 33,318 | \$ | 488,227 | \$ | 1,340,015 | \$ 1,367,268 | \$ | 27,253 |
| Operating Expenditures | (1,870) | | 153,271 | | 584,757 | 577,724 | | (7,033) |
| Capital Outlay | 6,082,587 | | 2,082,216 | | 1,200,000 | 1,350,000 | | 150,000 |
| Debt Service | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Transfers | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Contingency | | | | | - | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 6,114,035 | \$ | 2,723,714 | \$ | 3,124,772 | \$ 3,294,992 | \$ | 170,220 |

| | | Proposed |
|-------------------|-------|-----------------|
| Description | | FY 13 |
| Valley Gutters | | \$ 75,000 |
| Swale Rehab | | 725,000 |
| Pipe Replacements | | 550,000 |
| | Total | \$ 1,350,000 |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Public Works Supervisor | 12 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Project Specialist | 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Swale Specialist | 10 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Equipment Operator III | 10 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | - |
| Equipment Operator II | 8 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 8.00 | (2.00) |
| Equipment Operator I | 6 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 10.00 | 3.00 |
| Maintenance Worker | 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | (1.00) |
| Total Full-time | | 27.00 | 27.00 | 27.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 27.00 | 27.00 | 27.00 | - |

Department Summary

The Department is responsible for assisting with the design, construction, and maintenance of the City's infrastructure. Protocols have been set to ensure that new construction will meet the established technical standards and achieve a high quality of life, as determined by the elected officials.

In 2004, the City of Palm Coast established a Stormwater Utility and the technical administrative functions of this utility are performed by the Engineering & Stormwater Department and are a separate function from the Engineering Division of the department.

The Stormwater Division functions include: maintaining the freshwater and saltwater canal systems, modeling the existing stormwater drainage system, implementing the revised Stormwater Ordinance, calculating the equivalent residential units (ERU) for stormwater billing purposes, and preparation of plans for replacement or repair of the drainage system.



The Engineering Division functions include: plan review of new infrastructure and developments, establishing technical standards, inventory of infrastructure assets, and supporting all other departments with technical issues.

Department Objectives



Organizational Chart





1/23/2012



Performance Measures

Engineering & Stormwater

| PERFORMANCE REVIEW | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| DEMAND/WORKLOAD: | | | | |
| Population – City. | 74,067 | 75,180 | 75,617 | 76,450 |
| Streets (miles). | 575 | 580 | 580 | 585 |
| City area (square miles). | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| EFFICIENCY/EFFECTIVENESS: | | | | |
| RESULTS: | | | | |
| Engineering cost per capita. | \$16.67 | \$5.10 | \$6.68 | \$6.55 |
| Citizen rating of ease of car travel within the City. | 62% | 57% | 71% | 72% |
| Citizen rating of City traffic signal timing. | 46% | 43% | 52% | 54% |
| Citizen rating of street lighting. | 36% | 37% | 46% | 47% |
| Citizen rating of quality of storm drainage. (Percent "excellent" or "good"). | 43% | 57% | 56% | 58% |
| (recent excellent of good). | | | | |

Stormwater Utility Service Area



Engineering

| | EXF | PEN | DITURE | SU | MMARY | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----|---------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|----------|
| | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | Ne | t Change |
| Expenditures | FY10 | | FY 11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F١ | (12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 1,038,341 | \$ | 209,561 | \$ | 438,252 | \$ | 437,399 | \$ | (853) |
| Operating Expenditures | 196,510 | | 174,133 | | 68,253 | | 67,802 | | (451) |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Contingency | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 1,234,851 | \$ | 383,694 | \$ | 506,505 | \$ | 505,201 | \$ | (1,304) |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | Proposed | |
|-------------|----------|---|
| Description | FY 13 | |
| | \$ | - |
| | | |

Total \$ -

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Engineering & Stormwater Director | 22 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | - |
| Stormwater Engineer | 20 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| Stormwater Manager | 19 | - | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| Stormwater System Specialist | 15 | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| Engineering Technician | 11 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Senior Staff Assistant | 8 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| Survey Technicians | 8 | - | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| CAD Technician | 7 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 2.45 | 5.95 | 5.95 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| Development Review Technician | 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Personnel | | 3.45 | 6.95 | 6.95 | - |

Stormwater Management

| | EXP | ΡE | NDITURE \$ | SU | MMARY | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|----|-------------|----|-----------|-----------------|----|-------------|
| | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | Proposed | Ν | Net Change |
| Expenditures | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY12 | FY 13 | I | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 1,111,730 | \$ | 558,874 | \$ | 1,340,015 | \$ 317,663 | \$ | (1,022,352) |
| Operating Expenditures | 3,010,493 | | 2,789,196 | | 584,757 | 1,023,711 | | 438,954 |
| Capital Outlay | (6,082,587) | | (2,082,216) | | 1,200,000 | 1,638,100 | | 438,100 |
| Debt Service | 185,901 | | 305,737 | | - | 1,337,076 | | 1,337,076 |
| Grants and Aide | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Transfers | - | | - | | - | 79,000 | | 79,000 |
| Contingency | | | - | | - | 54,750 | | 54,750 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ (1,774,463) | \$ | 1,571,591 | \$ | 3,124,772 | \$ 4,450,300 | \$ | 1,325,528 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | | Proposed | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|-----------|--|--|
| Description | | FY 13 | | | |
| Crossing | | \$ | 250,000 | | |
| Improvements | | | 100,000 | | |
| Replacement | | | 1,265,000 | | |
| Land purchase | | | 23,100 | | |
| | Total | \$ | 1,638,100 | | |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Engineering and Stormwater Director | 22 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | - |
| Stormwater Engineer | 20 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| Stormwater Manager | 19 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| Stormwater System Specialist | 15 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Engineering Technician | 11 | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| Survey Technician | 8 | 2.00 | - | - | - |
| Senior Staff Assistant | 8 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | - |
| CAD Technician | 7 | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| Customer Service Rep I | 6 | 2.00 | - | - | - |
| Total Full-time | | 8.55 | 3.05 | 3.05 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | 8.55 | 3.05 | 3.05 | - |

Department Summary

The Palm Coast Parks and Recreation Department provides comprehensive, quality and safe parks and recreation programs, facilities and services to enrich the quality of life for all residents and visitors to Palm Coast.

In recent years the City has worked hard to improve its Parks and Recreation infrastructure by building the Palm Coast Linear Park, working with the Florida Inland Navigation District to build the southern trail along the Intra-Coastal Waterway, Waterfront Park, Heroes Park, Seminole Woods Neighborhood Park and the Palm Coast Tennis Center. With these projects complete along with the renovation of Palm Harbor Golf Course, the department focus has shifted to enriching the lives of our residents through quality recreation programs, activities and events.

The Palm Coast Parks and Recreation Department continues to provide our families with a quality, safe summer camp experience for toddlers, school aged youth and teens. Residents can also participate in a variety of aquatic programs, athletic

leagues, trips and tours, lectures and workshops or spend the day at one of our annual events including the Rock-n-Rib fest, Eggstravaganza, Parks and Recreation Month Celebration, Seafood Festival or the Starlight Holiday Event.

TERFRONT PARK

Trail and Recreation Facilities Map



Department Objectives

| Parks and Recreation | Objective | Strategy |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | Increase efficiency through enhanced operations and technological advancements | Review operational procedures and policies evaluate technological opportunities; continue and enhance volunteer opportunities; seek in-house alternative to external services |
| | Enhance safety measures throughout the community | Identify enhancements to reduce hazards in parks; enhance safety improvements at intersections and along roadways; seek partnerships to educate public; improve ISC standards; target natural hazard mitigation opportunities; Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan training |
| | Evaluate current "Green" initiatives and targeted projects that are sustainable | Reduce waste; staying in front of sustainable communities in the State of Florida; develop Alternative Energy Strateg implement City-wide energy savings program |
| | Diversify our revenue sources | Evaluate and target diversification of fundin sources; seek private/public partnerships |
| | To develop an evaluation matrix to assess the implementation of Prosperity 2021 | Inventory progress to date |
| | To assess the need to expand infrastructure for sustainable growth | Maintain an inventory of infrastructure condition and priority rating; coordinate facility capacity upgrades; keeping older neighborhoods attractive and relevant |
| | To evaluate the evolution of City of Palm Coast Recreation and Parks Facilities Master Plan projects | Develop a phased approach to financing and constructing Long Creek Nature Preserve concept; build bicycle/pedestrian connections; identify user experiences through technological and innovative desig enhancements |
| | Enhance community and visitors' recreational opportunities and experiences at community events | Identify fun and interactive elements into community events; promote the variety of local leisure and recreational activities |
| | Seek partnerships with educational institutions to expand community educational and cultural opportunities | Share resources between organization to broaden experiences; expand outreach opportunities to identify and network resources |

Organizational Chart



1/23/2012



Performance Measures

Recreation and Parks

| PERFORMANCE REVIEW | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| DEMAND/WORKLOAD: | | | | |
| Population – City. | 74,067 | 75,180 | 75,617 | 76,450 |
| Facilities. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Parks. | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Recreation and athletic fees collected. | \$158,546 | \$168,209 | \$174,057 | \$180,000 |
| EFFICIENCY/EFFECTIVENESS: | | | | |
| Net recreation and athletic cost per capita. | \$10.10 | \$13.92 | \$15.25 | \$14.44 |
| Percent of costs recovered. | 17.49% | 13.85% | 13.12% | 14.02% |
| Citizen rating of City parks | 80% | 82% | 85% | 86% |
| Citizen rating of recreation programs and classes. | 70% | 66% | 73% | 74% |
| Citizen rating of City recreation centers and facilities. | 65% | 65% | 68% | 69% |
| (Percent "excellent" or "good"). | | | | |

Recreation / Athletics

| | EXF | PEI | | su | MMARY | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-----|-----------|----|-----------|-----------------|----|------------|
| | Actual | | Actual | I | Projected | Proposed | ١ | Vet Change |
| Expenditures | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | FY 13 | I | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 527,575 | \$ | 504,361 | \$ | 716,833 | \$ 698,324 | \$ | (18,509) |
| Operating Expenditures | 378,805 | | 423,186 | | 440,248 | 485,534 | | 45,286 |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Debt Service | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Transfers | - | | 287,000 | | 170,000 | 100,000 | | (70,000) |
| Contingency | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 906,380 | \$ | 1,214,547 | \$ | 1,327,081 | \$ 1,283,858 | \$ | (43,223) |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | Propose | d |
|-------------|---------|---|
| Description | FY 13 | |
| | \$ | - |

Total \$ -

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| Recreation and Parks Director | 22 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Recreation Superintendent | 17 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Recreation Supervisor | 14 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Aquatics Supervisor | 14 | - | 1.00 | - | (1.00) |
| Senior Staff Assistant | 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| Instructor | 10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Instructor - Aquatics | 10 | - | - | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Bus Drivers | 10 | - | 3.00 | 3.00 | - |
| Head Lifeguard | 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Head Recreation Leader | 5 | - | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Recreation Leader II | 4 | 14.00 | 10.00 | 9.00 | (1.00) |
| Facilities Clerk | 2 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | - |
| Lifeguard | 2 | 22.00 | 12.00 | 16.00 | 4.00 |
| Recreation Leader I | 2 | 24.00 | 19.00 | 6.00 | (13.00) |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | 71.00 | 54.00 | 46.00 | (8.00) |
| Total Personnel | 182 | 76.00 | 5 9.00 | 51.00 | (8.00) |

Tennis Center

| | EXF | PEN | DITURE | SU | MMARY | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-----|---------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|
| | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | Ν | et Change |
| Expenditures | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY 12 | | FY 13 | F | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ 207,295 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Operating Expenditures | 78,124 | | 353,794 | | 282,087 | | 303,278 | | 21,191 |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers | 2,000 | | 1,000 | | 1,000 | | 1,000 | | - |
| Contingency | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 287,419 | \$ | 354,794 | \$ | 283,087 | \$ | 304,278 | \$ | 21,191 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE



| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Title | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Full-time | | - | - | - | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | |

Golf Course

| | EXF | ΡEI | NDITURE | SU | MMARY | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----|-----------|----|-----------|-----------------|----|-------------|
| | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | Proposed | N | let Change |
| Expenditures | FY 10 | | FY11 | | FY12 | FY13 | F | Y12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| Operating Expenditures | 1,876,394 | | 1,924,244 | | 1,578,109 | 1,595,881 | | 17,772 |
| Capital Outlay | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Debt Service | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | - | | - | | - | - | | - |
| Transfers | 373,586 | | 18,000 | | 22,000 | 23,000 | | 1,000 |
| Contingency | - | | - | | 5,556,500 | - | | (5,556,500) |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 2,249,980 | \$ | 1,942,244 | \$ | 7,156,609 | \$ 1,618,881 | \$ | (5,537,728) |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE

| | | oposed |
|-------------|----------|--------|
| Description | I | FY 13 |
| | \$ | - |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Total \$ | - |

| | | Approved | Approved | Proposed | Net Change |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Classification Tite | Pay Grade | FY 11 | FY12 | FY 13 | FY12-FY13 |
| Full-time | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Full-time | | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| N/A | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | - | - | - | - |
| Total Personnel | | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | |

General Fund Non-Department

The purpose of this area is to provide for expenditures that are not related to a specific City department. These include community grants, transfers to other funds, reserves, and other types of expenditures.

Grants and Aide are made up of the following:

| RSVP (Flagler Volunteer Services) | \$ 25,000 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Historical Society | 3,000 |
| Cultural Arts (various groups) | 20,000 |
| Agricultural Museum | 20,000 |
| Total | \$ 68,000 |

Transfers are made up of the following:

SR100 Community Redevelopment Fund \$ 521,000

| | | EXF | Έľ | DITURE | SU | MMARY | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|-------|----------|----|------------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | F | Projected | F | Proposed | Ν | Vet Change |
| Expenditures | | FY 10 | | FY11 FY12 | | FY 12 | FY 13 | | | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Operating Expenditures | | 59,798 | | 72,668 | | 65,000 | | 65,000 | | - |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Debt Service | | 39,480 | | 26,320 | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | 110,379 | | 72,289 | | 68,000 | | 68,000 | | - |
| Transfers | | 6,587,620 | | 635,016 | | 515,874 | | 521,000 | | 5,126 |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | - | | 24,000 | | 24,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 6,797,277 | \$ | 806,293 | \$ | 648,874 | \$ | 678,000 | \$ | 29,126 |



Department Summary

Technology The Information & Communication Department's (IT&C)primary role is to provide services to other City departments and to distribute information citizens to our and customers. These services are provided by three divisions: IT Applications, IT Operations. and Video Produc-Services IT&C provides to our tions. citizens and customers include development and maintenance of the City's website, the introduction of additional E-Gov online services, automated voice solutions (IVR), and provide to informative, and emergency information via the City's cable channel PCMA TV199.

Chief among our goals this year is improving interagency cooperation and improving local services for Palm Coast residents. In cooperation with Flagler unified County, we will create а communications network emergency used by all Flagler County agencies by merging existing 800 MHz networks. Palm Coast FiberNET. municipal а fiber based open owned. access network, began offering service in late FY2010. This will provide an additional revenue source going forward.

Continuing in the direction of "going green", IT&C has continued to implement paperless solutions this year to conserve resources and streamline processes. The IT&C department will continue to improve vital City processes while introducing new services where appropriate.

Palm Coast FiberNET

Business at Lightspeed

The City of Palm Coast's high performance fiber network is available for business and commercial use in Palm Coast. The goal of this effort is to create business opportunities for private sector service providers, lower the cost of telecom and broadband for local businesses and to help attract new businesses and job opportunities to the City. Broadband connections to businesses provide Internet access, a wider variety of telephone, videoconferencing, and other business class services.



Department Objectives

| IT&C | Objective | Strategy |
|------|--|---|
| | Increase efficiency through enhanced operations and technological advancements | Review operational procedures and policies; evaluate technological opportunities; continue and enhance volunteer opportunities; seek in house alternative to external services |
| | Enhance safety measures throughout the community | Identify enhancements to reduce hazards in parks; enhance safety improvements at intersections and along roadways; seek partnerships to educate public; improve ISO standards; target natural hazard mitigation opportunities; Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan training |
| | Evaluate current "Green" initiatives and targeted projects that are sustainable | Reduce waste; staying in front of sustainable communities in the Stat of Florida; develop Alternative Energy Strategy; implement City-wide energy savings program |
| | Diversify our revenue sources | Evaluate and target diversification of funding sources; seek private/public partnerships |
| | To develop an evaluation matrix to assess the implementation of Prosperity 2021 | Inventory progress to date |
| | To develop a "branding and marketing strategy" and establish criteria to measure success | Expand use of "Find Your Florida" brand; campaign City's economic strengths and opportunities; support event activities with positive economic impact |
| | To promote the Palm Coast Business Assistance Center (BAC) as the destination center for small business training and support in Flagler County | Develop a branding strategy, create programs to assist small business, develop education programs to reached broader participation in Flagler County and across Florida; strengthen BAC partner effort; increase Private sector financial support for BAC |
| | To enhance infrastructure in order to maintain quality neighborhoods and business districts | Projects targeted as highest priority for replacement shall be evaluated for potential upgrade or enhancement |
| | To assess the need to expand infrastructure for sustainable growth | Maintain an inventory of infrastructure condition and priority rating; coordinate facility capacity upgrades; keeping older neighborhoods attractive and relevant |
| | To evaluate the evolution of City of Palm Coast Recreation and Parks Facilities Master Plan projects | Develop a phased approach to financing and constructing Long Creek Nature Preserve concept; build bicycle/pedestrian connections; identify user experiences through technological and innovative design enhancements |
| | Enhance community and visitors' recreational opportunities and experiences at community events | Identify fun and interactive elements into community events; promot the variety of local leisure and recreational activities |
| | Seek partnerships with educational institutions to expand community educational and cultural opportunities | Share resources between organization to broaden experiences; expan outreach opportunities to identify and network resources |

Organizational Chart



1/23/2012



Performance Measures

Information Technology & Communication

| PERFORMANCE REVIEW | FY 10 | FY 11 | FY 12 | FY 13 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| DEMAND/WORKLOAD: | | | | |
| Population – City | 74,067 | 75,180 | 75,617 | 76,450 |
| City departments. | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| City employees (FTE). | 428.3 | 396.13 | 385.81 | 382.43 |
| Number of PCs in service. | 413 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| EFFICIENCY/EFFECTIVENESS: | | | | |
| Department operating cost per capita. | \$33.17 | \$26.88 | \$31.76 | \$24.78 |
| External revenues as a percentage of total expenditures. | 9.45% | 8.13% | 16.46% | 22.00% |
| | | | | |

| | | EXF | PEI | NDITURE | SU | MMARY | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----|-----------|----|-----------|-------|-----------|----|--------------------|
| | | Actual | | Actual | | Projected | | Proposed | Ν | let Change |
| Expenditures | kpenditures FY10 | | | FY11 FY12 | | | FY 13 | | | FY12-FY13 |
| Personal Services | \$ | 1,082,951 | \$ | 803,936 | \$ | 832,391 | \$ | 843,576 | \$ | 11,185 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 1,428,526 | | 1,698,793 | | 1,375,646 | | 1,271,892 | | (103,754) |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | - | | 350,466 | | 350,400 | | <mark>(</mark> 66) |
| Debt Service | | 11,180 | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Grants and Aide | | - | | - | | 300,000 | | 300,000 | | - |
| Transfers | | - | | - | | 12,000 | | 14,000 | | 2,000 |
| Contingency | | - | | - | | 1,794,498 | | 98,432 | | (1,696,066) |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 2,522,657 | \$ | 2,502,729 | \$ | 4,665,001 | \$ | 2,878,300 | \$ | (1,786,701) |

| | | Proposed | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Description | | | FY 13 | | | |
| Security/Cameras | | \$ | 5,000 | | | |
| Switch Upgrade | | | 20,000 | | | |
| Servers | | | 15,000 | | | |
| Terminals | | | 16,000 | | | |
| Off site backup | | | 15,000 | | | |
| Operational Capital | | | 16,000 | | | |
| SCADA upgrade | | | 30,000 | | | |
| Truck Computers | | | 18,400 | | | |
| Fiber CIP | | | 215,000 | | | |
| | Total | \$ | 350,400 | | | |

PERSONNEL ROSTER

| Classification Title | Pay Grade | Approved FY 11 | Approved FY 12 | Proposed FY13 | Net Change FY12-FY13 |
|--|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Full-time | | | 1112 | 1110 | 11121110 |
| Information Technology & Communications Director | 22 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Operations Manager | 18 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Senior Application Analyst | 17 | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Video Production Coordinator | 16 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| System Administrator | 16 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Communications Administrator | 16 | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| Application Analyst | 15 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | (1.00) |
| Senior Support Analyst | 13 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Support Specialist | 11 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| GIS Specialist | 11 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - |
| Video Production Specialist | 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Senior Staff Assistant | 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Full-time | | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | - |
| Part-time/Temporary | | | | | |
| Intern | 4 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Part-time/Temporary | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
| Total Personnel | 190 | 13.00 | 13.00 | 13.00 | - |

CAPITAL OUTLAY SCHEDULE



Find Your Florida



This page intentionally left blank

The City of Palm Coast prepares a fiveyear Capital Improvement Program each year, as an integral component of its annual budget process.

PROCEDURE

Each year the Capital Improvement Program is prepared from project requests submitted by the various departments and offices of the City. The requests require a project description, justification, cost estimates, statement of impact on the City's annual operating budget and implementation schedule.

After compilation of the request, projects are reviewed by staff members from the City's Infrastructure Team. This interdisciplinary team consists of project managers, planners, Division managers, and the Finance Director. This team reports directly to the City Manager.

Chapter 163 of the Florida Statutes requires the City to adopt a five-year schedule of capital improvements that includes publicly funded federal, state, or local projects, and may include privately funded projects for which the City has no fiscal responsibility. Projects necessary to ensure that any adopted level-of-service standards are achieved and maintained for the 5-year period must be identified as either funded, or unfunded and given a level of priority for funding. The five year schedule and the service standards level of are established in the Capital Improvements Element (CIE) of the City's

Comprehensive Plan. Project review, along with available funding and CIE requirements, forms the basis of the program compiled by the Infrastructure Team. The recommended program is then reviewed by the Mayor and City Council in conjunction with their review of the annual operating budget.

The City is required to review the CIE of the Comprehensive Plan on an annual basis and modify it as necessary to maintain a five-year schedule of capital improvements. Modifications to update the 5-year capital improvement schedule may be accomplished by Resolution and are not considered amendments to the Growth Management Plan.

The first year of the five-year Capital Improvement Program is the Capital Budget. The Capital Budget is adopted by the City Council with the adoption of the annual operating budget. There is no commitment to expenditures or appropriations beyond the first year of the Capital Improvement Program.

DEFINITION OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

Capital Improvements are defined as physical assets, constructed or purchased, that have a minimum cost of \$10,000 and a minimum useful life of three years. Only projects that meet this definition of a capital improvement are included in the program, such as:

(continued)

- a. New and expanded physical facilities for the community.
- b. Large scale rehabilitation or replacement of existing facilities.
- c. Major pieces of equipment which have a relatively long period of usefulness.
- d. Equipment for any public facility or improvement when first erected or acquired.
- e. The cost of engineering or architectural studies and services relative to the improvement.
- f. The acquisition of land for a community facility such as a park, highway, sewer line, etc.

Normal replacement equipment and normal recurring renovations which are funded in departmental operating budgets and cost less than \$10,000 are generally excluded from the Capital Improvement Program.

The project listings on the following pages may also contain projects that are less than the \$10,000 threshold. Exceptions will occur for projects where the project contains multiple phases, or the current year CIP project is the planning phase of a construction project.

The 2012/2013 Adopted Capital Budget Summary by Fund schedule indicates which fund and corresponding revenue sources will pay for the associated project. The Summary by Department schedule indicates which department has functional responsibility for the project.

FUNDING SOURCES FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS

General Revenue - CIP

General revenue consists of a variety of sources, including Property (Ad Valorem) taxes, Federal and State funding through grants, and other fees. Property tax revenue is based on a millage rate (one mill is equivalent to \$1 per \$1,000 of assessed value), which is applied to the total assessed property value. The City of Palm Coast's millage rate for capital projects totals .1456.

Federal Aid – Community Development Block Grant Funds

Under the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, recipients of funds may undertake a wide range of activities directed toward neighborhood revitalization, economic development and improved community provision of Specific CIP facilities and services. activities that can be carried out include acquisition of real property and demolition. acquisition, construction. reconstruction, rehabilitation, relocation or installation of public facilities.

Local Option Gas Tax Funds

Per State Statute, the revenue received can only be used for transportation related expenditures. Proceeds must be used toward the cost of establishing, operating maintaining and а transportation system and related facilities and the cost of acquisition, construction. reconstruction and maintenance of roads.

(continued)

Local Government Infrastructure Surtax

Per State Statute, the revenue received can only be used to finance, plan, and construct infrastructure. This is in the form of an additional county-wide sales tax of .5%, and was approved by voters for a ten year period beginning in 2003. This tax is set to expire on December 31, 2012.

Other Funds

Other Funds may include public and private contributions, developer commitments, transportation authority and agency commitments and other government participation.

Water and Wastewater Charges

Monthly service charges are assessed for the use of services and facilities of the City's water and wastewater system. Service charges are used to pay for operating expenses, maintenance, construction and debt service.

Stormwater Utility Fee

The City enacted a stormwater utility fee in 2005. Funds from this revenue source can only be used for the operation, maintenance, replacement, and construction of the City's stormwater management system. Each year a portion of the funding will be allocated for capital improvements, while the remainder will be used for operating and maintenance expenses.

Tax Increment Financing

A Community Redevelopment Agency may be established per Chapter 163 of the Florida Statutes to address blight conditions in a specified area. A base vear is established and a base taxable valuation is determined for the property within the designated area. The tax increment above the base year valuation is then utilized in an effort to eliminate the blight conditions. Capital improvement projects, such as redevelopment projects or infrastructure improvements, maybe undertaken utilizing these funds. Tax Increment Financing is used to fund projects in the SR100 CRA Fund.

Impact Fees

The City adopted a Transportation, Fire and Park Impact Fee Ordinance that allows the City to charge a fee for new construction projects to ensure that development pays its fair share of the cost of new and/or expanded facilities necessary to accommodate that growth. Impact fee revenue can only be used for growth and development related to capacity improvements.



| FUNDING SOURCE | AMOUNT % | of TOTAL |
|-------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Streets Improvement Fund | \$17,514,445 | 41% |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | 100,000 | 0% |
| SR100 CRA Fund | 4,300,000 | 10% |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 15,385,000 | 36% |
| Stormwater Management Fund | 3,883,112 | 9% |
| Fleet Management Fund | 1,725,890 | 4% |
| Capital Projects Fund | 335,000 | 1% |
| Fotal Capital Budget | \$43,243,447 | 100% |

IMPACT OF CAPITAL PROJECTS ON THE OPERATING BUDGET

The Capital Improvement Program is a crucial component of the City's annual budgeting process. Costs associated with new and expanded infrastructure are normally included in the operating budget at the time at which the item becomes operational. It is possible, in certain cases that impacts may be phased in during the construction or acquisition period. An example of this would be the construction of a Fire Station. Given the time-frame for recruiting, hiring and training, some personnel costs may be added to the budget prior to the official opening of the Station. Operating costs, such as utilities, would then be budgeted for the time the Station actually opens.

Some capital projects may require debt financing, resulting in scheduled annual debt service payments. Any applicable debt service on debt issued for capital projects is also included in the operating budget. Although the issuance of debt may be the most feasible way to fund capital improvements, debt service will reduce the amount of funds available for other uses. The ratio of debt service to the size of the budget as a whole, and particularly to operating costs, must be maintained at appropriate levels.

Notes on transportation, recreation, stormwater, water and wastewater infrastructure:

Transportation new transportation _ infrastructure normally does not have specific additional operating costs, other than material supplies for maintenance. However, and roads. sidewalks. pedestrian crossing. pavement marking, signals and signs must be kept up to City and other required standards. As more miles are brought on line, additional staff and equipment may be needed at some point in the future in order to maintain desired

service levels. No additional staff or equipment is being added this fiscal year.

<u>Recreation</u> – new recreation infrastructure can have a significant impact on operating costs. These could include personnel, operating costs, materials and supplies. No additional staff or operating costs are being added for this year.

Stormwater - new stormwater infrastructure normally does not have specific additional operating costs, other than material and supplies for maintenance. In addition, infrastructure must be kept clear of debris. Maintenance of new and improved infrastructure included in the Capital Budget is included in the operating budget of the Stormwater operations and is funded from Stormwater Utility Fees accounted for in an Enterprise Fund. Funds from this revenue source can only be used for the operation, maintenance and construction of the City's stormwater management system. Each year, a portion of the funding is allocated for capital improvements, while the remainder is used for operating and maintenance expenses. Additional staff and equipment may be needed at some point in the future in order to keep the infrastructure maintained at an optimum level. No additional staff or equipment is being added this fiscal year.

<u>Water & Wastewater</u> – A significant portion of the City's water and wastewater infrastructure is over 20 years old and deteriorating and declining in reliability and efficiency. Replacing equipment with current technology will assuredly generate energy savings. At this time the exact amount of savings is unknown. The pending expansion of Water Treatment Plant #2 will require additional costs for personnel, operating and maintenance.

The estimated known impact of capital projects on the operating budget are outlined below.

| Utility Capital Projects Fund | Estimated Annual Operating Cost | Description |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Water Treatment Plant #2 | \$200,000 | Personnel, Operations, and Maintenance |
| Water Treatment Plant #2 | 500,00 | Debt O Service Payments |
| Utility Fund Total | 700,00 | 00 |
| SR100 CRA Fund | - | |
| Bulldog Drive Expansion | 37,0 | Debt 00 Service Payments |
| Tax Increment Total | 37,0 | 000 |
| Total Operating Cost Impact | \$737 , 0 | 0 0 |

Summary by Funding Source

| PROJECT | DEPARTMENT | | | FY 13 | | FY 14 | | FY 15 | | FY 16 | | FY 17 | | TOTAL |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|----|------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|------------|
| Sidewalks and Bike paths | Community Development | 51005 | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 160,000 | \$ | 160,000 | \$ | 160,000 | \$ | 160,000 | \$ | 700,000 |
| Parkway Beautification | Community Development | 52003 | | 200,000 | | 200,000 | | 200,000 | | 200,000 | | 200,000 | | 1,000,000 |
| Street Paving and Resurfacing | Public Works | 54104 | | 100,000 | | 800,000 | | 800,000 | | 800,000 | | 800,000 | | 3,300,000 |
| North Pine Lakes Pkwy Improvements | Community Development | 54403 | | 1,800,000 | | - | | - | | - | | - | | 1,800,000 |
| Royal Palms Parkway Phase III | Community Development | 54404 | | 700,000 | | 300,000 | | - | | - | | - | | 1,000,000 |
| Intersection/Tum Lane Improvements | Engineering | 54409 | | 100,000 | | 350,000 | | 50,000 | | 350,000 | | 50,000 | | 900,000 |
| Palm Coast Parkway 6-Laning | Engineering | 54501 | | 9,779,445 | | 600,000 | | - | | - | | - | | 10,379,445 |
| Old Kings Road Extension | Engineering | 54502 | | 1,350,000 | | 1,050,000 | | 2,275,000 | | - | | 600,000 | | 5,275,000 |
| Palm Harbor Extension | Engineering | 54505 | | 450,000 | | 1,315,000 | | 1,125,000 | | 15,000 | | 25,000 | | 2,930,000 |
| Nonth Old Kings Road 4-Laning | Engineering | 54507 | | 2,000,000 | | 100,000 | | - | | - | | - | | 2,100,000 |
| Bridge Rehabilitation | Engineering | 54602 | | 975,000 | | 150,000 | | 1,000,000 | | 150,000 | | 1,000,000 | | 3,275,000 |
| Total Streets Improvement Fund | | | \$ | 17,514,445 | \$ | 5,025,000 | \$ | 5,610,000 | \$ | 1,675,000 | \$ | 2,835,000 | \$ | 32,659,445 |
| Graham Swamp Trail | Recreation & Parks | 61014 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 250,000 |
| Indian Trails Sports Complex | Recreation & Parks | 61505 | • | - | Ť | 120,000 | • | - | Ť | - | Ť | | • | 120,000 |
| Lehigh/Belle Terre Trailhead | Recreation & Parks | 66009 | | 100,000 | | 300,000 | | 100,000 | | 100,000 | | - | | 600,000 |
| Total Park Impact Fee Fund | | | \$ | 100.000 | ¢ | 420,000 | ¢ | 100,000 | ¢ | 100,000 | ¢ | 250,000 | ¢ | 970,000 |
| i otal Park impact Pee Fund | | | Ĵ | 100,000 | Ð | 420,000 | J | 100,000 | Ð | 100,000 | Ð | 200,000 | J | 970,000 |
| Belle Terre Parkway-PCP to PLP | Engineering | 53209 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 150,000 | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | 1,150,000 |
| Total Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 150,000 | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | 1,150,000 |
| | Community Redevelopment | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Land Acquisition - SR100 Frontage | Agency Community Redevelopment | 31011 | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 500,000 |
| Bulldog Drive | Agency | 35001 | | 3,800,000 | | - | | - | | - | | 2,420,000 | | 6,220,000 |
| Aquatic Center | Recreation & Parks | 61007 | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Central Park | Recreation & Parks | 66005 | | - | | - | | - | | - | | 1,000,000 | | 1,000,000 |
| Total SR100 CRA Fund | | | \$ | 4,300,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,420,000 | \$ | 7,720,000 |
| Fire Station #22 Replacement | Fire | 49009 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | 650,000 | \$ | 650,000 |
| Sidewalks and Bike paths | Community Development | 51005 | | 160,000 | | 160,000 | | 160,000 | | 160,000 | | - | | 640,000 |
| Longs Landing | Recreation & Parks | 61015 | | 75,000 | | 1,215,000 | | - | | - | | - | | 1,290,000 |
| Holland Park Renovation | Recreation & Parks | 66006 | | 25,000 | | - | | - | | - | | 5,000 | | 30,000 |
| Park Renovation | Recreation & Parks | 66008 | | 75,000 | | 75,000 | | 75,000 | | 75,000 | | 75,000 | | 375,000 |
| Total Capital Projects Fund | | | \$ | 335,000 | \$ | 1,450,000 | \$ | 235,000 | \$ | 235,000 | \$ | 730,000 | \$ | 2,985,000 |

Summary by Funding Source

| PROJECT | DEPARTMENT | | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Water Treatment Plant #3 | Utility | 81010 | \$ 125,000 | | | | \$ 1,525,000 | |
| Wellfield and Wells | Utility | 81019 | 2,150,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,450,000 | 1,500,000 | 2,850,000 | 9,200,000 |
| Water Mains | Utility | 81020 | 1,200,000 | - | 950,000 | - | 100,000 | 2,250,000 |
| PEP System | Utility | 82001 | 350,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 1,950,000 |
| Wastewater Treatment Plant #1 | Utility | 82002 | 380,000 | 200,000 | 1,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 7,580,000 |
| Force Mains | Utility | 82003 | - | - | 600,000 | 1,350,000 | - | 1,950,000 |
| Reclaimed Water | Utility | 82004 | 100,000 | - | - | 600,000 | 2,050,000 | 2,750,000 |
| Wastewater Treatment Plant #2 | Utility | 82007 | - | - | 7,110,000 | 13,430,000 | - | 20, 540, 000 |
| Beachside Sewer System | Utility | 82009 | 2,500,000 | - | - | - | - | 2,500,000 |
| Water Treatment Plant #1 | Utility | 84002 | - | - | 500,000 | - | - | 500,000 |
| Water Treatment Plant #2 | Utility | 84003 | 7,000,000 | 3,000,000 | - | - | - | 10,000,000 |
| General Plant R & R - Water | Utility | 84004 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Distribution System Improvements | Utility | 84005 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| Lift Stations and Pump Stations | Utility | 85003 | 505,000 | 305,000 | 1,405,000 | 1,655,000 | 655,000 | 4,525,000 |
| General Plant R & R - Wastewater | Utility | 85005 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Total Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | \$15,385,000 | \$6,730,000 | \$14,490,000 | \$23,260,000 | \$11,655,000 | \$ 71,520,000 |
| Pipe Replacement | Stormwater Management | 55003 | \$ 845.000 | \$ 850,900 | \$ 856,918 | \$ 863,056 | \$ 869,317 | \$ 4,285,192 |
| Control Structure Rehabilitation | Stormwater Management | 55005 | 1,450,000 | 770,000 | 725,000 | 850,000 | 697,000 | 4,492,000 |
| Swale Rehabilitation Program | Stormwater Management | 55105 | 1,325,112 | 1,351,614 | 1,378,647 | 1,406,220 | 1,434,343 | 6,895,936 |
| Valley Gutter Improvements | Stormwater Management | 55205 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| Canal and Seawall Replacements | Stormwater Management | 55230 | 65,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 445,000 |
| Modeling Improvements | Stormwater Management | 55231 | 100,000 | 334,000 | 100,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 934,000 |
| Land Acquisitions | Stormwater Management | 55400 | 23,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 83,000 |
| Fresh Water Dredging | Stormwater Management | 55500 | - | - | - | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| Total Stormwater Management Fund | | | \$ 3,883,112 | \$3,491,514 | \$ 3,245,565 | \$ 3,554,276 | \$ 3,435,660 | \$ 17,610,128 |
| Fleet - Community Relations | Administration | Fleet | \$- | \$ 15,200 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 15,200 |
| Fleet - Financial Services | Financial Services | Fleet | - | 21,200 | - | - | - | 21,200 |
| Fleet - Planning | Community Development | Fleet | - | 42, 100 | - | - | - | 42, 100 |
| Fleet - Code Enforcement | Community Development | Fleet | 47,037 | 170,200 | - | - | - | 217,237 |
| Fleet - Fire | Fire | Fleet | 408,000 | 634,100 | 28,000 | - | - | 1,070,100 |
| Fleet - Streets | Public Works | Fleet | 628,220 | 1,174,200 | 128,700 | 153,300 | 431,500 | 2,515,920 |
| Fleet - Facilitites Maintenance | Public Works | Fleet | - | 25,600 | - | - | - | 25,600 |
| Fleet - Parks/Facilities | Public Works | Fleet | - | 82,800 | 26,700 | - | 25,000 | 134,500 |
| Fleet - Engineering | Engineering & Stormwater | Fleet | 23,492 | 60,800 | - | - | - | 84,292 |
| Fleet - Recreation/Athletics | Recreation & Parks | Fleet | - | 14,000 | - | - | - | 14,000 |
| Fleet - Utility Administration | Utility | Fleet | - | 130,341 | - | - | - | 130,341 |
| Fleet - Utility Maintenane | Utility | Fleet | 129,837 | 117,842 | - | - | - | 247,679 |
| Fleet - Wastewater Collection | Utility | Fleet | 157,925 | 968,921 | 203,000 | 63,800 | 60,700 | 1,454,346 |
| Fleet - Wastewater Treatment | Utility | Fleet | - | 63,500 | - | 75,900 | - | 139,400 |
| Fleet - Water Plant #1 | Utility | Fleet | 32,000 | 72,200 | - | - | - | 104,200 |
| Fleet - Water Plant # 2 | Utility | Fleet | - | 29,900 | - | - | - | 29,900 |
| Fleet - Water Plant # 3 | Utility | Fleet | - 24.090 | 27,700 | - | - | 19,100 | 46,800 |
| Fleet - Water Quality Fleet - Water Distribution | Utility Utility | Fleet | 24,089 168,215 | 80,900 320,240 | 223,200 | - | 00 100 | 104,989 |
| Fleet - Sotmwater Operations | Engineering & Stormwater | Fleet | 108,215 | 320,240 112,878 | 223,200 | - | 80,100 | 791,755 357,453 |
| Fleet - Building Permits and Inspections | Community Development | Fleet Fleet | 107,075 | 139,900 | | - | - | 357,453 139,900 |
| Fleet - Golf Course | Recreation & Parks | Fleet | - | 138,800 | 49,600 | 49,400 | 214,200 | 313,200 |
| Fleet - Information Technology & | Information Technology & | | | | | | | |
| Communications Fleet - Fleet Management | Communications Public Works | Fleet Fleet | - | 36,400 82,600 | - | - | | 36,400 82,600 |
| n det - Freet Manayement | | FIEEL | | 62,000 | - | - | | 62,000 |
| Total Fleet Management Fund | | | \$ 1,725,890 | \$4,423,522 | \$ 796,700 | \$ 342,400 | \$ 830,600 | \$ 8,119,112 |

<u>\$43,243,447</u> <u>\$215,436</u> <u>\$24,627,265</u> <u>\$29,666,676</u> <u>\$2,365,626</u> <u>\$142,733,685</u>

Summary by Department

| PROJECT | DEPARTMENT | PROJECT# | F | FY 13 | | FY 14 | | FY 15 | | FY 16 | FY 17 | | TOTAL |
|--|---|----------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|---------|------------|-------|---------------------|
| Fleet - Community Relations | Administration | Fleet | \$ | - | \$ | 15,200 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | 15,200 |
| Total Administration | | | \$ | - | \$ | 15,200 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | 15,200 |
| Fleet - Financial Services | Financial Services | Fleet | \$ | - | s | 21,200 | s | - | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | 21,200 |
| Total Financal Services | | | \$ | - | \$ | 21,200 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | 21,200 |
| Land Acquisition - SR100 Frontage | Community Redevelopment Agency | 31011 35001 | \$ | 500,000 | | | \$ | - | s | | | - \$ | |
| Bulldog Drive Fleet - Planning | Community Redevelopment Agency Community Development | Fleet | | 3,800,000 | | 42,100 | | - | | - | 2,420,0 | 00 | 6,220,000 42,100 |
| Fleet - Code Enforcement | Community Development | Fleet | | 47,037 | | 170,200 | | - | | | | - | 217,237 |
| Fleet - Building Permits and Inspections | Community Development | Fleet | | - | | 139,900 | | - | | - | | - | 139,900 |
| Total Community Development | | | \$ | 4,347,037 | \$ | 352,200 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 2,420,0 | 00 \$ | 7,119,237 |
| Fire Station #22 Replacement | Fire | 49009 | s | | s | - | s | | s | - | \$ 650.0 | 00 \$ | 650,000 |
| Fleet - Fire | Fire | Fleet | - | 408,000 | | 634,100 | | 28,000 | | - | | - | 1,070,100 |
| Total Fire | | | \$ | 408,000 | \$ | 634,100 | \$ | 28,000 | \$ | - | \$ 650,0 | 00 \$ | 1,720,100 |
| Sidewalks and Bike paths | Community Development | 51005 | s | 60,000 | s | 160,000 | s | 160,000 | s | 160,000 | \$ 160,0 | 00 \$ | 700,000 |
| Side walks and Bike paths | Community Development | 51005 | | 160,000 | | 160,000 | | 160,000 | | 160,000 | | - | 640,000 |
| Parkway Beautification | Community Development | 52003 | | 200,000 | | 200,000 | | 200,000 | | 200,000 | 200,0 | 00 | 1,000,000 |
| Belle Terre Parkway-PCP to PLP | Engineering | 53209 | | - | | - | | 150,000 | | 500,000 | 500,0 | 00 | 1,150,000 |
| Street Paving and Resurfacing | Public Works | 54104 | | 100,000 | | 800,000 | | 800,000 | | 800,000 | 800,0 | 00 | 3,300,000 |
| North Pine Lakes Pkwy Improvements | Community Development | 54403 | | 1,800,000 | | - | | - | | - | | - | 1,800,000 |
| Royal Palms Parkway Phase III | Community Development | 54404 | | 700,000 | | 300,000 | | - | | - | | - | 1,000,000 |
| Intersection/Turn Lane Improvements | Engineering | 54409 | | 100,000 | | 350,000 | | 50,000 | | 350,000 | 50,0 | 00 | 900,000 |
| Palm Coast Parkway 6-Laning | Engineering | 54501 | | 9,779,445 | | 600,000 | | - | | - | | - | 10,379,445 |
| Old Kings Road Extension | Engineering | 54502 | | 1,350,000 | | 1,050,000 | | ,275,000 | | - | 600,0 | | 5,275,000 |
| Palm Harbor Extension | Engineering | 54505 | | 450,000 | | 1,315,000 | 1, | ,125,000 | | 15,000 | 25,0 | 00 | 2,930,000 |
| North Old Kings Road 4-Laning | Engineering | 54507 | | 2,000,000 | | 100,000 | | - | | - | | - | 2,100,000 |
| Bridge Rehabilitation | Engineering | 54602 | | 975,000 | | 150,000 | 1, | ,000,000 | | 150,000 | 1,000,0 | 00 | 3,275,000 |
| Fleet - Engineering | Engineering & Stormwater | Fleet | | 23,492 | | 60,800 | | - | | - | | - | 84,292 |

Total Engineering

\$17,697,937 \$ 5,245,800 \$ 5,920,000 \$ 2,335,000 \$ 3,335,000 \$ 34,533,737

Summary by Department

| PROJECT | DEPARTMENT | | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTA |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Water Treatment Plant #3 | Utility | 81010 | \$ 125,000 | | | | \$ 1,525,000 | |
| Wellfield and Wells | Utility | 81019 | 2,150,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,450,000 | 1,500,000 | 2,850,000 | 9,200 |
| Water Mains | Utility | 81020 | 1,200,000 | - | 000,000 | - | 100,000 | 2,250 |
| PEP System | Utility | 82001 | 350,000 | 400,000 | | 400,000 | 400,000 | 1,950 |
| Wastewater Treatment Plant #1 | Utility | 82002 | 380,000 | 200,000 | 1,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 7,580 |
| Force Mains | Utility | 82003 | 400.000 | - | 600,000 | 1,350,000 | - | 1,950 |
| Reclaimed Water | Utility | 82004 | 100,000 | - | - | 600,000 | 2,050,000 | 2,750 |
| Wastewater Treatment Plant #2 | Utility | 82007 | | - | 7,110,000 | 13,430,000 | - | 20,540 |
| Beachside Sewer System Water Treatment Plant #1 | Utility | 82009 84002 | 2,500,000 | - | 500,000 | - | - | 2,500 500 |
| Water Treatment Plant #2 | Utility Utility | 84002 84003 | 7.000.000 | 3,000,000 | 500,000 | - | - | 10,000 |
| General Plant R & R - Water | Utility | 84003 84004 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500 |
| Distribution System Improvements | Utility | 84005 | 75,000 | 75,000 | | 75,000 | 75,000 | 2,300 |
| Lift Stations and Pump Stations | Utility | 85003 | 505,000 | 305,000 | 1,405,000 | 1,655,000 | 655,000 | 4,525 |
| General Plant R & R - Wastewater | Utility | 85005 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500 |
| General Flant IV & IV - Wastewater | Ounty | 05005 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 300,000 | 2,300 |
| Total Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | \$15,385,000 | \$6,730,000 | \$14,490,000 | \$23,260,000 | \$11,655,000 | \$ 71,520 |
| Pipe Replacement | Stormwater Management | 55003 | \$ 845,000 | \$ 850,900 | \$ 856,918 | \$ 863,056 | \$ 869,317 | \$ 4,285 |
| Control Structure Rehabilitation | Stormwater Management | 55005 | 1,450,000 | 770,000 | 725,000 | 850,000 | 697,000 | 4,492 |
| Swale Rehabilitation Program | Stormwater Management | 55105 | 1,325,112 | 1,351,614 | 1,378,647 | 1,406,220 | 1,434,343 | 6,895 |
| /alley Gutter Improvements | Stormwater Management | 55205 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375 |
| Canal and Seawall Replacements | Stormwater Management | 55230 | 65,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 445 |
| Modeling Improvements | Stormwater Management | 55231 | 100,000 | 334,000 | 100,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 934 |
| and Acquisitions | Stormwater Management | 55400 | 23,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 83 |
| Fresh Water Dredging | Stormwater Management | 55500 | - | - | - | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100 |
| Total Stormwater Management Fund | | | \$ 3,883,112 | \$3,491,514 | \$ 3,245,565 | \$ 3,554,276 | \$ 3,435,660 | \$ 17,610 |
| Fleet - Community Relations | Administration | Fleet | \$- | \$ 15,200 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 15 |
| Fleet - Financial Services | Financial Services | Fleet | - | 21,200 | - | - | - | 21 |
| Fleet - Planning | Community Development | Fleet | - | 42, 100 | - | - | - | 42 |
| Fleet - Code Enforcement | Community Development | Fleet | 47,037 | 170,200 | - | - | - | 217 |
| Fleet - Fire | Fire | Fleet | 408,000 | 634, 100 | 28,000 | - | - | 1,070 |
| Fleet - Streets | Public Works | Fleet | 628,220 | 1, 174, 200 | 128,700 | 153,300 | 431,500 | 2,515 |
| Fleet - Facilitites Maintenance | Public Works | Fleet | - | 25,600 | - | - | - | 25 |
| Fleet - Parks/Facilities | Public Works | Fleet | - | 82,800 | 26,700 | - | 25,000 | 134 |
| Fleet - Engineering | Engineering & Stormwater | Fleet | 23,492 | 60,800 | - | - | - | 84 |
| Fleet - Recreation/Athletics | Recreation & Parks | Fleet | - | 14,000 | - | - | - | 14 |
| Fleet - Utility Administration | Utility | Fleet | - | 130,341 | - | - | - | 130 |
| Fleet - Utility Maintenane | Utility | Fleet | 129,837 | 117,842 | - | - | - | 247 |
| Fleet - Wastewater Collection | Utility | Fleet | 157,925 | 968,921 | 203,000 | 63,800 | 60,700 | 1,454 |
| Fleet - Wastewater Treatment | Utility | Fleet | - | 63,500 | - | 75,900 | - | 139 |
| Fleet - Water Plant #1 | Utility | Fleet | 32,000 | 72,200 | - | - | - | 104 |
| Fleet - Water Plant # 2 | Utility | Fleet | - | 29,900 | - | - | - | 29 |
| Fleet - Water Plant # 3 | Utility | Fleet | - | 27,700 | - | - | 19,100 | 46 |
| Fleet - Water Quality | Utility | Fleet | 24,089 | 80,900 | - | - | | 104 |
| Fleet - Water Distribution | Utility | Fleet | 168,215 | 320,240 | 223,200 | - | 80,100 | 791 |
| Fleet - Sotmwater Operations | Engineering & Stormwater | Fleet | 107,075 | 112,878 | | - | - | 357 |
| Fleet - Building Permits and Inspections | Community Development | Fleet | - | 139,900 | | - | - | 139 |
| Fleet - Golf Course | Recreation & Parks | Fleet | - | - | 49,600 | 49,400 | 214,200 | 313 |
| Fleet - Information Technology & | Information Technology & | | | | | | | |
| Communications | Communications | Fleet | - | 36,400 | - | - | | 36 |
| Fleet - Fleet Management | Public Works | Fleet | - | 82,600 | - | - | | 82 |
| Total Fleet Management Fund | | | \$ 1,725,890 | \$4,423,522 | \$ 796,700 | \$ 342,400 | \$ 830,600 | \$ 8,119 |
| Grand Total | | | \$43,243,447 200 | \$ 215,436 | \$24,627,265 | \$29,666,676 | \$ 2,365,626 | \$ 142,73 |
| GENERAL FUND | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
|---|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ 4,485,999 | \$ 4,529,999 | \$ 4,533,999 | \$ 4,705,099 | \$ 4,925,299 |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Taxes | 18,130,000 | 18,492,600 | 19,232,300 | 20,193,900 | 21,203,600 |
| Permits, Fees and Special Assessments | 1,104,000 | 1,126,100 | 1,148,600 | 1,171,600 | 1,195,000 |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | 2,921,988 | 3,009,600 | 3,130,000 | 3,255,200 | 3,385,400 |
| Charges for Services | 2,360,243 | 2,454,700 | 2,552,900 | 2,655,000 | 2,761,200 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 312,191 | 321,600 | 331,200 | 341,100 | 351,300 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 961,976 | 871,600 | 580,300 | 86,100 | (413,000) |
| Total Revenues | \$ 25,790,398 | \$26,276,200 | \$26,975,300 | \$27,702,900 | \$28,483,500 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ 30,276,397 | \$30,806,199 | \$31,509,299 | \$32,407,999 | \$33,408,799 |
| Expenditures | | | | | |
| Personal Services | \$ 13,551,417 | \$13,822,400 | \$14,098,800 | \$14,521,800 | \$14,957,500 |
| Operating Expenditures | 11,203,356 | 11,427,400 | 11,655,900 | 11,889,000 | 12,126,800 |
| Capital Outlay | 127,625 | 114,900 | 103,400 | 93,100 | 88,400 |
| Grants & Aide | 168,000 | 169,700 | 171,400 | 173,100 | 174,800 |
| Transfers to Other Funds Capital Improvement Program | 696,000 | 737,800 | 774,700 | 805,700 | 837,900 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 25,746,398 | \$26,272,200 | \$26,804,200 | \$27,482,700 | \$28,185,400 |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ 4,529,999 | \$ 4,533,999 | \$ 4,705,099 | \$ 4,925,299 | \$ 5,223,399 |
| | | | | | |
| STREETS IMPROVEMENT FUND | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ 3,761,806 | \$ 427 | \$ 546,127 | \$ 301,827 | \$ 1,794,727 |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Gas Tax | \$ 1,595,000 | \$ 1,626,900 | \$ 1,659,400 | \$ 1,692,600 | \$ 1,726,500 |
| Infractructure Surtay/Droparty Tay | 700,000 | 714 000 | 700 200 | 742 000 | 757 000 |

| Revenues Gas Tax Infrastructure Surtax/Property Tax State Revenue Sharing Grants Fines & Forfeitures Interest on Investments | \$ 1,595,000 700,000 680,000 10,768,042 377,100 7,524 | \$ 1,626,900 714,000 693,600 2,526,000 384,600 7,700 | \$ 1,659,400 728,300 707,500 2,260,000 392,300 7,900 | \$ 1,692,600 742,900 721,700 - 400,100 8,100 | \$ 1,726,500 757,800 736,100 600,000 408,100 8,300 |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Total Revenues | \$ 14,127,666 | \$, | \$ | \$ | \$ · |
| Total Available Funds | \$ 17,889,472 | \$ 5,953,227 | \$ 6,301,527 | \$ 3,867,227 | \$ 6,031,527 |
| Expenditures Operating Expenditures Capital Improvement Program Transfers to Other Funds | \$ 374,600 17,514,445 - | \$ 382,100 5,025,000 - | \$ 389,700 5,610,000 - | \$ 397,500 1,675,000 - | \$ 405,500 2,835,000 - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 17,889,045 | \$ 5,407,100 | \$ 5,999,700 | \$ 2,072,500 | \$ 3,240,500 |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ 427 | \$ 546,127 | \$ 301,827 | \$ 1,794,727 | \$ 2,791,027 |

| PARK IMPACT FEE FUND | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ 358,692 | \$ 329,323 | \$ 32,323 | \$ 57,823 | \$ 85,823 |
| Revenues Grants Park Impact Fees Interest on Investments | \$ 117,300 3,331 | \$ - 119,600 3,400 | \$ 122,000 3,500 | \$ - 124,400 3,600 | \$ 600,000 126,900 3,700 |
| Total Revenues | \$ 120,631 | \$ 123,000 | \$ 125,500 | \$ 128,000 | \$ 730,600 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ 479,323 | \$ 452,323 | \$ 157,823 | \$ 185,823 | \$ 816,423 |
| Expenditures Capital Improvement Program | \$ 150,000 | \$ 420,000 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 250,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 150,000 | \$ 420,000 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 250,000 |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ 329,323 | \$ 32,323 | \$ 57,823 | \$ 85,823 | \$ 566,423 |

| FIRE IMPACT FEE FUND | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ 110,344 | \$ 146,020 | \$ 182,220 | \$ 218,920 | \$ 256,120 |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fees | \$ 34,600 | \$ 35,100 | \$ 35,600 | \$ 36,100 | \$ 36,600 |
| Interest on Investments | 1,076 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| Total Revenues | \$ 35,676 | \$ 36,200 | \$ 36,700 | \$ 37,200 | \$ 37,700 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ 146,020 | \$ 182,220 | \$ 218,920 | \$ 256,120 | \$ 293,820 |
| Expenditures | | | | | |
| Capital Improvement Program | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Expenditures | - | - | - | - | - |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ 146,020 | \$ 182,220 | \$ 218,920 | \$ 256,120 | \$ 293,820 |

| DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL PROJECTS FUND | | FY 13 | | FY 14 | | FY 15 | | FY 16 | | FY 17 |
|---|----------------|--|-------------------|---|----------------------|---|----------------|---|----------|--|
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 1,322,478 | \$ | |
| Devenue | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues Developer Contributions | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Interest on Investments | \$ | 5,841 | \$ | 5,900 | \$ | 6,000 | \$ | 6,100 | \$ | 6,200 |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 5,841 | \$ | 5,900 | \$ | 6,000 | \$ | 6,100 | \$ | 6,200 |
| Total Revenues | Φ | 3,041 | φ | 5,900 | Φ | 0,000 | φ | 0,100 | Φ | 0,200 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ | 1,310,578 | \$ | 1,316,478 | \$ | 1,322,478 | \$ | 1,328,578 | \$ | 1,334,778 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expenditures | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital Improvement Program | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ | 1,310,578 | \$ | 1,316,478 | \$ | 1,322,478 | \$ | 1,328,578 | \$ | 1,334,778 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEE FUND | | FY 13 | | FY 14 | | FY 15 | | FY 16 | | FY 17 |
| Drier Veer Corny over | ¢ | | ¢ | E74 100 | C C | 1 104 100 | C C | 1 722 000 | ¢ | 1 072 200 |
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ | - | \$ | 574,100 | \$ | 1,194,100 | \$ | 1,723,000 | \$ | 1,973,200 |
| Revenues | | - | - | | | | | | | |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees | \$ \$ | - 574,100 | - | 574,100 620,000 | | 1,194,100 678,900 | \$ \$ | 1,723,000 | \$ \$ | 1,973,200 832,700 |
| Revenues | | - 574,100 - - | - | | | | | | | |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees Grants | | - 574,100 - - - | - | | | | | | | |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees Grants Interest on Investments Transfers from Other Funds | \$ | - - | \$ | 620,000 - - - | \$ | 678,900 - - - | \$ | 750,200 - - - | \$ | 832,700 - - - |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees Grants Interest on Investments Transfers from Other Funds Total Revenues | \$ | 574,100 | \$ | 620,000 - - - 620,000 | \$ | 678,900 - - - 678,900 | \$ | 750,200 | \$ | 832,700 - - - 832,700 |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees Grants Interest on Investments Transfers from Other Funds | \$ | - - | \$ | 620,000 - - - | \$ | 678,900 - - - 678,900 | \$ | 750,200 | \$ | 832,700 - - - |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees Grants Interest on Investments Transfers from Other Funds Total Revenues Total Available Funds | \$ | 574,100 | \$ | 620,000 - - - 620,000 | \$ | 678,900 - - - 678,900 | \$ | 750,200 | \$ | 832,700 - - - 832,700 |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees Grants Interest on Investments Transfers from Other Funds Total Revenues Total Available Funds Expenditures | \$ \$ | 574,100 | \$\$\$ | 620,000 - - - 620,000 | \$ | 678,900 - - 678,900 1,873,000 | \$ | 750,200 - - 750,200 2,473,200 | \$ | 832,700 - - 832,700 2,805,900 |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees Grants Interest on Investments Transfers from Other Funds Total Revenues Total Available Funds Expenditures Capital Improvement Program | \$ | 574,100 | \$ | 620,000 - - - 620,000 | \$ | 678,900 - - - 678,900 | \$ | 750,200 | \$ | 832,700 - - - 832,700 |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees Grants Interest on Investments Transfers from Other Funds Total Revenues Total Available Funds Expenditures Capital Improvement Program Transfers to Other Funds | \$ \$ \$ | 574,100 | \$\$\$ | 620,000 - - - 620,000 | \$ \$ \$ | 678,900 - - 678,900 1,873,000 150,000 - | \$ \$ \$ | 750,200 - - 750,200 2,473,200 500,000 - | \$ | 832,700 - - 832,700 2,805,900 500,000 - |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees Grants Interest on Investments Transfers from Other Funds Total Revenues Total Available Funds Expenditures Capital Improvement Program | \$ \$ | 574,100 | \$\$\$ | 620,000 - - - 620,000 | \$ | 678,900 - - 678,900 1,873,000 | \$ | 750,200 - - 750,200 2,473,200 | \$ | 832,700 - - 832,700 2,805,900 |
| Revenues Transportation Impact Fees Grants Interest on Investments Transfers from Other Funds Total Revenues Total Available Funds Expenditures Capital Improvement Program Transfers to Other Funds | \$ \$ \$ | - - 574,100 574,100 - - | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 620,000 - - 620,000 1,194,100 - - | \$ \$ \$ \$ | 678,900 - - - 678,900 1,873,000 1,873,000 - 150,000 | \$ \$ \$ | 750,200 - - 750,200 2,473,200 500,000 - | \$ \$ | 832,700 - - 832,700 2,805,900 500,000 - 500,000 |

| OKR SPECIAL ASSESSMENT FUND | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Revenues Special Assessments Proceeds from Debt Transfers from Other Funds | \$ 264,200 - - | \$ 264,200 - - | \$ 264,200 - - | \$ 264,200 - - | \$ 264,200 - - |
| Total Revenues | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 |
| Expenditures Capital Improvement Program Debt Service Transfers to Other Funds | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 | \$ 264,200 |
| Available Funds End of Year | - | - | - | - | _ |

| SR100 CRA FUND | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ 2,692,713 | \$ 1,048,779 | \$ 1,737,579 | \$ 2,460,879 | \$ 3,220,279 |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental Revenue | \$ 814,700 | \$ 855,400 | \$ 898,200 | \$ 943,100 | \$ 990,300 |
| Interest on Investments | 11,941 | 12,500 | 13,100 | 13,800 | 14,500 |
| Proceeds from Debt | 2,000,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers from Other Funds | 521,000 | 547,100 | 574,500 | 603,200 | 633,400 |
| Total Revenues | \$ 3,347,641 | \$ 1,415,000 | \$ 1,485,800 | \$ 1,560,100 | \$ 1,638,200 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ 6,040,354 | \$ 2,463,779 | \$ 3,223,379 | \$ 4,020,979 | \$ 4,858,479 |
| Expenditures | | | | | |
| Operating Expenditures | \$ 50,000 | \$ 52,500 | \$ 55,100 | \$ 57,900 | \$ 60,800 |
| Capital Improvement Program | 4,300,000 | - | - | - | 3,420,000 |
| Debt Service | 641,575 | 673,700 | 707,400 | 742,800 | 779,900 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 4,991,575 | \$ 726,200 | \$ 762,500 | \$ 800,700 | \$ 4,260,700 |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ 1,048,779 | \$ 1,737,579 | \$ 2,460,879 | \$ 3,220,279 | \$ 597,779 |

| CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND | | FY 13 | | FY 14 | | FY 15 | | FY 16 | | FY 17 |
|--------------------------------|----|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|----------------------|-----|----------------------|----|----------------------|
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ | | \$ | 1,899,800 | \$ | | \$ | 559,800 | \$ | 510,300 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | \$ | 165,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Grants | | - | | - | | - | | - | | 350,000 |
| Interest on Investments | | 280 | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | | 160,000 | | 168,000 | | 176,400 | | 185,200 | | 194,500 |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 325,280 | \$ | 168,300 | \$ | 176,700 | \$ | 185,500 | \$ | 544,800 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ | 2,234,800 | \$ | 2,068,100 | \$ | 794,800 | \$ | 745,300 | \$ | 1,055,100 |
| Expenditures | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital Improvement Program | \$ | 335,000 | \$ | 1,450,000 | \$ | 235,000 | \$ | 235,000 | \$ | 730,000 |
| Debt Service | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 335,000 | \$ | 1,450,000 | \$ | 235,000 | \$ | 235,000 | \$ | 730,000 |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ | 1,899,800 | \$ | 618,100 | \$ | 559,800 | \$ | 510,300 | \$ | 325,100 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| UTILITY FUND | | FY 13 | | FY 14 | | FY 15 | | FY 16 | | FY 17 |
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ | 4,240,000 | \$ | 2,943,836 | \$ | 2,301,775 | \$ | 2,456,105 | \$ | 852,584 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water & Wastewater Sales | \$ | 28,192,300 | \$ | 29,618,800 | \$ | 31,117,500 | \$: | 32,692,000 | \$ | 34,346,200 |
| Interest on Investments | - | 272,701 | - | 170,000 | - | 200,000 | | 220,000 | - | 240,000 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 28,465,001 | \$ | 29,788,800 | \$ | 31,317,500 | \$ | 32,912,000 | \$ | 34,586,200 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ | 32,705,001 | \$ | 32,732,636 | \$ | 33,619,275 | \$3 | 35,368,105 | \$ | 35,438,784 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expenditures | * | 7 000 050 | | 7 4 40 000 | | 7.005.000 | • | 7 50 4 400 | | 7 700 500 |
| Personal Services | \$ | | \$ | 7,142,900 | \$ | 7,285,800 | \$ | 7,504,400 | \$ | 7,729,500 |
| Operating Expenditures | | 8,747,423 | | 8,922,400 | | 9,100,800 | | 9,282,800 | | 9,468,500 |
| Capital Outlay Debt Service | | 443,000 | | 451,900 | | 460,900 | | 470,100 | | 479,500 |
| Grants & Aide | | 11,226,866 10,000 | | 11,479,361 10,000 | | 11,760,170 10,000 | | 14,575,421 10,000 | | 14,567,696 10,000 |
| Transfers to Other Funds | | 2,331,018 | | 2,424,300 | | 2,545,500 | | 2,672,800 | | 2,779,700 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 29,761,165 | \$ | 30,430,861 | \$ | 31,163,170 | \$3 | 34,515,521 | \$ | 35,034,896 |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ | 2,943,836 | | 2,301,775 | _ | 2,456,105 | • | 852,584 | \$ | 403,888 |

| UTILITY CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ 3,375,897 | \$ 6,135,980 | \$ 2,563,380 | \$31,824,280 | \$12,327,480 |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Impact Fees | \$ 1,560,000 | \$ 1,638,000 | \$ 1,719,900 | \$ 1,805,900 | \$ 1,896,200 |
| Developer Contributions Interest on Investments | 286.541 | 300,900 | 315,900 | 331,700 | 348,300 |
| Proceeds from Debt | 15,000,000 | - | 40,000,000 | - | - |
| R & R Transfer | 1,459,542 | 1,532,500 | 1,609,100 | 1,689,600 | 1,774,100 |
| Total Revenues | \$ 18,306,083 | \$ 3,471,400 | \$43,644,900 | \$ 3,827,200 | \$ 4,018,600 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ 21,681,980 | \$ 9,607,380 | \$46,208,280 | \$35,651,480 | \$16,346,080 |
| Expenditures | | | | | |
| Capital Improvement Program Transfers to Other Funds | \$ 15,546,000 - | \$ 7,044,000 - | \$14,384,000 - | \$23,324,000 | \$11,669,000 - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 15,546,000 | \$ 7,044,000 | \$14,384,000 | \$23,324,000 | \$11,669,000 |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ 6,135,980 | \$ 2,563,380 | \$31,824,280 | \$12,327,480 | \$ 4,677,080 |

| STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FUND | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ 201,243 | \$ 70,451 | \$ 199,041 | \$ 387,552 | \$ 236,822 |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | \$ 245,750 | \$ 250,700 | \$ 255,700 | \$ 260,800 | \$ 266,000 |
| Stormwater Fees | 7,309,000 | 7,382,090 | 7,455,911 | 7,530,470 | 7,605,775 |
| Licenses and Permits | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grants | - | - | - | - | - |
| Interest on Investments | 5,000 | 5,100 | 5,200 | 5,300 | 5,400 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Revenues | \$ 7,559,750 | \$ 7,637,890 | \$ 7,716,811 | \$ 7,796,570 | \$ 7,877,175 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ 7,760,993 | \$ 7,708,341 | \$ 7,915,852 | \$ 8,184,122 | \$ 8,113,997 |
| Expenditures | | | | | |
| Personal Services | \$ 1,684,931 | \$ 1,689,100 | \$ 1,693,300 | \$ 1,697,500 | \$ 1,701,700 |
| Operating Expenditures | 1,601,435 | 1,605,400 | 1,609,400 | 1,613,400 | 1,617,400 |
| Capital Improvement Program | 2,988,100 | 2,795,000 | 2,802,000 | 3,209,000 | 3,217,000 |
| Debt Service | 1,337,076 | 1,340,400 | 1,343,800 | 1,347,200 | 1,350,600 |
| Transfers to Other Funds | 79,000 | 79,400 | 79,800 | 80,200 | 80,600 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 7,690,542 | \$ 7,509,300 | \$ 7,528,300 | \$ 7,947,300 | \$ 7,967,300 |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ 70,451 | \$ 199,041 | \$ 387,552 | \$ 236,822 | \$ 146,697 |

Capital Improvement Program

(continued)

| INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND COMMUNICATION | S | FY 13 | | FY 14 | | FY 15 | | FY 16 | | FY 17 |
|--|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|----------------|----|----------------|
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ | 209,698 | \$ | 308,130 | \$ | 370,030 | \$ | 394,730 | \$ | 381,630 |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues Charges for Services | \$ | 393,000 | \$ | 404,800 | ¢ | 416,900 | ¢ | 420 400 | ¢ | 442,300 |
| Interest on Investments | Φ | 300 | Φ | 404,800 | Ф | 410,900 | Φ | 429,400 300 | Φ | 442,300 300 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | | 240,000 | | 247,200 | | 254,600 | | 262,200 | | 270,100 |
| Internal Service Charges | | 2,245,000 | | 2,245,000 | | 2,245,000 | | 2,245,000 | | 2,245,000 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | | | | | | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 2,878,300 | \$ | 2,897,300 | \$ | 2,916,800 | \$ | 2,936,900 | \$ | 2,957,700 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ | 3,087,998 | \$ | 3,205,430 | \$ | 3,286,830 | \$ | 3,331,630 | \$ | 3,339,330 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expenditures | | | | | | | | | | |
| Personal Services | \$ | 843,576 | \$ | 860,400 | \$ | 877,600 | \$ | | \$ | 913,100 |
| Operating Expenses | | 1,571,892 | | 1,603,300 | | 1,635,400 | | 1,668,100 | | 1,701,500 |
| Capital Outlay | | 350,400 | | 357,400 | | 364,500 | | 371,800 | | 379,200 |
| Capital Improvement Program | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Transfers to Other Funds | | 14,000 | | 14,300 | | 14,600 | | 14,900 | | 15,200 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 2,779,868 | \$ | 2,835,400 | \$ | 2,892,100 | \$ | 2,950,000 | \$ | 3,009,000 |
| Available Funds End of Year | \$ | 308,130 | \$ | 370,030 | \$ | 394,730 | \$ | 381,630 | \$ | 330,330 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| FLEET MANAGEMENT FUND | | FY 13 | | FY 14 | | FY 15 | | FY 16 | | FY 17 |
| Prior Year Carry-over | \$ | 3,768,693 | \$ | 3,572,846 | \$ | 3,148,770 | \$ | 2,844,895 | \$ | 2,669,392 |
| | • | 0,100,000 | • | 0,012,010 | • | -,, | Ť | _,, | Ť | _,, |
| Revenues | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fleet Lease Charges | \$ | 1,572,860 | \$ | 1,651,503 | \$ | 1,734,078 | \$ | 1,820,782 | \$ | 1,911,821 |
| Fleet Maintenance Charges | | 1,170,836 | | 1,229,378 | | 1,290,847 | | 1,355,389 | | 1,423,158 |
| Fuel Charges | | 1,024,000 | | 1,049,600 | | 1,075,840 | | 1,102,736 | | 1,130,304 |
| Interest on Investments | | 5,000 | | 34,843 | | 30,560 | | 27,490 | | 25,718 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | | 101,500 | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 3,874,196 | \$ | 3,965,324 | \$ | 4,131,325 | \$ | 4,306,398 | \$ | 4,491,001 |
| Total Available Funds | \$ | 7,642,889 | \$ | 7,538,170 | \$ | 7,280,095 | \$ | 7,151,292 | \$ | 7,160,394 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expenditures | - | | | | | | - | | - | |
| Personal Services | \$ | 238,172 | \$ | 242,900 | \$ | 247,800 | \$ | 252,800 | \$ | 257,900 |
| Operating Expenses | | 2,006,371 | | 2,046,500 | | 2,087,400 | | 2,129,100 | | 2,171,700 |
| Capital Outlay | | 1,825,500 | | 2,100,000 | | 2,100,000 | | 2,100,000 | | 2,100,000 |

Capital Improvement Program

(continued)

Project Detail Sheets

The following pages contain individual detail sheets for the Capital Improvement Program. These project detail sheets are sorted numerically by project number. The project number can be found on both the "Summary by Funding Source" (pages 197-198) and the "Summary by Department" (pages 199-200).

The "Fleet Replacement Schedule" for fiscal year 2013 through fiscal year 2017 can be found on pages 256-263.

| | | PROJECT #: | 31011 |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Land Acquisition - SR100 Frontage | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Community Redevelopment Agency | PROJECT MANAGER: | CRA Board |
| LOCATION: | SR100 | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | May 27, 2004 |
| | <u></u> | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Per the CRA plan, there are additional land purchases along SR100 needed to complete this portion of the program.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | FLIJ | FT 14 | FLIJ | FLIV | FT II | TOTAL |
| Land | 500,000 | | | | | 500,000 |
| Construction | 000,000 | | | | | 000,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 500,000 | | | | | 500,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | 500,000 | | | | | 500,000 |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Stormwater Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 500,000 | | | | | 500,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 35001 |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Bulldog Drive | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Community Redevelopment Agency | PROJECT MANAGER: | CRA Board |
| LOCATION: | SR100 | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | May 27, 2004 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This project encompasses the realignment and expansion of Bull Dog Drive, storm water drainage improvements, SR 100 turn lane improvements, as well as changing the traffic pattern within the nearby high school. Phase II of the project is for adding additional lanes to Bull Dog Drive.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | 500,000 | | | | | 500,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 3,300,000 | | | | 2,420,000 | 5,720,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 3,800,000 | | | | 2,420,000 | 6,220,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | 3,800,000 | | | | 2,420,000 | 6,220,000 |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 3,800,000 | | | | 2.420.000 | 6.220.000 |
| TO TAL NEVENUE. | 3,800,000 | | | | 2,420,000 | 0,220,000 |
| | | | | | | |

| | | PROJECT #: | 49008 |
|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Fire Station #26 - Sem inole Woods | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Fire | PROJECT MANAGER: | Mike Beadle |
| LOCATION: | Seminole Woods | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 1, 2005 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This station will add coverage to the southern portion of the City and will be built on land already owned by the City.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | PROJECT #: | 49009 | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| PROJECT NAME: | Fire Station #22 Replacement | DATE: | August 28, 2012 | | | | |
| DEPARTMENT: | Fire | PROJECT MANAGER: | Mike Beadle | | | | |
| LOCATION: | Colbert Lane | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 15, 2005 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Station #22 is the oldest station in the City and needs to be replaced due to deterioration. It may also be located at a new site to provide better coverage in conjunction with the recent construction of Station #24.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | 650,000 | 650,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | | | | 650,000 | 650,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| | EV 40 | | | EV 40 | D/ 47 | TOTAL |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | 650,000 | 650,000 |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Stormwater Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | | | | | 650,000 | 650,000 |
| TO THE REVENUE. | | | | | 030,000 | 030,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 51005 |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Sidewalks and Bike paths | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Community Development | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 14, 2002 |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Sidewalks and bikepaths will be built throughout the City. The goal is to make Palm Coast more pedestrian and bicycle friendly. These amounts are to improve, replace, and/or add connection between existing paths.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 60,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 700,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 60.000 | 160.000 | 160,000 | 160.000 | 160.000 | 700,000 |
| | 00,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 700,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 60,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 700,000 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 60,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 700,000 |
| - | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

| | | PROJECT #: | 51005 |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Sidewalks and Bike paths | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Community Development | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Seminole Woods | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 14, 2002 |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Sidewalks and bikepaths will be built throughout the City. The goal is to make Palm Coast more pedestrian and bicycle friendly. These amounts are to begin a multi-purpose path along Seminole Woods Parkway. This project will be completed in annual phases, and funded from the City's CDBG Entitlement grant.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | | 640,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | | 640,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | | 640,000 |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 | | 640,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 52003 |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Parkway Beautification | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Community Development | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 14, 2002 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

The City of Palm Coast has an ongoing parkway beautification program. Belle Terre Parkway is currently being improved.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 100,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 900,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 1,000,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 1,000,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 53209 |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Belle Terre Parkway-PCP to PLP | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Engineering | PROJECT MANAGER: | John Moden |
| LOCATION: | PC Pkwy to Pine Lakes Pkwy S. | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | April 24, 2008 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | 150,000 | | | 150,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | 500,000 | 500,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | | 150,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 1,150,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | 150,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 1,150,000 |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | | | 150,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 1,150,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 54104 |
|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Street Paving and Resurfacing | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Public Works | PROJECT MANAGER: | Tony Capela |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 14, 2002 |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

The City of Palm Coast has over 550 miles of streets which it maintains. A major portion of the funding for the resurfacing program comes from the Local Government Infrastructure Surtax (half-cent sales tax) that was approved by the voters beginning in 2003. This tax will expire at the end of calendar year 2012. Since most of the city has been resurfaced, only areas showing excessive wear will be addressed for the foreseable future.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning Land | | | | | r | |
| Construction | 100,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 3,300,000 |
| Equipm ent | - | - | - | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 100,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 3,300,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 100,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 3,300,000 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 100,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 3,300,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 54403 |
|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | North Pine Lakes Pkwy Improvements | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Community Development | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Pine Lakes Parkway | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 15, 2005 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This project will provide for moving utilities underground, adding a paved shoulder to the roadway and construction of a multi-use path. It also addresses safety improvements as well as stormwater upgrades.

| 1,800,000 | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1,800,000 | | | | | |
| 1,800,000 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1,800,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1,800,000 | | | | | 1,800,000 |
| | | | | | |
| FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| | | | | | |
| 1,800,000 | | | | | 1,800,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1,800,000 | | | | | 1,800,000 |
| _ | 1,800,000 | FY 13 FY 14 1,800,000 | FY 13 FY 14 FY 15 1,800,000 | FY 13 FY 14 FY 15 FY 16 1,800,000 | FY 13 FY 14 FY 15 FY 16 FY 17 1,800,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 54404 |
|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Royal Palms Parkway Phase III | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Community Development | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Royal Palms Parkway | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This project includes paved shoulders from Belle Terre Pkwy to Rymfire Dr with turn lanes at Rickenbacker and Rymfire. Phase 1 will be from Rickenbacker to Belle Terre Pkwy. The second phase is from Rymfire Drive to Rickenbacker.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | 100,000 | | | | | 100,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 600,000 | 300,000 | | | | 900,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 700,000 | 300,000 | | | | 1,000,000 |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 700,000 | 300,000 | | | | 1,000,000 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 700,000 | 300,000 | | | | 1,000,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 54409 |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Intersection/Turn Lane Improvements | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Engineering | PROJECT MANAGER: | John Moden |
| LOCATION: | Various | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 15, 2005 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

The location of these improvements will be determined as necessary.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 100,000 | 350,000 | 50,000 | 350,000 | 50,000 | 900,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 100,000 | 350,000 | 50,000 | 350,000 | 50,000 | 900,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 100,000 | 350,000 | 50,000 | 350,000 | 50,000 | 900,000 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 100,000 | 350,000 | 50,000 | 350,000 | 50,000 | 900,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 54501 |
|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Palm Coast Parkway 6-Laning | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Engineering | PROJECT MANAGER: | John Moden |
| LOCATION: | Cypress Point Pkwy/Florida Park Dr | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This 6-laning will extend from Cypress Point Pkwy/Boulder Rock Dr east to Florida Park Dr. The City is expecting to receive \$8,768,000 in FDOT grants to complete this project.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|------------|
| Engineering & Planning | 764,405 | | | | | 764,405 |
| Land | 350,300 | | | | | 350,300 |
| Construction | 8,664,740 | | | | | 8,664,740 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | 600,000 | | | | 600,000 |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 9,779,445 | 600,000 | | | | 10,379,445 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 9,779,445 | 600,000 | | | | 10,379,445 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 9,779,445 | 600,000 | | | | 10,379,445 |
| | | | | | | |

| | | PROJECT #: | 54502 |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Old Kings Road Extension | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Engineering | PROJECT MANAGER: | John Moden |
| LOCATION: | Old Kings Road | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This project is an integral part of the Matanzas Wood I-95 interchange project expected to be completed by the FDOT by 2015. The Old Kings Road extension is a major feeder road from I-95. The project will be completed in three phases, with phase one expected to be completed by 2015.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | 1,350,000 | | | | | 1,350,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | 1,050,000 | 2,275,000 | | 600,000 | 3,925,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 1,350,000 | 1,050,000 | 2,275,000 | | 600,000 | 5,275,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 1,350,000 | 1,050,000 | 2,275,000 | | 600,000 | 5,275,000 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 1,350,000 | 1,050,000 | 2,275,000 | | 600,000 | 5,275,000 |
| | | | | | | |

| | | PROJECT #: | 54505 |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Palm Harbor Extension | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Engineering | PROJECT MANAGER: | John Moden |
| LOCATION: | Palm Harbor | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 15, 2005 |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This project is an integral part of the Matanzas Wood I-95 interchange project expected to be completed by the FDOT by 2015. The initial amounts in fiscal year 2013 are for land and right of way purchases, followed by two years of construction.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | 450,000 | | | 15,000 | 25,000 | 490,000 |
| Construction | | 1,315,000 | 1,125,000 | | | 2,440,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 450,000 | 1,315,000 | 1,125,000 | 15,000 | 25,000 | 2,930,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 450,000 | 1,315,000 | 1,125,000 | 15,000 | 25,000 | 2,930,000 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 450,000 | 1,315,000 | 1,125,000 | 15,000 | 25,000 | 2,930,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 54507 |
|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | North Old Kings Road 4-Laning | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Engineering | PROJECT MANAGER: | John Moden |
| LOCATION: | Farragut to Forest Grove | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 15, 2005 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Old Kings Road North will be connected directly to the Old Kings Road Extension. The current road is a two lane rural road that will need to be upgraded to handle additional traffic from the planned Matanzas Woods I-95 interchange which is expected to be completed in 2015.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|---|-----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | 2,000,000 | | | | | 2,000,000 |
| Land | | 100,000 | | | | 100,000 |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 2,000,000 | 100,000 | | | | 2,100,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 2,000,000 | 100,000 | | | | 2,100,000 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 2,000,000 | 100,000 | | | | 2,100,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 54602 |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Bridge Rehabilitation | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Engineering | PROJECT MANAGER: | John Moden |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | June 19, 2006 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

The City has a bridge rehabilitation program based on FDOT ratings. The plan is to design for the rehab in one year, then for construction the following year. The bridges at Palm Harbor Parkway and Colchester/Colorado are next in line for rehabilitation.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | 150,000 | | 150,000 | | 300,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 975,000 | | 1,000,000 | | 1,000,000 | 2,975,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 975,000 | 150,000 | 1,000,000 | 150,000 | 1,000,000 | 3,275,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | 975,000 | 150,000 | 1,000,000 | 150,000 | 1,000,000 | 3,275,000 |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 975,000 | 150,000 | 1,000,000 | 150,000 | 1,000,000 | 3,275,000 |
| | | | | | | |

| | | PROJECT #: | 55003 |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Pipe Replacement | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Storm water Management | PROJECT MANAGER: | John Moden |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 31, 2003 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This is to replace stom water pipes under City streets using a specialized City crew.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 295,000 | 300,900 | 306,918 | 313,056 | 319,317 | 1,535,192 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | 550,000 | 550,000 | 550,000 | 550,000 | 550,000 | 2,750,000 |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 845,000 | 850,900 | 856,918 | 863,056 | 869,317 | 4,285,192 |
| - | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | 845,000 | 850,900 | 856,918 | 863,056 | 869,317 | 4,285,192 |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 845,000 | 850,900 | 856.918 | 863,056 | 869,317 | 4,285,192 |

| PROJECT NAME: Control Structure Rehabilitation DATE: August 28, 2012 | |
|--|--|
| DEPARTMENT: Storm water Management PROJECT MANAGER: John Moden | |
| LOCATION: Various Locations ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: July 31, 2003 | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This project is to replace control structures located in the canal system throughout the City.

| | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Three Sisters Replacement | 1,200,000 | | | | |
| L1 Weir Replacement | | 220,000 | | | |
| M2 Weir Replacement | | 50,000 | | | |
| K1 Weir Replacement | | | 225,000 | | |
| K6 Weir Replacement | | | | | 197,00 |
| M3 Weir Replacement | | | | 350,000 | |
| Seminole Woods @ Iroquois Canal I-2 | 250,000 | | | | |
| Major Crossings | | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,00 |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | 30,600 | 46,000 | 47,000 | 48,000 | 49,000 | 220,600 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 1,419,400 | 724,000 | 678,000 | 802,000 | 648,000 | 4,271,400 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 1.450.000 | 770.000 | 725.000 | 850.000 | 697.000 | 4,492,000 |
| | 1,400,000 | 110,000 | 720,000 | 000,000 | 001,000 | 1,102,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | 1,450,000 | 770,000 | 725,000 | 850,000 | 697,000 | 4,492,000 |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 1,450,000 | 770,000 | 725,000 | 850,000 | 697,000 | 4,492,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 55105 |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Swale Rehabilitation Program | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Stom water Management | PROJECT MANAGER: | Tony Capela |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 31, 2003 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This is the cost of materials needed to rehabilitate the swales in the City. The goal is to regrade at least 25 miles of swales each year. The labor is included in the personal services in the Storm water Management Fund.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 585,000 | 596,700 | 608,634 | 620,807 | 633,223 | 3,044,364 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | 740,112 | 754,914 | 770,013 | 785,413 | 801,120 | 3,851,572 |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 1,325,112 | 1,351,614 | 1.378.647 | 1,406,220 | 1,434,343 | 6,895,936 |
| | 1,323,112 | 1,331,014 | 1,370,047 | 1,400,220 | 1,434,343 | 0,030,330 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | 1,325,112 | 1,351,614 | 1,378,647 | 1,406,220 | 1,434,343 | 6,895,936 |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 1,325,112 | 1,351,614 | 1,378,647 | 1,406,220 | 1,434,343 | 6,895,936 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 55205 |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Valley Gutter Improvements | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Storm water Management | PROJECT MANAGER: | Tony Capela |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 31, 2003 |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This is the cost of materials needed to remove and modify valley gutters in City streets. The labor is included in the personal services in the Storm water Management Fund.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 - | 375,000 |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 55230 |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Canal and Seawall Replacements | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Storm water Management | PROJECT MANAGER: | Tony Capela |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 31, 2003 |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

These costs are for the replacement of City owned seawalls and related infrastructure.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 65,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 445,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 65,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 445,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | 65,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 445,000 |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 65,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 445,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 55231 |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Modeling Improvements | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Storm water Management | PROJECT MANAGER: | John Moden |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 31, 2003 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This project is to replace control structures located in the canal system throughout the City.

| | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Modeling Improvements Sec 31 | 100,000 | | | | |
| Modeling Improvements Sec 35 | | | 100,000 | | |
| Modeling Improvements Sec 37 | | 334,000 | | | |
| Modeling Improvements Sec TBD | | | | 200,000 | 200,000 |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 100,000 | 334,000 | 100,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 934,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 100,000 | 334,000 | 100,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 934,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Stormwater Utility Fund | 100,000 | 334,000 | 100,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 934,000 |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 100,000 | 334,000 | 100,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 934,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 55400 |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Land Acquisitions | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Stormwater Management | PROJECT MANAGER: | Tony Capela |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 31, 2003 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

These costs are for anticipated purchases of land that allow for greater access to stormwater infrastructure for rehabilitation and replacement.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | 23,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 83,000 |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 23,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 83,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | 23,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 83,000 |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 23,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 83,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 55500 |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Fresh Water Dredging | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Storm water Management | PROJECT MANAGER: | Tony Capela |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 31, 2003 |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This is the cost of future expected dredging of freshwater canals due to runoff and silt deposits.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | | | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | | | | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| - | | | | | | |

| | | PROJECT #: | 61014 |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Graham Swamp Trail | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Recreation & Parks | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Various | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | June 19, 2006 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

The easement acquisition for Phase II of the trail was completed in 2012. Phase II design and construction is expected to begin in 2017.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | | | | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | | | | | 250,000 | 250,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 61015 |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Longs Landing | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Recreation & Parks | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Longs Creek | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | June 19, 2006 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Long's Landing is a historic site that was purchased by the City. It will function as a resource based park with limited amenities such as a trail and a canoe launch. Grants of \$325,000 have been received to help cover the cost of development.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | 75,000 | | | | | 75,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | 1,215,000 | | | | 1,215,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 75,000 | 1,215,000 | | | | 1,290,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | 75,000 | 1,215,000 | | | | 1,290,000 |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 75,000 | 1,215,000 | | | | 1,290,000 |
| | | | | | | |

| | | PROJECT #: | 61505 |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Indian Trails Sports Complex | DATE: | August 2, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Recreation & Parks | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Belle Terre Blvd. | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | June 19, 2006 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Expand sports fields as part of the ongoing plan to attract soccer and lacrosse tournaments to the City.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | 120,000 | | | | 120,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | 120,000 | | | | 120,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | 120,000 | | | | 120,000 |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| | | / | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | | 120,000 | | | | 120,000 |
| | | PROJECT #: | 66005 |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Central Park | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Recreation & Parks | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Town Center | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | August 14, 2008 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

The next phase of the Central Park expansion includes additional recreational facilities for children.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | | | | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | | | | | 1,000,000 | 1.000.000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 66006 |
|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Holland Park Renovation | DATE: | August 23, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Recreation & Parks | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Florida Park Drive | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | June 19, 2006 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Renovations in fiscal year 2013 are for playground safety improvements. A future major renovation is planned as funding becomes available.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 25,000 | | | | 5,000 | 30,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 25,000 | | | | 5,000 | 30,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | 25,000 | | | | 5,000 | 30,000 |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 25,000 | | | | 5,000 | 30,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 66008 |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Park Renovation | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Recreation & Parks | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Various Locations | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | June 19, 2006 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This is used for park renovations and equipment replacements that are needed during the year.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| | D (42 | | | 51/40 | 51/47 | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 66009 |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Lehigh/Belle Terre Trailhead | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Recreation & Parks | PROJECT MANAGER: | Carl Cote |
| LOCATION: | Belle Terre Parkway | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This will be to construct parking and restroom facilities at the trailhead for the Lehigh Trail intersecting at Belle Terre Parkway.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | 100,000 | | | | | 100,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | 300,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | | 500,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 100,000 | 300,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | | 600,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | FTID | FT 14 | FTID | FTIO | FT 17 | TUTAL |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | 100,000 | 300.000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | | 600,000 |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | 100,000 | 500,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | | 000,000 |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 100,000 | 300,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | | 600,000 |

| | | | PF | ROJECT #: | 81010 | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Water Treatment Plant | Water Treatment Plant #3 | | DATE: | | |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | | PROJECT | WANAGER: | Richard Adams | |
| LOCATION: | Northwest Section | | ORIGINAL P | LAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 | |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTI | ON: | | | | | |
| | | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Membrane Replacement | | | | | | 275,000 |
| Expansion Design | | | | | 250,000 | 1,250,000 |
| Iron Removal | | 125,000 | 500,000 | | | |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | 125,000 | | | 250,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,625,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | 500,000 | | | 275,000 | 775,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 125,000 | 500,000 | | 250,000 | 1,525,000 | 2,400,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 125,000 | 500,000 | | 250,000 | 1,525,000 | 2,400,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 125,000 | 500,000 | | 250,000 | 1,525,000 | 2,400,000 |

| | | | P | ROJECT #: | 81019 | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Wellfield and Wells | | | DATE: | August 28, 2012 | |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | | PROJECT | MANAGER: | Richard Adams | |
| LOCATION: | Various | | ORIGINAL P | LAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 | |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTI | ON: | | | | | |
| | | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| Wellfield Development WTP | #3 | 1,050,000 | | | | |
| Wellfield Expansion WTP #3 | | | | | 250,000 | 1,600,000 |
| Wellfield Expansion WTP #2 | | 500,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Replacement Well Construct | ion WTP #1 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| AWS Investigation/APT/WT | IP#3 | 350,000 | 200,000 | | | |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | 1,400,000 | | 200,000 | | | 1,600,000 |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 750,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,500,000 | 2,850,000 | 7,600,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 2,150,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,450,000 | 1,500,000 | 2,850,000 | 9,200,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 2,150,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,450,000 | 1,500,000 | 2,850,000 | 9,200,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 2,150,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,450,000 | 1,500,000 | 2,850,000 | 9,200,000 |

| | | PR | OJECT #: | 81020 | |
|---|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|---------|
| PROJECT NAME: Water Mains | | | DATE: | August 28, 2 | 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: Utility | | PROJECT | ANAGER: | Richard Ada | ms |
| LOCATION: Various | | ORIGINAL PL | AN DATE: | July 16, 200 | 4 |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION: | | | | | |
| | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| Citation/Old Kings Road/SR100 Water Main Loop | | | 900,000 |) | |
| Old Kings Road Water Main Extension to Eagle Lakes | | | 50,000 |) | |
| Old Kings Road Water Mains (Phase 2) | | | | | 100,000 |
| Pine Lakes Parkway North | 200,000 | | | | |
| WM on Palm Coast Pkwy (Belle Terre Pkwy to East of I-95 | 1,000,000 | | | | |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 1,200,000 | | 950,000 | | 100,000 | 2,250,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 1,200,000 | | 950,000 | | 100,000 | 2,250,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 1,200,000 | | 950,000 | | 100,000 | 2,250,000 |
| Stormwater Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TO TAL REVENUE: | 1,200,000 | | 950,000 | | 100,000 | 2,250,000 |

| | | | PI | ROJECT#: | 82001 | |
|-------------------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| PROJECT NAME: | PEP System | | | DATE: | August 28, 2012 | |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | | PROJECT | MANAGER: | Richard Adams | |
| LOCATION: | Various | | ORIGINAL P | LAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 | |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTI | ION: | | | | | |
| | | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| System Upgrades | | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Tanks | | 250,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 500,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | 250,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | 1,450,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 350,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 1,950,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fun | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 350,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 1,950,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| TO TAL REVENUE: | 350,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 1,950,000 |

| | | | PI | ROJECT #: | 82002 | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Wastewater Treatment | Wastewater Treatment Plant #1 | | DATE: | August 28, 2012 | |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | | PROJECT | MANAGER: | Richard Adams | |
| LOCATION: | Utility Drive | | ORIGINAL P | LAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 | |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTI | ON: | | | | | |
| | | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| AWT Upgrade Design & Co | onstruction | | | 500,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |
| Brush Aerator Rehab | | 50,000 | | | | |
| Cost Study for Dryer Syster | n Installation | 50,000 | | | | |
| Return Activated Sludge Pu | Imping Improvements | 250,000 | | | | |
| Rib Site Slope Evaluation a | ind Rehab | 30,000 | 200,000 | | | |
| Clarifiers #7 & #8 Rehab | | | | 500,000 | | |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 380,000 | 200,000 | 1,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 7,580,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 380,000 | 200,000 | 1,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 7,580,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 380,000 | 200,000 | 1,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 7,580,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| | 380,000 | 200,000 | 1,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 7,580,000 |

| | | | PROJECT #: | 82003 | |
|--|---------------------|---------|------------|-----------------|-------|
| PROJECT NAME: Force Mains | | | DATE: | August 28, 2012 | |
| DEPARTMENT: Utility | | PROJECT | T MANAGER: | Richard Adams | |
| LOCATION: Various | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | | | July 16, 2004 | |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION: | | | | | |
| | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| 20" Discharge from WWTP #1 to St.Joe Canal | | | 350,000 | 350,000 | |
| OKR Force Mains (Phase 2) | | | 250,000 | 1,000,000 | |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | 600,000 | 1,350,000 | | 1,950,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | | 600,000 | 1,350,000 | | 1,950,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | 600,000 | 1,350,000 | | 1,950,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | | | 600,000 | 1,350,000 | | 1,950,000 |

| | | | | PROJECT #: | 82004 | |
|---|-----------------|---------|----------|------------|-----------------|-----------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Reclaimed Water | | | DATE: | August 28, 2012 | |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | | PROJEC | TMANAGER: | Richard Adams | |
| LOCATION: | Various | | ORIGINAL | PLAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 | |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION | ON: | | | | | |
| | | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| Holland Park Reuse Main | | 100,000 | | | | |
| Seminole Blvd Reclaimed V | Water Main | | | | | 250,000 |
| Matanzas Wood Pkwy Reclaimed Water Main | | | | | 600,000 | 1,700,000 |
| Old Kings Road (Phase 2) | | | | | | 100,000 |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 100,000 | | | 600,000 | 2,050,000 | 2,750,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 100,000 | | | 600,000 | 2,050,000 | 2,750,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 100,000 | | | 600,000 | 2,050,000 | 2,750,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 100,000 | | | 600,000 | 2,050,000 | 2,750,000 |

| | | | | PROJECT #: | 82007 | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|----------|------------|-----------------|-------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Wastewater Treatment Plant #2 | | | DATE: | August 28, 2012 | |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | | PROJECT | MANAGER: | Richard Adams | |
| LOCATION: | To Be Determined | | ORIGINAL | PLAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 | |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION: | | | | | | |
| | | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| Engineering Design & Construction | | | | 6,660,000 | 12,180,000 | |
| Reclaimed Water Discharge | to Wetlands | | | 450,000 | 1,250,000 | |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------|------------|-------|------------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | 7,110,000 | 13,430,000 | | 20,540,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | | 7,110,000 | 13,430,000 | | 20,540,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | 7,110,000 | 13,430,000 | | 20,540,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | | | 7,110,000 | 13,430,000 | | 20,540,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 82009 |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Beachside Sewer System | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | PROJECT MANAGER: | Richard Adams |
| LOCATION: | Various | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 |
| | ON: | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

This project is to provide sewer service to residents outside of the City through an interlocal agreement with Flagler County.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 2,500,000 | | | | | 2,500,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 2,500,000 | | | | | 2,500,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 2,500,000 | | | | | 2,500,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 2.500.000 | | | | | 2,500,000 |
| IO IAE NEVENOE. | 2,000,000 | | | | | 2,000,000 |

| | | | F | PROJECT #: | 84002 | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|-------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Water Treatment Plant #1 | | DATE: | | August 28, 2012 | |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | | PROJECT | MANAGER: | Richard Adams | |
| LOCATION: | Palm Coast Parkway | | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | | July 16, 2004 | |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION: | | | | | | |
| | | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| WTP No. 1 Misc. Improvemen | | | 500,000 | | | |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | 500,000 | | | 500,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | | 500,000 | | | 500,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | | | 500,000 | | | 500,000 |
| Stormwater Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | | | 500,000 | | | 500,000 |

| | | | PI | ROJECT #: | 84003 | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Water Treatment Plant #2 | | DATE: | | August 28, 2012 | |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | | PROJECT | MANAGER: | Richard Adams | |
| LOCATION: | Citation Boulevard | | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | | July 16, 2004 | |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTI | ON: | | | | | |
| | | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| Concentrate Zero Discharge Treatment | | 7,000,000 | 3,000,000 | | | |

This project is required by the FDEP. Our permit to discharge water treatment concentrate into the intercoastal waterway will not be renewed by the FDEP.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|------------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 7,000,000 | 3,000,000 | | | | 10,000,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 7,000,000 | 3,000,000 | | | | 10,000,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 7,000,000 | 3,000,000 | | | | 10,000,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 7,000,000 | 3,000,000 | | | | 10,000,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 84004 |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | General Plant R & R - Water | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | PROJECT MANAGER: | Richard Adams |
| LOCATION: | Various | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Renewal and replacement fund for existing equipment at the various water treatment facilities.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 84005 |
|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Distribution System Improvements | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | PROJECT MANAGER: | Richard Adams |
| LOCATION: | Various | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Renewal and replacement fund for various distribution system projects as needed.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 375,000 |

| | | | PI | ROJECT #: | 85003 | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Lift Stations and P | ump Stations | | DATE: | August 28, 2012 | |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | | PROJECT | MANAGER: | Richard Adams | |
| LOCATION: | Various | | ORIGINAL P | LAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 | |
| JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTI | ON: | | | | | |
| | | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 |
| Pump Station 4-2 Upgrade | | 350,000 | 150,000 | | | |
| Pump Station Upgrades | | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| Pump Station On-Line Gen | erator | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Pump Station Odor Contro | l Systems | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| OKR Master Pump Station | | | | 1,250,000 | | |
| Belle Terre/Matanzas Woo | ds MPS | | | | 1,500,000 | 500,000 |

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 505,000 | 305,000 | 1,405,000 | 1,655,000 | 655,000 | 4,525,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 505,000 | 305,000 | 1,405,000 | 1,655,000 | 655,000 | 4,525,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 505,000 | 305,000 | 1,405,000 | 1,655,000 | 655,000 | 4,525,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 505,000 | 305,000 | 1,405,000 | 1,655,000 | 655,000 | 4,525,000 |

| | | PROJECT #: | 85005 |
|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | General Plant R & R - Wastewater | DATE: | August 28, 2012 |
| DEPARTMENT: | Utility | PROJECT MANAGER: | Richard Adams |
| LOCATION: | Various | ORIGINAL PLAN DATE: | July 16, 2004 |
| | | | |

JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION:

Renewal and replacement fund for existing equipment at the various wastewater treatment facilites.

| PROJECT COSTS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Engineering & Planning | | | | | | |
| Land | | | | | | |
| Construction | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Equipment | | | | | | |
| Materials and Supplies | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: | FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | TOTAL |
| General Fund | | | | | | |
| Streets Improvement Fund | | | | | | |
| Park Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Fire Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| Development Special Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Transportation Impact Fee Fund | | | | | | |
| SR100 CRA Fund | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects Fund | | | | | | |
| Utility Capital Projects Fund | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Storm water Utility Fund | | | | | | |
| Information Tech & Comm Fund | | | | | | |
| Fleet Management Fund | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE: | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 |

Fleet Management

| VEH # | MAKE | DESCRIPTION | YEAR | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|------|--------|---------|------|------|------|
| 2101 | Community Relations | | | | | | | |
| PC000652 | FORD | FORD FOCUS | 2005 | | 15,200 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | - | 15,200 | - | - | - |
| 2422 PC00081 | Financial Services FORD | 2006 FORD CROWN VIC | 2006 | | 21,200 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | - | - | 21,200 | - | - | - |
| 3507 | Planning | | | | | | | |
| PC000658 | FORD | RANGER | 2005 | | 15,500 | | | |
| PC000578 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000671 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | - | 42,100 | - | - | - |
| 3508 | Code Enforcement | | | | | | | |
| PC000329 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2003 | | 14,000 | | | |
| PC000407 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2004 | 15,679 | | | | |
| PC000923 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2004 | 15,679 | | | | |
| PC000501 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2004 | 15,679 | | | | |
| PC000574 | F-150 | F-150 TRUCK, PICKUP | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000576 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000579 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000634 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000636 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000641 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000672 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000673 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000922 | FORD | FORD RANGER | 2005 | | 14,400 | | | |
| PC001025 | TOYOTA | TOYOTA PRIUS | 2006 | | 21,800 | | | |
| PC001134 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2007 | | 13,600 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | 47,037 | 170,200 | - | - | - |

Fleet Management

| VEH # | MAKE | DESCRIPTION | | YEAR | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|-------|------|---------|---------|--------|------|------|
| 4000 | Fire | | | | | | | | |
| 000355 | WARD LAFRANCE | FIRE TRUCK | | 1976 | | 108,400 | | | |
| 000916 | MACK | TRUCK | | 1984 | 380,000 | | | | |
| 000918 | MACK | FIRE TRUCK | | 1985 | | 23,000 | | | |
| 000917 | MACK | FIRE TRUCK | | 1986 | | 23,000 | | | |
| 001162 | CHEVROLET | 3500 VAN | | 1987 | | 10,600 | | | |
| 000063 | DODGE | RAM 350 | | 1992 | | 25,000 | | | |
| 000429 | FORD | WATER TANKER | | 1995 | | 62,700 | | | |
| 000072 | CHEVROLET | IMPALA | | 2001 | | | | | |
| 000331 | DODGE | RAM 3500 | | 2003 | | 17,400 | | | |
| 000354 | CHEVROLET | EXPRESS VAN | | 2003 | | 19,900 | | | |
| 000488 | SEAGRAVE | FIRE TRUCK | | 2003 | | | | | |
| 000911 | FORD | F-150 | | 2004 | | 16,800 | | | |
| 000633 | FORD | EXPLORER | | 2005 | | 22,900 | | | |
| 000651 | FORD | F-150 | | 2005 | | 16,600 | | | |
| 000664 | CHEVROLET | SUBURBAN | | 2005 | 28,000 | | | | |
| 000900 | PIERCE | FIRE TRUCK | | 2005 | | | | | |
| 001023 | CHEVROLET | | 3,500 | 2006 | | 76,200 | | | |
| 001024 | CHEVROLET | | 3,500 | 2006 | | 76,200 | | | |
| 001409 | SEAGRAVE | FIRE TRUCK | | 2006 | | | | | |
| PC001142 | FORD | FORD EXPLORER 4x4 | | 2007 | | 22,200 | | | |
| 001280 | CHEVROLET | EXT CAB PICKUP | | 2007 | | 26,200 | | | |
| 001281 | CHEVROLET | 2500 EXT CAB | | 2007 | | 26,200 | | | |
| 001282 | CHEVROLET | EXT CAB PICKUP | | 2007 | | 26,200 | | | |
| 001283 | DODGE | 3500 RAM | | 2007 | | 34,600 | | | |
| 001331 | PIERCE | FIRE TRUCK | | 2007 | | | | | |
| 001332 | PIERCE | FIRE TRUCK | | 2007 | | | | | |
| 001386 | CHEVROLET | 3500 SILVERADO | | 2008 | | | 28,000 | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | | - | 408,000 | 634,100 | 28,000 | - | - |

Fleet Management

| 5011 | | DESCRIPTION | YEAR | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 |
|----------|------------------|--|------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| | Streets | | | | | | | |
| | NEW | Wood chipper - Streets | | 35,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | Landscape tractor with loader attachment - Streets | | 22,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | Forestry body - Streets | | 18,000 | | | | |
| 7871 | John Deere | Grader, Motor | 1988 | | 70,800 | | | |
| 6021 | John Deere | Tractor, Utility, 6405 | 2002 | | 34,200 | | | |
| 6022 | John Deere | Tractor, Utility, 6405 | 2002 | | 34,200 | | | |
| 6023 | John Deere | Tractor, Utility, 6405 | 2002 | | 34,200 | | | |
| 6024 | John Deere | Tractor, Utility,5420 | 2002 | | 22,900 | | | |
| 6025 | John Deere | Tractor, Utility,5420 | 2002 | | 22,700 | | | |
| 6026 | John Deere | Tractor, Utility,5420 | 2002 | | 22,700 | | | |
| 6027 | John Deere | Tractor, Utility,5420 | 2002 | | 22,700 | | | |
| 6031 | New Holland | Tractor | 2003 | | 40,700 | | | |
| | New | Forklift | 2005 | | , | | 33,000 | |
| | | Tractor (closed cab) | 2005 | | | | 33,300 | |
| | | Tractor (closed cab) | 2005 | | | | 33,300 | |
| | | 2.5 Ton Roller | 2006 | | | | 20,400 | |
| | | 20-Ton Trailer | 2006 | | | | , | 19,300 |
| | | Backhoe w/attachments | 2006 | | | | | 107,800 |
| | | Skid Steer w/attachments | 2006 | | | | | 38,300 |
| | | Skid Steer w/attachments | 2006 | | | | | 38,300 |
| | | Wheeled Excavator | 2006 | | | | | 113,900 |
| | | Wheeled Excavator | 2006 | | | | | 113,900 |
| 6001 | John Deere | Tractor 5410 | 2000 | | 34,200 | | | 110,000 |
| 6002 | John Deere | Tractor 5410 | 2007 | | 34,200 | | | |
| 9026 | Mobark | Brush Chipper | 2007 | | 28,400 | | | |
| 0020 | Elgin | Street Sweeper | 2008 | | 119,400 | | | |
| | JOHN DEERE | ZERO TURN MOWER | 2009 | | 12,800 | | | |
| | JOHN DEERE | ZERO TURN MOWER | 2009 | | 12,800 | | | |
| | JOHN DEERE | ZERO TURN MOWER | 2009 | | 12,800 | | | |
| | JOHN DEERE | FRONT MOWERS | 2009 | | 12,000 | 23,000 | | |
| | JOHN DEERE | FRONT MOWERS | 2009 | | | 23,000 | | |
| | JOHN DEERE | FRONT MOWERS | 2009 | | | 23,000 | | |
| PC000220 | GMC | GMC SIERRA FLATBED TRUCK | 2003 | | 24,400 | 23,000 | | |
| PC000220 | STERLING | STERLING DUMP TRUCK | 2001 | 144,727 | 24,400 | | | |
| PC000332 | FORD | FORD F-250 CREW CAB | 2002 | 144,121 | 21,800 | | | |
| PC000334 | FORD | FORD F-150 SUPER CREW CAB | 2003 | | 23,200 | | | |
| | FORD | | 2003 | 25.054 | 23,200 | | | |
| PC000339 | | FORD F-250 CREW CAB TRUCK | | 25,951 | E0 400 | | | |
| PC000340 | STERLING JEEP | STERLING 15 YD DUMP TRUCK | 2003 | | 59,400 | | | |
| PC000186 | | 2004 JEEP LIBERTY FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2004 | 17 750 | 12,800 | | | |
| PC000448 | FORD | | 2004 | 17,750 | 40,000 | | | |
| PC000910 | FORD | FORD F-250 SD PICKUP TRUCK | 2004 | | 19,600 | | | |
| PC000932 | FORD | FORD F-250 CREW CAB PICKUP | 2004 | | 20,600 | | | |
| PC000665 | DODGE | DODGE RAM 1500 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 21,400 | | | |
| PC000917 | FORD | FORD F-350 SD PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 27,600 | | | |
| PC000936 | FORD | FORD F-550 SD DUMP TRUCK | 2005 | | 38,500 | | | |
| PC000942 | FORD | FORD F-550 SD DUMP TRUCK | 2005 | | 38,500 | | | |
| PC001026 | FORD | FORD F-250 FORD PICKUP | 2005 | | 22,600 | | | |

Fleet Management

| VEH # | MAKE | DESCRIPTION | YEAR | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 |
|-----------|-----------|---|------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| PC001027 | FORD | FORD F-350 FORD PICKUP | 2005 | | 32,200 | | | |
| PC001028 | FORD | FORD F-350 FORD PICKUP | 2005 | | 32,800 | | | |
| PC000988 | FORD | FORD F150 PICKUP TRUCK 4X4 EXTENDED CAB | 2006 | | 20,300 | | | |
| PC001029 | FORD | FORD F-550 FORD CRANE TRUCK | 2005 | | 50,000 | | | |
| PC000986 | FORD | FORD F-350 PICKUP TRUCK DUALLY(DIESEL) | 2007 | | 26,900 | | | |
| PC001042 | FORD | FORD F250 PICKUP TRUCK | 2007 | | 25,600 | | | |
| PC001051 | FORD | FORD F-250 FORD PICKUP | 2007 | | 25,600 | | | |
| PC001076 | FORD | FORD F-350 PICKUP TRUCK 4x4 | 2007 | | 33,200 | | | |
| PC001128 | FORD | FORD F-350 CHASSIS XL FLATBED | 2007 | | 37,500 | | | |
| PC001133 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2007 | 19,908 | | | | |
| PC001345 | CHEVROLET | CHEVROLET PASSENGER VAN | 2007 | 28,181 | | | | |
| PC001131 | FORD | FORD F-250 4X4 SERVICE BODY AUTOCRANE | 2008 | | | 33,800 | | |
| PC001132 | FORD | FORD F-250 PICKUP TRUCK 4X4 | 2008 | | | 25,900 | | |
| | FORD | F-350 PICKUP TRUCK | 2009 | | | | 33,300 | |
| 7024 | | 277 B SKID STEER | 2004 | 64,865 | | | | |
| 6991 | | JOHN DEERE 5410 TRACTOR | 1999 | 25,148 | | | | |
| 1161 | | ALTEC WOOD CHIPPER | 2007 | 34,270 | | | | |
| 9031 | | SCAG TURF TIGER CUB | 2003 | 14,140 | | | | |
| 1185 | | SCAG TURF TIGER CUB | 2006 | 14,140 | | | | |
| 1348 | | SCAG TURF TIGER CUB | 2007 | 14,140 | | | | |
| | NEW | WALK BEHIND MOWER | | 7,500 | | | | |
| | NEW | WALK BEHIND MOWER | | 7,500 | | | | |
| | NEW | MOWER | | 15,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | MOWER | | 15,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | MOWER | | 15,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | FORD F250 PICKUP TRUCK | | 20,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | FORD F250 PICKUP TRUCK | | 20,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | FORD F250 PICKUP TRUCK | | 20,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | FORD F150 PICKUP TRUCK | | 22,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | TRAILER (MOWING CREW) | | 2,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | TRAILER (MOWING CREW) | | 2,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | TRAILER (MOWING CREW) | | 2,000 | | | | |
| | NEW | TRAILER (MOWING CREW) | | 2,000 | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | | 628,220 | 1,174,200 | 128,700 | 153,300 | 431,500 |

Fleet Management

| VEH # | MAKE | DESCRIPTION | YEAR | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 |
|----------------------|------------------------|---|--------------|---------|------------------|--------|------|--------|
| 5012 | Facilities Maintenance | | 0007 | | 05 000 | | | |
| PC001044 | FORD | FORD F250 PICKUP TRUCK | 2007 | | 25,600 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | - | 25,600 | - | - | - |
| 5015 | Parks/Facilities | | | | | | | |
| 9011 | Groundmaster | Mower RC60-F19 | 2006 | | | | | 12,500 |
| 9013 | Groundmaster | Mower RC60-F19 | 2006 | | 40.000 | | | 12,500 |
| PC000445 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2004 | | 18,000 | | | |
| PC000637 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000640 PC000910 | FORD FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK FORD F-250 SD PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 2004 | | 18,600 | | | |
| °C000910 °C000927 | FORD | FORD F-250 SD FICKUP TRUCK | 2004 | | 19,600 13,300 | | | |
| PC000327 | FORD | FORD F-250 CREW CAB PICKUP TRUCK | 2003 | | 13,300 | 26,700 | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | - | | 82,800 | 26,700 | | 25,000 |
| UB TOTAL | | | | - | 02,000 | 20,700 | - | 25,000 |
| 509 | Engineering | | | | | | | |
| PC000336 | FORD | CARGO VAN | 2003 | 23,492 | | | | |
| PC000337 | FORD | EXPLORER SPORT TRAC | 2003 | | 21,400 | | | |
| PC000976 | FORD | E-243 CARGO VAN | 2003 | | 13,500 | | | |
| PC000724 | CHEVROLET | CHEVROLET PICKUP TRUCK 4X4 | 2003 | | 12,300 | | | |
| PC001136 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2007 | | 13,600 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | 23,492 | 60,800 | - | - | - |
| 6016 | Recreation/Athletics | | | | | | | |
| PC000500 | FORD | FORD F250 PICKUP TRUCK | 2004 | | 14,000 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | - | 14,000 | - | - | - |
| 9000 | Utility Administration | | | | | | | |
| PC000414 | FORD | EXPLORER 4 DR 4 x 4 | 2004 | | 22,600 | | | |
| PC000415 | FORD | EXPLORER 4 DR 4 x 4 | 2004 | | 22,600 | | | |
| PC000416 | FORD | EXPLORER 4 DR 4 x 4 | 2004 | | 23,041 | | | |
| PC000666 | FORD | SPORT TRAC 4 DR TRAC | 2005 | | 18,300 | | | |
| PC000978 | FORD | EXPLORER 4 DR ADVAN TRAC RSC | 2006 | | 21,800 | | | |
| PC001139 | FORD | SPORT TRAX 4X4 | 2007 | | 22,000 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | - | - | 130,341 | - | - | |
| 081 | Utility Maintenance | | | | | | | |
| PC000495 | FORD | F350 WITH CRANE | 2003 | 52,979 | | | | |
| PC000408 | FORD | SD REG CAB 3/4 TON F-250 UTILITY BODY | 2004 | | 21,621 | | | |
| PC000438 | FORD | SD REG CAB 3/4 TON F-250 UTILITY BODY | 2004 | | 21,621 | | | |
| PC000447 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | 24,579 | | | | |
| PC000928 | FORD | F550 WITH CRANE | 2004 | | 61,300 | | | |
| PC000635 | FORD | F150 XL PICK UP | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000941 | FORD | F350 UTILITY | 2005 | 52,279 | | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | 129,837 | 117,842 | - | - | - |
| | | 260 | | | | | | |

Fleet Management

| VEH # | MAKE | DESCRIPTION | YEAR | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 |
|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| 9082 | Wastewater Collection | | | | | | | |
| PC000433 | FORD | 5 YARD DUMP TRUCK | 1997 | 69,936 | | | | |
| PC000394 | FORD | SD REG CAB F-350 | 2003 | | | | | |
| PC000389 | STERLING | VAC CON CLEANER TRUCK LT7500 | 2004 | | 212,700 | | | |
| PC000393 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | 21,188 | - | | | |
| PC000397 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | | 14,400 | | | |
| PC000409 | FORD | SD REG CAB 3/4 TON F-250 FLEETSIDE | 2004 | 37,400 | | | | |
| PC000667 | FORD | F-250 SD REG CAB | 2005 | | 21,621 | | | |
| PC000670 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000701 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000702 | FORD | F-350 UTILITY LADDER TRUCK | 2005 | | 25,500 | | | |
| PC000918 | FORD | F350 UTILITY | 2005 | 29,401 | | | | |
| PC000960 | FORD | F350 UTILITY | 2005 | | 44,800 | | | |
| PC001043 | FORD | F250 FORD PICKUP | 2007 | | 31,500 | | | |
| PC001270 | FORD | STERLING TANK TRUCK | 2007 | | 143,000 | | | |
| PC001276 | STERLING | VAC CON CLEANER TRUCK LT7500 | 2007 | | 218,400 | | | |
| PC001277 | FORD | STERLING TANK TRUCK | 2007 | | 115,200 | | | |
| PC001296 | FORD | STERLING TANK TRUCK | 2007 | | 115,200 | | | |
| PC001140 | FORD | F250 SD UTILITY | 2008 | | | 27,900 | | |
| PC001329 | FORD | DUMP TRUCK | 2008 | | | 63,800 | | |
| PC001330 | FORD | F750 WITH CRANE 14005H | 2008 | | | 111,300 | | |
| | Cat | Backhoe | 2005 | | | | 63,800 | |
| | New | CREW CAB PICK UP | 2010 | | | | | 39,500 |
| | New | SPORT TRACK | 2010 | | | | | 21,200 |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | 157,925 | 968,921 | 203,000 | 63,800 | 60,700 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 9083 | Wastewater Treatment | | | | | | | |
| PC000399 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | | 14,400 | | | |
| PC000639 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000645 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2005 | | 14,900 | | | |
| PC000656 | FORD | F-250 SD CAB 4x4 | 2005 | | 20,900 | | | |
| | John Deere | Tractor | 2005 | | | | 75,900 | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | - | 63,500 | - | 75,900 | - |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 9086 | Water Plant #1 | | | | | | | |
| PC000411 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | | 14,400 | | | |
| PC000657 | FORD | F-250 SD CAB 4 X 4 | 2005 | 32,000 | | | | |
| PC000648 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2005 | | 14,900 | | | |
| PC000977 | FORD | F350 DIESEL DULE | 2006 | | 25,600 | | | |
| PC001138 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2007 | | 17,300 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | 32,000 | 72,200 | - | - | - |

Fleet Management

| VEH # | MAKE | DESCRIPTION | YEAR | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---|------|---------|---------|---------|------|--------|
| 9087 | Water Plant #2 | | | | | | | |
| PC000406 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | | 14,400 | | | |
| PC000659 | FORD | RANGER 4X4 | 2005 | | 15,500 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | - | 29,900 | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 085 | Water Plant #3 | | | | | | | |
| PC000632 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000922 | FORD | RANGER | 2005 | | 14,400 | | | |
| | FORD | PICK UP 4X4 | 2010 | | | | | 19,100 |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | - | 27,700 | - | - | 19,100 |
| 9088 | Water Quality | | | | | | | |
| PC000392 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | | 14,400 | | | |
| PC000396 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED - EXT CAB | 2004 | | 16,800 | | | |
| PC000405 | FORD | REG CAB 1/2 TON F-150 | 2004 | | 14,400 | | | |
| PC000410 | FORD | SD REG CAB 3/4 TON F-250 UTILITY BODY | 2004 | 24,089 | | | | |
| PC000638 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2005 | | 22,000 | | | |
| PC000647 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | _ | 24,089 | 80,900 | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 9090 | Water Distribution NEW | Trailer mounted vac for Utility Dept. (Meter Readers) | | 26,500 | | | | |
| PC000453 | FORD | F-800 DUMP TRUCK | 1997 | 69,936 | | | | |
| PC000455 PC000180 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2002 | 21,188 | | | | |
| PC000180 PC000390 | FORD | REG CAB 1/2 TON F-150 | 2002 | 21,100 | 14,400 | | | |
| PC000390 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | | 14,400 | | | |
| PC000391 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | | | | | |
| PC000398 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | | 14,400 | | | |
| | | | | | 14,400 | | | |
| PC000413 | FORD | F-550 UTILITY | 2004 | | 26,100 | | | |
| PC000455 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2004 | | 14,400 | 50.000 | | |
| PC000457 | STERLING | STERLING UTILITY | 2004 | | 44.000 | 59,900 | | |
| PC000644 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2005 | | 14,900 | | | |
| PC000653 | FORD | RANGER EXT/CAB | 2005 | | 11,440 | | | |
| PC000668 | FORD | F-250 EXT/CAB UTILITY TRUCK | 2005 | | 23,400 | | | |
| PC000674 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000675 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2005 | 00.050 | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000677 | FORD | F-250 SD EXT/CAB UTILITY TRUCK | 2005 | 29,259 | | | | |
| PC000703 | FORD | F-350 RE CHAS CAB | 2005 | | 25,500 | | | |
| PC001020 | FORD | F250 UTILITY | 2007 | | 29,800 | | | |
| PC001046 | FORD | F250 UTILITY | 2007 | | 25,600 | | | |
| PC001053 | FORD | F250 UTILITY | 2007 | | 29,800 | | | |
| PC001127 | FORD | STERLING DUMP TRUCK | 2007 | | | | | |
| PC001151 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP | 2007 | 21,332 | | | | |
| PC001152 | FORD | RANGER R-106 | 2007 | | 11,700 | | | |
| PC001170 | FORD | RANGER R-106 | 2007 | | 11,700 | | | |
| PC001171 | FORD | RANGER R-106 | 2007 | | 11,700 | | | |
| PC001275 | FORD | F550 PICK UP | 2008 | | | 36,400 | | |
| | John Deere | Excavator | 2004 | | | 126,900 | | |
| | Cat | Mini Excavator | 2006 | | | , | | 49,500 |
| PC000577 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2010 | | | | | 15,300 |
| PC000578 | FORD | F-150 PICK UP - SHORTBED | 2010 | | | | | 15,300 |
| | | | | | | | | , |
| | | | | 160 015 | 220.240 | 222,200 | | 00 100 |

Fleet Management

Fleet Replacement Schedule

| VEH # | MAKE | DESCRIPTION | YEAF | R FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 5511 | Stormwater Operations | | | | | | | |
| PC000642 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP 4X4, V-8 | 2005 | | 18,278 | | | |
| PC000676 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000981 | FORD | FORD F150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2006 | | 16,200 | | | |
| PC000982 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK 4X4 | 2006 | | 16,200 | | | |
| PC001045 | FORD | FORD F250 PICKUP TRUCK | 2007 | | 25,600 | | | |
| PC001137 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK 4X4 | 2007 | | 23,300 | | | |
| 7872 | Replacement | Loader | 2004 | | , | 137,500 | | |
| 6053 | Replacement | 305CR MIN EXCAVATOR | 2006 | 107,075 | | 101,000 | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | | 107,075 | 112,878 | 137,500 | - | - |
| 3505 | Building Permits and In | spections | | | | | | |
| PC000575 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000497 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2003 | | 17,800 | | | |
| PC000643 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 18,600 | | | |
| PC000577 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000580 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | | | | |
| PC000580 PC000631 | FORD | | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| | | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000646 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2005 | | 13,300 | | | |
| PC000983 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2006 | | 11,700 | | | |
| PC000999 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2006 | | 11,700 | | | |
| PC001135 | FORD | FORD F-150 PICKUP TRUCK | 2007 | | 13,600 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | | - | 139,900 | - | - | - |
| 6016 | Golf Course | | | | | | | |
| | New | GREENSMASTER 3150 | 2010 | | | 24,800 | | |
| | New | GREENSMASTER 3150 | 2010 | | | 24,800 | | |
| | New | GREENSMASTER 3150 | 2010 | | | | 24,700 | |
| | New | GREENSMASTER 3150 | 2010 | | | | 24,700 | |
| | New | REELMASTER 5510 | 2010 | | | | | 42,500 |
| | New | REELMASTER 5510 | 2010 | | | | | 42,500 |
| | New | GROUNDSMASTER 3500 | 2010 | | | | | 30,600 |
| | New | GROUNDSMASTER 4500 | 2010 | | | | | 49,900 |
| | New | MULTI PRO 1250 | 2010 | | | | | 24,600 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | New | Workman HDX | 2010 | | | | | 24,100 |
| SUB TOTAL | | | | - | - | 49,600 | 49,400 | 214,200 |
| 2525 | Information Technology | / & Communications | | | | | | |
| PC000987 | FORD | FORD ESCAPE | 2006 | | 16,400 | | | |
| PC001153 | FORD | FORD EXPLORER | 2007 | | 20,000 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | | - | 36,400 | - | - | - |
| 0071 | Fleet Management | | | | | | | |
| PC001029 PC001040 | FORD FORD | FORD F-550 FORD CRANE TRUCK FORD F-350 FORD PICKUP | 2005 2005 | | 50,000 32,600 | | | |
| SUB TOTAL | | | | | 82,600 | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | GRAND TOTAL OF ALL | VEHICLES | 263 | 1,725,890 | 4,423,522 | 796,700 | 342,400 | 830,600 |
| | | | | | | | | |



This page intentionally left blank



WORKFORCE Talent

Find Your Florida



This page intentionally left blank

Goal 6, Workforce Talent, communicates our City Council's desire to develop and implement a comprehensive plan to improve City employee skills and performance through education and training, performance management and personal development opportunities. In this section of the budget book, we provide details as to how our management team has begun implementing objectives and strategies to achieve this goal in an effort to improve individual skills and talent that exist within our workforce.

| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Administration | Community Development | Engineering & Stormwater | | | IT&C Darks and Portroation | Public Works |
|--|--|---|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| | To develop a program to | Short-Term | | A | proa | ch As | signn | nents | |
| | To develop a program to improve staff retention and recognize individual skills and talents | Establish a program to identify individual skills and foster improvement of professional skills; assess pay rates and benefits; develop an Employee Motivation and Reward Program | | | | | | | |
| Goal 6: Workforce Talent To develop and implement a comprehensive plan to improve City employee skills and performance through education and training; performance management; and personal development | To develop in-house and identify external training opportunities for employees | Create a comprehensive training program; assess staff positions, training, certification, public policy needs that benefit the Organization and the Community | | | i | | | | |
| | To ehance awareness of customer service relationships with our citizens | Establish a program that solicits customer feedback and ensure follow-up consultation; develop a reach-out initiative to enhance community awareness of City services; create a customer service element to City-wide training program | | | | | | | |
| opportunities | To coordinate with regional public and private educational institutions to identify patnership opportunities which benefit both parties | Seek and solicit student intership opportunities; enhance faculty relationships and partnership opportunities; asssist with curriculum development to develop future employees | | | | | | | |



Teams

(continued)

Objective

Increase efficiency through enhanced operations and technological advancements

Enhance safety measures throughout the community

Evalute current "Green" initiatives and targeted projects that are sustainable

Diversify our revenue sources

To assess the need to expand infrastructure for sustainable growth

To evaluate the evolution of City of Palm Coast Recreation and Parks Facilities Master Plan projects

To enhance infrastructure in order to maintain quality neighborhoods and business districts

To coordinate with regional public and private educational institutions to identify patnership opportunities which

benefit both parties To develop programs to enhance our water conservation

strategies

To develop an evaluation matrix to assess the implementation of Prosperity 2021

Enhance community and visitors' recreational opportunities and experiences at community events

Seek partnerships with educational institutions to expand community educational and cultural opportunities

To develop a "branding and marketing strategy" and establish criteria to measure success

To promote the Palm Coast Business Assistance Center (BAC) as the destination center for small business training and support in Flagler County

To develop in-house and identify external training opportunities for employees

To develop a program to improve staff retention and recognize individual skills and talents

To ehance awareness of customer service relationships with our citizens

Protect the environment through appropriate development strategies

Strategy

Review operational procedures and policies; evalute technological opportunities; continue and enhance volunteer opportunities; seek in-house alternative to external services

Identify enhancements to reduce hazards in parks; enhance safety improvements at intersections and along roadways; seek partnerships to educate public; improve ISO standards; target natural hazard mitigation opportunities; Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan training

Reduce waste; staying in front of sustainable communities in the State of Florida; develop Alternative Energy Strategy; implement City-wide energy savings program

Evaluate and target diversification of funding sources; seek private/public partnerships

Maintain an inventory of infrastructure condition and priority rating; coordinate facility capacity upgrades; keeping older neighborhoods attractive and relevant

Develop a phased approach to financing and constructing Long Creek Nature Preserve concept; build bicycle/pedestrian connections; identify user experiences through technological and innovative design enhancements

Projects targeted as highest priority for replacement shall be evaluated for potential upgrade or enhancement

Seek and solicit student intership opportunities; enhance faculty relationships and partnership opportunities; asssist with curriculum development to develop future employees Utilize nature's water supply resources effectively for water supply; target expansion of reclaimed water

Inventory progress to date

Identify fun and interactive elements into community events; promote the variety of local leisure and recreational activities

Share resources between organiziation to broaden experiences; expand outreach opportunities to identify and network resources

Expand use of "Find Your Florida" brand; campaign City's economic strengths and opportunities; support event activities with positive economic impact

Develop a branding strategy, create programs to assist small business; develop education programs to reacher broader participation in Flagler County and across Florida; strengthen BAC partner effort; increase Private sector financial support for BAC

Create a comprehensive training program; assess staff positions, training, certification, public policy needs that benefit the Organization and the Community

Establish a program to identify individual skills and foster improvement of professional skills; assess pay rates and benefits; develop an Employee Motivation and Reward Program

Establish a program that solicits customer feedback and ensure follow-up consultation; develop a reach-out initiative to enhance community awareness of City services; create a customer service element to City-wide training program

Protect natural green spaces

Vision: To be recognized as one of Florida's premier cities in which to live, work and play

Leadership Intern Training Experience (LITE) Program

In January 2012, the City of Palm Coast City Manager implemented a management development program for professional employees interested in developing their skills while taking challenges beyond primary roles and expertise.

Through the Leadership Intern Training Experience (LITE) team concept, employees are provided the opportunity to work directly with the City Manager and other members of top management. The concept dually serves the City of Palm Coast City Council Goal 6 for Workforce Talent with the implementation of Approaches (projects) through the following Objectives and Strategies.

- ⇒ Objective 6.1: To develop a program to improve staff retention and recognize individual skills and talents
- ⇒ Strategy 6.1.1: A program to identify individual skills and foster improvement of professional skills and talents
- ⇒ Strategy 6.1.3: Develop an Employee Motivation and Reward Program
- ⇒ Objective 6.2: To develop in-house and identify external training opportunities for employees
- ⇒ Strategy 6.2.1: Create a comprehensive training program

Program Objectives

To address City needs for executive leadership and to develop additional capacity within the City organization to provide executive leadership for projects and issues not normally accommodated/ accomplished as part of the routine functions of the City organization. The program is also intended to provide growth opportunities for professional employees in developing their skills and tackling challenges.

(continued)

Through this unique management style, the City Manager recruited employees to participate in two (2) capacities.

Team Leaders – Oversee individual team members assigned with specific goals and tasked with specific work products.

Team Members – All employees were provided an opportunity to participate in each team.

Requirements for Team Leader Eligibility:

- Minimum of one-year as full-time employee in current position
- Exempt Employee Status / Salary Employment
- Desired Skill Levels for Team Leaders
- Managers, Supervisors
- Professionals with academic achievements and professional licenses/certifications
- Top Performers
- Highly self-motivated individual who demonstrates a desire to advance

During the Fiscal Years of 2011-2012, the following seven (7) LITE Teams were established.

- 5-year Performance Report including City Council Goals and Objectives, and Citizen Survey (Team Turtle)
- High Performance Innovative City Culture, Standards & Measures (High Maintenance Team)
- 3. Employee Academy Training Team (EAT Team)
- 4. Employee Motivation, Recognition and Retention (BAM Team)
- 5. ¹/₂ Cent Surtax Campaign (Infrastructure Team)
- 6. Flagler Agricultural Museum (FAM)
- 7. City Hall Feasibility (Team Hobo)

5-year Performance Report including City Council Goals and Objectives, and Citizen Survey (Team Turtle)

The "Five-year Performance Report including City Council Goals & Objectives and Citizen Survey" project was assigned to Team Turtle; the team was tasked with being the steward of the Visioning process for the City of Palm Coast City Council. Primary focus of this project was to bridge a closer relationship of implementing the City's Vision with the budget process and citizen survey data.

The team consists of representative(s) from each of the seven (7) Departments with select cross-representation from other LITE Teams that are relevant to the project's objectives. The "Performance Measures Team" and "Employee Academy Training Team" Leaders were also appointed to Team Turtle to share knowledge and experience of their respective teams. By establishing these kev stakeholders. team participants conveyed unique knowledge from all aspects of City This dually served as a strong operations. foundation for establishing a clearinghouse of information for the Five-Year Performance Report and Goal implementation strategies. In past years, City representatives secured consulting firm services to assist staff with this annual process. It was the direction of City Administration to utilize in-house talent to establish a new approach to the process and product format.

The Team established a work plan to guide the development and implementation of project deliverables. Sub-teams were also utilized to

(continued)

ensure that assignment(s) were working concurrently to enhance efficiency and team participation.

Through highly motivated and innovative team members, the following accomplishments have been completed to date.

- Evaluation of City-wide accomplishments from 2007 through 2012
- Five-year Progress Report
- 2012 Annual City of Palm Coast Citizen Survey
- Project Calendar to streamline process in future years
- City Council Goal Setting Exercise including Council Member interviews and workshop(s)
- Department Survey(s) to evaluate current and future project proposals to support Council's Vision through Goal implementation
- 2012 Strategic Action Plan including City of Palm Coast Mission and Values for City Operations and Long-term Vision with supporting six (6) Goals, Objectives, Strategies, and Approaches
- Team participants have gained knowledge and respect of the City Visioning process and overall City Operations.

High Performance Innovative City – Culture, Standards & Measures (High Maintenance Team)

The work of the Performance Measure Team is to review the past performance measures that has been established for all departments and associated divisions in the last 10 years. Each team member was orientated to all the measures and then meetings were established with the Team and each Division. All divisions were told three things:

- 1. Evaluate at all the measures and see which measures are part of you daily work habits
- 2. If the measures do not meet what your work, the measure may be discarded
- 3. If there is something that you do and we do not track it, we have the ability to create the measurement according to how the work is performed and calculated?

The measures have been tabulated and assigned to the City Council Goals, Objectives, Strategies and lastly through Approaches (implementing projects) that were tabulated from Team Turtle. The ultimate result from the performance measures team is to have the measures reflect the intent and meaning of the goals set forth by the council. The measures must also have a way to track the progress of the department heads and supervisors for yearly evaluations. Finally, a standardized report should be able to read clearly to the progress of each division for ease of reference for City Council and the City Manager.

Presently, a database is being created in-house to manage the information. Once the data base is created and populated, departments will be able to input the weekly/monthly statics.

Employee Academy Training Team (EAT Team)

(continued)

implementing a comprehensive training program for all employees of the City. During the Strategic Action Plan process of the Strategic Action Plan Team it became evident that a comprehensive training program for the entire City was necessary. By approving Goal 6 "Work Force Talent", City Council emphasized this need and established the objective of the EAT team. The Performance Measure Team put together clearly defined measurements in order to evaluate the progress of the training objective. The EAT Team was formed with a member from each of the Departments along with representatives from other associated LITE teams. Generally subteams are formed to complete specific tasks and therefore utilize a time-management strategy for team members. The EAT Team has three primary focuses: A Comprehensive Training Program, Employee Academy, and Mid-Level Management Training Program.

Comprehensive Training Program – The objective is to establish and maintain an ongoing comprehensive training program to offer professional growth and development, mentorship, relationship building, enhanced communication, improved personnel resources, and to enhance employee retention and The program will also include an recruitment. all-encompassing computerized system that will schedule and retain City in-house and external training courses as well as track individual employee training and certifications. The computer training module will be a City wide tool to effectively monitor and mentor professional growth and development of employees. Two sub-teams were formed, one to create and distribute departmental and employee surveys and the other to put together an Excel training inventory matrix from the survey information in addition to meetings held with each Department . The training inventory matrix will assist with the setup of the computer training module as well as track individual employee training and certifications. The computer training module will be a City wide tool to effectively monitor and

The Eat Team's first objective is developing and

mentor professional growth and development of employees. Two sub-teams were formed, one to create and distribute departmental and employee surveys and the other to put together an Excel training inventory matrix from the survey information in addition to meetings held with each Department. The training inventory matrix will assist with the setup of the computer training module.

Employee Academy – The objective is to create and implement a training program that will be similar to the City's Citizen's Academy but specifically focused towards employees. The intent of this program is to introduce and familiarize employees with each Department's environment, functions and roles. The program will be designed to emphasize how all the components of each Department work together and are relevant towards City goals and The program will be initially objectives. designed for every employee to participate and adjusted for new hires in the future. It is intended for the Employee Academy to be an ongoing, impactful program broaden to employee knowledge about the City they work for.

Mid-Level Management Training - The objective is to create an on-going training program for mid-level management staff that will equip them with a better means of interdepartmental communication and provide tools for better management practices. The training program will provide a means for professional growth development, management networking, and innovated and updating with new and management strategies and styles.

(continued)

EAT Team accomplishments to date:

- May 2012 EAT Team Kick-off meeting. Meetings are generally held weekly.
- July 2012 Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan Workshop. All day hands
 -on workshop with approximately 120 assigned emergency operation employees in attendance.
- June, July, and September 2012 Payroll Training and computer system processing for all personnel involved with payroll operations.
- September 2012 Distributed a management and supervisory questionnaire and an employee survey in an effort to acquire training needs inventory.
- September 2012 to present Training Matrix

 Organize training needs into a matrix that
 will be used for the computer training
 module set-up. The matrix Sub-team held
 meetings with each department for data
 input into the Matrix along with using the
 questionnaire and survey information.


Workforce Talent

Employee Motivation, Recognition and Retention (BAM Team)

With the knowledge that a motivated employee is a productive employee the Employee Motivation, Recognition and Retention team (BAM Team) was tasked with identifying, developing and implementing programs that would motivate City employees. Early on the team was faced with guestions such as: How do we keep our employees motivated? How do we show them that their work is valued? To find the answer to these questions a survey was sent to all employees asking them to rank in terms of importance the following motivators: Incentive\Rewards, Promotion. Recognition, Relationship with Colleagues, Responsibility and Work Environment. Armed with the survey's results the team set out to create programs that would make employees feel motivated, recognized and valued.

The following accomplishments have been completed to date:

- Employee Survey gives us insight into what is important to City employees
- Public Employee Recognition Week Recognize employees for their great work. This took place on the first week of May 2012. Different activities were created to show employee appreciation such as: video presentation to City Council and also broadcasted to all employees through the Internet with employees being thanked by the City Manager; and breakfast, and different snacks throughout the week.
- Service Awards in previous years a luncheon and a pin was given to all employees who have been with the city for 5 years or more, in 5 years increments (5, 10, 15,

(continued)

etc.). This year it has been approved to award employees with a \$10.00 monetary award for each years of service. For example, if an employee has been here 5 years, besides the luncheon and a pin they will receive a \$50.00 monetary award; if they have been here for 10 years, they will receive a \$100.00 monetary award, etc.

Other things are being done throughout the City to motivate our employees by other Teams. The Wellness team has been working on keeping us healthy and making us happy while doing it with the punch card and other programs like Weight Watchers. The EAT Team is creating a comprehensive training program for employees that will help them do their job more efficiently which in turn will make both the employees and citizens happy. The High Maintenance Team is working on standardizing performance measures, which will help employees know exactly what is expected of them, in turn making them more efficient and happy. Although those programs are not being created by the BAM Team it shows that we are all working for the same cause: create a motivated work environment that will make our employees happy and productive and which in turn will make our City work smoothly and our citizens happy.

½CentSurtaxCampaign(Infrastructure Team)

The Infrastructure Team is composed of staff members from various City departments. The Team is responsible for analyzing and providing recommendations on a variety of infrastructure and capital project related issues such as financing, planning and design, priorities,

Workforce Talent

This past year, the Team was tasked with coordinating the City's response to a variety of issues such as the renewal of the ½ cent Infrastructure Sales Tax, developing potential alternative funding sources for stormwater capital projects through the creation of a franchise fee for electric service or public service tax, as well as preparing a report on the implications of an impact fee moratorium on the City's capital improvements program.

On-going tasks include:

- ⇒ Development of a 10-year Capital Improvement Plan, as well as developing recommendations for alternative financing methods for capital projects.
- ⇒ Coordination of tasks related to the City's eventual membership in the Volusia Transportation Planning Organization (VTPO).
- ⇒ Design and construction of the Seminole Woods multi-purpose trail.
- ⇒ Working with property owners to provide an alternate proposal/modification for the Old Kings Road Assessment.

Flagler Agricultural Museum (FAM)

The Agricultural Museum was located in the Doyle Center in Tallahassee and was moved to Flagler County in 1992, since it is known for its strong agricultural ties. The Museum is small but it is growing. The Museum has several buildings that depict life from the early settler or "Cracker" days in Florida. The Museum has a dedicated group of individuals who wish to authentically depict life in early Florida to its visitors.

(continued)

The City understands it is a valuable resource to the community. The City of Palm Coast put together a team of employees from different Departments to assist the Museum to reach their goals. Working together, the team streamlined the application processes for the building and Special Event permitting, evaluated and made recommendations for the upgrades of the existing buildings to current health regulations, and safety created identification badges for volunteers, assisted with security/background checks for volunteers, created a draft of a Master Development Plan (MDP) based on the Museum's recommendations/design and evaluated the Caldwell Diary Barn for possible upgrade to an Assembly building so it can be used for multiple purposes. The City believes the Museum can become a major regional tourist destination."

City Hall (Team Hobo)

The general objective for the City Hall Team is to formulate a strategy and a Request for Proposal (RFP) to select prospective Private Developers or Non-Profits, who can demonstrate the necessary capability to "Design-build-finance", a new City Hall Building and thereafter lease with option to purchase back to the City of Palm Coast.

The City currently employs a full-time staff of approximately 334. Staff are located in various facilities throughout the City. City functions take place in 5 different locations and currently the primary city functions, excluding Public Work, Parks & Recreation, and Fire Department, are housed in a leased office building complex

Workforce Talent

In 2005, a bond referendum in the amount of \$22,000,000.00 was voted down by the citizens of Palm Coast for a 75,000 sq. sf. City Hall. Since then, City staff has explored the options of purchasing the current leased office building or some other building, or constructing a new facility at the City owned property in Town Center at Palm Coast.

The City has been approached by several private developers interested in assisting the City with options on proceeding with constructing & leasing a building for a City Hall. City Council has directed City Staff to solicit a Private Developer/Non-Profit to design and build with an option to lease back to the City of Palm Coast a New City Hall at Town Center.

The intent of the Project is to move all staff into the leased facility, as well as a portion of staff from other locations, to a new Primary Building on property owned by the City in Town Center at Palm Coast.

Some of the goals for the lease of City Hall project shall include:

- ⇒ Providing a City Facility that will improve communication, provide a user-friendly experience, and improve daily operations between City staff and City residents.
- ⇒ Developing a facility which becomes a public focal point and contributes to the civic identity of the City of Palm Coast.
- ⇒ Providing an environmentally friendly building. The City recognizes that effective environmental stewardship includes high

(continued)

performance development as a means to balance the expansion of the City and preservation of quality of life for employees and citizens. With this in mind, the City intends to have City Hall exhibit elements of conserving materials, energy, water and other natural resources.



Back Cover



Awards and Special Recognition

Find Your Florida



This page intentionally left blank

Awards and Special Recognition



The National Arbor Day Foundation, in cooperation with the U.S. Forest Service and the National Association of State Foresters, annually recognizes communities that effectively manage their public tree resources. Palm Coast has earned the Tree City USA recognition each year since 2005.

The Tree City USA Growth Award is provided by The Arbor Day Foundation, in cooperation with the National Association of State Foresters and the USDA Forest Service, to recognize environmental improvement and encourage higher levels of tree care throughout America. This award is designed not only to recognize achievement, but also to communicate new ideas and help the leaders of all Tree City USAs plan for improving community tree care. Palm Coast has earned the Growth Award every year since 2006.





The City of Palm Coast's water treatment facilities were selected by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) to receive the 2012 Plant Operations Excellence Award. The award as presented to City staff by Mark Thomasson, Director of Water Resources in Tallahassee. The Water Operations Division has been recognized by the FDEP for demonstrating a special commitment to excellence in water treatment operations and maintenance through dedicated professionalism. water.

Water Treatment Plant #3 was the recipient of the 2012 Conservation Award for environmental achievements. Sponsored by the Florida Section of the American Water Works Association, the awards program recognizes The City of Palm Coast Public Works/Utility Division for using innovative and environmentally sustainable practices. The award was granted to the City for recovering approximately 9 million gallons of concentrate per month and treating it to drinking water standards. These are all gallons that otherwise would have been wasted and disposed.



Awards and Special Recognition



Information Technology & Communciations Department 2012 Awards

 \Rightarrow Bronze Telly Award

"Find Your Florida" (Non-broadcast production/Direct Marketing)

⇒ Bronze Telly Award
"Find Your Florida" (Branded Content/Promotional Branding)
⇒ Silver Communicator Award of Distinction

"Find Your Florida" (Promotional Branding)

- GFOA Certificate of Achievement in Financial Reporting (11 consecutive years)
- GFOA Distinguished Budget Presentation Award (9 consecutive years)





Regional Award for Excellence in Environmental Stewardship Accepted by Ms. Denise Bevans on behalf of the City of Palm Coast Solid Waste & Recycling Program

Award for Excellence in Environmental Stewardship

The City of Palm Coast was honored with the 2012 Regional Award for Excellence in Environmental Stewardship by the Northeast Florida Regional Council at the Annual Elected Officials & Regional Leaders Reception. Upon receiving the prestigious award, the City was recognized for its innovative and aggressive recycling incentive program along with other long-term sustainable initiatives.

The Wastewater Treatment Plant has been selected to receive a Safety Award from *The Florida Water Pollution Control Operators Association* (FWPCOA) for 2011 Safety Program.



GLOSSARY AND Acronyms

Find Your Florida



This page intentionally left blank

AD VALOREM - "In proportion to the value".

AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX - Real estate and personal property taxes. The taxes are assessed on a portion of the value of the property. Local governments set the levy.

AGGREGATE MILLAGE RATE - The sum of all property tax levies imposed by the governing body. State law limits the aggregate rate for a county or municipality to \$10 per \$1,000 worth of assessed taxable value.

APPROPRIATION - An authorization granted by a legislative body to make expenditures and to incur obligations for specific purposes. An appropriation is limited to the amount of time when it may be expended.

ASSESSMENT (ASSESSED VALUE) - The value for tax purposes determined by the property appraiser for a given piece of real or personal property.

ASSET - Property owned by the City, which has monetary value.

BUDGET - A statement of the financial position of a sovereign body for a definite period of time based on estimates of expenditures during this period and proposals to finance them. The amount of money that is available for, required for, or assigned to a particular purpose. BUDGET CALENDAR - The schedule of key dates or milestones, which the City follows in the preparation and adoption of the budgets.

BUDGETARY CONTROL - The control or management of a governmental unit or enterprise in accordance with an approved budget for the purpose of keeping expenditures within the limitations of available appropriations and available revenues.

BUDGET DOCUMENT - The official written statement prepared by the budget office and supporting staff, which presents the proposed budget to the City Council.

CAPITAL BUDGET - A plan of proposed capital expenditures and the means of financing them. The capital budget is enacted as part of the City's consolidated budget, which includes both operating and capital outlays. The capital budget should be based on a capital improvement program (CIP).

CAPITAL EQUIPMENT - Equipment with a value in excess of \$5,000 and an expected life of more than 1 years such as automobiles, equipment and furniture. This equipment is budgeted for in the Operating Budget.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS - Physical assets, constructed or purchased, that have a minimum useful life of 3 years and a minimum cost of \$10,000.

(continued)

CAPITAL OUTLAY - The cost of acquiring land, buildings, equipment, furnishings, etc.

CAPITAL PROJECTS - Have long range returns, useful life spans, are relatively expensive, and have physical presence such as buildings, roads, sewage systems, water systems, etc.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM - A comprehensive five (5) year plan of capital projects which identifies priorities as to need, method of financing and cost and revenue that will result during the five (5) years. The program is a guide for identifying current and future fiscal year requirements and becomes the basis for determining the Annual Capital Budget.

CONSTRUCTION FUNDS - Established to account for all resources, principally bond proceeds and construction grants, which are used for the acquisition of capital facilities and projects.

CONTINGENCY - An appropriation of funds to cover unforeseen events that occur during the fiscal year.

DEBT SERVICE - The expense of retiring such debts as loans and bond issues.

DEBT SERVICE FUND - The funds created to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, general long-term debt principal and interest. DEPARTMENT - An organizational unit responsible for carrying out a major governmental function, such as Police or Public Works.

DEPRECIATION - The periodic expiration of an asset's useful life. Depreciation is a requirement in proprietary-type funds, such as enterprise and internal service funds. It is not used in any other fund. Depreciation is a noncash expense and while it is recorded in the annual financial statements, it is not budgeted.

ENTERPRISE FUND - The funds established to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises, where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

ESTIMATED REVENUES - Projections of funds to be received during the fiscal year.

EXEMPTION - Amounts that state law determines should be deducted from the assessed value of property for tax purposes. Tax rates are applied to the balance, which is called the non-exempt portion of the assessment. Some of these exemptions include homestead, agricultural, widows, and disability.

EXPENDITURES - The cost of goods delivered or services rendered including operating expenses, capital outlays and debt service.

FINAL MILLAGE - The tax rate adopted in the second public hearing of a taxing agency.

FISCAL YEAR - The twelve-month period to which the Annual Budget applies. The City's fiscal year begins October 1st and ends September 30th.

FIXED ASSETS - Assets of long-term character which are intended to continue to be held or used, such as land, buildings, machinery, furniture and other equipment.

FRANCHISE FEES - Fees levied on a corporation in return for granting privilege, sanctioning a monopoly, or permitting the use of public property, usually subject to regulation.

FULL FAITH AND CREDIT - A pledge of the general taxing power of a government to repay debt obligations (typically used in reference to bonds).

FUNCTION - A major class or grouping of activities directed toward a common goal such as public safety. For the purposes utilized in budgetary analysis, the categories have been established by the State of Florida and financial reports must be grouped according to those established functions.

FUND - An accounting entity that has a set of

self-balancing accounts and that records all financial transactions or specific activities or government functions. Eight commonly used funds in public accounting are: General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, Debt Service Funds, Capital Project Funds, Enterprise Funds, Trust and Agency Funds, Internal Service Funds and Special Assessment Funds.

FUND BALANCE (EQUITY) - Fund equity for governmental funds and trust funds, which reflect the accumulated excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures and other uses for general governmental functions.

GENERAL FUND - The largest fund within the City, the General Fund accounts for most of the financial resources of the government. General Fund revenues include property taxes, licenses and permits, local taxes, service charges and other types of revenue. This fund usually includes most of the basic operating services, such as fire and police protection, finance, parks and recreation, public works and general administration.

GENERAL OBLIGATIONS BONDS - Bonds that finance a variety of public projects such as streets, buildings and improvements. The repayment of these bonds is usually made from the General Fund, and these bonds are backed by the full faith and credit of the issuing government.

IMPACT FEES - A connection's contribution toward its equitable share of the cost of capital improvements required to serve new 279 customers.

(continued)

(continued)

INDIRECT COSTS - Costs associated with, but not directly attributable to, providing a product or service. These are usually costs incurred by other departments in the support of operating departments.

INFRASTRUCTURE - Facilities on which the continuance and growth of a community depend on such as roads, waterlines, etc.

INTERFUND TRANSFERS - Transfers among funds. These are utilized to track items for management purposes. They represent a "double counting" and, therefore are subtracted when computing a "net" operating budget.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - Funds received from Federal, State and other Local Government sources in the form of grants, shared revenues and payments in lieu of taxes.

INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS - The funds established for the financing of goods or services provided by one department to other departments within the City on a cost reimbursement basis. Examples are the Data Processing Fund and the Insurance Fund.

JUST VALUE - Value of a piece of property as determined by the property appraiser, before reductions for legislatively imposed restrictions on valuation increases or other limitations.

MILL - A ratio of one (1) to one thousand (1,000).

MILLAGE RATE - For property tax purposes, a rate established per \$1,000 of assessed taxable value. A property tax millage rate of 3.5 mills for example, would mean property with a taxable value of \$80,000 would pay \$280 in property taxes.

OPERATING EXPENSES - These are the expenses of day-to-day operations and exclude personal services and capital costs.

PERSONAL PROPERTY - Livestock, commercial equipment and furnishings, attachments to mobile homes, railroad cars, and similar possessions that are taxable under State law.

PERSONAL SERVICES - Costs related to compensating employees, including salaries, wages and benefit costs.

PROPOSED MILLAGE - The tax rate certified to the property appraiser by each taxing agency within a county. Proposed millage is to be sent to the appraiser within 35 days after a county's tax roll is certified by the State Department of Revenue and is listed on notices sent to property owners. No taxing agency may approve a levy that is larger than the one it originally proposed.

REAL PROPERTY - Land and the buildings and other structures attached to it that are taxable under state law

(continued)

REVENUE - Additions to assets which:

a. Do not increase any liability.

b. Do not represent the recovery of an expenditure.

c. Do not represent the cancellation of certain liabilities or decrease assets.

d. Do not represent contributions of fund capital in enterprise and internal service funds.

REVENUE ESTIMATE - A formal estimate of how much revenue will be earned from a specific revenue source for some future period; typically, a future fiscal year.

ROLLBACK RATE - The millage necessary to raise the same amount of Advalorem Tax Revenue as the previous year, excluding taxes from new construction.

STATE REVENUE SHARING - Allocations to municipalities from a trust fund primarily supported by State cigarette and motor fuel taxes.

TAX INCREMENT DISTRICT - An area that has been declared "blighted" and is eligible to use tax increment financing to aid in redevelopment.

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING (TIF) - Property tax revenue generated by the tax on increases in property value above the base value at the time a tax increment district is established. This revenue is used to fund projects in the district. TAX BASE - The total property valuations on which each taxing agency levies its tax rates.

TAX ROLL - The certification of assessed/ taxable values prepared by the Property Appraiser and presented to the taxing authority by July 1 of each year.

TENTATIVE MILLAGE - The tax rate adopted at the first public hearing of a taxing agency. Under State law, the agency may reduce, but not increase, the tentative millage during the second budget hearing.

TRUTH IN MILLAGE (TRIM) - State law establishing mandatory procedures, including advertising requirements and the holding of public hearings, for adoption of budgets and tax rates.

USER FEE - Charges for specific services rendered only to those paying such charges as, for example, sewer service charges.

UTILITY TAXES - Municipal charges levied by the City in each and every purchase of a public service within the corporate limits of the City. Public service is electricity, gas, fuel oil, water, and telephone service.

VOTED MILLAGE - Property tax levies authorized by voters within a taxing agency. Bond issues that are backed by property taxes are a common form of voted millage in the State. Such issues are called general obligation bonds.

Acronyms

CIP (Capital Improvement Program) - A comprehensive five (5) year plan of capital projects which identifies priorities as to need, method of financing and cost and revenue that will result during the five (5) years. The program is a guide for identifying current and future fiscal year requirements and becomes the basis for determining the Annual Capital Budget.

CPI (Consumer Price Index) - This is a measure of the average change over time in the prices paid by urban consumers for a market basket of consumer goods and services.

FTE (Full-time equivalent employees) -This is calculated by taking the total number of work-hours divided by the standard workweek (usually 40 hours). It is used for ease of comparison; i.e. two part-time people working 20 hours per week equal one FTE. ISO (Insurance Services Office, Inc.) – ISO is an independent statistical, rating, and advisory organization that serves the property and casualty insurance industry.

TRIM (Truth in Millage) - State law establishing mandatory procedures, including advertising requirements and the holding of public hearings, for adoption of budgets and tax rates.



Back Cover