

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR
FLAGLER COUNTY, FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA

VS.

KRISTOPHER HENRIKSON

CASE NUMBER: 25-00152 CF

MOTION TO HAVE THE DNA
ANALYST'S REPORT REWRITTEN

SUBMITTED BY:

KRISTOPHER HENRIKSON

FLAGLER COUNTY DETENTION CENTER

MOTION TO HAVE THE DNA ANALYST'S REPORT REWRITTEN:

THE DEFENDENT, KRISTOPHER HENRIQSON, WHILE REPRESENTING HIMSELF PRO-SE, REQUESTS THAT THE COURT DIRECT THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT TO REWRITE THE ANALYST'S DNA REPORT (FDLE LABORATORY REPORT NUMBER 20250400911, MAY 19, 2025). THE REPORT WAS WORDED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO MAKE IT APPEAR THAT "SPERM CELL DNA" HAD BEEN FOUND ON THE VICTIM'S ABDOMEN. WHILE THE DEFENDENT'S DNA HAD BEEN FOUND ON THE VICTIM'S ABDOMEN, THAT DNA HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH SPERM CELLS. THE VICTIM HAD CLAIMED THAT THE DEFENDENT HAD EJACULATED ON THE VICTIM'S ABDOMEN. IT WOULD BE EXPECTED THAT THE DEFENDENT'S DNA WOULD BE FOUND IN MULTIPLE LOCATIONS ON THE VICTIM'S TORSO, INCLUDING HER ARMS, SHOULDERS, HANDS AND THE LIKE, AS THE VICTIM AND THE DEFENDENT OFTEN HUGGED, AND THE VICTIM OFTEN WORE THE DEFENDENT'S CLOTHES. IN FACT, SHE IS WEARING THE DEFENDENT'S SWEATPANTS WHEN THESE SAMPLES WERE TAKEN, AS CAN BE EVIDENCED IN HER CPT INTERVIEW VIDEO.

WHILE MULTIPLE DNA SAMPLES WERE TAKEN FROM THE VICTIM, INCLUDING THE VAGINA, ALONG WITH EXTERNAL GENITAL SWABS, THE ONLY SAMPLE THAT TESTED POSITIVE FOR THE DEFENDENT'S DNA WAS THE ONE TAKEN FROM THE VICTIM'S ABDOMEN. THE VICTIM REPORTED THAT SHE HAD NOT SHOWERED FROM THE TIME THAT SHE HAD BEEN RAPED TO WHEN THE DNA SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED THE NEXT DAY.

IN THE DNA ANALYST'S REPORT, "ITEM 1.5 - CHEST/ABDOMEN SWABS" WAS SPLIT INTO TWO PARTS. THE FIRST PART WAS THEN LABELLED "ITEM 1.5 (EPITHELIAL CELL FRACTION)" AND THE SECOND PART WAS LABELLED "ITEM 1.5 (SPERM CELL FRACTION)". HOWEVER, THESE TWO FRACTIONS, WHILE NOW BEING LABELLED DIFFERENTLY, WERE STILL ONE AND THE SAME SAMPLE.

THE ANALYST THEN STATED THAT "DNA RESULTS FOREIGN TO [THE VICTIM] WERE OBTAINED FROM ITEM 1.5 (EPITHELIAL CELL FRACTION). ^{DUE TO THE} LIMITED NATURE OF THESE RESULTS, THIS DATA IS NOT INTERPRETABLE."

THEN THE REPORT CONTINUES "A PARTIAL DNA PROFILE CONSISTANT WITH A MALE CONTRIBUTOR WAS OBTAINED FROM 1.5 (SPERM CELL FRACTION). THIS DNA PROFILE MATCHES THE DNA PROFILE FROM KRISTOPHER HENRIKSON."

AGAIN, THESE TWO "FRACTIONS" COME FROM ONE AND THE SAME SAMPLE. SO, HOW IS IT POSSIBLE THAT THE DEFENDENT'S DNA WAS POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED IN THE SUB-SAMPLE LABELLED "SPERM CELL FRACTION," BUT NOT IDENTIFIED IN THE SUB-SAMPLE LABELLED "EPITHELIAL FRACTION"?

AT SOME POINT IN THE PENDING TRIAL, THE DEFENDENT ANTICIPATES THAT THE STATE WILL ADDRESS THE JURY ^{HOLD UP} THIS ANALYST'S REPORT FOR THE JURY TO SEE, REMIND THE JURY THAT THE VICTIM HAD CLAIMED THAT THE DEFENDENT HAD EJACULATED ONTO HER ABDOMEN, AND THEN READ DIRECTLY FROM THE DNA REPORT THAT: "FROM ITEM 1.5- THE CHEST/ABDOMEN SWABS, IT STATES THAT, AND I QUOTE, A PARTIAL ^{FOREIGN} DNA PROFILE CONSISTENT WITH A MALE CONTRIBUTOR WAS OBTAINED FROM ITEM 1.5 (SPERM CELL FRACTION). THIS DNA PROFILE MATCHES THE DNA PROFILE FROM KRISTOPHER HENRIKSON."

GIVEN THE DEFENDENT'S ANTICIPATION NOTED ABOVE, THE DEFENDENT BELIEVES THAT THIS REPORT IS PREJUDICIAL TO THE DEFENDENT, AND MUST BE REWRITTEN. THE DEFENDENT, ^{REQUESTS} THAT THE COURT DIRECT THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT TO DO THIS.

IN ADDITION, THE DEFENDENT NOTES THE FOLLOWING: (1) THE STATE HAS STATED TO THE COURT THAT IT HAS DNA EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE, WHICH AT BEST IS MISLEADING. (2) THE DEFENDENT'S FORMER PUBLIC DEFENDER, MR. SPENCER O'NEAL, HAD INFORMED THE DEFENDENT THAT THIS DNA EVIDENCE WAS A SERIOUS MATTER, AND THAT THEY WOULD NEED TO FIGURE OUT HOW THE VICTIM WAS ABLE TO GET THE DEFENDENT'S SEMEN ONTO HER CHEST.

MR. O'NEAL KNEW, OR HAD AN OBLIGATION TO KNOW, THAT THE DEFENDENT'S SPERM HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE ANALYST'S TEST RESULTS.

FINALLY, THE ANALYST DID INCLUDE A DISCLAIMER AT THE END OF THE REPORT THAT STATES: "THE PRESENCE OF DNA IN THE "SPERM CELL FRACTION" DOES NOT CONFIRM THE PRESENCE OF SEMINAL MATERIAL OR THE TYPE OF CELLS FROM WHICH THE DNA ORIGINATED." CLEARLY, THE ANALYST UNDERSTOOD HOW MISLEADING HER PREVIOUS STATEMENTS WERE.

SEPARATELY, THE DEFENDENT WILL WRITE A STRONG LETTER OF PROTEST TO THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT ON THIS MATTER.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT A COPY HEREOF HAS BEEN FURNISHED TO:

- CLERK OF THE COURT, 7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
- MELISSA CLARK, ASSISTANT STATE ATTORNEY

RESPECTFULLY,

X 
KRISTOPHER HENDERSON I/M #53831, DEFENDENT
FLAGLER COUNTY JAIL CENTER, FLORIDA

11/26/2025
DATE





Flagler Co. Inmate Facility
I/M Name KRISTOPHER HENRIKSON
I/M # 53831
1002 Justice Lane
Bunnell, FL 32210

LEGAL MAIL

ORLANDO FL 328

3 DEC 2025 PM 2 L



CLERK OF THE COURT
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
1769 E. MOODY BLVD. BLDG. #1
BUNNELL, FL. 32110

LEGAL MAIL

32110-599101

LEGAL MAIL



LEGAL MAIL

LEGAL MAIL

LEGAL

1190

MAIL

This letter originates from the Flagler County Detention Facility. Inmate mail is uncensored and the Sheriff cannot assume responsibility for its contents.



LEGAL MAIL

LEGAL MAIL
THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT



LEGAL MAIL
© USPS 2019 PMA