REPORT OF INVESTIGATION



Complaint Number 14-082

NOTICE CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY

This report of investigation concerns an alleged violation of Chapter 112, Part III, Florida Statutes, or other breach of public trust under provisions of Article II, Section 8, Florida Constitution. The Report and any exhibits may be confidential (exempt from the public records law) pursuant to Section 112.324, Florida Statutes, and Chapter 34-5, F.A.C., the rules of the Commission on Ethics. Unless the Respondent has waived the confidentiality in writing, this report will remain confidential until one of the following occurs: (1) the complaint is dismissed by the Commission; (2) the Commission finds sufficient evidence to order a public hearing; or (3) the Commission orders a public report as a final disposition of the matter.

STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION ON ETHICS Post Office Drawer 15709 Tallahassee, Florida 32317-5709

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

Barbara Sue Revels

··· —·	Flagler County Commissioner, District 3 Flagler Beach, Florida
COMPLAINT NO.:	14-082 Exhibits A through G
INVESTIGATED BY:	Roberto Anderson-Córdova
Distribution:	Commission on Ethics Respondent Advocate File
Releasing Authority:	Executive Director 12/1/14 Date

TITLE:

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION COMPLAINT NO. 14-082

- (1) Mr. Ray Stevens of Palm Coast alleges that Ms. Barbara Sue Revels, a Flagler County Commissioner, failed to declare a conflict of interest, file a voting conflict form, and abstain from voting on County Commission measure(s) related to the County's purchase of real property, where the Respondent was a stockholder in a privately held bank and the president of that bank (also a stockholder) was an officer of the company selling the property to the County. The Complainant further alleges that the Respondent received from the bank, prior to her vote on the measure relating to the property purchase, an increase in the amount of an existing loan.
- (2) The Executive Director of the Commission on Ethics noted that based upon the information provided in the complaint, the above-referenced allegations were sufficient to warrant a preliminary investigation to determine whether the Respondent's actions violated Sections 112.3143(3)(a), Florida Statutes (Voting Conflicts) and 112.313(4), Florida Statutes (Unauthorized Compensation).

VOTING CONFLICT ALLEGATIONS

(3) The Complainant alleges that Commissioner Revels voted on August 1, 2013, in favor of the County's purchase of the "Old Flagler Hospital," located at 901 Moody Boulevard East, Bunnell, Florida, for \$1.23 million from Flagler Crossroads, Inc. Mr. Stevens alleges that Mr. Bruce Page is a corporate officer of Flagler Crossroads and that the corporation realized a net gain of \$125,000 when Flagler Crossroads sold the Old Flagler Hospital to the County.

Note: The County purchased the property to be used as the new Flagler County Sheriff's Office Operations Center.

- (4) The Complainant further alleges that Commissioner Revels is a business associate of Mr. Page in that they both are shareholders of Intracoastal Bank, with her owning \$100,000 worth of stock in the bank. The Complainant noted that Mr. Page is also Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and President of Intracoastal Bank.
- (5) Although not alleged in the complaint, the minutes of the May 6, 2013, Flagler County Board of Commissioners meeting (Exhibit A) reflect that the Respondent made a motion and voted to "approve County Administration moving forward with due diligence on the old hospital property stretching the due diligence period to 90 days, requesting an appraisal either by the appraiser who did the previous appraisal updating it or from a new independent appraiser such that the final purchase price would not exceed appraised value. Seconded by Commissioner Hanns . . . Chair McLaughlin called the question. Motion carried 3 to 2 with Commissioners Meeker and Ericksen dissenting."
- (6) The minutes of the August 1, 2013, Flagler County Board of Commissioners meeting (Exhibit B) reflect that the Respondent voted in favor of the County's purchase of the Old

Flagler Hospital. Commissioner Revels made the motion to "direct staff to proceed with the closing of their option contract on the old hospital and immediately start the design process for the Sheriff's Operation Center . . . The motion carried 4-1 with Commissioner Ericksen dissenting."

- (7) Page A-13 of the complaint amendment demonstrates that Flagler County bought the Old Flagler Hospital property from Flagler Crossroads for a total of \$1,230,035.50.
- (8) Mr. Page acknowledged he was one of the three owners of Flagler Crossroads when the company sold the "Old Flagler Hospital" to Flagler County for approximately \$1.23 million. Mr. Page related that he owned Flagler Crossroads along with business partners Michael Chiumento and James Newslow. Mr. Page explained that he and Flagler Crossroads did not make any profit from the sale of the property. He provided Flagler Crossroads' 2013 Internal Revenue Service Tax Return Form 11205 (Exhibit C) to demonstrate that the company experienced a financial loss of \$863,464. The 2013 IRS Tax Return Form lists Mr. Page as owning 33% of the stock of Flagler Crossroads and that he had a loss of \$284,943 from the sale of the property.
- (9) Mr. Page stated Flagler Crossroads bought the property in question for \$1,650,000 on July 1, 2005. He reported that for eight years Flagler Crossroads spent approximately \$145,811.62 annually in costs to maintain the property.
- (10) Mr. Page stated that he and Commissioner Revels are not business partners, that she has never had any ownership interest in Flagler Crossroads, and that they do not have any future business ventures planned. He acknowledged that both he and the Respondent are founding shareholders of Intracoastal Bank, which is a privately held bank not listed on any national or regional stock exchange, and have owned stock in the bank since 2008, but he maintains that the sale of the Old Flagler Hospital had no impact on Intracoastal Bank's stock.
- (11) Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations' records reflect that the Respondent has never been a corporate officer of Flagler Crossroads and that this company was dissolved on December 31, 2013.
- (12) Commissioner Revels stated that, other than both being shareholders of Intracoastal Bank, she has not had any business relationship with Mr. Page and that she was not a silent partner in Flagler Crossroads. The Respondent added that the sale of the Old Flagler Hospital to the County did not cause her to have any financial gain or loss. When asked whether she knew that Mr. Page was a shareholder of Intracoastal Bank at the time she voted she responded through her attorney:

I never really thought about it in that way. He is the CEO, employed by the bank, I guess one might assume he invested in the startup, but that has never been discussed by him around me. That would have been highly inappropriate for him to say something like that as I am not in that "inner circle" of the Board of Directors. Nor do I have any kind of personal relationship with Mr. Page

- that he would discuss his personal finances. I bought stock and put my business banking there, that was it.
- (13) Upon further questioning, Commissioner Revels stated that she did not know that Mr. Page was a shareholder of Intracoastal Bank at the time she voted on May 6, 2013, and August 1, 2013.
- (14) Mr. Page stated that Commissioner Revels "absolutely" knew he was a shareholder of Intracoastal Bank because the fact that he was a shareholder was "clearly disclosed" in Intracoastal Bank's "Offering Circular" and "Subscription Agreement" that Commissioner Revels signed to become a shareholder in 2007. He added that every Intracoastal Bank shareholder received an Offering Circular and completed a Subscription Agreement. Mr. Page added that it is "standard practice that the President/CEO of a community bank has a material ownership in the bank, typically. You want the executive to have a stake in the business and share your interest as a shareholder. That's common."
- (15) Pages 15 and 16 of the Offering Circular (composite Exhibit D) demonstrate that Mr. Page is a shareholder of Intracoastal Bank with 3.7 percent of the total shares. Pages 4 and 5 of the Subscription Agreement (composite Exhibit D) demonstrate that Commissioner Revels signed the documents which agree to the terms in the Offering Circular.
- (16) Commissioner Revels stated that she does not recall having read the Offering Circular or signed the Subscription Agreement.
- (17) Mr. Page stated that he attends the Intracoastal Bank Annual Shareholder Meetings.
- (18) Mr. Page confirmed that Mr. Chiumento and Mr. Newslow, the two other officers (owners) of Flagler Crossroads, also have been shareholders of Intracoastal Bank since the bank's inception in 2008.
- (19) Commissioner Revels affirmed that she knew at the time she voted on May 6, 2013, and August 1, 2013, that Mr. Chiumento is a shareholder of Intracoastal Bank and that he was a co-owner of the Old Flagler Hospital. She added that she knew that Mr. Chiumento was a shareholder of Intracoastal Bank because it is "common knowledge . . . that if you were a Board of Director [member] you owned a lot of stock in the bank. So I believe that anybody that is a Board of Director [member], separate aside from the CEO, that they owned a fair amount of stock in that bank."
- (20) Mr. Page stated that Mr. Chiumento was a member of the Intracoastal Bank Board of Directors from 2008 through 2013. He added that Mr. Newslow has never been a member of the Intracoastal Bank Board of Directors.
- (21) Mr. Thomas Hury, the Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer for Intracoastal Bank reported that Mr. Chiumento owns 43,000 shares or 2.94 percent of shares in the bank, and Mr. Newslow owns 10,000 shares or 0.685 percent of shares in the bank.

- (22) Mr. Page stated that Mr. Newslow has been to approximately half of the Annual Intracoastal Bank Shareholder Meetings since 2008. He noted that Mr. Newslow has been present at the last two annual shareholder meetings (2013 and 2014). Mr. Page stated that Mr. Chiumento has been to all of the annual shareholder meetings since 2008 with the exception of the last one held in March 2014. Mr. Page recalled that Commissioner Revels has been to all or almost all of the annual shareholder meetings since 2008. Mr. Page stated that everybody knows that people present at the annual shareholder meetings are there because they are shareholders.
- (23) Commissioner Revels stated she did not know Mr. Newslow was a shareholder of Intracoastal Bank at the time that she voted on May 6, 2013 and August 1, 2013, and does not recall having ever seen him at an Intracoastal Bank Annual Shareholder meeting.
- (24) Commissioner Revels stated she "never had a thought in the world" that her vote on the Old Flagler Hospital purchase could be a conflict of interest, since she and her relatives have no business relationship with officers of Flagler Crossroads or Intracoastal Bank where she could have realized a gain or loss. She explained that she did not ask anyone for advice on whether she had a possible conflict of interest because she had taken ethics classes with the Florida Association of Counties and believed she had a clear understanding of what constitutes a voting conflict.
- (25) Commissioner Revels acknowledged that she has been a shareholder of Intracoastal Bank since 2008. She added that her initial shareholder amount was \$100,000 and that she believes her stock has increased by approximately 15 percent since 2008. The Respondent stated that she owns less than one percent of the Intracoastal Bank shares. Commissioner Revels added that she has no knowledge of how Intracoastal Bank invests its money, but she believes that the sale of the Old Flagler Hospital had no impact on Intracoastal Bank.
- (26) Ms. Cheryl Tanenbaum, the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer confirmed that the Respondent owns 10,000 shares of Intracoastal Bank stock and that the purchase date of the stock was September 30, 2007. She added that this represents 0.6855% of outstanding Intracoastal Bank shares and that the value of the stock at the time of purchase was \$10 per share which equates to \$100,000.00.
- (27) Mr. Page stated that he currently owns 4.62 percent (67,500 shares of a total 1,458,755 shares) of Intracoastal Bank stock and owned 3.63 percent (50,000 shares of a total 1,378,550 shares) when the bank opened in 2008. He added that the fluctuation in percentage ownership is a result of his exercising stock options that became vested.
- (28) Commissioner Revels affirmed she has known Mr. Page for at least 10 years. She added that they are "friendly," but do not socialize, and do not share the same circle of friends or church.
- (29) Mr. Page said he has known the Respondent for approximately 20 years. He stated that the relationship he has had with the Respondent has primarily been through their involvement in various community organizations. Mr. Page added that they interact mostly through

organizations such as the Flagler County Chamber of Commerce, Enterprise Flagler (on whose board they both served), and the Flagler County Homebuilders Association, where the Respondent served as President. Mr. Page further added that they both volunteer for JAX USA, which is an economic development arm of the Jacksonville Chamber of Commerce, and occasionally they drive together to quarterly meetings in Jacksonville.

(30) Mr. Page stated the following during his acceptance speech at the Flagler County Commission Chairman Corporate Pinnacle Award meeting on November 5, 2012, regarding his relationship with Commissioner Revels:

I was just told Barbara Revels wants you at the Commission chambers at 9:00 o'clock Monday morning, and those of you that know me, and Barbara, and our relationship, when Barbara tells me to do anything, I do it. When I first moved to this community 20 years ago, a business person, a community leader, Barbara Revels, took me under her wing and has mentored me on every level, professionally; how to be a good community citizen; how it's all about the community and the citizens, not you as a business person or individual. It first started out as a professional relationship, but I am glad to say that she is one of my best friends. I love her and her husband Jerry Lloyd like no other. And so this is especially rewarding that she gave me this award because she's been my mentor all these years, so thanks to everybody and a special thanks to Barbara.

- (31) When questioned about the comments of this November 5, 2012 acceptance speech, Mr. Page explained that his situation is unique in that the majority of his friends are made through his involvement in business and community activities. Mr. Page added that he considers many of the Intracoastal Bank shareholders his friends, but acknowledges the reality is that they are business relationships. Mr. Page stated that the majority of his interactions with Commissioner Revels are through community involvement.
- (32) Commissioner Revels stated that Mr. Page is very demonstrative and that he has a tendency to compliment people very much. Commissioner Revels stated that she has not been a mentor to Mr. Page. Commissioner Revels explained that Mr. Page can "emote" otherwise but that does not mean they have a personal relationship.
- (33) The Respondent recalled that she was approached by Mr. Page and Larry Jones husband of Margaret Sheehan-Jones, the commercial real estate agent selling the Old Flagler Hospital property and Mr. Jones made a presentation to her about the old hospital property during which he expressed why it should be used as the Sheriff's Operations Center. She believes this meeting took place in late 2012 or early 2013, and that she did not know prior to the arrival of Mr. Page and Mr. Jones what they wanted to talk to her about. Commissioner Revels added that several years earlier, Flagler Crossroads owners Mr. Newslow, Mr. Chiumento, and Mr. Page had given her a tour of the Old Flagler Hospital property, and solicited her ideas about uses for the property. The Respondent related that she told Mr. Page and Mr. Jones at that meeting in late 2012/early 2013, that she was in favor of having the Sheriff's Operations Center housed in the historic Courthouse Annex, as the County had already spent money and time with that plan. Commissioner Revels maintains that she told

Mr. Page and Mr. Jones that she would "not take forward to the County their property to be considered for that, but if they wanted to take it anywhere they'd have to go sell it to the [County] administrator [Craig Coffey] themselves." Commissioner Revels stated she was approached by Mr. Page and Mr. Jones at her Coquina Real Estate office in Flagler Beach and that the meeting lasted approximately 30 minutes. Commissioner Revels stated that she had no other conversations with Mr. Page about this topic.

Note: According to Respondent's business website, she has owned and operated Coquina Real Estate and Construction, Inc., for more than 25 years and has an extensive background in land use, planning, affordable housing, growth management, and construction.

- (34) Mr. Page recalled he and Mr. Jones met the Respondent at her Coquina Real Estate office in Flagler Beach regarding their interest in selling the Old Flagler Hospital to the County and she referred them to County Administrator Coffey during that meeting. Mr. Page stated that this meeting happened in "early 2013, definitely before March 2013." He explained that the Respondent was "non-committal" about the idea and told them that if the County was going to get involved, County Administrator Coffey should lead it and that it was not part of her responsibility as a county commissioner to be involved in that process. Mr. Page related he felt there was "very little possibility" that the County would be interested in the purchase of the Old Flagler Hospital when he left the meeting.
- (35) Mr. Page recalled he subsequently met with Mr. Jones and Mr. Coffey either at a conference room at Mr. Coffey's office or at the Old Flagler Hospital property. Mr. Page added that no one else attended this meeting. He related that Mr. Coffey gave them a list of questions about the property. Mr. Page stated that his real estate agent for the property, Margaret Sheehan-Jones, worked with Mr. Coffey and his staff to get the information.
- (36) Mr. Coffey said he did not meet in person with Mr. Page, but rather Mr. Jones called him and he (Mr. Coffey) met with only Mr. Jones and Margaret Sheehan-Jones at the Old Flagler Hospital property to discuss the possibility of the County purchasing the property. Mr. Coffey stated that they initially offered him an asking price of \$1.7 million and told him that Flagler Crossroads had paid \$1,650,000 for the property. He explained that the County ordered two appraisals on the Old Flagler Hospital property as well as one "master reviewing" appraisal. These three appraisals were performed by appraisers obtained from a state-certified reference list. Mr. Coffey advised that one appraisal listed the property value at \$1,490,000, the other appraisal was at \$1,500,000, and the master reviewing appraisal found these values acceptable. Mr. Coffey stated that he handled the negotiations with Ms. Sheehan-Jones and made the final offer of \$1,230,000, which the Flagler Crossroads owners accepted.
- (37) Mr. Coffey explained that he was in favor of the County purchasing the Old Flagler Hospital to house the Flagler County Sheriff's Operations Center because it was within the County's budget and had ample space for future growth, an impound yard, and parking. He added that these advantages were unavailable with the other properties under consideration.
- (38) Commissioner Revels stated she was in favor of the County purchasing the Old Flagler Hospital property once the plan to use the Courthouse Annex for the Sheriff's Operations

Center was ruled out. She added that the hospital property was the best option available because it had the most space, was the largest building, and was on one level.

- (39) County Administrator Coffey stated he has no knowledge of any past business relationships between Commissioner Revels and Mr. Page or whether Commissioner Revels realized any gain or loss financially from the sale of the Old Flagler Hospital. He added that to his knowledge Intracoastal Bank did not benefit from the Old Flagler Hospital sale and that the mortgage for the property was held by the Independent Bankers Bank of Florida. Mr. Coffey stated that Commissioner Revels never contacted him to recommend that the County purchase the Old Flagler Hospital property.
- (40) Flagler County Attorney Albert Hadeed stated he has no knowledge of any past business relationships between Commissioner Revels and Mr. Page.

RESPONDENT'S LOAN WITH INTRACOASTAL BANK

- (41) The Complainant alleges that the Respondent received an increase of approximately \$100,000 to an existing loan with Intracoastal Bank sometime prior to her vote to purchase the Old Flagler Hospital on August 1, 2013.
- (42) The Respondent explained that two or three years ago she had a \$100,000 line of credit on her personal residence with another bank (not Intracoastal Bank) and that the line of credit had been active for years, but was due to expire. The Respondent related that the bank required her to complete a new application and pay for an appraisal, but would not guarantee that she could keep her \$100,000 credit line. Commissioner Revels recalled she contacted a lending officer at Intracoastal Bank who informed her that the bank's process is to perform an assessment of her property value and possibly charge a fee in order to provide a line of credit. The Respondent advised that she decided to apply, and received a \$100,000 line of credit from Intracoastal Bank in 2012.
- (43) The Respondent stated that in 2012, due to "all of the foreclosures" in the real estate market, she and her husband decided to reduce their involvement in the construction business, and work instead on "flipping houses." Commissioner Revels said she realized that she needed more cash since she wanted to buy houses at auction, and Intracoastal Bank Senior Vice President and Loan Officer Richard Wells recommended that she increase her existing credit line by using her home as collateral to facilitate buying houses to flip while avoiding the long and expensive process of obtaining a standard mortgage. The Respondent related that Mr. Wells reviewed the market value of her home and offered to increase her credit line from \$100,000 to \$300,000. The Respondent advised that the line of credit increase closed on May 31, 2013. The Respondent stated she did not use the credit line until she bought a house to flip in April 2014. The Respondent said that, to her knowledge, Mr. Page was not involved in the loan process. The Respondent added that she dealt specifically with the lending department of Intracoastal Bank through Mr. Wells and that the loan was not contingent on any official actions by her.

- (44) Mr. Wells stated that the Respondent contacted him directly by telephone to apply for a line of credit. Mr. Wells verified that the Respondent received a \$200,000 increase to her existing \$100,000 "Home Equity Line of Credit" (HELOC) with Intracoastal Bank. He recalled that the application process started in March or April 2013, and by May 2013 was completed. Mr. Wells related that a HELOC is a common type of loan where money is borrowed against the equity of one's home, which the Respondent secured through her primary residence. Mr. Wells stated that the Respondent's \$300,000 HELOC closed at 3.25 percent interest rate.
- (45) The Uniform Residential Appraisal Report for Commissioner Revels' Intracoastal Bank HELOC appraised her primary residence's market value at \$550,000 as of July 17, 2013 (composite Exhibit E).
- (46) The Flagler County Property Appraiser's Office listed the 2013 "Just Market Value" for the Respondent's property at \$390,496 (Exhibit F).
- (47) Mr. Wells stated that Commissioner Revels was not given any special treatment and that Mr. Page was not involved in this loan process other than being on the Officers Loan Committee which approved the loan. He added that no one at Intracoastal Bank directed him to give Commissioner Revels any special treatment.
- (48) Mr. Page explained that Commissioner Revels' \$300,000 HELOC went before the Intracoastal Bank Officers Loan Committee which is composed of four members including himself, Mr. Wells, and two other managers. Mr. Page added that all four members have equal votes with no one having more influence than the other. He stated that Commissioner Revels received what was the standard interest rate at the time for someone with similar credit history.
- (49) Records provided by Intracoastal Bank confirm that the Respondent received a \$300,000 HELOC loan on May 31, 2013 (Exhibit G).

END OF REPORT OF PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION

EXHIBIT A

FLAGLER COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

MAY 6, 2013

SPECIAL MEETING

Present: Chair Nate McLaughlin, Vice Chair George Hanns, Commissioners Barbara Revels, Charles Ericksen and Frank Meeker, Clerk Gail Wadsworth, County Administrator Craig Coffey, County Attorney Al Hadeed, and Deputy Clerk Rhea Cosgrove

Chair McLaughlin called the meeting to order at approximately 1:54 p.m. in the Emergency Operations Center of the Government Services Complex in Bunnell, Florida.

ITEM 2 - PLEDGE TO THE FLAG AND MOMENT OF SILENCE

Chair McLaughlin led the Pledge to the Flag and requested a moment of silence.

ITEM 3 - REQUEST THE BOARD TAKE ACTION ON OPTION AGREEMENT FOR SALE & PURCHASE OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 901 MOODY BLVD. E. BUNNELL

County Administrator Coffey pointed out there was an appendix to the option agreement he signed which would authorize staff to move forward.

Chair McLaughlin confirmed the purchase would not happen without it coming back to the BCC.

County Administrator Coffey stated he would bring it back prior to the end of the due diligence period. Noted the agreement authorized the County Administrator to move forward on the due diligence up to a cost of \$70,000.

County Attorney Hadeed explained if the BCC approved the agreement it would be compressing the actual amount of due diligence activity that could take place.

Chair McLaughlin asked if it was possible to stretch the timeframe from 60 to 90 days.

County Attorney Hadeed stated he felt that would facilitate the ability to accomplish the BCC's objective if the objective was to have another meeting based on whatever the due diligence reports yield in order to decide and close the transaction.

Commissioner Meeker stated he was in favor of 90 days.

Commissioner Hanns stated his support for the County doing its due diligence when inspecting for radon, mold, asbestos and things of that nature. Noted the building was structurally in good shape, but the purchase would depend on how much it would cost to renovate versus new construction.

May 6, 2013 Special Meeting

(Item 3 - continued)

Commissioner Revels commented on the information passed out by Commissioner Meeker noting in the information the BCC was looking at the old hospital at that time for a County Administration facility and not a Sheriff's Operation Center.

Commissioner Meeker noted it was for a County purchase and any deficiencies then could still be relevant today regardless of its use.

There was further discussion.

Commissioner Ericksen noted the report stated there was a cursory inspection which he felt meant hasty. Pointed out when this building was considered in the past as the location for a County Administration Building it was rated as an option below the First Baptist Church and it was also noted the old hospital was built in 1979 and its life expectancy was 35 plus years. He asked the Sheriff to hold off for one or two years and to continue using the existing facility.

He suggested an alternative to the old hospital was the EOC (Emergency Operations Center) which he felt would have a much lower cost, so he would not be voting for this purchase.



A motion was made by Commissioner Revels to approve County Administration moving forward with due diligence on the old hospital property stretching the due diligence period to 90 days, requesting an appraisal either by the appraiser who did the previous appraisal updating it or from a new independent appraiser such that the final purchase price would not exceed appraised value. Seconded by Commissioner Hanns.

Commissioner Revels stated from what she knew there were quite a few commercial sales in the County that indicated the old hospital property was worth more than it was currently optioned for and gave examples. Stated the Courthouse Annex had better "ready to go" space at this time, but she felt it was constrictive for future use, and the old hospital had more acreage and square footage that could be used for expansion and additional use. Pointed out it was a hospital so it should be ADA compliant. Stated she saw no cracks on any walls and the roof appeared to be in excellent shape noting it did need a new coating, but the bones of the building were strong.

Commissioner Meeker agreed the bones of the building were strong, but asked if the due diligence would give an analysis of the expected useful life of the building, particularly the roof.

County Administrator Coffey replied the structural engineer could do an overall inspection of the building to include the deficiencies brought up by Commissioner Ericksen.

There was further discussion.

Commissioner Meeker asked if the motion included looking at the EOC as a possible location.

Commissioner Revels replied it did not.

May 6, 2013 Special Meeting

(Item 3 - continued)

Chair McLaughlin stated the subject had been discussed and if the old hospital did not work out he would not consider any other option other than the Courthouse Annex because staff already had approval to proceed with that location. Stated he felt no one could buy a shell building at \$20 a square foot, but it would depend on the budget.

County Attorney Hadeed noted with the current purchasing policy if any of the consultants were over \$25,000 staff would have to bring those back to the BCC for approval. He suggested adding to the motion that the County Administrator be authorized to proceed with the due diligence without returning to the BCC for approval of the scope of service agreements.

Commissioner Revels amended her motion to include the County Attorney's suggestion. Seconded by Commissioner Hanns.

County Administrator Coffey stated staff would also look at the two alternative options suggested by BCC members.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Al Hanulik stated he had volunteered to work on the jail expansion project and he wished the reception for people who had experience and credibility would be more openly accepted stating he felt he could be very beneficial to the County on the project. Noted he found out there was another retired warden who lived in Flagler County and felt if the County would not let a retired warden help with the project then it was not using its resources properly.

Alan Peterson commended staff on exploring all options, but he felt the process was backward. Noted the wording of the contract was "As Is" and that the approval would lock the price when it had not been determined what the property was worth. He felt the County should do its due diligence and obtain two independent appraisals. Questioned the comparable properties and why the BCC would pay 3.5 times the assessed value. Pointed out \$5 million was only for half of the building and did not include a new roof.

Stated the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent small county sales tax was voted in for the jail and library expansions and other ongoing and delayed capital projects. He asked the BCC to opt for another option that would allow them to do their due diligence.

Jane Gentile-Youd, Plantation Bay, agreed with Mr. Peterson and stated the BCC should not pay more than the County's - not the seller's - independent appraised value. Commented the amount of repairs should be capped to give the County the opportunity to negotiate with the sellers or walk away. Pointed out the property was purchased for \$750,000 in 2006 and then in 2007 the sellers obtained a mortgage of \$1,470,000 and an updated appraisal for \$20,000 less.

Pastor Sims Jones stated the County needed to look at the possible uses for the building noting it could use half of the building for the Sheriff and the other half for the free clinic and a lot of other services that were needed. Stated it was a great location with parking and a perfect opportunity to bring services together and use the building for the good of the entire county.

May 6, 2013 Special Meeting

(Item 3 - continued)

Mark Langello stated he looked at the building and it did have good bones and was a good platform for a new building. Stated the BCC did need to explore its options and he was in favor of what it was doing.

Hutch King stated he appreciated Mr. Ericksen's position on the item and asked why the County Property Appraiser's Office was not used to appraise the property. Noted the market value on the property was \$781,000 and last year it was \$416,000. He called into question the perception the purchase gave to the public. Stated if the County did not need a 60,000 square foot building the BCC should not purchase the property. He felt it would take more than \$10 or \$15 million to complete.

Chair McLaughlin closed public comments.



Chair McLaughlin called the question. Motion carried 3 to 2 with Commissioners Meeker and Ericksen dissenting.

Commissioner Revels amended the motion to include a cap of \$70,000 for the due diligence.

ITEM 4 - REQUEST THE BOARD TAKE ACTION ON INTERLOCAL PROJECTS FOR SUBMITTAL TO THE HAMMOCK DUNES CDD BOARD

Withdrawn

ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Commissioner Meeker to adjourn at 2:45 p.m. Seconded by Commissioner Ericksen.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED JUNE 3 2013

ATTEST:

FLAGLER COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Gail Wadsworth

Clerk and Ex Officio Clerk to the Board

Nate McLaughlin

'Chair

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B

FLAGLER COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

AUGUST 1, 2013

SPECIAL MEETING

Present: Chair Nate McLaughlin, Vice Chair George Hanns, Commissioners Barbara Revels, Charles Ericksen and Frank Meeker, Clerk Gail Wadsworth, County Administrator Craig Coffey, County Attorney Al Hadeed, and Deputy Clerk Andrew Moss.

ITEM 1 - CALL TO ORDER

Chair McLaughlin called the meeting to order at approximately 4:53 p.m. in the Emergency Operations Center of the Government Services Complex in Bunnell, Florida.

ITEM 2 - PLEDGE TO THE FLAG AND MOMENT OF SILENCE

Chair McLaughlin led the Pledge to the Flag and requested a moment of silence.

ITEM 3 - PUBLIC COMMENT

Bob Halsey, Palm Coast resident, explained the Sheriff's criteria list seemed like an absolute minimum to him, noting he thought there should be even more items on the list.

Reverend Sims-Jones, Flagler County resident, believed the hospital site would be a good location and consolidation of space was a necessity.

Jane Gentile-Youd felt if the BCC could come up with money for the former hospital it could pay for a third helicopter pilot, noting the exact price for a pilot was known and this was not.

Hutch King, Former County Commissioner, stated the Bunnell CRA had not been funded and believed until it was funded it was not technically a CRA, noting there would still be a loss of revenue. Reiterated the bad appearance of this and challenged the BCC to do the right thing.

Michael Barr, Flagler County resident, noted he was past chair of the CRA advisory committee and involved with the CRA effort for eight years. Stated the loss of revenue to Bunnell's general fund was minimal compared to the benefits of the hospital acquisition for the Sheriff's Office.

Catherine Robinson, City of Bunnell Mayor, stated the city commission had not taken a formal stand on this matter, but noted Bunnell's philosophy was that it was "open for business". Spoke on the negative implications the former hospital had on Bunnell's downtown core. She believed it would be positive and offered to get a consensus regarding this matter form her commission.

Dennis McDonald, Flagler County resident, stated there was an exception in the contract for building repairs not to exceed \$250,000.00 and asked the BCC to make sure that did not happen.

There were no further public comments.

ITEM 4 - STAFF DIRECTION ON THE FORMER HOSPITAL SALES AGREEMENT DUE DILIGENCE PERIOD

County Administrator Coffey explained the \$250,000 was a threshold to bring the issue back to the BCC for its attention and action, stating it was brought today.

Commissioner Hanns expressed his appreciation for all the public comments received. Stated in a county of this size the people typically involved in large real estate transactions were the people who had the money to invest in the first place. He assured everyone that no one on this board would be benefitting in any way over this acquisition.

Chair McLaughlin mentioned the integrity of the BCC, noting the commissioners were elected to make decisions like this in the best interest of the County's taxpayers. Stated this had been a long process with years of consideration. Felt he needed to defend the integrity of the BCC, stating he would not have the integrity of the BCC questioned at random with no evidence.

A motion was made by Commissioner Revels to request staff move forward to finalize the option on the old hospital and proceed post haste with design and development of that location for the Sheriff's Operation Center. Seconded by Commissioner Hanns.

Commissioner Meeker asked if the BCC could get more specifics regarding cost during the time that was left prior to commencing purchase.

County Administrator Coffey responded staff could give more due diligence, however to get more cost specific it would need to look for a design firm and issue RFP's. He mentioned at this stage it would always be rough estimates and as the process moved forward the numbers would be more specific through the design and bidding stages.

Commissioner Ericksen was concerned the cost of retrofitting would not be anywhere near the estimated costs currently before the BCC. He viewed it as a property purchase, noting the County should build a new building. He did not think the property was worth \$1.23 million.

Further discussion ensued.

Commissioner Meeker mentioned he had an issue with staff trust.

County Administrator Coffey reminded the BCC that most projects came in on or under budget and on time.

Special Meeting August 1, 2013

ITEM 5 - REQUEST THE BOARD TAKE ACTIONS AS DEEMED NECESSARY REGARDING ISSUES DISCUSSED AT THE WORKSHOP THIS DATE

Chair McLaughlin reminded the BCC there was a motion and a second on the floor and requested further discussion.

Commissioner Ericksen asked to have the motion repeated.



Commissioner Revels re-stated her motion was to direct staff to proceed with the closing of their option contract on the old hospital and immediately start the design process for the Sheriff's Operation Center. Seconded by Commissioner Hanns

Chair McLaughlin asked the County Administrator when the BCC could see more specific numbers regarding this acquisition before he called the question.

County Administrator Coffey responded he believed the current numbers were pretty good, but the timeframe for looking at design could be nine months.



Chair McLaughlin called the question. Motion carried 4-1 with Commissioner Ericksen dissenting.

ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Commissioner Meeker to adjourn at 5:30 p.m. Seconded by Commissioner Ericksen.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED AUGUST 19 2013

ATTEST:

FLAGLER COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Gail Wadsworth

Clerk and Ex Officio Clerk to the Board

Nate McLaughlir

Knair

EXHIBIT C

Form **1120S**

U.S. Income Tax Return for an S Corporation

▶ Do not file this form unless the corporation has filed or is attaching Form 2553 to elect to be an S corporation.

▶ Information about Form 1120S and its separate instructions is at www.irs.gov/form1120s.

OMB No. 1545-0130 2013

		of the Treasury	▶ info	attachin rmation about Form	g Form 2553 to el 1120S and its sep	ect to be an arate instruc	S corporati tions is at v	on. <u>www.irs.gov/form</u>	1120s.	2010
		dar year 2013 or to			, ending					
		on effective date		Name					D Emple	yer identification number
		23/03	TYPE	FLAGLER CR	OSSROADS,	INC.				
В	Busines	s activity code	1175						41	-2076394
	number	(see instructions)	OR	Number, street, and room of						incorporated
	531	.120		880 AIRPOR			08			/23/2003
С	Check i	f Sch. M-3	PRINT	City or town, state or provin		oreign postal code	3174		F Total	assets (see instructions)
	attache	d L	1	ORMOND BEA	CH	FL 32	4 T / 4			0
							[==[\$	
G	is the	corporation electing		corporation beginning v	vith this tax year?	∐ Ye	¬ ┗━'			3 if not already filed
Н		if: (1) X Final			 Address ch 		Amended	retum (5)	S election	emination or revocation
1	Enter	the number of sha	reholders wh	o were shareholders du	ring any part of the	tax year	<u> </u>			▶ 3
Cau	ition.	Include only trade	or business	income and expenses o	on lines 1a through	21. See the it	nstructions for	or more information	١	
\Box							1a		_	
	b			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			1b			
	C			ine 1a					1c	
2				n 1125-A)						
Income	2									
2	3			n line 1c						-791,217
_	4			, line 17 (attach Form 4						
	5			ctions—attach stateme						-791,217
				3 through 5						-131,611
				nstructions-attach Form						
us)				yment credits)					8	
limitations)									9	
Ξ	10	Bad debts							10	
₫	11	Rents			,				11	
SILS	12	Taxes and license	s	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					12	6,428
뀰	13	Interest		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.,				13	
instructions	14			rm 1125-A or elsewher						213
(see		•		and gas depletion.)					1 1	
S	15								1 40	4
33	16									
<u>.</u>	17			ans					18	
걸	18	Employee benefit	programs					Ctmt 1	19	65,606
Deductions	19	Other deductions	(attach stater	nent)				DUME I	·	72,247
ă	20	Total deductions	s. Add lines /	through 19					20	
	21	Ordinary busine	ss income (I	oss). Subtract line 20 fi	rom line 6				21	-863,464
	22a	Excess net passive	income or LIFO	recapture tax (see instruct	tions)		22a		<u> </u>	
	b	Tax from Schedu	e D (Form 11	20S)		. ,	22b		_	
ţ				ions for additional taxes)					22c	
nents	23a	2013 estimated tax	payments and 2	2012 overpayment credited	to 2013		23a	***	_	
	b	Tax deposited wit					23b			
and Pay		Credit for federal	tax naid on fi	iels (attach Form 4136)			23c			
7	1								23d	
an	ł			ructions). Check if Form				>	24	
Tax	24	Estimated tax pe	fline 22d is s	maller than the total of	ines 22c and 24 er	nter amount o	wed		25	
Ë	25	Amount owed.	1 1111111111111111111111111111111111111	mailer than the total of the	122 and 24 ant	ar amount ove	maid		26	
	26	Overpayment, II	line 23d is la	rger than the total of lin	es 220 and 24, end	er arrount ove	прани	Refunded >	` 	
	27	Enter amount fro	n line 26 Cre	dited to 2014 estimated to leave examined this return, in	ad tax	checkules and state	menis			
		and to the best of my	knowledge and b	elief, it is true, correct, and com	plete. Declaration of prep	arer (other than ta	xpayer)	1 '		s return with the preparer
S	ign	is based on all inform	ation of which prep	oarer has any knowledge.			1	L	low (see instr	uctions)? X Yes No
Н	lere	.						— OFF	ICER	
		Signature of of	icer BR	UCE PAGE			Date	Title	1	
		Print/Type p	eparer's name		Preparer's signature			Date	Check	if PTIN
Р	aid	Geral	d P. Key	es	Gerald P.			01/29/14		<u> </u>
	repa		e ▶ Ke	yes, Stange	& Wooten	CPA Fi	rm, LI	ıC Firm's	EIN 🕨	20-0519183
	lse C		ess > 39	l Palm Coasi	: Pkwy SW	Ste 3				
~		بردد.		lm Coast, F		3213	7-4766	Phon	_{e no.} 38	6-446-1743
	or Do	nonwork Peductic		e, see separate instru						Form 1120S (2013)
r	vi Fa	heimour vennen	MOE HOUSE	o, and departed modern						

Form	1120S (2013) FLAGLER CROSSRO.	ADS, INC.	41-207	6394		Page 4
	edule K Shareholders' Pro Rata Share					Total amount
					17a	13
Other	b Investment expenses				17b	
듄	c Dividend distributions paid from accumu	lated earnings and profits			17c	
- 3	d Other items and amounts (attach staten					
Recon-	I .	the amounts on lines 1 throug m of the amounts on lines 11	h 10 in the far right through 12d and 14l		18	-864,732
Sch	edule L Balance Sheets per Books	Beginning of ta	x year		End of t	
	Assets	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)
1	Cash		22,425			
2a	Trade notes and accounts receivable					
b	Less allowance for bad debts	()				
3	Inventories					
4	U.S. government obligations					
5	Tax-exempt securities (see instructions)					
6	Other current assets (attach statement) Stmt 2		1,696,184			
7	Loans to shareholders					
8	Mortgage and real estate loans					
9	Other investments (attach statement)					
10a	Buildings and other depreciable assets	4,882				1
b	Less accumulated depreciation	(3,388)	1,494			1
11a	Depletable assets		-			4
b	Less accumulated depletion					<u> </u>
12	Land (net of any amortization)		75,000			
13a	Intangible assets (amortizable only)	182,097				
b	Less accumulated amortization	(661)	181,436		·············	<u> </u>
14	Other assets (attach statement) Stmt 3	<u> </u>	110			0
15	Total assets		1,976,649			0
	Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity					
16	Accounts payable	-				
17	Mortgages, notes, bonds payable in less than 1 year					
18	Other current liabilities (attach statement) Stmt 4]	3,024			
19	Loans from shareholders		1 110 000			
20	Mortgages, notes, bonds payable in 1 year or more		1,119,939			
21	Other liabilities (attach statement)					
22	Capital stock					
23	Additional paid-in capital		1,546,500			
24	Retained earnings		-692,814			0
25	Adjustments to shareholders' equity (attach statement)					
26	Less cost of treasury stock		(0
27	Total liabilities and shareholders' equity		1,976,649			11208

Form 1120S (2013)

11208		S	hareholder	's Basis	Shareholder's Basis Worksheet Page	Page 2				2013
Schedule K-1	For cale	For calendar year 2013 or tax year beginning	x year beginning		-	, ending				
Name FLAGLER CROSSROADS, PDICE F DAGE	NI								Taxpayer Identification Number 41–2076394	sation Number
1		7	oss Allocat	ed to Sto	oss Allocated to Stock and Loan Basis	ın Basis				
	Suspended Losses	Current Year Loss	Total Loss	Percent	Allowed Stock Loss	Disallowed	Percent	Allowed Loan Loss	Loss to Carryforward	Total Allowed Loss
Nondeductible noncap expenses										
Deductible items: Ordinary business loss		284,943	284,943	99.85	284,943					284,943
Net rental real estate loss										
Other net rental loss										
Long-ferm capital loss					(423
Net section 1231 loss		423	423	0.15	423					
Other portfolio loss										
Other losses										
Section 179 expense					,					
Cash contributions (50%)										
Cash contributions (30%)										
Qual conserv contrib (50%)										
Noncash contributions (30%) .										
Cap gain prop 50% org (30%).										
Cap gain prop (20%)										
Qual conserv contrib (100%)										
Portfolio deductions (other)										
Investment interest expense										
Deductions-royalty income									of the section of the	
Section 59(e)(2) expend									N. 3 - 21 W.	
Preproductive period exp					····					
Commercial revitalization ded.										
Reforestation expense ded										

Note to shareholder: This worksheet was prepared based on corporation records. Please consult with your tax advisor for adjustments.

285,366 285,366

285,366

100.00

285,366 285,366

285,366 285,366

Total nonded and deductible items

Total deductible items

Other deductions Foreign taxes Loss on disposal of 179 assets

671113

		X	Final K-1	Amended K-1		OMB No. 1545-0130
Schedule K-1 (Form 1120S)	2013 For calendar year 2013, or tax	Pa				Current Year Income,
Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service	year beginningending	1	Ordinary business income -284,	e (loss)	13	Credits
		2	Net rental real estate inco	ome (loss)		
Shareholder's Share of Ir Credits, etc. See bac	ncome, Deductions, sk of form and separate instructions.	3	Other net rental income	(loss)		
Part I Information Abou	t the Corporation	4	Interest income	5		
A Corporation's employer identification number $41-2076394$		5a	Ordinary dividends			
B Corporation's name, address, city, state, and ZII FLAGLER CROSSROAD		5b	Qualified dividends		14	Foreign transactions
880 AIRPORT ROAD,		6	Royalties			
ORMOND BEACH	FL 32174	7	Net short-term capital ga	ain (loss)		
c IRS Center where corporation filed return e-file		8a	Net long-term capital ga	in (loss)		
Part II Information Abou	t the Shareholder	8b	Collectibles (28%) gain	(loss)		
D Shareholder's identifying number		8c	Unrecaptured section 12	250 gain		
E Shareholder's name, address, city, state, and Z BRUCE E PAGE		9	Net section 1231 gain (oss) · 423		
1520 LAMBERT AVEN		10	Other income (loss)		15	Alternative minimum tax (AMT) items
FLAGLER BEACH	FL 32136				<u> </u>	
F Shareholder's percentage of stock ownership for tax year	33.000000 %					
					-	
					<u> </u>	
		11	Section 179 deduction		16 D	Items affecting shareholder basis 5,760
		12	Other deductions		ļ	
					<u> </u>	
For IRS Use Only						
IRS U					ļ	
For					17 A	Other information 5
					-	
		_				
			* See attach	ed statemer	nt for	additional information.

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see instructions for Form 1120S. IRS.gov/form1120s

Schedule K-1 (Form 1120S) 2013

EXHIBIT D

Bank's strategic location, and the abilities of the Organizers. Based upon the foregoing general assumptions, the Organizers believe that the Bank will be operating on a profitable basis within its first three years of operations. However, there can be no assurance that profitability will be achieved in the first three years, if at all.

Bank Premises

The Bank's main office will be located at 1290 NW Palm Coast Parkway, Palm Coast, Florida 32137. The Bank intends to construct a full service facility (up to 6,450 square feet, plus a drive through) on this lot. The Bank's directors have a contract to purchase this lot on behalf of the Bank. The lot, in addition to any improvements, will be sold to the Bank as soon as legally possible at the cost to the directors plus carrying costs and improvement costs in the interim. Upon completion of construction of the main office, the Bank intends to consolidate its staff and operations into the location.

The Bank also plans to open a branch office in its third year of operations near the main entrance of a large master planned community known as The Town Center Palm Coast. This location is approximately four miles south of the Bank's main office. The office will have full service staff, drive throughs and an ATM. Since the Bank has not entered into any agreements or received regulatory approvals relating to the proposed office, there is no assurance as to the opening of such branch office, the timing of any such opening, or whether the Bank will own or lease the facility. See "Use of Proceeds."

MANAGEMENT

Organizers and Directors

The following sets forth the name and occupation of the proposed Directors of the Bank and their proposed ownership of Common Stock assuming the sale of the minimum of 1,352,500 shares of Common Stock offered hereby. It is proposed that each of the individuals listed will serve as a Director of the Bank commencing with its opening. The following individuals serve as the Organizers of the Bank.

Name and Address	Occupation	Number of Shares	Total Shares
Albert W. Baylor 1860 Country Road 2006 Bunnell, FL 32110	Construction/Farming	50,000	3.70%

Name and Address	Occupation	Number of Shares	Percent of Total Shares
Michael D. Chiumento 4B Old Kings Road N. Palm Coast, Florida 32137	Attorney	50,000	3.70%
Samuel E. Cline Post Office Box 625 Bunnell, Florida 32110	Commercial and Marine Construction	30,000	2.22%
C. Scott Crews Post Office Box 69 Bunnell, Florida 32110	Retired	30,000	2.22%
Robert DeVore 64 Christopher Court Palm Coast, Florida 32137	Real Estate Development	25,000	1.85%
Thomas L. Gibbs 33 Sugar Mill Lane Flagler Beach, Florida 32136	Automobile	50,000	3.70%
Albert B. Johnston, Jr. Post Office Box 245 Bunnell, Florida 32110	Farmer	50,000	3.70%
Gerald P. Keyes 1 Florida Park Drive North Suite 107 Palm Coast, Florida 32137	CPA	50,000	3.70%
Michael Machin 129 Barrington Drive Palm Coast, Florida 32137	Construction/Development	25,000	1.85%
Bruce E. Page 1520 Lambert Avenue Flagler Beach, Florida 32136	Banker	50,000	3.70%
	Totals	<u>410,000</u>	<u>30.31%</u>

⁽¹⁾ The proposed ownership indicated is based upon the sale of the minimum number of shares in this Offering. There can be no assurance that such persons will purchase the amount of shares so indicated.

INTRACOASTAL BANK

(In Organization) 50 Leanni Way, Suite C-3 Palm Coast, Florida 32137

STOCK SUBSCRIPTION AGREEMENT

To the Organizers and Directors:

The undersigned ("Subscriber") having read the Offering Circular dated August 15, 2007 of Intracoastal Bank (In Organization) (the "Bank"), and in sole reliance on the information contained therein, hereby subscribes for and agrees to purchase the number of shares of Common Stock of the Bank at \$10.00 per share indicated on page 4 of this Subscription Agreement. The minimum subscription that will be accepted is for 10,000 shares (\$100,000) and the maximum subscription that will be accepted from any individual who is not a Bank director, or affiliated group is 25,000 shares (\$250,000), unless otherwise waived by the Bank.

The Subscriber hereby certifies that the shares are subscribed in good faith in the Subscriber's own right and that the Subscriber is not acting as agent or attorney for any undisclosed individual or entity.

The undersigned encloses herewith a check or checks payable to "Independent Bankers' Bank of Fiorida, Escrow Agent" in an amount equal to \$10.00 for each share subscribed. The amounts payable by check are referred to in this Subscription Agreement as the "Subscription Funds." The Subscription Funds will be held in a separate escrow account maintained by the Escrow Agent. If an aggregate of 1,352,500 shares are subscribed and paid for on or prior to the termination of the Offering (as referred to in the accompanying Offering Circular), and the Bank elects to close on the sale of the shares, the Subscriber understands that the Subscriber's Subscription Funds will be delivered by the Escrow Agent to the Bank in payment of the Subscriber's required subscription payment. As soon as practicable after the sale of the shares, the shares registered in the name of the Subscriber, together with a copy of the Subscription Agreement executed by the Bank, will be delivered to the Subscriber at the address set forth at the end of this Subscription Agreement.

If for any reason the Bank does not open for business and the Offering is terminated or withdrawn and no shares are sold, then the cash paid by the Subscriber for shares will be returned without interest or deduction. The Bank will not pay to the Subscriber any interest on the Subscriber's subscription proceeds, including if the Bank opens for business.

The Subscriber understands that the Bank's Organizers reserve the right to reject in whole or in part any offers to subscribe and to allocate a lesser number of shares to the Subscriber in the event of oversubscription. The Subscriber also understands that until the date the Bank commences operations, the Bank's Organizers have the right to return in full the subscription amounts paid, thereby cancelling this Subscription Agreement.

Page 1 of 6

CAPGUntracoastal Bank Offering Circular, 2007, wpd

The Subscriber's right in and under this Stock Subscription Agreement may not be assigned, transferred, or otherwise hypothecated or alienated without prior approval of the Bank's Organizers.

The Subscriber understands that the Bank intends to file an election with the Internal Revenue Service to have the Bank treated as an S Corporation under the Internal Revenue Code. The Subscriber also understands that this election must be signed by each shareholder of the Bank. Accordingly, the Subscriber also has attached to this Subscription Agreement a completed Counterpart Signature Page to S Corporation Election Form. In addition to signing the election, each Bank shareholder also must maintain eligibility to hold S Corporation stock. The Subscriber also understands that if the Bank shares are ever transferred by a Bank shareholder to a holder who is not eligible to own S Corporation stock, then the Bank's S Corporation election will terminate. Accordingly, the Subscriber understands the Bank is requiring each Bank shareholder to sign a form of this Subscription Agreement which will serve as an agreement between the Bank and the shareholder as to the shares of Common Stock owned by the shareholder, in order to preserve for the Bank and its shareholders the benefits of the S Corporation election.

The Subscriber hereby represents, warrants and agrees to the Bank and the Bank shareholders as follows:

- 1. The Subscriber is eligible to hold and own shares of an S Corporation under the Internal Revenue Code.
- The Subscriber will promptly sign any additional forms to be filed by the Bank with the Internal Revenue Service in connection with the Bank's S Corporation election under the Internal Revenue Code.
- 3. The Bank shares owned by the Subscriber (the "Shares") will not be transferred to any individual who is not eligible to own S Corporation stock. Prior to transferring any of the Shares, the Subscriber will provide to the President of the Bank such documentation as the Bank in its sole discretion may require in order to assure that the proposed transfer is to an individual who is eligible to hold S Corporation stock. If the individual is not so eligible, the Subscriber will not transfer the Shares. The Subscriber understands that the Shares may not be transferred by the Subscriber to any entity, including any trust.
- 4. The Subscriber understands that an S Corporation can have only a limited number of shareholders. As a result, the Subscriber understands that there may be circumstances where the Subscriber would like to transfer all or any part of the Shares to a person eligible to own S Corporation stock, but the Subscriber's transfer, either alone or in combination with other transfers, may cause the number of Bank shareholders to exceed the limitation on the number of S Corporation shareholders deemed appropriate by the Bank. The Subscriber agrees that (unless otherwise agreed to by the Bank) the Subscriber will not transfer Subscriber's Shares unless, following the Subscriber's transfer of shares, the number of Bank shareholders under the Federal tax laws will not increase. The Subscriber also understands that prior to registering any transfer of the Subscriber's Shares the Bank has the right to request from the Subscriber's attorney a legal opinion that the transfer of Shares is in compliance with the terms of this agreement, including that the transferee is an individual eligible to own S Corporation stock.

- 5. The Subscriber understands that this agreement is irrevocable and will survive the Subscriber's death or disability and will be binding upon the Subscriber's heirs, executors, administrators and permitted successors and assigns. The Subscriber understands that any permitted transferce of the Shares will take such Shares subject to the terms of this agreement and must enter into an agreement with the Bank similar to this agreement prior to the Bank registering any transfer of the Shares.
- 6. The Subscriber understands that the certificates for the Subscriber's Shares will be legended to reflect the agreements and restrictions on the transfer of the Subscriber's Shares set forth in this letter, and that the Bank will not register or recognize any transfer of the Shares in violation of this agreement. The Subscriber agrees to promptly deliver to the Bank upon request by the Bank all certificates for the Subscriber's Shares in order that the Bank may place a legend on each such certificate in accordance with the foregoing.
- 7. The Subscriber either alone or with the Subscriber's purchaser representative(s) has the knowledge and experience in financial and business matters that the Subscriber is capable of evaluating the merits and risks of the purchase of the Shares.
- 8. The Subscriber understands that if the Bank, by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of its directors then holding office, and the shareholders of the Bank by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the then issued and outstanding Shares of the Bank, decide to terminate the S Corporation election, the Subscriber will be provided a written notice of such determination. Within 60 days after delivery of such notice, the Subscriber, if requested, will sign and deliver a consent to such revocation to the President of the Bank in the form prescribed by the Internal Revenue Service or the State Department of Revenue, or both, as the case may be.
- The Subscriber understands the consequences of the agreements set forth in this letter and will indemnify the Bank and its directors, officers and agents from and against all claims, damages, losses, costs and expenses (including reasonable attorneys' fees) which they may incur, directly or indirectly, by reason of the failure by the Subscriber to fulfill any of the terms and conditions of this agreement.

PLEASE RETURN THIS SUBSCRIPTION AGREEMENT TO:

Intracoastal Bank (In Organization) 50 Leanni Way, Suite C-3 Palm Coast, Florida 32137 Attn: Bruce E. Page President and Chief Executive Officer

SHARES SI	UBSCRIBED; PAYMENT
STEP ONE: SHARES SUBSCRIBED: The u Common Stock:	ndersigned hereby subscribes for the following number of shares of
	10,000 shares
STEP TWO: AMOUNT OF PAYMENT: The Bankers' Bank of Florida, BSCROW AGENT" in the share equals amount of payment):	e undersigned encloses herewith a check payable to "Independent following amount (number of shares subscribed for times \$10.00 per
	\$ 1000; 00\$
STEP THREE: FORM OF PAYMENT: The for	m of payment enclosed is indicated by the box checked below:
uncertified check	or cashier's check 🛘 wire transfer 🗅
STEP FOUR: TYPE OF OWNERSHIP: The fo	orm of ownership of the Common Stock by the Subscriber is indicated
☐ Subscribing individually	 Subscribing as Tenants by the Entirety (husband and wife situation only, each must sign)
Subscribing as Joint Tenants with Right of Sur (each joint tenant must then sign)	vivorship 🛱 Other qualifying entity . 🛘 Subscribing as Custodian for
Subscribing as Tenants in Common (with no rissurvivorship - each tenant in common must the	ght of under the Uniform Gift to Minors Act
on this 22 day of Trugust, 2007. (F Tenants with Right of Survivorship or Tenants in Co Barbara S. Revels Revolution Trus	HEREOF, the undersigned has executed this Subscription Agreement clease sign in exact name(s) of Subscriber(s). If subscribing as Joint mmon or Tenants by the Entirety, all must sign below.) The Autent 2-13/98
By & Barbara S. Revelt Printed Name of Subscriber Trustee	Printed Name of Subscriber .
Flagter Beach 1FL 32136	. (Address)
By: Signature Trustee	By:Signature
Daytime Phone Number	Daytime Phone Number
Social Security Number	Social Security Number
ACCEPTED: INTRACOASTAL BANK (In Organization)	(#II3)
By: 19 m or 1	ek,
As Its: (1-0) Date: 9-30, 2007.6	- . 023
	•••• ·

Page 4 of 6

Ortra occustail Bank. 1290 NW Pedro Coast PKwy. Polm Coast, H 32137

	fisted, check the members of a family	ider required to action, (Sea the column, K) O shareholders are by as one shareholder han 100 shareholders	ik Shareholder C Under penalities of portury it to the election of the above- S corporation toder section examined this consent accompanying schedules a best of our knowledge and it compilet. We understand 'may not be withdrawn after valid election. (Sign	named corporation for in amed corporation for in 1882(a) and that we had statement, including and to least the including the statements, and to least in its thing correct, the corporation has many than the corporation that the corporation than the corporation than the corporation that the corporat	he Nomi and of shi	sconited pale(s)	M Social sectrity, number or employer klentification number (see Instructions)	N Share- holder's tax year ends (month and day)
	(see test 2 under in the instructions	Who Mey Elect	Signature	Date	· owner	sh'o .		-
مدر محد مد رود کرد در مراج درک	SRevels Rec		* pallara S	(quel 3)22)	10,00	N 2/24/08		12/31
p _K ,	<u> </u>		puna					
•••								
	Under penalties of points true, correct, and Signature of officer	Company	ve examined the election, inc	fuding accompanying a	chodules and	statoments, and ic	the Best of my knowledge Data ►	and belief,
:				Dage 5 of 6				· .

Page 5 of o

Wilson

INTRACOASTAL BANK (In Organization) COMMON STOCK OFFERING

Subscription Instructions

Read Offering Circular: Read and carefully consider the Offering Circular.



2. Pay for Shares: Have a check issued payable to "Independent Bankers' Bank of Florida, Escrow Agent" in an amount equal to the number of shares purchased multiplied by \$10.00 per share. If paying by wire transfer, please use the following wire transfer instructions:

Independent Bankers Bank of Florida 615 Crescent Executive Court, Suite 400 Lake Mary, FL 32746

Routing #: For the benefit of: Intracoastal Bank (I.O.)

Escrow Account#:

- 3. Complete/Sign Subscription Agreement: Complete and sign the Subscription Agreement.
- 4. Complete/Sign the attached Counterpart Signature Page to S Corporation Election Form (page 5). If subscribing in joint names, both individuals must sign the form.
- Mail Subscription Documents and Payment to: Intracoastal Bank (In Organization), 50 Leanni Way, Suite C-3, Palm Coast, Florida 32137, Attention: Bruce E. Page.
- 6. Questions: If you have questions about how to subscribe for Shares, please call Bruce E. Page (386) 447-1662.

EXHIBIT E

		RMS APPRAISAL	BERVICES, INC.		
		Uniform Residentia	Appraisal Repo	ort File No.	713-21
Ti	ne purpose of this summary appraisal report is				
	Property Address 354 S 22nd St		y Flagler Beach	State FL	Zip Code 32136
	Borrower Revels	Owner of Public Record		County Fla	
	Legal Description Morningside Sub. Block				
	Assessor's Parcel # 19-12-32-4550-00330		x Year 2012	R.E. Taxes S	
5	Neighborhood Name Flagler Beach,		ap Reference MSA 2020	Census Trac	Park Company
×	Occupant X Owner Tenant Vacant			PUD HOAS 0	per year per mon
3	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple Assignment Type Purchase Transaction X	Leasehold Other (describe) Refinance Transaction Other (descri			
	Lender/Client Intracoastal Bank		Coast Pkwy, NW. Palm C	nast FI 32137	
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale or ha				No.
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and da				
	Ididdid not analyze the contract for sale	for the subject purchase transaction. Explain	he results of the analysis of the con	tract for sale or why the analy	sis was not performed.
7.00	Contract Price \$ Date of Contr	In the except upon	as the course of earlier course of the	Type Div. Div.	
Ņ	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale of		er the owner of public record?	Yes No Data Sou	Yes No
3	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the		\$0::	ian or the bollower:	JTESNO
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the neig	ghborhood are not appraisal factors.			
	Neighborhood Characteristics	One-UnitHat		One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
	Location Urban X Suburban Rural		X Stable Declining	PRICE AGE	One-Unit 70 9
Ē		er 25% Demand/Supply Shortage	X In Balance Over Supply	\$(000) (yrs)	2-4 Unit 5 9
Ē	Growth Rapid Stable X Slow Neighborhood Boundaries Atlantic Ocean to		X 3-6 mths Over 6 mths		Multi-Family 9
Ę	to the south and John Anderson High			325 Pred. 35	Commercial 20 9 Other Public 5 9
Ĕ	Neighborhood Description See Attached Ad		Joach	1 020 FIEU 00	Other Public 5 9
ij					
	Market Conditions (including support for the above of	conclusions) See Attached Addend	ım		
					
	Dimensions 240 x 173	A 41520 of	Chara Dectangula		M10
	Specific Zoning Classification SFR	Area 41520 sf Zoning Description Single I	Shape Rectangula	r, View B	;MarshPresrve;
		conforming (Grandfathered Use) No Z		······································	
	Is the highest and best use of the subject property as			Yes No 11 No, des	crihe
	3 1				
	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public	Other (describe)	Off-site Improvements-	Type Public Privat
7	Electricity X	Water X		Street Asphalt	
É	Gas X Tank for FP, FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area X Yes 1		FEMA Map # 120035C-0	Alley None	07/17/0000
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the		No, describe.	JZJZD FEWA Map D	ate 07/17/2006
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact			Yes X No If Yes.	describe. See Attached
	Addendum				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	FOUNDATION		naterials/condition INTERIC	
	Units X One One with Accessory Unit	Concrete Slab X Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basemen	Foundation Walls Frame Exterior Walls Cedar		Wood, Tile/Gd
	# of Stories 3 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit		. Roof Surface Metal		Drywall,Paper/G
	X Existing Proposed Under Const.	 	6 Gutters & Downspouts Alumi		
	Design (Style) Contemp	Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump		son / Gd Bath Wa	
	Year Built 1995	Evidence of Infestation	Storm Sash/Insulated Yes /		7
	Effective Age (Yrs) 10-12	Dampness Settlement	Screens Yes /		
	Attic None	Heating X FWA HWBB Radia			y Surface Concrete
	X Drop Stair Stairs	Other Fuel Elect		ence None X Gara	ige # of Cars 3
	Floor X Scuttle	Cooling X Central Air Conditioning		orch None Carp	, man
ď	Finished Heated	Individual Other		ther None X Att.	Det. Built-n
NE	Appliances P Refrigerator X Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains:	X Dishwasher X Disposal X Mid 7 Rooms 3 Bedroo		Other (describe)	Constitution Association Const
11	Additional features (special energy efficient items, et		115 4.0 Daul(s)	0,041 Square real of	Gross Living Area Above Grade
	- Charles And Share Marines of				
Ē	Describe the condition of the property (including nee	eded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remo	eling, etc.). C3;No update	s in the prior 15 year	s;No external
	inadequacies were noted during the	site inspection. The subject app	ears to be in good condit	ion. The utilities were	on at the time of the
	inspection and appear to be in worki				
	built in three phases. 1991 the garage				ing room and master
	suite were added on. For the purpos				
	Are there any physical deliciencies or adverse condi	arons that affect the livability, soundness, or s	uctural integrity of the property?	Yes X No II Ye	s, describe. None
	Known. The appraiser is not an eng property.	Ameer or contractor and is not d	amed to comment on the	e soundness or struc	tural integrity of the
	property.				
	Does the property generally conform to the neighbor	rhood (functional utility, style, condition, use	onstruction, etc.)? X Yes	No If No. describe Y	es it does conform,
	yet it is one of a kind in terms of size	e and style. There are other stilt	nomes in the area but no	ne are of this size. T	he foundation above
	yet it is one of a kind in terms of size was noted as a crawl space as there				he foundation above

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Produced using ACI software, 600.234 8727 ywww.acwicb.com Page 1 of 6

Fauruc Maie Form 1004 March 2005 1004 050AC 05082011

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File No 713-21

There are 8 compa				ing in price fro		479,000 to \$		
	rable sales in the subject ne					295,000		
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE S			IPARABLE S	SALE NO. 2		E SALE NO. 3
354 S 22nd St		1915 N Oceansho		608 Rive			2728 S Oceans	
Address Flagler Bead	ch, FL 32136	Flagler Beach, FL	32136	Flagler B		32136	Flagler Beach, I	-L 32136
Proximity to Subject		3.42 miles NNW		0.84 mile	s SSE		0.96 miles SE	
Sale Price	\$	\$	475,000		\$	649,000		s 477,500
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00 sq. ft.	\$ 211.49 sq. ft.		\$ 196.6			\$ 152.95 sq. ft.	
Data Source(s)		FCMLS#191825;E		FCMLS#			FCMLS#187873	3;DOM 154
Verification Source(s)		FCPAO / Inspecti	on	FCPAO /	Observa	tion	FCPAO / Inspec	ction
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) S Adjustment	DESCR	PTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) S Adjustment
Sale or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth			ArmLth	
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0			Cash;0	
Date of Sale/Time		s02/13;unk		s04/13;u	nk		s09/12;unk	
Location	B:Beachside;	B;Beachside;		B;Beachs	side;		B;Beachside;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simp	ole		Fee Simple	
Site	41520 sf	5500 sf	0	12420 sf		0.	10018 sf	0
View	B;MarshPresrve;	B:LmtdOcnVw:	25,000	B;Lake/L	mtdRvr:	50,000	B;LmtdOcnVw;	25,000
Design (Style)	Contemp	Contemp		Contemp			Contemp	
Quality of Construction	Q2	Q2		Q2			Q2	
Actual Age	18	11	-31,500	15		-13,500		-18,000
Condition	C3	C3		СЗ			C3	10,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms Baths	Total Edms: Baths		Total Brims.	Baths		Total Bdrass Baths	
Room Count	7 3 4.0	7 3 2.1	15,000	8 5	4.1	-5,000	7 3 2.1	15,000
Gross Living Area 60	3,541 sq. ft.	2,246 sq. ft.	77,700		300 sq. ft.	14,500	3,122 sq.	
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf	77,700	0sf	CCC SQ. IL	17,000	0sf	20,140
Rooms Below Grade				"				
Functional Utility	Good	Good		Good			Good	
Heating/Cooling	Central	Central		Central			Central	
	See page one	See comments	0	·	ments		See comments	+
Energy Efficient Items							2 Car Garage	10,000
Garage/Carport	3 Car Garage Decks,	2 Car Garage Decks.	10,000	4 Car Ga Decks,	uayt	U		10,000
Porch/Patio/Deck			0.500			E 000	Decks, Patio	0
	2 F/P	1 FP,	2,500		5. V.		No FP	5,000
	No Pool	No Pool		Sc.Pool,		-30,000	NO POOI	
				Dock/Lift		-25,000		
Net Adjustment (Total)		X)+	98,700		X)- s	4,000		5 62,140
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables		Net Adj. 21% % Gross Adj. 34% % \$		Net AdjC Gross Adj. 2:).6 %		Net Adj. 13.0% Gross Adj. 20.6%	\$ 539,640
Data source(s) MLS/P	did not reveal any prior sa ublic records did not reveal any prior sa							
	la !! a							
Data source(s) MLS/P	udiic records				rable sales (report additional prio	r salas an cono 3)	
Data source(s) MLS/P	ublic records search and analysis of the p	rior sale or transfer history	of the subject prope	erty and compa			a sales uit page a).	
Data source(s) MLS/P	search and analysis of the p	rior sale or transfer history BJECT	COMPARABLE SA		COM	PARABLE SALE NO.		ABLE SALE NO. 3
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re-	search and analysis of the p				COM			ABLE SALE NO. 3
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM	search and analysis of the p				COM			ABLE SALE NO. 3
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	search and analysis of the p	BJECT			COMI Public-F	PARABLE SALE NO.		
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	search and analysis of the p	BJECT Pu	COMPARABLE SA			PARABLE SALE NO.	. 2 COMPAR	ords
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transler Price of Prior Sale/Transler Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour	search and analysis of the p	prds Pu	COMPARABLE SA ablic Records /25/2013		Public-F 07/25/2	PARABLE SALE NO.	2 COMPAR	ords
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transler Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour	search and analysis of the p Su Public Recorders () 07/25/2013	prds Pu	COMPARABLE SA ablic Records /25/2013	ALE NO. 1	Public-F 07/25/2	PARABLE SALE NO.	2 COMPAR	ords
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	search and analysis of the position of the SU	ords Pu 07.	COMPARABLE SA ablic Records /25/2013	ALE NO. 1	Public-F 07/25/2	PARABLE SALE NO.	2 COMPAR	ords
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transler Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour	search and analysis of the position of the SU	prds Pu	COMPARABLE SA ablic Records /25/2013	ALE NO. 1	Public-F 07/25/2	PARABLE SALE NO.	2 COMPAR	ords
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	search and analysis of the position of the SU	ords Pu 07.	COMPARABLE SA ablic Records /25/2013	ALE NO. 1	Public-F 07/25/2	PARABLE SALE NO.	2 COMPAR	ords
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	search and analysis of the position of the SU	ords Pu 07.	COMPARABLE SA ablic Records /25/2013	ALE NO. 1	Public-F 07/25/2	PARABLE SALE NO.	2 COMPAR	ords
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa	search and analysis of the position of the SU (**) Public Recorded (**) Trison Approach (**) See A Comparison Approach (**)	prds Pu 07. properly and comparable sattached Addendum	COMPARABLE SA iblic Records //25/2013 siles 1-3 are	Closed sal	Public-f 07/25/2 es.	Records 013	Public Rec 07/25/2013	ords 3
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa	search and analysis of the post of the SU	prds Pu 07 propeny and comparable sa stached Addendum	COMPARABLE SA iblic Records /25/2013 ales 1-3 are	Closed sal	Public-F 07/25/2 es.	PARABLE SALE NO. Records 013	Public Rec 07/25/2013	s o
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by Sales All three approach	search and analysis of the position of the SU (**) Public Recorded (**) Trison Approach (**) See A Comparison Approach (**)	brids Pu 07 properly and comparable satisfached Addendum 50,000 ps 550,000 possidered but only to	COMPARABLE SA iblic Records //25/2013 ales 1-3 are costApproach (if di he Cost and S	closed sal	Public-F 07/25/2 es.	Records 013 Income At Id be developed	Public Rec 07/25/2013	s 0
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sal Indicated Value by: Sal In off three approach for the developme Income potential.	search and analysis of the post of the SU. Public Recorders of 07/25/2013 ansier history of the subject prison Approach. See A Comparison Approach \$ 56 as Comparison Approach ess to value were count and support of the SU.	brids Pu 07 properly and comparable sa ttached Addendum 50,000 15550,000	comparable SA iblic Records /25/2013 slies 1-3 are cost Approach (if di he Cost and S h to value as h	closed sal	Public-F 07/25/2 es. 339,000 oach couthis size	Parable Sale No. Records 013 Income Ag Id be develope and quality are	Public Rec 07/25/2013	s O sufficient data chased for their
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Pate of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sal All three approach for the developme Income potential. This appraisal is made	rison Approach. See A Comparison Approach \$ 58 See Comparison Approach \$ 58 Comparison Approa	prids Pu O7. properly and comparable sa ettached Addendum 50,000 a 550,000 c prisidered but only to le Income Approace o completion per plans and	comparable SA iblic Records /25/2013 siles 1-3 are cost Approach (if di he Cost and S h to value as h	closed sal	Public-F 07/25/2 es.	Income At Id be developed and quality are important to the important to th	Public Rec 07/25/2013 prosch (if developed) ed. There was in e not typically pur	s 0 sufficient data chased for their
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa Indicated Value by Sales indicated Value by: Sal All three approach for the developme income potential. This appraisal is made Subject to the followin	search and analysis of the post of the SU. Public Recorders of 07/25/2013 ansier history of the subject prison Approach. See A Comparison Approach \$ 56 as Comparison Approach ess to value were count and support of the SU.	brids Pu ords Ords ords Solution ords S	COMPARABLE SA iblic Records /25/2013 sles 1-3 are Cost Approach (if die Cost and Sign to value as Interest and Sign to value and Sign to value and Sign to value and Sign to value and S	eveloped) s. 6 sales Appronouses of a hairs or alteration	Public-F 07/25/2 es.	Income At Id be developed and quality are important to the important to th	Public Rec 07/25/2013 perosch (if developed) ad. There was in a not typically pur ovements have been co	s 0 sufficient data chased for their
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sal All three approach for the developme Income potential. This appraisal is made Subject to the followin inspection based on the e	search and analysis of the post of the St. of Public Recorders of 17725/2013 ansier history of the subject of t	BJECT ords Pu O7 oropeny and comparable so stached Addendum 50,000 os \$550,000 os sidered but only the Income Approact ocompletion per plans and the basis of a hypothetical cut the condition or deficiency and exterior	comparable SA iblic Records //25/2013 sles 1-3 are cost Approach (if di he Cost and S h to value as h I specifications on th ordition that the repar y does not require al	eveloped) s 6 ales Approtouses of a hyairs or alteration current, do	Public-F 07/25/2 es. 639,000 oach couthis size rpothetical couses have bee air: Sel	Income Arable Sale No. Income Arable develope and quality are and quality are and quality are and the sale of the	Public Rec O7/25/2013 Public Rec O7/25/2013 Deproach (if developed) ad. There was in a not typically pur overwents have been co subject to the follotiendum.	sords 3 3 5 0 sufficient data chased for their mpleted, wing required
Data source(s) MLS/P Report the results of the re- ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sal All three approach for the developme Income potential. This appraisal is made Subject to the followin inspection based on the e	search and analysis of the post of the SU	BJECT ords Pu O7 oropeny and comparable sa stached Addendum 50,000 s 550,000 orosidered but only the Income Approact to completion per plans and the basis of a hypothetical cut the condition or deficiency, which is the date of the many of	COMPARABLE SA iblic Records //25/2013 sless 1-3 are cost Approach (if di he Cost and S h to value as h I specifications on th oudition that the repay does not require al eas of the subject ket value, as defi-	eveloped) s & Bales Appronouses of the rate of the rat	Public-F 07/25/2 es. 339,000 0ach couthis size rpothetical cours have bee air: Sel	Income Ariold the Improvement of Improveme	Public Rec 07/25/2013 Public Rec 07/25/2013	sords 3 3 5 0 sufficient data chased for their impleted, wing required

RMS Appraisal Services

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 713-21

Clarification of Intended Use and Intended User.				
The Intended User of this appraisal report is the Lender/Client. No a	dditional Intended Use	ers are ide	ntified by the appraiser.	
The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements.				
The inspection of the subject dwelling consisted of measuring the ex- Observation of the quality and condition were noted along with the le- observation of the overall quality and condition of the dwelling. The those things that are readily observable without the use of special te specifications, photographs, owner records and/or property sketches inspection is to gather information about the characteristics of the pr	evel of deferred mainted appraiser did NOT ob sting or equipment. To provided at the time	enance. The serve the the appraise of the insp	ne interior inspection inc attic. The inspection is ser may have used plan pection. The primary re	limited to
No, employee, director, officer or agent of the lender, or any other trappraisal Management Company, or partner on behalf of the lender reporting, result or review of this assignment through coercion, exto intimidation, bribery or in any other manner. I have not been contact identified on the first page of the report), borrower, or designated co immediately report any unauthorized contacts either personally, via	has influenced or atte rtion, collusion, compe ed by anyone other the ntact to make an appo	mpted to i ensation, it an the inte ointment to	influence the development, inducement, ended user (lender/clien penter the property. I ac	ent, t as
	A444-19			
COST APPROACHTO VALUI	(not required by Fannie N	/lae)		
COST APPROACHTO VALUI Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file.	ons. mating site value) A seal	rch of both	n public records and ML 10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file.	ons. mating site value) <u>A sea</u> l 1 \$59,900 for a single	ch of both	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	or
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. SESTIMATED REPRODUCTION ON X PEPLACEMENT COST NEW	ons. mating site value) A seal 1 \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE	ch of both	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Elfective date of cost data Current	ons. mating site value) A seal 1 \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE	rch of both site with 1	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	or 125,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculating Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	ons. mating site value) A seal 1 \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP,	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculating Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT	ons. mating site value) A seal n \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, 4 Garage/Carport 1,057	rch of both site with 1	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations before the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. SETIMATED REPRODUCTION ON REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT intended to be used for insurrance purposes. "As Is" site	ons. mating site value) A seal n \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, 4 Garage/Carpon 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculating Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT	ons. mating site value) A seal \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, 4 Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations before the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. SETIMATED REPRODUCTION ON REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT intended to be used for insurrance purposes. "As Is" site	ons. mating site value) A seal 1 \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, 4 Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq.Ft.@3 Sq.Ft.@3 Functional	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations before the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. SETIMATED REPRODUCTION ON REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT intended to be used for insurrance purposes. "As Is" site	ons. mating site value) A seal 1 \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, 4 Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq.Ft.@3 Sq.Ft.@3 Functional	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT intended to be used for insurance purposes. "As is" site improvements include drive, walks, landscape, sod, impact fees.	ons. mating site value) A seal 1 \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, 4 Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq.Ft.@\$ Sq.Ft.@\$ Functional nents	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT intended to be used for insurance purposes. "As Is" site improvements include drive, walks, landscape, sod, impact fees.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Decks, 2 FP, 4 Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver 'As-is' Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COS	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. SESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT intended to be used for insurance purposes. "As Is" site improvements include drive, walks, landscape, sod, impact fees. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) SOURCE APPROACH TO VAL	ons. mating site value) A seal 1 \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver inDicATED VALUE BY COS UE (not required by Fannles 0 Indicate	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional nentsnents	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT intended to be used for insurrance purposes. "As Is" site improvements include drive, walks, landscape, sod, impact fees. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The Income predominantly owner occupied.	ons. mating site value) A seal 1 \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COS UE (not required by Fannles 0 Indicat Approach to Value was	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional nents	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations before the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. SETIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT intended to be used for insurance purposes. "As Is" site improvements include drive, walks, landscape, sod, impact fees. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The Income predominantly owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATIC	ons. mating site value) A seal n \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COS UE (not required by Fannle so 0 indicat Approach to Value was	T APPROACHE Male by Inc.	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations before the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. SETIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT intended to be used for insurance purposes. "As is" site improvements include drive, walks, landscape, sod, impact fees. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The Income predominantly owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATIC Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Decks, 2 FP, Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver 'As-is' Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COS UE (not required by Fannics O Indicat Approach to Value was N FOR PUDS (if applicable No Unit type(s) Det	T APPROACHE Mae) ached	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR CONTROL REP	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Decks, 2 FP, Garage/Carpont 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver "INDICATED VALUE BY COS UE (not required by Fannies O Indicat Approach to Value was N FOR PUDs (if applicable No Unit type(s) Det A and the subject property is an	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq. FL. @ 3 Sq. FL. @ 3 Sq. FL. @ 3 Functional ments T APPROACH Mae) ad Value by In s not deve	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT Intended to be used for insurance purposes. "As is" site improvements include drive, walks, landscape, sod, impact fees. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 years INCOME APPROACH TO VAL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 x Gross Rent Multiplier 0 = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and CRM) The Income predominantly owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATIC Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Devoide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Holegal name of project Total number of phases	ons. mating site value) A seal 1 \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COS UE (not required by Fannles O indicat Approach to Value was N FOR PUDs (if applicable No Unit type(s) Det A and the subject property is an	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq. Fl. @ \$ Sq. Fl. @ \$ Sq. Fl. @ \$ Functional nents T APPROACH Mae) ed Value by In s not deve	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations before the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estilistings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. Settinated Reproduction or Reproduction of Reprodu	ons. mating site value) A seal 1 \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COS UE (not required by Fannles O indicat Approach to Value was N FOR PUDs (if applicable No Unit type(s) Det A and the subject property is an	T APPROACHE May be a ched with a ched when a ched ched ched ched ched ched ched ched	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT Intended to be used for insurance purposes. "As is" site improvements include drive, walks, landscape, sod, impact fees. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 years INCOME APPROACH TO VAL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 x Gross Rent Multiplier 0 = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and CRM) The Income predominantly owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATIC Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Devoide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Holegal name of project Total number of phases	ons. mating site value) A seal n \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE 8Y COS UE (not required by Fannle s 0 Indicat Approach to Value was N FOR PUDs (if applicable No Unit type(s) Det	T APPROACHE May be a ched with a ched when a ched ched ched ched ched ched ched ched	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Files Quality rating from cost service Q2 Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Effective age/life depreciation. The cost approach to value is NOT intended to be used for insurance purposes. "As is" site improvements include drive, walks, landscape, sod, impact fees. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VAL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 x Gross Rent Multiplier 0 = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The Income predominantity owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATIC Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOL Legal name of project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	ons. mating site value) A seal n \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE 8Y COS UE (not required by Fannle s 0 Indicat Approach to Value was N FOR PUDs (if applicable No Unit type(s) Det	rch of both site with 1 1 Sq. Fl. @ \$ Sq. Fl. @ \$ Sq. Fl. @ \$ Sq. Fl. @ \$ Functional Innents	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations between the cost of the cost of the methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. Settimated Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction Repr	ons. mating site value) A seal n \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver iNDICATED VALUE BY COS UE (not required by Fannle s O Indicat Approach to Value was N FOR PUDs (if applicable No Unit type(s) Det A and the subject property is an	T APPROACH Mae) ached attached dwell rotate out on the control of the control o	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est listings and sales of land that backs to the marsh. Prices range from approximately 1 acre on the marsh. Data is retained in the file. ESTIMATED	ons. mating site value) A seal n \$59,900 for a single OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,54 Decks,2 FP, Garage/Carport 1,057 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation \$81,000 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver iNDICATED VALUE BY COS UE (not required by Fannle s O Indicat Approach to Value was N FOR PUDs (if applicable No Unit type(s) Det A and the subject property is an	T APPROACH Mae) T APPROACH Mae T APPROACH MA	10,500 sf to \$149,000+ f	125,000 478,035 50,000 36,995 565,030 81,000) 484,030 30,000

E-3

EXHIBIT F



Property Record Card Owner and Parcel Information

REVELS BARBARA S LIFE ESTATE Today's Date October 13, 2014

Owner Name P O BOX 434 Mailing Address Parcel Number 19-12-32-4550-00330-0040

FLAGLER BEACH, FL 32136 Tax District FLAGLER BEACH AREA (District 21)

Location Address 354 22ND ST S 2013 Millage Rates 21.96840 Property Usage SINGLE FAM (000100)

Show Parcel Maps | Generate Owner List By Radius | Show Assessment Notice | Show Historical Assessments Tax Collector Bill

	Value Informa	tion		
·	2012 Certified Values	2013 Certified Values	2014 Proposed Values	
Building Value _ ,	\$241,240	\$249,933	\$307,953	
Extra Feature Value	\$11,422	\$12,021	\$13,248	
Land Value	\$122,542	\$128,542	\$145,792	
Land Agricultural Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Agricultural (Market) Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	į
Just (Market) Value*	\$375,204	\$390,496	\$466,993	F
Assessed Value	\$375,204	\$381,582	\$387,306	
Exempt Value	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	
Taxable Value	\$325,204	\$331,582	\$337,306	
Protected Value	\$0	\$8,914	\$79,687	
"Just (Market) Value" description - Th	is is the value established by	the Property Appraiser fo	r ad valorem purposes.	

This value does not represent anticipated selling price.

MORNINGSIDE SUB DIV BL-33 LOTS4 - 7, LOT 9 & LOTS 17 & 18 BLK 31 FUQUAY SUBD OR 272/42 OR 329/685 OR 335/ 254-CD OR 335/256 OR 444/ 1002 (E5MNT ON LOT 4) OR 447/29-FPL ESMT NLY 10' LOT 4 OR 632/395-REVELS TRUST OR 1484/1643-RDMAN REVELS TRUST OR 1670/364-CD

Legal Information

The legal description shown here may be condensed for assessment purposes. Exact description should be obtained from the recorded deed.

Building Information

Type	Effective Area	Living Area	Exterior Wall	Roof Cover	Interior Wall
SINGLE FAM	4,193	3,431	WD SIDING	ANOD GALV	DRYWALL
Baths	Heating Type	A/C Type	Flooring	Actual Year Built/Effective Year Built	Sketch Building
4	FO AIR DCT	CENTRAL	HARDWOOD / CERA/CLAY	1991 / 1991	Show Building Sketch

	Extra Features Data	
Description	Units	Effective Year Built
CONCRETE DW	1,500 SF	1991
CONCRETE WW	90 SF	1991
FIREPLACE-C	1 UT	1991
STORAGE BLDG	96 SF	1994
FIREPLACE-A	1 UT	2002
BOAT DOCK AVG.COST	600 SF	2002
BRICK WW	50 SF	2002
CONC. PATIO	600 SF	1991

Sale Information

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved	Grantor
10-01-1998		N/A	632	395	Unqualified	Improved	REVELS BARBARA S
12-01-1987	\$ 25,000	N/A	335	256	Qualified	Vacant	
11-01-1987	\$ 100	N/A	<u>329</u>	<u>685</u>	Unqualified	Vacant	
12-01-1985		N/A	272	42	Unqualified	Vacant	
02-01-1900	\$ 277,783	N/A	Q	<u>0</u>	Qualified	Vacant	CONVERSION
01-01-1900	\$ 403,375	N/A	Ō	Ω	Qualified	Vacant	CONVERSION

Land Information

For land plat information see Flagler Clerk of Court Website

The Flagler County Property Appraiser's Office makes every effort to produce the most accurate information possible. No warranties, expressed or implied, are provided for the data herein, its use or interpretation. The Senior Exemption Does Not Apply to All Taxing Authorities. Just (Market) Value is established by the Property Appraiser for ad valorem tax purposes. It does not represent anticipated selling price. Working values are subject to change. Website Updated: October 8, 2014

© 2011 by the County of Flagler, FL | Website design by apublic.net

EXHIBIT G

DISBURSEMENT REQUEST AND AUTHORIZATION

Principal \$300,000.0	oo l	Loan Date 05-31-2013	Maturity 02-24-2020	Loan No	Call /		Account	Officer RW	Initials
References	in the		f	nly and do not limit the	applicabil ted due to	ity of this o text length	locument to any part Ilmitations.	icular Isan oi	item.
	PO Box	a S. Revels x 434 Beach, FL 3213	15	Ler	ider:	1290 Pal	tal Bank st Parkway Office m Coast Pkwy NW st , FL 32137		

Personal, Family, or Household Purposes or Personal Investment.

Business (Including Real Estate Investment).

SPECIFIC PURPOSE. The specific purpose of this loan is: HELOC INCREASE.

REAL ESTATE DOCUMENTS. If any party to this transaction is granting a security interest in any real property to Lender and Barbara S. Revels am not also a party to the real estate document or documents (the "Real Estate Documents") granting such security interest. I agree to perform and comply with the Real Estate Documents just as if I have signed as a direct and onginal party to the Real Estate Documents. This means I agree to all the representations and warranties made in the Real Estate Documents. In addition, I agree to perform and comply strictly with all the terms, obligations and covenants to be performed by either me or any Grantior or Trustor, or both, as those words are defined in the Real Estate Documents. Lender need not tell me about any action or inaction Lender takes in connection with the Real Estate Documents. I assume the responsibility for being and keeping informed about the property. I also waive any defenses that may arise because of any action or inaction of Lender, including without limitation any failure of Lender to realize upon the property, or any delay by Lender in realizing upon the property.

DISBURSEMENT INSTRUCTIONS. I understand that no loan proceeds will be disbursed until any notice of the right to cancel time period specified has expired and all of Lender's conditions for making the toan have been satisfied. Please disburse the loan proceeds of \$300,000.00 as follows:

> \$221,936.86 Undisbursed Funds: \$78,063.14 Other Disbursements: \$78,063.14 ACCOUNT BALANCE \$300,000.00 Credit Limit:

CHARGES PAID IN CASH. I have paid or will pay in cash as agreed the following charges:

Prepaid Finance Charges Paid in Cash

\$209.86 \$3,263,00

\$209.86 Interest Due through 05/24/13

Other Charges Paid in Cash:

\$27,00 Mortgage Modification Recording Fee \$1,575.00 Title Insurance to Coast Title Insurance Agency.

\$1,57.5.10 The Insurance to Coast Title Insurance Agency, Inc./Chicago Title
\$350.00 Closing Cost to Coast Title Insurance Agency, Inc.
\$150.00 Title Search to Coast Title Insurance Agency, Inc.
\$61.00 Trust Certification to County Clerk of Court
\$700.00 Documentary Stamp Tax

\$400.00 Intangible Tax Total Charges Paid in Cash:

\$3,472.86

advance line to pay TAX CONSEQUENCES. I understand that Lender makes no representation or warranty whatsoever concerning the tax consequences of this loan, including the deductibility of interest, and that I should consult with my own tax advisor for guidance on this subject. I also agree that Lender shall not be liable in any manner whatsoever should the interest paid on the loan not be deductible.

FINANCIAL CONDITION. BY SIGNING THIS AUTHORIZATION, I REPRESENT AND WARRANT TO LENDER THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND CORRECT AND THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO MATERIAL ADVERSE CHANGE IN MY FINANCIAL CONDITION AS DISCLOSED IN MY MOST RECENT FINANCIAL STATEMENT TO LENDER; THIS AUTHORIZATION IS DATED MAY 31, 2013.

CREDIT INSURANCE DISCLOSURE

VOLUNTARY CREDIT INSURANCE. CREDIT LIFE INSURANCE, CREDIT DISABILITY INSURANCE AND INVOLUNTARY UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ARE NOT REQUIRED TO OBTAIN CREDIT.

By signing below, I acknowledge that I am not obtaining credit insurence for this loan for one of the following reasons:

(A) I am not eligible for credit insurance;

(B) Credit insurance is not available from Lender; or

(C) If I am eligible and credit insurance is available from Lender, I do not want it.

Prior to signing this Credit Insurance Notice on May 31, 2813, I read and understood all of the provisions of this Disclosure.

ne los 1961, 2013 AZRights Rosamed - FL P'HARLANDICFREMUNDEC TRAST PROJ